

# 1 Maschine Learning

Algorithm learns class of tasks, measured by loss function, from experience.

**supervised learning** learn  $h : \Delta^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ ,  $h = t$ ; example:  $(x, y) \in \Delta^* \times \Sigma^*$ ,  $t(x) = y$ .

**unsupervised learning** learn  $h : \Delta^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ ,  $\ker(h) = \ker(t)$ ; example:  $x \in \Delta^*$ .

**reinforcement learning** learn strategy based on feedback from environment.

## 2 Supervised Learning

- model function  $t : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$

-  $\text{supp}(t) = \{m \in \mathcal{M} \mid t(m) \neq 0\}$

-  $\bar{m} \in \text{supp}(t) \Leftrightarrow t(\bar{m}) = 1$

**Hypothesis** of A: potential result of A

**Hypothesis space**  $\mathcal{H}_A$  of A: set of all hypotheses

**h fits D** if  $h(x_i) = y_i$  for all  $(x_i, y_i) \in D$

**Version space**  $\mathcal{V}_A(D)$  of A: all hypotheses that fit D

**Inductive bias** of A: set of assumptions that A uses to predict outputs of unseen data

### 2.1 Conjunctive Clause

$\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k), \theta_i \in M_i \cup \{\star, \perp\}$

-  $\theta_\perp = (\perp, \dots, \perp)$  most specific

-  $\theta_\star = (\star, \dots, \star)$  most general

-  $\text{supp}(h_{\theta_\perp}) = \emptyset, \text{supp}(h_{\theta_\star}) = \mathcal{M}$

-  $h_{\theta_\perp} = h_{(\theta_1, \dots, \perp, \dots, \theta_k)}$ ...

induced hypothesis  $h_\theta(m_1, \dots, m_k) = 1$  if  $\forall i : \theta_i \in \{m_i, \star\}$  else 0

$h \preceq h'$  if  $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq \text{supp}(h')$ . h is more specific (less general) than  $h'$

**Find-S Algorithm** finds most specific conjunctive clause that fits D

1. Start with  $\theta_\perp = (\perp, \dots, \perp)$

2. iterate over POSITIVE examples

3. min-generalize  $\theta$  to fit example

4.  $\perp \rightarrow a, a \rightarrow \star$

- maximal general hypothesis:

1. start at  $\theta_\star = (\star, \dots, \star)$

2. exclude every negative example

3.  $(\star, \dots) \rightarrow \{(b, \dots), (c, \dots)\}$

- If  $\mathcal{V}_A(D) \neq \emptyset$ , Find-S finds  $h \in \mathcal{V}_A(D)$

**disjunctive normal form**  $\Theta = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m\}$

'finite set of conjunctive clauses'

induced hypothesis  $h_\Theta(\bar{m}) = 1$  if  $\exists \theta \in \Theta : h_\theta(\bar{m}) = 1$  else 0

-  $\text{supp}(\Theta) = \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} \text{supp}(\theta)$

- can represent all boolean functions

### Boundary sets of version space

maximally general hypotheses  $V_A^\top(D) = \{h \in \mathcal{V}_A(D) \mid \nexists h' \in \mathcal{V}_A(D) : h \prec h'\}$

maximally specific hypotheses  $V_A^\perp(D) = \{h \in \mathcal{V}_A(D) \mid \nexists h' \in \mathcal{V}_A(D) : h' \preceq h\}$

-  $h \in V_A^\top$  maximal, weil:  $\forall x \in M \setminus \text{supp}(h) : \text{supp}(h) \cup \{x\} \notin \text{supp}(V_A(D))$

Theorem:  $V_A(D) = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_A \mid \exists h_\top \in V_A^\top(D), \exists h_\perp \in V_A^\perp(D) : h_\perp \preceq h \preceq h_\top\}$

$\rightarrow V_A(D)$  det. by  $V_A^\top(D)$  and  $V_A^\perp(D)$

- only 1 lower bound (in  $V_A^\perp(D)$ ), potentially multiple upper bounds (in  $V_A^\top(D)$ )

### Candidate Elimination Algorithm

Output: DNF for  $V_A^\top(D)$  and  $V_A^\perp(D)$

1.  $S_\perp = \{\theta_\perp\}, S_\top = \{\theta_\star\}$

2. for  $1 \leq i \leq n : y_i = 1$  (pos. xmpls)

1. keep only fitting h from  $S_\top$

2.  $\forall \theta \in S_\perp : h_\theta(x_i) = 0$

- remove  $\theta$ , add all min generalizations  $\theta'$  of  $\theta$  that fit  $x_i$  to  $S_\perp$

3. keep only most specific h in  $S_\perp$

3. for  $1 \leq i \leq n : y_i = 0$  (neg. xmpls)

1. keep only fitting h from  $S_\perp$

2.  $\forall \theta \in S_\top : h_\theta(x_i) = 1$

- remove  $\theta$ , add all min specializations  $\theta'$  of  $\theta$  that fit  $x_i$  to  $S_\top$ , for which a more specific  $\theta_\perp \in S_\perp$  exists!

3. keep only most general h in  $S_\top$

-  $V_A^\top = \{h_\theta \mid \theta \in S_\top\}, V_A^\perp = \{h_\theta \mid \theta \in S_\perp\}$

- Concept indentified if:  $S_\perp = S_\top$  and  $|S_\top| = 1$ .  $V_A(D) = \emptyset$  if  $S_\perp = \emptyset \vee S_\top = \emptyset$

### 2.2 Decision Trees

**Splitting**  $\Pi = \{M_1, \dots, M_p\}$  is finite partition of (sub)feature Space  $\mathcal{M}'$

- induces splitting of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  into  $I_{D'}(M_1), \dots, I_{D'}(M_p)$  (sets of indices)

- monotonic splits: based on 1 feature

- simple split: monotonic, into all realizations  $M = \{\bar{m} \in M \mid m_1 = a, \dots\}$

- binary split: monotonic, into 2 sets  $M = \{\bar{m} \in M \mid m_1 \in A\} \cup \{\bar{m} \in M \mid m_1 \notin A\}$

- induced hypothesis  $h_T(\bar{m}) = T(v)$ , where  $v$  is unique leaf s.t.  $\bar{m} \in M_v$

- simple decision trees can represent all hypotheses

### Decision Tree Quality Measures

• Number of leaves

• Height (max number of constraints to check)

• External path length (sum of all path lengths from root to leaf)

- Weighted external path length (sum of all path lengths from root to leaf, weighted by number of examples classified in that leaf)

Theorem: Given D and bound b, its NP hard to decide existence of decision tree T s.t.  $h_T$  fits D and T has ext. p.l.  $\leq b$

- Majority Class  $\text{Maj}_D(M')$  maj.  $r \in R$

- Number of Misclassifications:  $Err_D(M', r)$  in feature subspace  $M'$  with majority class  $r$

-  $Err_D(T)$ : sum up all  $Err_D(M_v, T(v))$

**Pure Node** v if  $Err_D(M_v, T(v)) = 0$

- class distribution  $p^{M'}_r(r) : p(r)$  in  $M'$

- **Impurity Function**  $\iota : [0, 1]^R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  if

- $\iota(p)$  is minimal  $\forall p : p(r) = 1$
- $\iota$  symmetric in classes
- $\iota$  is maximal for uniform distr.

gets probability distribution as input

1.  $\bar{p}(r) = 1 - \max_{r \in R} p(r)$

2. Entropy  $H(p) = - \sum_{r \in R} p(r) \log_2 p(r)$

3. Gini Impurity  $G(p) = 1 - \sum_{r \in R} p(r)^2$

- Impurity of  $M'$  is  $\iota_D(M') = \iota(p^{M'}_D)$

**Impurity Reduction** of splitting

$\Pi = \{M'_1, \dots, M'_p\}$  of  $M'$  is:

$\iota_D(\Pi) = \iota_D(M') - \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{|\iota_D(M'_i)|}{|\iota_D(M')|} \iota_D(M'_i)$

**Tree Construction** for  $M' \subseteq M$

1. if no elements in  $M'$ : new leave  $v$ :  $T(v) = \text{Maj}_D(M)$

2. if  $\iota(M') \leq \epsilon$ : new leaf  $v$ :  $T(v) = \text{Maj}_D(M')$

3. else: select split  $\Pi$  of  $M'$  with maximal impurity reduction

- strict imp. fct.: concave at every point

-  $\iota_D(\Pi) \geq 0 \forall \Pi$  and strict imp. fct.  $\iota$

**ID3** simple D.T., monothetic simple splits, impurity function: entropy.

inductive bias: local optimization (greedy)

**CART** D.T., binary splits, impurity function: Gini impurity

- true loss of  $h \in \mathcal{H}_A$ : misclassifications:  $l^*(h) = \sum_{\bar{m} \in M} (1 - \delta_{h(\bar{m}), t(\bar{m})})$

- **h overfits** D if  $\exists h' \in \mathcal{H}_A :$

$l(h, D) < l(h', D)$  and  $l^*(h) > l^*(h')$

when: training data: noisy, small, biased

- Training Data: optimize loss here

- Validation: optimize hyperparameters

- Test Data: final estimation (true loss)

- **h overfits**  $(D, D_V)$  if  $\exists h' \in \mathcal{H}_A :$

$l(h, D) < l(h', D) \wedge l^*(h', D_V) < l^*(h, D_V)$

true loss of  $h$  estimated by  $l(h, D_V)$

Countermeasures to overfitting:

- increase data quality/quantity
- early stopping (no more splits)
- thrld large  $\rightarrow$  omits useful splits
- thrld small  $\rightarrow$  Large Tree
- regularization (penalize model complexity in training process)

**Pruning**: turn inner node  $v$  into leave with label  $\text{Maj}(M_v)$

### D.T. pruning Algorithm

1. given fully trained D.T.
2. prune every inner node als long as pruning doesnt increase validation loss:  $l(h'_T, D_V) \leq l(h_T, D_V)$

### 2.3 Linear Regression

$t : M \rightarrow R, M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k, R \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

- find  $w = (w_0, \dots, w_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  s.t.:

$h_w(x_1, \dots, x_k) = w_0 x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k w_i x_i$

approximates  $t \Leftrightarrow w$  minimizes  $l(h_w, D)$

- note:  $x_0 = 1$  always! ( $w_0$  is bias)

### Analytical Solution

1. Partial derivatives:  $\frac{\partial l(h_w, D)}{\partial w_i}$
2. Set to 0, put in values from  $D$
3. Solve LGS with Gauss for  $w_i$

### SGD (Iterative Solution)

1. initialize  $w$  randomly
2. choose random  $1 \leq i \leq n, T++$
3.  $\delta = y_i - h_w(x_i)$  (residual)
4.  $\Delta w = \delta \cdot x_i$  (derivatives)
5.  $w = w + \eta \cdot \Delta w$  (parameters)
6. If  $\neg$ converged  $\rightarrow 2$ , else return  $w$

- Pros: simple, robust to noisy data, representation independent

- Cons: stability, convergence problems, sensitive to learning rate  $\eta$

### BGD (accumulate derivatives $\forall i$ )

1. initialize  $w$  randomly
2. For each  $1 \leq i \leq n, T++$ 
  - 2.1.  $\delta = y_i - h_w(x_i)$
  - 2.2.  $\Delta w = \Delta w + \delta \cdot x_i$
3.  $w = w + \eta \cdot \Delta w$
4. If  $\neg$ converged  $\rightarrow 2$ , else return  $w$

- sequence of examples (batch) are processed together, before updating  $w$

### IGD

1. initialize  $w$  randomly
2. For each  $1 \leq i \leq n, T++$ 
  - 2.1.  $\delta = y_i - h_w(x_i)$
  - 2.2.  $\Delta w = \delta \cdot x_i$
  - 2.3.  $w = w + \eta \cdot \Delta w$
3. If  $\neg$ converged  $\rightarrow 2$ , else return  $w$

Property	SGD stochastic	IGD iterative	BGD batch	MBGD mini-batch
Batch size	1	1	n	varies
Batch selection	random	sequential	sequential	sequential
Parallelization	difficult	difficult	trivial	trivial
Space requirement	low	low	high	varies
Stuck local minimum	no	no	yes	varies
Convergence speed	slow	slow	fast	varies

## Polynomial Regression

Approach: 1. prepare nonlinear combinations of features as features (curse of dimensionality: max  $k$  features  $5k \leq n$ )

2. then perform linear regression on expanded feature space with SGD, BGD, IGD or analytical approach.

3. Project solution back to input (feature) space

- keep original features

- for  $m_i^3$  also include  $m_i^2$

- increase complexity  $\rightarrow$  increase risk of overfitting

### Regularization

'penalize model complexity in training process', optimize for  $l'(w, D)$ :

$l'(w, D) = l(h_w, D) + \frac{\lambda}{k} \cdot r(w)$

- Lasso Regression:  $r(w) = \sum_{i=1}^k |w_i|$

- Ridge Regression:  $r(w) = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i^2$

-  $\lambda$  big  $\rightarrow$  more regularization  $\rightarrow$  less complex model

-  $k$ : num of features (excluding bias  $w_0$ )

**Develop** (S,B,I)GD for **specific loss function**  $l(x)$ :

1. get 1st derivative  $l'(x)$  of loss:

for 1 Data example:  $n = 1$ , leave out  $\sum$

2. find  $-\delta = h_w(x_i) - y_i$  in 1st derivative

3. replace line 4 (derivatives) with:

$\Delta w = l'(x)$  but substitute  $\delta$  (! - !)

### Logistic Regression (Classification)

'find optimal hyperplane  $w'$  by optimization, to get  $h_w$ , to get classifier:

$h_w^c(z) = 1$  if  $h_w(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , 0 otherwise

- 'discriminative' classifier

- only reasonable for binary  $R = \{0, 1\}$  ( $|R| > 2$  induces order bias)

- Training: optimizes  $w$  for  $l(h_w, D)$

- Prediction: performed by  $h_w^c$  (different)

- Discriminating Hyperplane 1 Dimension

> logistic function:  $h_w^\sigma(z) = \sigma(h_w(z))$

> logistic classifier:  $h_w^{c,\sigma}(z) = 1$  if  $h_w^\sigma(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , 0 otherwise

- 'generative' classifier

-  $h_w^\sigma(z)$  gives prop, that  $z$  is class 1

> MLE Maximum Likelihood Estimator given  $H_A$  for  $D$  is:  $\hat{h} = \arg \max_{h \in H_A} P[D; h]$

## 2.4 Support Vector Machines

## loss functions (and derivatives)

- $l(h, D) = \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \delta_{y_i, h(x_i)})$
- $\delta_{ij} = 1$  if  $i = j$ , 0 otherwise.
- $l(h, D) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n (h(x_i) - y_i)^2$  (Mean Squared Error)  

$$\frac{\partial l(h, D)}{\partial w_p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (h(x_i) - y_i)x_p = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (w_0 + w_1x_{i1} + \dots + w_px_{ip} - y_i)x_p$$
- $l'(w, D) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (h(x_i) - y_i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k w_i^2$  (Ridge Regression)  

$$\frac{\partial l'(w, D)}{\partial w_p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (h(x_i) - y_i)x_p + 2\lambda w_p, w_p \in \{0, w_1, \dots, w_k\}$$
 WARUM NICHT:  $\dots + \frac{2\lambda}{k} w_p ?! ?! ?! ?! ?!$
- $\ell_\sigma(h, D) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \cdot \log(h(x_i)) + (1 - y_i) \cdot \log(1 - h(x_i)))$  (Logistic Loss)  

$$\frac{\partial \ell_\sigma(h_w^\sigma, D)}{\partial w_p} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - h_w^\sigma(x_i)) \cdot x_{ip}$$

## Ableitungsregeln

- Produktregel:  $(f \cdot g)(x)' = f'(x) \cdot g(x) + f(x) \cdot g'(x)$
- Quotientenregel:  $\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(x) = \frac{f'(x)g(x) - f(x)g'(x)}{g^2(x)}$
- Kettenregel:  $(f \circ g)'(x) = (f' \circ g)(x) \cdot g'(x) = f'(g(x)) \cdot g'(x)$   
 $(f \circ g \circ h)'(x) = f'(g(h(x))) \cdot g'(h(x)) \cdot h'(x)$
- $\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)'(x) = -\frac{g'(x)}{g^2(x)}$
- $\left(\frac{1}{x^n}\right)' = -nx^{-n-1}$
- $\log_a'(x) = \frac{1}{x \ln(a)}$
- $\ln_e'(x) = \frac{1}{x}$
- $|x|' = \frac{x}{|x|} = sgn(x)$  for  $x \neq 0$