Nipun Batra

July 21, 2025

IIT Gandhinagar

Used for constrained optimization of the form

Minimize f(x), where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$  such that

$$h_i(x) = 0$$
,  $\forall i = 1, ..., m$  (m equalities)  $g_j(x) \leq 0$ ,  $\forall j = 1, ..., n$  (n inequalities)

1

• Create a new function for minimization,

$$L(x,\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_m,\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n)=f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^m\lambda_ih_i(x)+\sum_{j=1}^n\mu_jg_j(x)$$


where,

 $\lambda_1 - \lambda_m$  are multipliers for the m equalities  $\mu_1 - \mu_n$  are multiplices for the n inequalities

• Minimize  $L(x, \lambda, \mu)$  w.rt.  $x \implies \nabla_x L(x, \lambda, \mu) = 0$ Gives k equations


• Minimize  $L(x, \lambda, \mu)$  w.rt.  $\lambda \implies \nabla_{\lambda} L(x, \lambda, \mu) = 0$ Gives m equations

In both cases, 
$$\mu_i g_i(x^*) = 0$$




In both cases, 
$$\mu_i g_i(x^*) = 0$$

5



In both cases, 
$$\mu_i g_i(x^*) = 0$$

# Constraint on $\mu_i$ 's



$$min_x L(x, \lambda, \mu) \implies \nabla_x f(x) + \nabla_x \mu_i g_i(x) = 0$$

$$\mu_i = \frac{\nabla_x f(x)}{\nabla_x \mu_i g_i(x)} = +ve$$

**Stationarity (For minimization)** 
$$\nabla_{x} f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{x} \lambda_{i} h_{i}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{x} \mu_{i} g_{i}(x) = 0$$

## Stationarity (For minimization)

$$\nabla_{x}f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{x}\lambda_{i}h_{i}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{x}\mu_{i}g_{i}(x) = 0$$

#### **Equality Constraints**

$$\nabla_{\lambda} f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{\lambda} \lambda_{i} h_{i}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\lambda} \mu_{i} g_{i}(x) = 0$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{\lambda} \lambda_{i} h_{i}(x) = 0$$

7

#### Stationarity (For minimization)

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \lambda_{i} h_{i}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mu_{i} g_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

#### **Equality Constraints**

$$\nabla_{\lambda} f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{\lambda} \lambda_{i} h_{i}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\lambda} \mu_{i} g_{i}(x) = 0$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{\lambda} \lambda_{i} h_{i}(x) = 0$$

## Inequality Constraints (Complementary Slackness)

$$\mu_i g_i(x) = 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$
  
 $\mu_i \ge 0$ 

Minimize 
$$x^2+y^2$$
 such that, 
$$x^2+y^2 \leq 5$$
 
$$x+2y=4$$
 
$$x,y \geq 0$$

$$f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$$

$$f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$$
  
 $h(x,y) = x + 2y - 4$ 

$$f(x,y) = x^{2} + y^{2}$$
  

$$h(x,y) = x + 2y - 4$$
  

$$g_{1}(x,y) = x^{2} + y^{2} - 5$$

$$f(x,y) = x^{2} + y^{2}$$

$$h(x,y) = x + 2y - 4$$

$$g_{1}(x,y) = x^{2} + y^{2} - 5$$

$$g_{2}(x,y) = -x$$

$$f(x,y) = x^{2} + y^{2}$$

$$h(x,y) = x + 2y - 4$$

$$g_{1}(x,y) = x^{2} + y^{2} - 5$$

$$g_{2}(x,y) = -x$$

$$g_{3}(x,y) = -y$$

$$f(x,y) = x^{2} + y^{2}$$

$$h(x,y) = x + 2y - 4$$

$$g_{1}(x,y) = x^{2} + y^{2} - 5$$

$$g_{2}(x,y) = -x$$

$$g_{3}(x,y) = -y$$

$$L(x, y, \lambda, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = x^2 + y^2 + \lambda(x + 2y - 4) + \mu_1(x^2 + y^2 - 5) + \mu_2(-x) + \mu_3(-y)$$

#### **Stationarity**

$$\nabla_{x}L(x,y,\lambda,\mu_{1},\mu_{2},\mu_{3}) = 0$$

$$\implies 2x + \lambda + 2\mu_{1}x - \mu_{2} = 0 \dots (1)$$

$$\nabla_y L(x, y, \lambda, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = 0$$
  
 $\implies 2y + 2\lambda + 2\mu_1 y - \mu_3 = 0 \dots (2)$ 

#### **Stationarity**

$$\nabla_{x}L(x,y,\lambda,\mu_{1},\mu_{2},\mu_{3}) = 0$$
  

$$\implies 2x + \lambda + 2\mu_{1}x - \mu_{2} = 0 \dots (1)$$

$$\nabla_{y}L(x,y,\lambda,\mu_{1},\mu_{2},\mu_{3}) = 0$$
  

$$\implies 2y + 2\lambda + 2\mu_{1}y - \mu_{3} = 0 \dots (2)$$

#### **Equality Constraint**

$$x + 2y = 4 \dots (3)$$

#### **Stationarity**

$$\nabla_{x}L(x,y,\lambda,\mu_{1},\mu_{2},\mu_{3}) = 0$$
  

$$\implies 2x + \lambda + 2\mu_{1}x - \mu_{2} = 0 \dots (1)$$

$$\nabla_y L(x, y, \lambda, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = 0$$
  
 $\implies 2y + 2\lambda + 2\mu_1 y - \mu_3 = 0 \dots (2)$ 

#### **Equality Constraint**

$$x + 2y = 4 \dots (3)$$

#### **Slackness**

$$\mu_1(x^2 + y^2 - 5) = 0 \dots (4)$$
 $\mu_2 x = 0 \dots (5)$ 
 $\mu_3 y = 0 \dots (6)$ 

```
From (6), \mu_3=0 or y=0 But if, y=0, then x=4 according to (3) . This violates (1). Hence, y\neq 0 and \mu_3=0
```

```
From (6), \mu_3=0 or y=0
But if, y=0, then x=4 according to (3) . This violates (1). Hence, y\neq 0 and \mu_3=0
```

From (5), 
$$\mu_1 = 0$$
 or  $x = 0$   
If  $x = 0$ ,  $y = 2$ , which implies  $x^2 + y^2 = 4 (\le 5)$   
Since  $(x,y) = (0,2)$  gives smaller  $x^2 + y^2$  terms than 5,  
Using (4),  $\mu_1 = 0$ 

```
From (6), \mu_3=0 or y=0 But if, y=0, then x=4 according to (3) . This violates (1). Hence, y\neq 0 and \mu_3=0
```

From (5), 
$$\mu_1 = 0$$
 or  $x = 0$   
If  $x = 0$ ,  $y = 2$ , which implies  $x^2 + y^2 = 4 (\le 5)$   
Since  $(x,y) = (0,2)$  gives smaller  $x^2 + y^2$  terms than 5,  
Using (4),  $\mu_1 = 0$ 

On further solving we get, x = 0.8

$$y = 1.6$$