Weighted Projection Quantiles Algorithm

November 4, 2014

Algorithm to calculate weighted projection quantile along the vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{B}_p$, given a set of observations $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, ..., \mathbf{X}_n$:

- 1. Compute $Q_{proj}(\mathbf{u})$, the projection quantile along \mathbf{u}
 - Project each \mathbf{X}_i along \mathbf{u} to obtain $X_{\mathbf{u}i} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{u} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{u}\|}$, for i = 1, 2, ..., n.
 - Find $\alpha = \frac{1+\|\mathbf{u}\|}{2}$ -th quantile of $X_{\mathbf{u}1}, ..., X_{\mathbf{u}n}$, say $q_{\mathbf{u}}$...
 - $Q_{proj}(\mathbf{u}) = q_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u}}, \ \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u}/\|\mathbf{u}\|$ being the unit vector along \mathbf{u} .
- 2. Compute Weights corresponding to this projection quantile $Q_{proj}(\mathbf{u})$
 - Compute global weights for the direction vector u by k-mean distance:
 - Compute k-mean distance corresponding to $Q_{proj}(\mathbf{u})$ using $\bar{d}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \mathbb{I}_{\{d_i < d_{(k)}\}}$, where d_i is the euclidean distance of \mathbf{X}_i from $Q_{proj}(\mathbf{u})$ given by $\|\mathbf{X}_i Q_{proj}(\mathbf{u})\|$. k is a tuning parameter.
 - Compute the weights corresponding to **u**:

$$w_{\mathbf{u}} = \exp(-a.d_k)$$

where a is a tuning parameter.

- Compute weights for each sample point X_i ; i = 1, 2, ..., n:
 - Compute the orthogonal Norms by $\|\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{u}\perp i}\| = \|\mathbf{X}_i X_{\mathbf{u}i}\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u}}\|$.
 - Compute weight of i^{th} sample:

$$w_{2i} = \exp\left[-b\frac{\|\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{u}\perp i}\|}{\|\mathbf{X}_i\|}\right] \mathbb{I}_{\{\|\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{u}\perp i}\|\leq \epsilon\}}$$

 b, ϵ being tuning parameters.

- 3. Compute the weighted projection quantile
 - Suppose there are m observations with non-zero weights w_{2i} , with indices $i_1, i_2, ..., i_m$. Define $\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{u}i_j} = w_{\mathbf{u}}w_{2i_j}X_{\mathbf{u}i_j}$.
 - Find $\alpha = \frac{1+\|\mathbf{u}\|}{2}$ -th quantile of $\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{u}i_1},...,\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{u}i_m}$. Let it be $\tilde{q}_{\mathbf{u}}$.
 - Find the weighted projection quantile as $\tilde{Q}_{proj}(\mathbf{u}) = \tilde{q}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u}}$.

Definition Given a random vector $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ that follows a multivariate distribution F, and a point $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, find $\alpha_{\mathbf{p}}$ such that $\|\mathbf{p}\|$ is the $\alpha_{\mathbf{p}}$ -th quantile for the projection of \mathbf{X} on \mathbf{p} , say $X_{\mathbf{p}}$. Then the **Projection Quantile Depth** (PQD) at \mathbf{p} with respect to F is defined as

$$D(\mathbf{p}, F) = \exp(-\alpha_{\mathbf{p}})$$

Given data $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, ..., \mathbf{X}_n$, the PQD at a given \mathbf{p} can be estimated by finding the two nearest points on either side of $\|\mathbf{p}\|$ along \mathbf{p} , say $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2$, obtain their corresponding quantiles, say α_1, α_2 respectively, then estimate $\alpha_{\mathbf{p}}$ by a linear approximation:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\|\mathbf{p}\| - \|\mathbf{p}_1\|)}{\|\mathbf{p}_1\| - \|\mathbf{p}_2\|} + \alpha_1$$

and plugging it in the above definition.

Algorithm 1 Algorithm for PQD-based classification

- 1: **procedure** PQDCLASSIFIER(training data $\mathbf{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times p}$ with class labels i; i = 1, 2, ..., k, new data $\mathbf{x}_{new} \in \mathbb{R}^p$)
- 2: Set i = 1.
- 3: top:
- 4: Estimate from the sample the PQD of **p** with respect to the i^{th} population, say $D(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{X}_i)$.
- 5: if i = k then Stop
- 6: **else**
- 7: Set $i \leftarrow i + 1$, **goto** top
- 8:
- 9: Find c that maximizes the PQD of \mathbf{x}_{new} w.r.t. all possible classes:

$$D(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{X}_c) = \max\{D(\mathbf{x}_{new}, \mathbf{X}_i) : i = 1, 2, ..., k\}$$

10: Assign class c to new data \mathbf{x}_{new} .

Note One can define a weighted version of PQD by replacing $X_{\mathbf{p}}$ by their weighted version $\tilde{X}_{\mathbf{p}}$. A weighted classification scheme follows similarly.

New notion of data depth

We define a data depth based on projection quantiles (which, of course, can be extended to the weighted versions), on the lines of Zuo's Projection Depth [ref?].

Definition Given a random vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^p$ that follows a multivariate distribution F, and a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$, define an outlyingness function

$$O(x, F) = \sup_{\|u\|=1} \left| F_u(x_u) - \frac{1}{2} \right|$$

where $F_{\mathbf{u}}$ is the distribution function of $X_{\mathbf{u}}$, and $x_u = \langle x, u \rangle / ||u||$. Then we define the **Projection Quantile Depth** at x with respect to F as

$$PQD(x,F) = \frac{1}{1 + O(x,F)}$$

We now follow the order in Zuo's paper to obtain the properties of the PQD.

(P1) Affine invariance Say G is the cdf of AX + b. Then we have O(Ax + b, G) = O(x, F) because for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$ we have

$$F(x) = G(Ax + b) \Rightarrow F_u(x_u) = G_{Au+b} ((Ax + b)_{Au+b})$$

where subscripting denotes projection.

(P2) Quasi-concavity for $0 < \lambda < 1, z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$,

$$F_{u}(z_{u}) = F_{\mathbf{u}}(\lambda x_{u} + (1 - \lambda)y_{u})$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| F_{u}(z_{u}) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \begin{cases} \left| \max\{F_{u}(x_{u}), F_{u}(y_{u})\} - \frac{1}{2} \right| & \text{if } F_{u}(z_{u}) < 1/2 \\ \left| \min\{F_{u}(x_{u}), F_{u}(y_{u})\} - \frac{1}{2} \right| & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ \left| F_{u}(x_{u}) - \frac{1}{2} \right|, \left| F_{u}(y_{u}) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right\}$$

and we finally get $PQD(z, F) \ge \max\{PQD(x, F), PQD(y, F)\}.$

- **(P3)** Vanishing at infinity For $||x|| \to \infty$ we have $F_u(x_u) \to 1$ hence $PQD(x,F) \to 2/3$. So we can define $PQD^*(x,F) = PQD(x,F) 2/3$ to obtain P3.
- (P4) Maximized at center of symmetric F Halfspace symmetry of F w.r.t. center θ implies (halfspace) symmetry of F_u around θ_u for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$, so that $F_u(\theta_u) = 1/2$ and subsequently PQD achieves its max value 1 at θ .

Example 1 For $X \sim \mathcal{N}_2((0,0)', I_2)$ we have $X_u \sim N(0,1)$ for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$: ||u|| = 1. Due to the symmetry of the distribution function maximizing $|F_u(x_u) - 1/2|$ is equivalent to maximizing $F_u(x_u) = \Phi(x_u) = \Phi(\langle x, u \rangle)$.

Converting u to polar coordinates we can maximize over the angle θ that u makes with the X-axis, and can easily find that the above function maximizes at $\theta = \tan^{-1}(x_2/x_1)$, which is the direction for x itself. Thus for given x the sup is obtained at u = x, and we have

$$PQD(x,F) = \frac{1}{1 + \Phi(\|x\|) - 1/2} = \frac{2}{1 + 2\Phi(\|x\|)}$$
(1)

Fig. 1 shows a comparison of PQD with Zuo's PD for standard bivariate normal.

Note In place of standard bivariate normal, one can use any circularly symmetric F, i.e. a distribution that has the same marginal distribution F_0 along all one-dimensional projections to come up with an exact formula for PQD(x, F). In that general scenario, $\Phi(.)$ will be replaced by $F_0(.)$ in (1).

A general class of projection based depth functions

Definition We consider a random variable $X \in \mathbb{R}^p$ following the distribution F. Define F_u as the projection of F towards any $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$. In this setup, we define a general class of outlyingness (or htped) function:

$$O(x,F) = \sup_{\|u\|=1} f(x_u, \theta(F_u))$$
(2)

where f(.) is a function satisfying properties mentioned below. $x_u = \langle x, u \rangle / ||u||$, and $\theta(F_u)$ is a set of parameters (or a parameter) characterizing the distribution function F_u . Then we define the depth at x with respect to F as D(x, F) = 1/(1 + O(x, F)).

The necessary properties of f(.) are formulated keeping necessary properties of a depth functions in mind [ref zuo-serf]:

- **(F1)** Affine invariant $f(x_u, \theta_u) = f(Ax_u + b, \theta_u^{AX+b})$ for non-singular matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, where subscripting denotes projection.
- (F2) Quasi-convex in x_u for $0 < \lambda < 1, z = \lambda x + (1 \lambda)y$,

$$f(z_u) = f(\lambda x_u + (1 - \lambda)y_u) \le \max\{f(x_u, \theta_u), f(y_u, \theta_u)\}\$$

from which quasi-concavity of D(x, F) follows.

- **(F3)** Monotonically increasing in x_u ???
- (F4) Maximized at center of symmetric F ??
- (F5) Uniformly continuous in both x_u and $\theta(F_u)$

Theorem 0 Given an outlyingness function like (1) and an f(.) satisfying properties (F1)-(F5), the resulting depth function is:

- 1. Affine invariant,
- 2. Quasi-concave, and as a result D(x, F) decreases monotonically along any ray starting from the deepest point, [ref]
- 3. Maximized at the center of a symmetric F.
- 4. Uniformly continuous.

The proofs easily follow from the corresponding properties of f(.).

Now suppose that θ_{nu} is the estimate of θ_u from a size-n iid sample. Following Zuo, we state some properties regarding the convergence $\theta_{nu} \to \theta_u$.

(C0)
$$\sup_{\|u\|=1} |\theta_i(F_u)| < \infty \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., k.$$

(C1)
$$\sup_{\|u\|=1} |\theta_i(F_{nu}) - \theta_i(F_u)| = o_P(1) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., k.$$

(C2)
$$\sup_{\|u\|=1} |\theta_i(F_{nu}) - \theta_i(F_u)| = o(1)$$
 a.s. for $i = 1, 2, ..., k$.

(C3)
$$\sup_{\|u\|=1} |\theta_i(F_{nu}) - \theta_i(F_u)| = O_P(1/\sqrt{n}) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., k.$$