EN3160 – Assignment 1 on Intensity Transformations and Neighborhood Filtering

**Name – De Silva A.L.U.P. Index – 200105F**

GitHub Link – https://github.com/UlinduP/EN3160\_Image\_Processing\_and\_Machine\_Vision/blob/main/assignment\_01.ipynb

**Question 01**




Figure 1 - Generating the Transform




Figure 2 - Intensity Transformation



Figure 3(a) - Image before applying transformation



Figure 3(b) - Image after applying transformation

Discussion – The pixel values in [0,50] and [150,255] are mapped to the same values. Hence, we do not see much difference in her hair color, which would mostly fall in the [0,50] range. Mid-values are exaggerated to higher values, so we see white patches on her face.

**Question 02**




Figure 4(b) - Generating transformation for gray matter




Figure 4(a) - Generating transformation for white matter




Figure 5(b) - Intensity transformation for gray matter




Figure 5(a) - Intensity transformation for white matter




Figure 6(a) - Accentuated white matter




Figure 7(b) - Accentuated gray matter

Discussion – Compared to the original with Figure 7(a), white parts are enhanced since all the values past 180 are transformed to purely white. In Figure 7(b), gray parts are taken as values in the middle, which are enhanced to white and others to black.

**Question 03**




Figure 8 - Gamma correction and generating histograms





Figure 9(b) - Gamma = 0.8





Figure 9(c) - Gamma = 2





Figure 9(a) - Gamma = 0.2

Discussion - Gamma Value = 1: The gamma correction curve is linear, and the image remains unchanged. The histogram retains its original shape.

Gamma Value < 1 (e.g., 0.2): Gamma compression occurs. This darkens the image and reduces contrast. The histogram shifts to the left, concentrating more values towards the darker end.

Gamma Value > 1 (e.g., 2.0): Gamma expansion occurs. This brightens the image and increases contrast. The histogram shifts to the right, concentrating more values towards the lighter end.

**Question 04**

1. image\_hsv = cv.cvtColor(image\_bgr, cv.COLOR\_BGR2HSV)

h, saturation\_plane, v = cv.split(image\_hsv)

1. def intensity\_transform(x, a, sigma=70):

f\_x = np.clip(x+a\*128\*np.exp(-(x-128)\*\*2/(2\*sigma\*\*2)), 0, 255)

return f\_x

transforemed\_saturation\_plane = intensity\_transform(saturation\_plane, 0.4)

* Visually Pleasing results were obtained for values in the [0.3, 0.4] range.

1. image\_copy = image\_hsv.copy()

image\_copy[:, :, 1] = transforemed\_saturation\_plane




Figure 10 - Original and Enhanced images




Figure 10 - Intensity Transformations

intensity\_values = np.arange(256)

a\_values = [0, 0.4, 0.7, 1.0]

for a in a\_values:

transformation\_values = intensity\_transform(intensity\_values, a)

Discussion - The code modifies the saturation plane by applying this intensity transformation, effectively altering the image's color saturation. Areas with lower saturation become more pronounced, increasing color vibrancy and contrast. This improves color saturation but lacks clarity in highlighting image details. Parameter 'a' requires fine-tuning for optimal results.

**Question 05**

def histogram\_equalization(im):

cv.imread(im,cv.IMREAD\_GRAYSCALE)

    histogram = np.zeros(256, dtype=int)

    for pixel\_value in img.flat:

        histogram[pixel\_value] += 1

    cdf = np.zeros(256, dtype=int)

    cdf[0] = histogram[0]

    for i in range(1, 256):

        cdf[i] = cdf[i - 1] + histogram[i]

    num\_pixels = img.size

    equalized\_image = np.zeros\_like(img)

    for i in range(img.shape[0]):

        for j in range(img.shape[1]):

            pixel\_value = img[i, j]

            equalized\_pixel = int((cdf[pixel\_value] / num\_pixels) \* 255)

            equalized\_image[i, j] = equalized\_pixel

    histogram\_equalized = np.zeros(256, dtype=int)

    for pixel\_value in equalized\_image.flat:

        histogram\_equalized[pixel\_value] += 1




Figure 12 - Images before and after equalization




Figure 13 - Histogram before and after equalization

Discussion – This calculates the histograms and performs histogram equalization using fundamental principles. Histogram values of the original are mapped to the entire range. The transformed intensities are used to create an equalized image. This image has a more balanced distribution of intensities, effectively spreading out the data across the entire dynamic range. Hence, the darker image has brightened up.

**Question 06**

1. hsv\_image = cv.cvtColor(image, cv.COLOR\_BGR2HSV)

hue, saturation, value = cv.split(hsv\_image)




Figure 14

1. The foreground object is highly saturated compared to the background. Therefore, the Saturation plane is the appropriate plane for the threshold in extracting the foreground mask.
2. saturation\_min = 15, saturation\_max = 255

foreground\_mask = cv.inRange(saturation, saturation\_min, saturation\_max)

foreground\_mask = cv.morphologyEx(foreground\_mask, cv.MORPH\_CLOSE, cv.getStructuringElement(cv.MORPH\_ELLIPSE,(80, 80)))

foreground = cv.bitwise\_and(image, image, mask=foreground\_mask)

histogram = cv.calcHist([foreground], [0], foreground\_mask, [256], [0, 256])




Figure 15

1. cumulative\_histogram = np.cumsum(histogram)
2. hsv\_foreground = cv.cvtColor(foreground, cv.COLOR\_BGR2HSV)

value\_foreground = hsv\_foreground[:, :, 2]

equalized\_value\_foreground = cv.equalizeHist(value\_foreground)

hsv\_foreground[:,:,2] = equalized\_value\_foreground

1. background\_mask = cv.bitwise\_not(foreground\_mask)

extracted\_background = cv.bitwise\_and(image, image, mask=background\_mask)

result = cv.add(extracted\_background, cv.cvtColor(hsv\_foreground, cv.COLOR\_HSV2BGR))



Figure 16

Discussion – The foreground object is highly saturated in comparison to the background. Therefore, the saturation plane is selected for thresholding. Exact values need to be fine-tuned. Even then, the eyes and some other darker areas are ignored in the mask. To get them, to remove noise, morphologyEx operation is used.

**Question 07**

1. sobel\_v = np.array([(-1, -2, -1), (0, 0, 0), (1, 2, 1)], dtype='float32')

sobel\_h = np.array([(-1, 0, 1), (-2, 0, 2), (-1, 0, 1)], dtype='float32')

imv = cv.filter2D(im, -1, sobel\_v)

imh = cv.filter2D(im, -1, sobel\_h)

grad\_mag = np.sqrt(imv\*\*2 + imh\*\*2)

1. rows, columns = im.shape

kernal\_size = 3

imv = np.zeros((rows-kernal\_size+1, columns-kernal\_size+1), dtype='float32')

imh = np.zeros((rows-kernal\_size+1, columns-kernal\_size+1), dtype='float32')

for row in range(rows-kernal\_size+1):

for column in range(columns-kernal\_size+1):

imv[row, column] = np.sum(im[row:row+kernal\_size, column:column+kernal\_size] \* sobel\_v)

imh[row, column] = np.sum(im[row:row+kernal\_size, column:column+kernal\_size] \* sobel\_h)

grad\_mag = np.sqrt(imv\*\*2 + imh\*\*2)

1. sobel\_h\_kernel = np.array([1, 2, 1], dtype=np.float32)

sobel\_v\_kernel = np.array([1, 0, -1], dtype=np.float32)

im1 = cv.sepFilter2D(im, -1, sobel\_h\_kernel, sobel\_v\_kernel)

im2 = cv.sepFilter2D(im, -1, sobel\_v\_kernel, sobel\_h\_kernel)

grad\_mag = np.sqrt(imv\*\*2 + imh\*\*2)

Discussion - In all the methods, similar results were obtained. After applying the convolution operation, the resultant dimension of the image is (rows - kernal size + 1). Sobel filters detect the changes in pixel values in a direction. The vertical and horizontal gradients are combined to calculate the overall gradient at each pixel.




Figure 17

**Question 08**

1. if (method == 'nearest-neighbour'):

for i in range(rows):

for j in range(cols):

original\_i = min(int(round(i / scale)), image.shape[0] - 1)

original\_j = min(int(round(j / scale)), image.shape[1] - 1)

zoomed[i][j] = image[original\_i][original\_j]

1. if (method == 'bilinear interpolation'):

for i in range(rows):

for j in range(cols):

x,y = i/scale, j/scale

x1, y1 = int(np.floor(x)), int(np.floor(y)

x2, y2 = int(np.ceil(x)), int(np.ceil(y))

if (x2 >= image.shape[0]): x2 = x1

if (y2 >= image.shape[1]): y2 = y1

dx, dy = x - x1, y - y1

zoomed[i][j] = image[x1][y1]\*(1-dx)\*(1-dy) + image[x1][y2]\*(1-dx)\*dy + image[x2][y1]\*dx\*(1-dy) + image[x2][y2]\*dx\*dy

Discussion – The results from bilinear interpolation are better than those from the nearest neighbor method in all the images. Nearest neighbor interpolation can create blocky artifacts in the zoomed image because it does not consider the values of neighboring pixels smoothly. Bilinear interpolation, while smoother, can still introduce blurring and other artifacts because it assumes a linear transition between pixel values. Before calculating the normalized SSD value, error handling is done to check whether the generated image and the large original have the same size. If not, the same size is attained using cv.resize for the generated image. (Code below) The results are still significantly noisy and below par compared with the original.

try:

        assert large\_originals[i].shape == zoomed\_image\_nearest.shape

    except AssertionError:

        zoomed\_image\_nearest = cv.resize(zoomed\_image\_nearest, (large\_originals[i].shape[1],large\_originals[i].shape[0]), interpolation=cv.INTER\_NEAREST)

        zoomed\_image\_bilinear = cv.resize(zoomed\_image\_bilinear, (large\_originals[i].shape[1],large\_originals[i].shape[0]), interpolation=cv.INTER\_LINEAR)

finally:

        ssd\_nearest = normalized\_SSD(zoomed\_image\_nearest, large\_originals[i])

        ssd\_bilinear = normalized\_SSD(zoomed\_image\_bilinear, large\_originals[i])

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Image Name (As given in the folder) | Normalized SSD value (Nearest Neighbor) | Normalized SSD value (Bilinear Interpolation) |
| Im01small | 0.49838 | 0.49266 |
| Im02small | 0.20384 | 0.20019 |
| Im03small | 0.23394 | 0.23826 |
| Im04small | 0.69888 | 0.69763 |

SSD values are preferred to be as close to 0 as possible, but the calculated SSD values for all the 11 images are considerably high, further showing the below-par performance of the models.

**Question 09**

mask = np.zeros(img.shape[:2], np.uint8)

background\_model = np.zeros((1, 65), np.float64)

foreground\_model = np.zeros((1, 65), np.float64)

rectangle = (40, 10, 505, 505)

cv.grabCut(img, mask, rectangle, background\_model, foreground\_model, 5, cv.GC\_INIT\_WITH\_RECT)

mask1 = np.where((mask == 2) | (mask == 0), 0, 1).astype('uint8')

img1 = img \* mask1[:, :, np.newaxis]

cv.grabCut(img, mask, rectangle, background\_model, foreground\_model, 5, cv.GC\_INIT\_WITH\_RECT)

mask2 = np.where((mask == 3) | (mask == 1), 0, 1).astype('uint8')

img2 = img \* mask2[:, :, np.newaxis]

blurred\_img = img1 + cv.GaussianBlur(img2, (15, 15), 0)



Figure 18

Discussion – Dark pixels just beyond the edge of the flower in the enhanced image can be due to many reasons. After GrabCut segmentation, two masks are created: one for the foreground (the flower) and one for the background. Pixels in the background mask, especially those close to the boundary, might not be accurate. Some pixels just beyond the edge of the flower may still be assigned to the background because of the limitations of the initial segmentation. Blurring is a local operation and affects neighboring pixels. The blurring operation can extend into the region where some of the background pixels were inaccurately classified as being part of the flower. This can make them appear darker because they are mixed with the true background. The pixels just beyond the flower's edge, which were inaccurately classified as background but got blurred, contribute to the darker appearance in that region.