

# Today: EECS 489 in one day


- Today: A whirlwind tour through how networking and the Internet work
- Thursday: we'll start learning how to attack it!
- If you want to *really* learn this, take EECS 489
  - Today's lecture just skims the surface

# Organization of air travel



❖ a series of steps

# Layering of airline functionality



**layers:** each layer implements a service


- via its own internal-layer actions
- relying on services provided by layer below

# Why layering?


dealing with complex systems:

- ❖ explicit structure allows identification, relationship of complex system's pieces
  - layered *reference model* for discussion
- ❖ modularization eases maintenance, updating of system
  - change of implementation of layer's service transparent to rest of system
  - e.g., change in gate procedure doesn't affect rest of system
- ❖ layering considered harmful?


# Internet Packet Encapsulation



# Encapsulation



# Layering of Protocols



# What a Protocol Defines

**types of messages exchanged**

e.g., request, response

**message syntax**

what fields in messages & how fields are delineated

**message semantics**

meaning of information in fields

**rules**

for when and how processes send/respond to messages

## Types of Protocols

**open protocols:**

defined in Internet RFCs  
allow for interoperability  
e.g., HTTP, SMTP

**proprietary protocols:**

e.g., Skype



# HTTP request message

- ❖ two types of HTTP messages: *request, response*
- ❖ **HTTP request message:**
  - ASCII (human-readable format)

request line  
(GET, POST,  
HEAD commands)

header  
lines

carriage return,  
line feed at start  
of line indicates  
end of header lines

```
GET /index.html HTTP/1.1\r\n
Host: www-net.cs.umass.edu\r\n
User-Agent: Firefox/3.6.10\r\n
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml\r\n
Accept-Language: en-us,en;q=0.5\r\n
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate\r\n
Accept-Charset: ISO-8859-1,utf-8;q=0.7\r\n
Keep-Alive: 115\r\n
Connection: keep-alive\r\n
\r\n
```

carriage return character  
line-feed character

# HTTP response message


status line  
(protocol  
status code  
status phrase)

header  
lines

data, e.g.,  
requested  
HTML file

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK\r\n
Date: Sun, 26 Sep 2010 20:09:20 GMT\r\n
Server: Apache/2.0.52 (CentOS)\r\n
Last-Modified: Tue, 30 Oct 2007 17:00:02 GMT\r\n
ETag: "17dc6-a5c-bf716880"\r\n
Accept-Ranges: bytes\r\n
Content-Length: 2652\r\n
Keep-Alive: timeout=10, max=100\r\n
Connection: Keep-Alive\r\n
Content-Type: text/html; charset=ISO-8859-1\r\n
\r\n
data data data data data ...
```

# HTTP request message: general format



# HTTP response status codes

☆ status code appears in 1st line in server-to-client response message.

☆ some sample codes:

## **200 OK**

- request succeeded, requested object later in this msg

## **301 Moved Permanently**

- requested object moved, new location specified later in this msg  
(Location:)

## **400 Bad Request**

- request msg not understood by server

## **404 Not Found**

- requested document not found on this server

## **505 HTTP Version Not Supported**

# DNS: domain name system

*people:* many identifiers:

- SSN, name, passport #

*Internet hosts, routers:*

- IP address (numeric) - used for addressing datagrams
- “name” (symbolic), e.g., `www.yahoo.com` - used by humans

*Q:* how to map between IP address and name, and vice versa ?

## *Domain Name System:*

- ❖ *distributed database*  
implemented in hierarchy of many *name servers*
- ❖ *application-layer protocol:* hosts, name servers communicate to *resolve* names (address/name translation)
  - note: core Internet function, implemented as application-layer protocol
  - complexity at network’s “edge”

# DNS: services, structure

## *DNS services*

- ❖ hostname to IP address translation
- ❖ host aliasing
  - canonical and alias names
- ❖ mail server aliasing
- ❖ load distribution
  - replicated Web servers:  
many IP addresses  
correspond to one name

## *why not centralize DNS?*


- ❖ single point of failure
- ❖ traffic volume
- ❖ distant centralized database
- ❖ maintenance

*A: doesn't scale!*

# Name Resolution

- Zone: collection of connected nodes with the same authoritative DNS server

Resolution method when answer not in cache:



# What transport service does an app need?

## data integrity

- ☆ some apps (e.g., file transfer, web transactions) require 100% reliable data transfer
- ☆ other apps (e.g., audio) can tolerate some loss

## timing

- ☆ some apps (e.g., Internet telephony, interactive games) require low delay to be “effective”

## throughput

- ☆ some apps (e.g., multimedia) require minimum amount of throughput to be “effective”
- ☆ other apps (“elastic apps”) make use of whatever throughput they get

## security

- ☆ encryption, data integrity, ...



# Internet transport protocols services

## TCP service:

- ❖ *reliable transport* between sending and receiving process
- ❖ *flow control*: sender won't overwhelm receiver
- ❖ *congestion control*: throttle sender when network overloaded
- ❖ *does not provide*: timing, minimum throughput guarantee, security
- ❖ *connection-oriented*: handshake required between client and server processes


## UDP service:

- ❖ *unreliable data transfer* between sending and receiving process
- ❖ *does not provide*: reliability, flow control, congestion control, timing, throughput guarantee, security, or connection setup,

Q: why bother? Why is there a UDP?

# Transport services and protocols

- ❖ provide *logical communication* between app processes running on different hosts
- ❖ transport protocols run in end systems
  - send side: breaks app messages into *segments*, passes to network layer
  - rcv side: reassembles segments into messages, passes to app layer
- ❖ more than one transport protocol available to apps
  - Internet: TCP and UDP




# Multiplexing/demultiplexing

## *multiplexing at sender:*

handle data from multiple sockets, add transport header (later used for demultiplexing)


## *demultiplexing at receiver:*

use header info to deliver received segments to correct socket



# How demultiplexing works

- ❖ host receives IP datagrams
  - each datagram has source IP address, destination IP address
  - each datagram carries one transport-layer segment
  - each segment has source, destination port number
- ❖ host uses *IP addresses & port numbers* to direct segment to appropriate socket




TCP/UDP segment format

# Connection-oriented demux


- ❖ TCP socket identified by 4-tuple:
  - source IP address
  - source port number
  - dest IP address
  - dest port number
- ❖ demux: receiver uses all four values to direct segment to appropriate socket
- ❖ server host may support many simultaneous TCP sockets:
  - each socket identified by its own 4-tuple
- ❖ web servers have different sockets for each connecting client
  - non-persistent HTTP will have different socket for each request

# Connection-oriented demux: example



three segments, all destined to IP address: B,  
dest port: 80 are demultiplexed to *different* sockets


# Connection-oriented demux: example




# Connection Management

before exchanging data, sender/receiver “handshake”:

- ❖ agree to establish connection (each knowing the other willing to establish connection)
- ❖ agree on connection parameters



```
Socket clientSocket =  
    newSocket("hostname", "port  
    number");
```




```
Socket connectionSocket =  
    welcomeSocket.accept();
```




# Agreeing to establish a connection

2-way handshake:





- Q: will 2-way handshake always work in network?
- ❖ variable delays
  - ❖ retransmitted messages (e.g. `req_conn(x)`) due to message loss
  - ❖ message reordering
  - ❖ can't "see" other side




# Agreeing to establish a connection

2-way handshake failure scenarios:



# TCP 3-way handshake




# TCP flow control

application may  
remove data from  
TCP socket buffers ....

... slower than TCP  
receiver is delivering  
(sender is sending)


## *flow control*

receiver controls sender, so  
sender won't overflow receiver's  
buffer by transmitting too much,  
too fast



# Network layer

- ❖ transport segment from sending to receiving host
- ❖ on sending side encapsulates segments into datagrams
- ❖ on receiving side, delivers segments to transport layer
- ❖ network layer protocols in *every* host, router
- ❖ router examines header fields in all IP datagrams passing through it




# Internet Protocol

- Connectionless
  - Each packet is transported independently from other packets
- Unreliable
  - Delivery on a best effort basis
  - No acknowledgments
  - Packets may be lost, reordered, corrupted, or duplicated
- IP packets
  - Encapsulate TCP and UDP packets
  - Encapsulated into link-layer frames



# IP Packet Format



## *how much overhead?*

- ❖ 20 bytes of TCP
- ❖ 20 bytes of IP
- ❖ = 40 bytes + app layer overhead

# IP Addressing

- IP address used to route datagrams through network.
- IPv4 32 bit address, IPv6 128 bit address.
- Divided into two parts: network and host.
- Network part: Used to route packets. (Street name)
- Host part: Used to identify an individual host. (House number)
- Usually represented in dotted decimal notation:  
141.212.112.111
- Each number represents 8 bits: 0–255.




# Two key network-layer functions

- ❖ *forwarding*: move packets from router's input to appropriate router output
- ❖ *routing*: determine route taken by packets from source to dest.
  - *routing algorithms*


## *analogy:*

- ❖ *routing*: process of planning trip from source to dest
- ❖ *forwarding*: process of getting through single intersection

# Interplay between routing and forwarding



# Datagram forwarding table



# Datagram forwarding table

Destination Address Range	Link Interface
11001000 00010111 00010000 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00010111 11111111	0
11001000 00010111 00011000 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00011000 11111111	1
11001000 00010111 00011001 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00011111 11111111	2
otherwise	3

Q: but what happens if ranges don't divide up so nicely?

# Longest prefix matching

## *longest prefix matching*

when looking for forwarding table entry for given destination address, use *longest* address prefix that matches destination address.

Destination Address Range	Link interface
11001000 00010111 00010*** *****	0
11001000 00010111 00011000 *****	1
11001000 00010111 00011*** *****	2
otherwise	3

examples:

DA: 11001000 00010111 00010110 10100001


which interface?

DA: 11001000 00010111 00011000 10101010


which interface?

# The Internet network layer

host, router network layer functions:



# IP datagram format




## how much overhead?

- ❖ 20 bytes of TCP
- ❖ 20 bytes of IP
- ❖ = 40 bytes + app layer overhead

# IP fragmentation, reassembly

- ❖ network links have MTU (max.transfer size) - largest possible link-level frame
  - different link types, different MTUs
- ❖ large IP datagram divided (“fragmented”) within net
  - one datagram becomes several datagrams
  - “reassembled” only at final destination
  - IP header bits used to identify, order related fragments





# IP fragmentation, reassembly

## *example:*

- ❖ 4000 byte datagram
- ❖ MTU = 1500 bytes

	length =4000	ID =x	fragflag =0	offset =0	
--	-----------------	----------	----------------	--------------	--

*one large datagram becomes  
several smaller datagrams*

1480 bytes in  
data field


offset =  
 $1480/8$

	length =1500	ID =x	fragflag =1	offset =0	
--	-----------------	----------	----------------	--------------	--

	length =1500	ID =x	fragflag =1	offset =185	
--	-----------------	----------	----------------	----------------	--


	length =1040	ID =x	fragflag =0	offset =370	
--	-----------------	----------	----------------	----------------	--

# Autonomous Systems (AS)



- The Internet is a collection of autonomous systems.
- AS: A set of routers and networks under the same administrative control.
- Inter-domain vs. intra-domain routing.

# Global marine fiber (2017)



[www.submarinecablemap.com](http://www.submarinecablemap.com)

# Hierarchical routing

our routing study thus far - idealization

- ❖ all routers identical
  - ❖ network “flat”
- ... *not* true in practice

*scale:* with 600 million destinations:

- ❖ can't store all dest's in routing tables!
- ❖ routing table exchange would swamp links!

*administrative autonomy*

- ❖ internet = network of networks
- ❖ each network admin may want to control routing in its own network


# Hierarchical routing

- ❖ aggregate routers into regions, “autonomous systems” (AS)
- ❖ routers in same AS run same routing protocol
  - “intra-AS” routing protocol
  - routers in different AS can run different intra-AS routing protocol

## *gateway router:*

- ❖ at “edge” of its own AS
- ❖ has link to router in another AS

# Interconnected ASes



- ❖ forwarding table configured by both intra- and inter-AS routing algorithm
  - intra-AS sets entries for internal dests
  - inter-AS & intra-AS sets entries for external dests


# Inter-AS tasks

- ❖ suppose router in AS1 receives datagram destined outside of AS1:
  - router should forward packet to gateway router, but which one?

*AS1 must:*


1. learn which destds are reachable through AS2, which through AS3
2. propagate this reachability info to all routers in AS1

*job of inter-AS routing!*



# BGP basics

- ❖ **BGP session:** two BGP routers (“peers”) exchange BGP messages:
  - advertising *paths* to different destination network prefixes (“path vector” protocol)
  - exchanged over semi-permanent TCP connections
- ❖ when AS3 advertises a prefix to AS1:
  - AS3 *promises* it will forward datagrams towards that prefix
  - AS3 can aggregate prefixes in its advertisement






# Link layer: introduction

## *terminology:*

- ❖ hosts and routers: **nodes**
- ❖ communication channels that connect adjacent nodes along communication path: **links**
  - wired links
  - wireless links
  - LANs
- ❖ layer-2 packet: **frame**, encapsulates datagram

**data-link layer** has responsibility of transferring datagram from one node to **physically adjacent** node over a link



# Link layer: context


- ❖ unit of data transferred by different link protocols over different links:
    - e.g., Ethernet on first link, frame relay on intermediate links, 802.11 on last link
  - ❖ each link protocol provides different services
    - e.g., may or may not provide rdt over link
- transportation analogy:*
- ❖ trip from Princeton to Lausanne
    - limo: Princeton to JFK
    - plane: JFK to Geneva
    - train: Geneva to Lausanne
  - ❖ tourist = **datagram**
  - ❖ transport segment = **communication link**
  - ❖ transportation mode = **link layer protocol**
  - ❖ travel agent = **routing algorithm**

# MAC Addresses

- Most network interfaces come with a predefined MAC address
- A MAC address is a 48-bit number usually represented in hex
  - E.g., 00-1A-92-D4-BF-86
- The first three octets of any MAC address are IEEE-assigned Organizationally Unique Identifiers
  - E.g., Cisco 00-1A-A1, D-Link 00-1B-11, ASUSTek 00-1A-92
- The next three can be assigned by organizations as they please, with uniqueness being the only constraint
- Organizations can utilize MAC addresses to identify computers on their network
- MAC address can be reconfigured by network interface driver software

# Switch

- A **switch** is a common network device
  - Operates at the link layer
  - Has multiple ports, each connected to a computer
- Operation of a switch
  - Learn the MAC address of each computer connected to it
  - Forward frames only to the destination computer



# Link layer services

## ❖ *framing, link access:*

- encapsulate datagram into frame, adding header, trailer
- channel access if shared medium
- “MAC” addresses used in frame headers to identify source, dest
  - different from IP address!

## ❖ *reliable delivery between adjacent nodes*


- seldom used on low bit-error link (fiber, some twisted pair)
- wireless links: high error rates
  - *Q*: why both link-level and end-end reliability?

# Link layer services (more)


- ❖ *flow control:*
  - pacing between adjacent sending and receiving nodes
- ❖ *error detection:*
  - errors caused by signal attenuation, noise.
  - receiver detects presence of errors:
    - signals sender for retransmission or drops frame
- ❖ *error correction:*
  - receiver identifies *and corrects* bit error(s) without resorting to retransmission
- ❖ *half-duplex and full-duplex*
  - with half duplex, nodes at both ends of link can transmit, but not at same time

# Where is the link layer implemented?

- ❖ in each and every host
- ❖ link layer implemented in “adaptor” (aka *network interface card* NIC) or on a chip
  - Ethernet card, 802.11 card; Ethernet chipset
  - implements link, physical layer
- ❖ attaches into host’s system buses
- ❖ combination of hardware, software, firmware



# Adaptors communicating



- ❖ sending side:
  - encapsulates datagram in frame
  - adds error checking bits, reliability, flow control, etc.
- ❖ receiving side
  - looks for errors, reliability, flow control, etc
  - extracts datagram, passes to upper layer at receiving side




# Multiple access links, protocols

two types of “links”:


- ❖ point-to-point
  - PPP for ISP access
  - point-to-point link between Ethernet switch, host
- ❖ *broadcast (shared wire or medium)*
  - old-fashioned Ethernet
  - upstream HFC
  - 802.11 wireless LAN



shared wire (e.g.,  
cabled Ethernet)



shared RF  
(e.g., 802.11 WiFi)



shared RF  
(satellite)



humans at a  
cocktail party  
(shared air, acoustical)


# ARP protocol: same LAN

- ❖ A wants to send IP datagram to B
  - B's MAC address not in A's ARP table.
- ❖ A **broadcasts** ARP query packet, containing B's IP address
  - dest MAC address = FF-FF-FF-FF-FF-FF
  - all nodes on LAN receive ARP query
- ❖ B receives ARP packet, replies to A with its (B's) MAC address
  - frame sent to A's MAC address (unicast)
- ❖ A caches (saves) IP-to-MAC address pair in its ARP table until information becomes old (times out)
  - soft state: information that times out (goes away) unless refreshed
- ❖ ARP is “plug-and-play”:
  - nodes create their ARP tables *without intervention from net administrator*

# Ethernet

“dominant” wired LAN technology:


- ❖ cheap (~\$20 for NIC)
- ❖ first widely used LAN technology
- ❖ simpler, cheaper than token LANs and ATM
- ❖ kept up with speed race: 10 Mbps – 10 Gbps




Metcalfe's Ethernet sketch

# Ethernet: physical topology

- ❖ **bus**: popular through mid 90s
  - all nodes in same collision domain (packets can collide with each other)
- ❖ **star**: prevails today
  - active **switch** in center
  - each “spoke” runs a (separate) Ethernet protocol (nodes do not collide with each other)




**bus**: coaxial cable



# Ethernet frame structure

sending adapter encapsulates IP datagram (or other network layer protocol packet) in **Ethernet frame**



## *preamble:*

- ❖ 7 bytes with pattern 10101010 followed by one byte with pattern 10101011
- ❖ used to synchronize receiver, sender clock rates

# Ethernet frame structure (more)

- ❖ **addresses:** 6 byte source, destination MAC addresses
  - if adapter receives frame with matching destination address, or with broadcast address (e.g. ARP packet), it passes data in frame to network layer protocol
  - otherwise, adapter discards frame
- ❖ **type:** indicates higher layer protocol (mostly IP but others possible, e.g., Novell IPX, AppleTalk)
- ❖ **CRC:** cyclic redundancy check at receiver
  - error detected: frame is dropped



# Ethernet: unreliable, connectionless

- ❖ *connectionless*: no handshaking between sending and receiving NICs
- ❖ *unreliable*: receiving NIC doesn't send ACKs or NAKs to sending NIC
  - data in dropped frames recovered only if initial sender uses higher layer reliability functionality (e.g., TCP), otherwise dropped data lost
- ❖ Ethernet's MAC protocol: unslotted *CSMA/CD with binary backoff*