

# Correctness of the decider for cyclers bbchallenge

Tristan Stérin

## Abstract

We give the pseudocode of the decider for the “Cyclers” family of bbchallenge and we prove its correctness. For more context please refer to <https://bbchallenge.org/> and <https://discuss.bbchallenge.org/t/decider-cyclers/33> for this decider in particular. The implementation of this decider is available at: <https://github.com/bbchallenge/bbchallenge-deciders/>.

## 1 Pseudocode

The goal of this decider is to recognise Turing machines that cycle through the same configurations for ever where a configuration is defined by the 3-tuple: (i) state (ii) position of the head (iii) content of the memory tape. Such machines never halt. The method is simple: remember every configuration seen by a machine and return **true** if one is visited twice. A time limit (maximum number of steps) is also given for running the test in practice: the algorithm recognises any machine whose cycle fits within this limit<sup>1</sup>.

We assume that we are given a procedure **TuringMachineStep**(machine,configuration) which computes the next configuration of a machine from the given configuration or **nil** if the machine halts at that step.

---

**Algorithm 1** DECIDER-CYCLERS

---

```
1: struct Configuration {
2:   int state
3:   int headPosition
4:   int → int tape
5: }
6: procedure DECIDER-CYCLERS(machine,timeLimit)
7:   Configuration currConfiguration = {.state = 0, .headPosition = 0, .tape = {0:0}}
8:   Set<Configuration> configurationsSeen = {}
9:   int currTime = 0
10:  while currTime < timeLimit do
11:    if currConfiguration in configurationsSeen then
12:      return true
13:    configurationsSeen.insert(currConfiguration)
14:    currConfiguration = TuringMachineStep(machine,currConfiguration)
15:    currTime += 1
16:    if currConfiguration == nil then
17:      return false //machine has halted
18:  return false
```

---

## 2 Correctness

The set  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . The Turing machines that are studied in the context of bbchallenge use a binary alphabet and a single bi-infinite tape. A *configuration* is defined by the 3-tuple: (i) state (ii) position of the head (iii) content of the memory tape. In the context of bbchallenge, *the initial configuration* of a machine is always (i) state is 0, i.e. the first state to appear in the machine’s description

---

<sup>1</sup>In practice, for machines with 5 states the decider was run with 1000 steps time limit.

(ii) head's position is 0 (iii) the initial tape is all-0 – i.e. each memory cell is containing 0. In one step, machine  $\mathcal{M}$  transitions from configuration  $c$  to  $c'$  and we write  $c \rightarrow_{\mathcal{M}} c'$ . If the machine halts during that step we write  $c \rightarrow_{\mathcal{M}} \perp$ . By convention,  $\perp \rightarrow_{\mathcal{M}} \perp$  is a valid transition for any machine  $\mathcal{M}$ . The operator  $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{M}}^n$  is  $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{M}}$  applied  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  times. We write  $c \rightarrow c'$  when the machine is clear from context.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a Turing machine and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  a time limit. Let  $c_0$  be the initial configuration of the machine. There exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c_0 \rightarrow^i c_i \rightarrow^j c_i$  with  $i + j \leq t$  if and only if  $\text{DECIDER-CYCLERS}(\mathcal{M}, t)$  returns true.

*Proof.* This follows directly from the behavior of  $\text{DECIDER-CYCLERS}(\mathcal{M}, t)$ : all intermediate configurations below time  $t$  are recorded and the algorithm returns true if and only if one is visited twice. This mathematically translates to there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c_0 \rightarrow^i c_i \rightarrow^j c_i$  with  $i + j \leq t$ , which is what we want.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a Turing machine and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  a time limit. If  $\text{DECIDER-CYCLERS}(\mathcal{M}, t)$  returns true then the behavior of  $\mathcal{M}$  from all-0 tape has been decided:  $\mathcal{M}$  does not halt.

*Proof.* By Theorem 1, there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c_0 \rightarrow^i c_i \rightarrow^j c_i$  with  $i + j \leq t$ . It follows that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_0 \rightarrow^{i+kj} c_i$ . The machine never halts as it will visit  $c_i$  infinitely often.  $\square$