

Geodesics on Surfaces of Revolution and Ruled Surfaces: A Study of Symmetries and Isometries

Ulizes Raudales

March 6, 2025

Introduction

- **Motivation:** I like differential geometry and group theory.
- **Objective:** Study geodesics on surfaces with rotational and translational symmetries.
- **Importance:** Geodesics are fundamental to understanding curved spaces.
- **Key Question:** How do inherent symmetries simplify the geodesic equations?

Thesis Focus and Objectives

- **Derivation:** Formulate geodesic equations via variational calculus and the Euler–Lagrange framework.
- **Analysis:** Examine specific examples (sphere, cylinder) to illustrate geodesic behavior.
- **Symmetry:** Use rotational and translational symmetries to identify conserved quantities.
- **Future Work:** Extend analysis to more complex ruled surfaces and explore numerical simulations.

- **Manifolds:**

- n -dimensional topological spaces that locally resemble Euclidean space.
- Examples: sphere, torus, cylinder, Minkowski spacetime, Klein bottle.
- We consider surfaces (2-manifolds) embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- We define the parameterization of a surface as $\mathbf{r}(u, v)$.
- $\mathbf{r} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth map where $u(t), v(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are local coordinates.

Differential Geometry: Metric Tensor

- **Tangent Space:**

$$T_p(M) = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial v} \right\}.$$

- **Metric Tensor:** Let $\mathbf{r}_u = \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial u}$ and $\mathbf{r}_v = \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial v}$.

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{r}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{r}_\nu \quad \text{where} \quad \mu, \nu \in \{u, v\}.$$

- Let

$$E = \mathbf{r}_u \cdot \mathbf{r}_u, \quad F = \mathbf{r}_u \cdot \mathbf{r}_v, \quad G = \mathbf{r}_v \cdot \mathbf{r}_v.$$

$$E_u = \frac{\partial E}{\partial u}, \quad E_v = \frac{\partial E}{\partial v}, \quad F_u = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}, \quad F_v = \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}, \quad G_u = \frac{\partial G}{\partial u}, \quad G_v = \frac{\partial G}{\partial v}.$$

- **Metric:**

$$ds^2 = E du^2 + 2F du dv + G dv^2.$$

Derivation of Geodesic Equations (I)

- **Action Functional:**

$$S[\gamma] = \int_a^b \mathcal{L}(t, q^\lambda, \dot{q}^\lambda) dt.$$

- **Euler–Lagrange Equations:** Assuming

$$\mathcal{L} = g_{\mu\nu} \dot{q}^\mu \dot{q}^\nu,$$

they become

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}^\lambda} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q^\lambda} = 0.$$

- **Geodesic Equation:** Thus,

$$\frac{d^2 q^\lambda}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \frac{dq^\nu}{dt} = 0.$$

Derivation of Geodesic Equations (II)

- **Christoffel Symbols:** Encode the curvature of the manifold.

$$\Gamma_{uu}^u = \frac{1}{2D} [G E_u - F(2F_u - E_v)], \quad \Gamma_{uv}^u = \Gamma_{vu}^u = \frac{1}{2D} [G E_v - F G_u],$$

$$\Gamma_{vv}^u = \frac{1}{2D} [G(2F_v - G_u) - F G_v], \quad \Gamma_{uu}^v = \frac{1}{2D} [E(2F_u - E_v) - F E_u],$$

$$\Gamma_{uv}^v = \Gamma_{vu}^v = \frac{1}{2D} [E G_u - F E_v], \quad \Gamma_{vv}^v = \frac{1}{2D} [E G_v - F(2F_v - G_u)],$$

- **Geodesic Equations for u and v :**

$$\ddot{u} + \Gamma_{uu}^u \dot{u}^2 + 2\Gamma_{uv}^u \dot{u}\dot{v} + \Gamma_{vv}^u \dot{v}^2 = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\ddot{v} + \Gamma_{uu}^v \dot{u}^2 + 2\Gamma_{uv}^v \dot{u}\dot{v} + \Gamma_{vv}^v \dot{v}^2 = 0. \quad (2)$$

Surfaces of Revolution

- **Definition:** Obtained by rotating a profile curve about an axis.
- **Parametrization:**

$$\mathbf{r}(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} x(v) \cos(u) \\ x(v) \sin(u) \\ z(v) \end{bmatrix},$$

where: u is the angular coordinate and v parameterizes the profile curve.

- **Metric Components:**

$$E = x(v)^2, \quad F = 0, \quad G = \dot{x}(v)^2 + \dot{z}(v)^2.$$

- **Geodesic Equations:** The geodesic equations for this surface are

$$\ddot{u} + \frac{2\dot{x}}{x} \dot{v} \dot{u} = 0,$$
$$\ddot{v} - \frac{x\dot{x}}{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{z}^2} \dot{u}^2 + \frac{\ddot{x}\dot{x} + \ddot{z}\dot{z}}{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{z}^2} \dot{v}^2 = 0.$$

- **Advantage:** Rotational symmetry yields conserved quantities simplifying the equations.

Meridians and Parallels

- **Meridians:**

- Defined by constant u ($\dot{u} = 0$); reduce to a linear equation in v .

- **Parallels:**

- Defined by constant v ; geodesic only if $x'(v_0) = 0$ (local extremum of $x(v)$).

- **Interpretation:** Illustrate how symmetry reduces the complexity of geodesic equations.

Examples: Sphere and Cylinder

Sphere:

- **Parametrization:**

$$\mathbf{r}(\theta, \phi) = \begin{bmatrix} R \cos \theta \sin \phi \\ R \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ R \cos \phi \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **Metric:**

$$ds^2 = R^2 \sin^2 \phi d\theta^2 + R^2 d\phi^2.$$

- **Geodesics:**

$$\ddot{\theta} - 2 \tan \theta \dot{\phi} \dot{\theta} = 0,$$

$$\ddot{\phi} - \cos \theta \sin \theta \dot{\theta}^2 = 0.$$

Great circles represent the shortest paths.

Cylinder: Cylinder:

- Parametrization:

$$\mathbf{r}(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} R \cos u \\ R \sin u \\ v \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Metric:

$$ds^2 = R^2 du^2 + dv^2.$$

- Geodesics:

$$\ddot{u} = 0, \quad \ddot{v} = 0.$$

$$u = at + b, \quad v = ct + d.$$

Helices along the cylinder's surface.

Ruled Surfaces

- **Definition:** Generated by moving a straight line (ruling) along a base curve.
- **Parametrization:**

$$\mathbf{r}(u, v) = \gamma(u) + v \mathbf{d}(u),$$

where:

- $\gamma(u)$ is the base curve.
- $\mathbf{d}(u)$ is the direction vector.
- **Analysis:** Lacks full rotational symmetry, making the geodesic analysis more complex.
- **Future Work:** Focus on exploiting partial symmetries to simplify the analysis.

Symmetries and Isometries

- **Symmetries:**

- Provide conserved quantities (e.g., Clairaut's relation).
- Lower the order of geodesic differential equations.
- Focus on Lie groups and algebras and their actions.
- $SO(3)$ for rotational symmetry, $SE(3)$ for translational symmetry.

- **Isometries:**

- Transformations that preserve distances.
- Enable mapping of complex problems to simpler, equivalent ones.

- **Outcome:** Both are key to understanding and simplifying geodesic behavior.

Challenges and Open Questions

- **Analytical Complexity:** How can we further simplify the geodesic equations on less symmetric surfaces?
- **Numerical Approaches:** What are the most effective numerical methods to simulate geodesics on complex surfaces?
- **Extension to Higher Dimensions:** Can these techniques be generalized to manifolds beyond \mathbb{R}^3 ?
- **Interdisciplinary Applications:** How can the study of geodesics impact fields such as computer graphics and physical modeling?

References

- Rigatti, Olivia Grace. *Characterizing Geodesics on Surfaces of Revolution*. Whitman College, 2023.
:contentReference[oaicite:0]index=0
- Ramirez, Steven John. *Geodesics of Ruled Surfaces*. California State University, San Bernardino, 2001.
:contentReference[oaicite:1]index=1
- *Supplemental Lecture 4: Surfaces and Euclidean, Spherical and Hyperbolic Geometry*. :contentReference[oaicite:2]index=2
- Oprea, John. *Differential Geometry and Its Applications* (2nd ed.). The Mathematical Association of America, 2007.
:contentReference[oaicite:3]index=3

Thank you!

Any Questions?