Statistical Inference Assignment 6

Junhao Yuan (20307130129)

November 3, 2022

Problem 1.

Suppose $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} B(p)$.

- (a) Show that the variance of the MLE of p attains the Cramer-Rao lower bound.
- (b) For $n \ge 4$, show that the product $X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4$ is an unbiased estimator of p^4 , and use this fact to find the best unbiased estimator of p^4 .

SOLUTION.

(a) It's easy to compute that the MLE of p is $\hat{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i/n$ which is unbiased, and

$$\mathbb{V}(\hat{p}) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}.$$

The fisher information of the Bernoulli distribution is

$$I(p) = -\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}log(p^x(1-p)^{1-x})\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{x}{p^2} + \frac{1-x}{(1-p)^2}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{p(1-p)}.$$

Therefore, the C-R lower bound is

$$\frac{1}{nI(p)} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n},$$

which is equal to the variance of \hat{p} .

(b) Since X_1, X_2, X_3 and X_4 are independent and identically distributed,

$$\mathbb{E}(X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4) = \prod_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{E}(X_i) = p^4.$$

Thus, $X_1X_2X_3X_4$ is an unbiased estimator of p^4 .

We have already known that $T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \sim B(n, p)$ is a complete sufficient statistics. Therefore,

$$\phi(T) = \mathbb{E}\left(X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 | T\right)$$

is the best unbiased estimator of p^4 . Here,

$$\phi(t) = \mathbb{E}\left(X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 \middle| T = t\right)$$

$$= P(X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 \middle| T = t)$$

$$= \frac{P(X_1 = X_2 = X_3 = X_4 = 1, \sum_{i=5}^n X_i = t - 4)}{P(T = t)}$$

$$= \frac{p^4 \cdot \binom{n-4}{t-4} p^{t-4} (1-p)^{n-t}}{\binom{n}{t} p^t (1-p)^{n-t}}$$

$$= \frac{\binom{n-4}{t-4}}{\binom{n}{t}}$$
(1)

when $n \ge 5$. When n = 4, $\mathbb{E}(X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 | T) = 1$ and (1) also equals to zero. Hence, the best unbiased estimator of p^4 is

$$\phi(T) = \frac{\binom{n-4}{T-4}}{\binom{n}{T}},$$

where $T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$.

Problem 2.

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P(\lambda)$, and let \bar{X} and S^2 denote the sample mean and variance respectively.

- (a) Prove that \bar{X} is the best unbiased estimator of λ without using the Cramer-Rao theorem.
- (b) Prove that $\mathbb{E}(S^2|\bar{X}) = \bar{X}$ and use it to show that $\mathbb{V}(S^2) > \mathbb{V}(\bar{X})$.

SOLUTION.

Since

(a) We can write the joint pmf of the samples as

$$f(X|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i!} e^{\log(\lambda) \sum X_i}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-ne^{\mu}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_i} e^{\mu \sum X_i},$$

where $\mu = log(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$. Since there exists an open set in the natural parameter space of μ , $T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistics, which means any estimators based on T is the best unbiased estimator of its expected value. Since $\bar{X} = T/n$ and $\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}) = \lambda$, we can conclude that \bar{X} is the unique best unbiased estimator of λ .

(b) It's easy to verify that \bar{X} is also a complete sufficient statistics. Since S^2 is an unbiased estimator of λ , $\phi(\bar{X}) = \mathbb{E}(S^2|\bar{X})$ is also the best unbiased estimator of λ . However, the best unbiased estimator of λ is unique, which means $\mathbb{E}(S^2|\bar{X}) = \bar{X}$.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{V}(S^2) &= \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{E}(S^2|\bar{X})) + \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V}(S^2|\bar{X})) \\ &= \mathbb{V}(\bar{X}) + \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V}(S^2|\bar{X})), \end{split}$$

if $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V}(S^2|\bar{X})) > 0$, we can prove that $\mathbb{V}(S^2) > \mathbb{V}(\bar{X})$. Now let's prove it.

If $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V}(S^2|\bar{X})) = 0$, $\mathbb{V}(S^2|\bar{X})$ is an unbiased estimator of 0. But $\mathbb{V}(S^2|\bar{X})$ is a function based on \bar{X} , a complete sufficient statistics, which means $\mathbb{V}(S^2|\bar{X}) = 0$ with probability 1. This implies that given \bar{X} , S^2 is constant, which is not possible. Thus, we can conclude that $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V}(S^2|\bar{X})) > 0$.

Problem 3.

Suppose $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} B(p)$. Find the UMVUE of p(1-p). Make sure to prove that the estimator is indeed a UMVUE of p(1-p).

SOLUTION.

Define $\phi(X) = \mathbb{I}(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0)$, then we have $\mathbb{E}(\phi(X)) = p(1-p)$, which means $\phi(X)$ is an unbiased estimator of p(1-p). Note that $T = \sum_{I=1}^{n} X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistics. Therefore, $g(T) = \mathbb{E}(\phi(X)|T)$ is also an unbiased estimator of p(1-p), and by Lehmann-Scheff theorem, is the unique best unbiased estimator. And

$$\begin{split} g(t) &= P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0 | T = t) \\ &= \frac{P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0, \sum_{i=3}^n X_i = t - 2)}{P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t)} \\ &= \frac{p(1-p) \cdot \binom{n-2}{t-1} p^{t-1} (1-p)^{n-t-1}}{\binom{n}{t} p^t (1-p)^{n-t}} \\ &= \frac{\binom{n-2}{t-1}}{\binom{n}{t}}. \end{split}$$

Problem 4.

Prove the following statement:

Let T be a complete sufficient statistics for θ and let $\phi(T)$ be any estimator based on T. Then $\phi(T)$ is the unique unbiased estimator of its expected value.

SOLUTION.

By Rao-BlackWell, if we want to find the best unbiased estimator, we need only consider unbiased estimators based on T. Since T is complete, for any estimators of 0 based on T, which satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(g(T)) = 0,$$

we have g(T) = 0 with probability 1. Hence, there is no unbiased estimator of 0 except 0 itself, which means $\phi(T)$ is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of 0. Therefore, $\phi(T)$ is the best unbiased estimator of its expected value, and furthermore, it is unique.