1

AI1103-Assignment 5

Name: Umesh Kalvakuntla Roll No.: CS20BTECH11024

Download latex-tikz codes from

https://github.com/Umesh-k26/AI-1103/blob/main /Assignment5/assignment5.tex

CSIR UGC NET EXAM (June 2012), Q.52

QUESTION

Suppose *X* and *Y* are independent random variables where Y is symmetric about 0. Let U = X + Y and V = X - Y. Then

- 1) U and V are always independent.
- 2) U and V have the same distribution.
- 3) U is always symmetric about 0.
- 4) V is always symmetric about 0.

Solution

Lemma 0.1. If a random variable X has a symmetrical distribution about the origin, i.e., f(-x) = f(x), then $\phi_X(t)$ is even function of t.

Proof.

$$\phi_X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} f(x) dx \qquad (0.0.1)$$

Put x = -y

$$\phi_X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ity} f(-y) \, dy \qquad (0.0.2)$$

$$\phi_X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ity} f(y) \, dy \qquad (0.0.3)$$

$$\phi_X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ity} f(y) \, dy \qquad (0.0.3)$$

$$\phi_X(t) = \phi_X(-t) \tag{0.0.4}$$

Lemma 0.2. If X_1 and X_2 are independent random *variables, then* $\phi_{X_1+X_2}(t) = \phi_{X_1}(t) \phi_{X_2}(t)$.

Proof.

$$\phi_{X_1+X_2}(t) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{it(X_1+X_2)}\right)$$
 (0.0.5)
= $\mathbb{E}\left(e^{itX_1+itX_2}\right)$ (0.0.6)
= $\mathbb{E}\left(e^{itX_1}e^{itX_2}\right)$ (0.0.7)

$$= \mathbb{E}\left(e^{itX_1 + itX_2}\right) \tag{0.0.6}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left(e^{itX_1}e^{itX_2}\right) \tag{0.0.7}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left(e^{itX_1}\right)\mathbb{E}\left(e^{itX_2}\right) \tag{0.0.8}$$

$$\phi_{X_1+X_2}(t) = \phi_{X_1}(t)\phi_{X_2}(t) \tag{0.0.9}$$

Since Y is symmetric about 0, from Lemma 0.1 $\phi_Y(t)$ is an even function.

$$\phi_Y(-t) = \phi_Y(t)$$
 (0.0.10)

Since X and Y are independent random variables, from **Lemma 0.2**,

$$\phi_{Y+Y}(t) = \phi_Y(t) \,\phi_Y(t) \tag{0.0.11}$$

$$\phi_{X-Y}(t) = \phi_X(t) \,\phi_Y(-t) \tag{0.0.12}$$

$$\phi_{X-Y}(t) = \phi_X(t) \phi_Y(t)$$
 (from(0.0.10)) (0.0.13)

Let U = X + Y and V = X - Y.

$$\phi_U(t) = \phi_X(t) \, \phi_Y(t)$$
 (0.0.14)

$$\phi_V(t) = \phi_X(t) \, \phi_Y(t)$$
 (0.0.15)

$$\phi_U(t)\,\phi_V(t) = \phi_X^2(t)\,\phi_Y^2(t) \tag{0.0.16}$$

$$\phi_{U+V}(t) = \phi_{2X}(t) = \phi_X(2t) \tag{0.0.17}$$

Examining each option:

1) If U and V are independent, then

$$\phi_{U+V}(t) = \phi_U(t) \, \phi_V(t)$$

But from (0.0.16) and (0.0.17),

$$\phi_{U+V}(t) \neq \phi_U(t) \phi_V(t)$$
 (0.0.18)

Hence, Option 1 is incorrect.

2) From (0.0.14) and (0.0.15),

$$\phi_U(t) = \phi_V(t)$$

 $\implies U$ and V have same distribution. Hence, **Option 2 is correct.**

3)

$$\phi_U(-t) = \phi_X(-t)\,\phi_Y(-t) \tag{0.0.19}$$

$$\phi_U(-t) = \phi_X(-t) \,\phi_Y(t) \tag{0.0.20}$$

 $\implies \phi_U(-t) \neq \phi_U(t)$

 $\implies U$ is not symmetric about 0.

Hence, Option 3 is incorrect.

4) Since *U* and *V* have the same distribution, *V* is also not symmetric about 0.

Hence, Option 4 is incorrect.