

# **BSI Standards Publication**

# Information technology — Security techniques — Encryption algorithms

Part 2: Asymmetric ciphers



## **National foreword**

This British Standard is the UK implementation of ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017. It supersedes BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006, which is withdrawn.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee IST/33/2, Cryptography and Security Mechanisms.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2017 Published by BSI Standards Limited 2017

ISBN 978 0 580 96437 4

ICS 35.030

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 June 2006.

#### Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
30 June 2018	Implementation of ISO amendment 1:2017

# INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO/IEC 18033-2

First edition 2006-05-01

# Information technology — Security techniques — Encryption algorithms —

Part 2:

**Asymmetric ciphers** 

Technologies de l'information — Techniques de sécurité — Algorithmes de chiffrement —

Partie 2: Chiffres asymétriques



Reference number ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006(E)

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

#### © ISO/IEC 2006

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

# BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

Contents			
1	Scope	1	
2	Normative references	1	
3	Definitions	2	
4	Symbols and notation	7	
5	Mathematical conventions.  5.1 Functions and algorithms.  5.2 Bit strings and octet strings.  5.3 Finite Fields.  5.4 Elliptic curves.	8 8 9 10 12	
6	Cryptographic transformations 6.1 Cryptographic hash functions 6.2 Key derivation functions 6.3 MAC algorithms 6.4 Block ciphers 6.5 Symmetric ciphers	14 14 15 16 16	
7	Asymmetric ciphers  7.1 Plaintext length  7.2 The use of labels  7.3 Ciphertext format  7.4 Encryption options  7.5 Method of operation of an asymmetric cipher  7.6 Allowable asymmetric ciphers	19 20 21 21 21 22 22	
8	Generic hybrid ciphers	22 23 24 25	
9	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26 26 27 28	
10	ElGamal-based key encapsulation mechanisms         10.1 Concrete groups         10.2 ECIES-KEM         10.3 PSEC-KEM         10.4 ACE-KEM         10.5 FACE-KEM	30 30 32 34 36 39	
11	RSA-based asymmetric ciphers and key encapsulation mechanisms.  11.1 RSA key generation algorithms.  11.2 RSA Transform.  11.3 RSA encoding mechanisms.  11.4 RSAES.  11.5 RSA-KEM.	41 41 42 43 44 46	

 $A_1$ 

 $A_1$ 

# BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

12 Ci	phers based on modular squaring	47	
12.1	HIME key generation algorithms	47	
12.2	HIME encoding mechanisms	48	
12.3	HIME(R)	50	
Annex A	A (normative) A Object identifiers A	53	
Annow I	3 (informative) Security considerations	63	
B.1	MAC algorithms	63	
B.1 B.2	Block ciphers	64	
B.3	Symmetric ciphers	64	
В.3 В.4	•	65	
B.4 B.5	Asymmetric ciphers	67	
B.6	Key encapsulation mechanisms	68	
Б.0 В.7	Data encapsulation mechanisms	70	
B. <i>t</i> B.8	Security of $HC$		
	Intractability assumptions related to concrete groups	70	
B.9	Security of ECIES-KEM	71	
B.10	Security of <i>PSEC-KEM</i>	73	
B.11	Security of ACE-KEM	73	
B.12	The RSA inversion problem	74	
B.13	Security of RSAES	75	
B.14	Security of RSA-KEM	75 76	
B.15	Security of $HIME(R)$	76	
B.16	Security of FACE-KEM	76	(A <sub>1</sub>
Λ (		77	
C.1	C (informative) A Numerical examples (A) Numerical examples (A) Numerical examples (A) for DEM1	77 77	
C.1 C.2	A) Numerical examples (A) for ECIES-KEM	78	
C.2 C.3	A) Numerical examples (A) for PSEC-KEM	85	
C.3 C.4		85 93	
C.4 C.5	A) Numerical examples (A) for ACE-KEM	102	
	A) Numerical examples (A) for RSAES		
C.6	$\stackrel{\triangle}{\longrightarrow}$ Numerical examples $\stackrel{\triangle}{\bigcirc}$ for $RSA$ - $KEM$	107	
C.7	$\triangle$ Numerical examples $\triangle$ for $HC$	111	
C.8	A) Numerical examples $\bigcirc$ for $HIME(R)$	114	/\.
C.9	Numerical examples for FACE-KEM	124	(A <sub>1</sub>
Bibliogr	aphy	130	

#### **Foreword**

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

ISO/IEC 18033-2 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 27, *IT Security techniques*.

ISO/IEC 18033 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information technology* — *Security techniques* — *Encryption algorithms*:

- Part 1: General
- Part 2: Asymmetric ciphers
- Part 3: Block ciphers
- Part 4: Stream ciphers

BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

### A1) Introduction

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) draw attention to the fact that it is claimed that compliance with this document may involve the use of patents.

ISO and IEC take no position concerning the evidence, validity and scope of these patent rights. The holders of these patent rights have assured the ISO and IEC that they are willing to negotiate licenses under reasonable and non-discriminatory terms and conditions with applicants throughout the world. In this respect, the statements of the holders of these patent rights are registered with ISO and IEC. Information may be obtained from

- IBM Corporation. Address: North Castle Drive, Armonk, NY 10504 USA,
- NTT Corporation. Address: 9-11 Midori-Cho 3-chome, Musashino-shi, Tokyo 180-8585, Japan, and
- Hitachi, Ltd. Address: 6-1, Marunouchi 1-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 100-8220, Japan.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights other than those identified above. ISO and/or IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO (www.iso.org/patents) and IEC (http://patents.iec.ch) maintain on-line databases of patents relevant to their standards. Users are encouraged to consult the databases for the most up-to-date information concerning patents.

# Information technology — Security techniques — Encryption algorithms —

# Part 2:

# **Asymmetric ciphers**

#### 1 Scope

This part of ISO/IEC 18033 specifies several asymmetric ciphers. These specifications prescribe the functional interfaces and correct methods of use of such ciphers in general, as well as the precise functionality and cipher text format for several specific asymmetric ciphers (although conforming systems may choose to use alternative formats for storing and transmitting cipher-texts).

A normative annex (Annex A) gives ASN.1 syntax for object identifiers, public keys, and parameter structures to be associated with the algorithms specified in this part of ISO/IEC 18033.

However, these specifications do not prescribe protocols for reliably obtaining a public key, for proof of possession of a private key, or for validation of either public or private keys; see ISO/IEC 11770-3 for guidance on such key management issues.

The asymmetric ciphers that are specified in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 are indicated in Clause 7.6.

NOTE Briefly, the asymmetric ciphers are:

- A ECIES-HC; PSEC-HC; ACE-HC; FACE-HC: generic hybrid ciphers based on ElGamal encryption;
- RSA-HC: a generic hybrid cipher based on the RSA transform;
- RSAES: the OAEP padding scheme applied to the RSA transform;
- HIME(R): a scheme based on the hardness of factoring.

#### 2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 9797-1:1999, Information technology — Security techniques — Message Authentication Codes (MACs) — Part 1: Mechanisms using a block cipher

ISO/IEC 9797-2:2002, Information technology — Security techniques— Message Authentication Codes (MACs) — Part 2: Mechanisms using a dedicated hash-function

ISO/IEC 10118-2:2000, Information technology — Security techniques — Hash-functions — Part 2: Hash-functions using an n-bit block cipher

ISO/IEC 10118-3:2004, Information technology — Security techniques — Hash-functions — Part 3: Dedicated hash-functions

ISO/IEC 18033-3:2005, Information technology — Security techniques — Encryption algorithms — Part 3: Block ciphers

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### 3 Definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

NOTE Where appropriate, forward references are given to clauses which contain more detailed definitions and/or further elaboration.

#### 3.1

#### asymmetric cipher

system based on asymmetric cryptographic techniques whose public transformation is used for encryption and whose private transformation is used for decryption

[ISO/IEC 18033-1]

NOTE See Clause 7.

#### 3.2

#### asymmetric cryptographic technique

cryptographic technique that uses two related transformations, a public transformation (defined by the public key) and a private transformation (defined by the private key). The two transformations have the property that, given the public transformation, it is computationally infeasible to derive the private transformation. [ISO/IEC 11770-1:1996]

#### 3.3

#### asymmetric key pair

pair of related keys, a public key and a private key, where the private key defines the private transformation and the public key defines the public transformation

[ISO/IEC 9798-1:1997]

NOTE See Clauses 7, 8.1.

#### 3.4

#### bit

one of the two symbols `0' or `1' NOTE See Clause 5.2.1.

#### 3.5

#### bit string

sequence of bits
NOTE See Clause 5.2.1.

#### 3.6

#### block

string of bits of a defined length

[ISO/IEC 18033-1]

NOTE In this part of ISO/IEC 18033, a block will be restricted to be an octet string (interpreted in a natural way as a bit string).

#### 3.7

#### block cipher

symmetric cipher with the property that the encryption algorithm operates on a block of plain-text, i.e., a string of bits of a defined length, to yield a block of cipher text

[ISO/IEC 18033-1]

NOTE See Clause 6.4.

NOTE In this part of ISO/IEC 18033, plaintext/cipher text blocks will be restricted to be octet strings (interpreted in a natural way as bit strings).

#### 3.8

#### cipher

cryptographic technique used to protect the confidentiality of data, and which consists of three component processes: an encryption algorithm, a decryption algorithm, and a method for generating keys [ISO/IEC 18033-1]

#### 3.9

#### cipher text

data which has been transformed to hide its information content [ISO/IEC 10116:1997]

#### 3.10

#### concrete group

explicit description of a finite abelian group, together with algorithms for performing the group operation and for encoding and decoding group elements as octet strings NOTE See Clause 10.1.

#### 3.11

#### cryptographic hash function

function that maps octet strings of any length to octet strings of fixed length, such that it is computationally infeasible to find correlations between inputs and outputs, and such that given one part of the output, but not the input, it is computationally infeasible to predict any bit of the remaining output. The precise security requirements depend on the application.

NOTE See Clause 6.1.

#### 3.12

#### data encapsulation mechanism

cryptographic mechanism, based on symmetric cryptographic techniques, which protects both the confidentiality and the integrity of data NOTE See Clause 8.2.

#### 3.13

#### decryption

reversal of the corresponding encryption [ISO/IEC 11770-1:1996]

#### 3.14

#### decryption algorithm

process which transforms ciphertext into plaintext [ISO/IEC 18033-1]

#### 3.15

#### encryption

(reversible) transformation of data by a cryptographic algorithm to produce cipher text, i.e., to hide the information content of the data

[ISO/IEC 9797-1]

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### 3.16

#### explicitly given finite field

finite field that is represented explicitly in terms of its characteristic and a multiplication table for a basis of the field over the underlying prime field

NOTE See Clause 5.3.

#### 3.17

#### encryption algorithm

process which transforms plaintext into cipher text [ISO/IEC 18033-1]

#### 3.18

#### encryption option

option that may be passed to the encryption algorithm of an asymmetric cipher, or of a key encapsulation mechanism, to control the formatting of the output cipher text NOTE See Clauses 7, 8.1.

#### 3.19

#### field

mathematical notion of a field, i.e., a set of elements, together with binary operations for addition and multiplication on this set, such that the usual field axioms apply

#### 3.20

#### finite abelian group

group such that the underlying set of elements is finite, and such that the underlying binary operation is commutative

#### 3.21

#### finite field

field such that the underlying set of elements is finite

#### 3.22

#### group

mathematical notion of a group, i.e., a set of elements, together with a binary operation on this set, such that the usual group axioms apply

#### 3.23

#### hybrid cinher

asymmetric cipher that combines both asymmetric and symmetric cryptographic techniques

#### 3.24

#### key

sequence of symbols that controls the operation of a cryptographic transformation (e.g., encryption, decryption)

[ISO/IEC 11770-1:1996]

#### 3.25

#### key derivation function

a function that maps octet strings of any length to octet strings of an arbitrary, specified length, such that it is computationally infeasible to find correlations between inputs and outputs, and such that given one part of the output, but not the input, it is computationally infeasible to predict any bit of the remaining output. The precise security requirements depend on the application.

NOTE See Clause 6.2.

#### 3.26

#### key encapsulation mechanism

similar to an asymmetric cipher, but the encryption algorithm takes as input a public key and generates a secret key and an encryption of this secret key

NOTE See Clause 8.1.

#### 3.27

#### key generation algorithm

method for generating asymmetric key pairs

NOTE See Clauses 7, 8.1.

#### 3.28

#### label

octet string that is input to both the encryption and decryption algorithms of an asymmetric cipher, and of a data encapsulation mechanism. A label is public information that is bound to the cipher text in a non-malleable way

NOTE See Clauses 7, 8.2.

#### 3.29

#### length

length of a string of digits or the representation of an integer

Specifically:

(1) length of a bit string is the number of bits in the string

NOTE See Clause 5.2.1.

(2) length of an octet string is the number of octets in the string

NOTE See Clause 5.2.2.

(3) bit length of a non-negative integer n is the number of bits in its binary representation, i.e.,  $dlog_2(n+1)$ 

NOTE See Clause 5.2.4.

(4) octet length of a non-negative integer n is the number of digits in its representation base 256, i.e.,  $dlog_{256}(n+1)$ 

NOTE See Clause 5.2.4.

#### 3.30

#### message authentication code (MAC)

string of bits which is the output of a MAC algorithm

[ISO/IEC 9797-1]

NOTE See Clause 6.3.

NOTE In this part of ISO/IEC 18033, a MAC will be restricted to be an octet string (interpreted in a natural way as a bit string).

#### 3.31

### MAC algorithm

algorithm for computing a function which maps strings of bits and a secret key to fixed-length strings of bits, satisfying the following two properties:

- for any key and any input string, the function can be computed efficiently;
- for any fixed key, and given no prior knowledge of the key, it is computationally infeasible to compute the function value on any new input string, even given knowledge of the set of input strings and corresponding function values, where the value of the ith input string may have been chosen after observing the value of the first i 1 function values.

[ISO/IEC 9797-1]

NOTE See Clause 6.3.

NOTE In this part of ISO/IEC 18033, the input and output strings of a MAC algorithm will be restricted to be octet strings (interpreted in a natural way as bit strings).

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### 3.32

#### octet

a bit string of length 8 NOTE See Clause 5.2.2.

#### 3.33

#### octet string

#### a sequence of octets

NOTE See Clause 5.2.2.

NOTE When appropriate, an octet string may be interpreted as a bit string, simply by concatenating all of the component octets.

#### 3.34

#### plaintext

unencrypted information [ISO/IEC 10116:1997]

#### 3.35

#### prefix free set

a set S of bit/octet strings such that there do not exist strings  $x \neq y \in S$  such that x is a prefix of y

#### 3.36

#### primitive

a function used to convert between data types

#### 3.37

#### private key

the key of an entity's asymmetric key pair which should only be used by that entity [ISO/IEC 11770-1:1996]

NOTE See Clauses 7, 8.1.

#### 3.38

#### public key

the key of an entity's asymmetric key pair which can be made public

[ISO/IEC 11770-1:1996]

NOTE See Clauses 7, 8.1.

#### 3.39

#### secret key

key used with symmetric cryptographic techniques by a specified set of entities [ISO/IEC 11770-3:1999]

#### 3.40

#### symmetric cipher

cipher based on symmetric cryptographic techniques that uses the same secret key for both the encryption and decryption algorithms

[ISO/IEC 18033-1]

#### 3.41

#### system parameters

choice of parameters that selects a particular cryptographic scheme or function from a family of cryptographic schemes or functions

#### 4 Symbols and notation

For the purposes of this document, the following symbols and notation apply.

NOTE Where appropriate, forward references are given to clauses which contain more detailed definitions and/or further elaboration.

$\lfloor x \rfloor$	the largest integer less than or equal to the real number x. For example,
	$\lfloor 5 \rfloor = 5, \lfloor 5.3 \rfloor = 5$ , and $\lfloor -5.3 \rfloor = -6$

the smallest integer greater than or equal to the real number 
$$x$$
. For example,  $\lceil 5 \rceil = 5, \lceil 5.3 \rceil = 6$ , and  $\lceil -5.3 \rceil = -6$ 

[
$$a..b$$
] the interval of integers from  $a$  to  $b$ , including both  $a$  and  $b$ 

$$[a..b)$$
 the interval of integers from  $a$  to  $b$ , including  $a$  but not  $b$ 

- |X| if X is a finite set, then the cardinality of X; if X is a finite abelian group or a finite field, then the cardinality of the underlying set of elements; if X is a real number, then the absolute value of X; if X is a bit/octet string, then the length in bits/octets of the string NOTE See Clauses 5.2.1, 5.2.2.
- $x \oplus y$  if x and y are bit/octet strings of the same length, the bit-wise exclusive-or (XOR) of the two strings.

  NOTE See Clauses 5.2.1, 5.2.2.
- $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_l \rangle$  if  $x_1, \ldots, x_l$  are bits/octets, the bit/octet string of length l consisting of the bits/octets x1; :::; xl, in the given order NOTE See Clauses 5.2.1, 5.2.2.
- if x and y are bit/octet strings, the concatenation of the two strings x and y, resulting in the string consisting of x followed by y

  NOTE See Clauses 5.2.1, 5.2.2.
- gcd(a, b) for integers a and b, the greatest common divisor of a and b, i.e., the largest positive integer that divides both a and b (or 0 if a = b = 0)
- $a \mid b$  relation between integers a and b that holds if and only if a divides b, i.e., there exists an integer c such that b = ac
- $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  for a non-zero integer n, a relation between integers a and b that holds if and only if a and b are congruent modulo n, i.e.,  $n \mid (a b)$
- a mod n for integer a and positive integer n, the unique integer  $r \in [0..n)$  such that  $r \equiv a \pmod{n}$
- $a^{-1} \mod n$  for integer a and positive integer n, such that gcd(a; n) = 1, the unique integer  $b \in [0..n)$  such that  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod n$
- $F^*$  for a field F, the multiplicative group of units of F
- $0_F$  for a field F, the additive identity (zero element) of F
- $1_F$  for a field F, the multiplicative identity of F
- BS2IP bit string to integer conversion primitive NOTE See Clause 5.2.5.

### BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

EC2OSP	elliptic curve to octet string conversion primitive. (See Clause 5.4.3.)
FE2OSP	field element to octet string conversion primitive. (See Clause 5.3.1.)
FE2IP	field element to integer conversion primitive. (See Clause 5.3.1.)
I2BSP	integer to bit string conversion primitive. (See Clause 5.2.5.)
I2OSP	integer to octet string conversion primitive. (See Clause 5.2.5.)
OS2ECP	octet string to elliptic curve conversion primitive. (See Clause 5.4.3.)
OS2FEP	octet string to field element conversion primitive. (See Clause 5.3.1.)
OS2IP	octet string to integer conversion primitive. (See Clause 5.2.5.)
Oct(m)	the octet whose integer value is $m$ . (See Clause 5.2.4.)
$\mathcal{L}(n)$	the length in octets of an integer $n$ . (See Clause 5.2.5.)

#### 5 Mathematical conventions

This clause describes certain mathematical conventions used in this part of ISO/IEC 18033, including the representation of mathematical objects, and primitives for data type conversion.

#### 5.1 Functions and algorithms

For ease of presentation, functions and probabilistic functions (i.e., functions whose value depends not only on the input value but also on a randomly chosen auxiliary value) are often specified in algorithmic form. Except where explicitly noted, an implementor may choose to employ any equivalent algorithm (i.e., one which yields the same function or probabilistic function). Moreover, in the case of probabilistic functions, when the algorithm describing the function indicates that a random value should be generated, an implementor shall use an appropriate random generator to generate this value (see ISO/IEC 18031 for more guidance on this issue).

In describing a function in algorithmic terms, the following convention is adopted. An algorithm either computes a value, or alternatively, it may **fail**. By convention, if an algorithm **fails**, then unless otherwise specified, another algorithm that invokes this algorithm as a sub-routine also **fails**.

NOTE Thus, **failing** is analogous to the notion of "throwing an exception" in many programming languages; however, it can also be viewed as returning a special value that is by definition distinct from all values returned by the algorithm when it does not **fail**. With this latter interpretation of **failing**, an algorithm still properly describes a function. The details of how an implementation achieves the effect of **failing** are not specified here. However, in a typical implementation, an algorithm may return an "error code" of some sort to its environment that indicates the reason for the failure. It should be noted that in some cases, for reasons of security, the implementation should take care *not* to reveal the precise cause of certain types of errors.

#### 5.2 Bit strings and octet strings

#### 5.2.1 Bits and bit strings

A bit is one of the two symbols '0' or '1'.

A bit string is a sequence of bits. For bits  $x_1, \ldots, x_l, \langle x_1, \ldots, x_l \rangle$  denotes the bit string of length l consisting of the bits  $x_1, \ldots, x_l$ , in the given order.

For a bit string  $x = \langle x_1, \dots, x_l \rangle$ , the length l of x is denoted by |x|, and if l > 0,  $x_1$  is called the first bit of x, and  $x_l$  the last bit of x.

For bit strings x and y,  $x \parallel y$  denotes the concatenation of x and y; that is, if  $x = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_l \rangle$  and  $y = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_m \rangle$ , then  $x \parallel y = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_l, y_1, \ldots, y_m \rangle$ .

For bit strings x and y of equal length,  $x \oplus y$  denotes the bit-wise exclusive-or (XOR) of x and y.

The bit string of length zero is called the *null* bit string.

NOTE No special subscripting operator is defined for bit strings. Thus, if x is a bit string,  $x_i$  does not necessarily denote any particular bit of x.

#### 5.2.2 Octets and octet strings

An *octet* is a bit string of length 8.

An *octet string* is a sequence of octets.

For octets  $x_1, \ldots, x_l$ ,  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_l \rangle$  denotes the octet string of length l consisting of the octets  $x_1, \ldots, x_l$ , in the given order.

For an octet string  $x = \langle x_1, \dots, x_l \rangle$ , the length l of x is denoted by |x|, and if l > 0,  $x_1$  is called the *first* octet of x, and  $x_l$  the *last* octet of x.

For octet strings x and y,  $x \parallel y$  denotes the concatenation of x and y; that is, if  $x = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_l \rangle$  and  $y = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_m \rangle$ , then  $x \parallel y = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_l, y_1, \ldots, y_m \rangle$ .

For octet strings x and y of equal length,  $x \oplus y$  denotes the bit-wise exclusive-or (XOR) of x and y.

The octet string of length zero is called the *null* octet string.

NOTE 1 No special subscripting operator is defined for octet strings. Thus, if x is an octet string,  $x_i$  does not necessarily denote any particular octet of x.

NOTE 2 Note that since an octet is a bit string of length 8, if x and y are octets, then  $x \parallel y$  is a bit string of length 16,  $\langle x \rangle$  and  $\langle y \rangle$  are each octet strings of length 1, and  $\langle x \rangle \parallel \langle y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  is an octet string of length 2.

#### 5.2.3 Octet string/bit string conversion

Primitives OS2BSP and BS2OSP to convert between octet strings and bit strings are defined as follows.

The function OS2BSP(x) takes as input an octet string  $x = \langle x_1, \dots, x_l \rangle$ , and outputs the bit string  $y = x_1 \parallel \dots \parallel x_l$ .

The function BS2OSP(y) takes as input a bit string y, whose length is a multiple of 8, and outputs the unique octet string x such that y = OS2BSP(x).

#### 5.2.4 Bit string/integer conversion

Primitives BS2IP and I2BSP to convert between bit strings and integers are defined as follows.

The function BS2IP(x) maps a bit string x to an integer value x', as follows. If  $x = \langle x_{l-1}, \ldots, x_0 \rangle$  where  $x_0, \ldots, x_{l-1}$  are bits, then the value x' is defined as

$$x' = \sum_{\substack{0 \le i < l \\ x_i = 1}} 2^i.$$

The function I2BSP(m, l) takes as input two non-negative integers m and l, and outputs the unique bit string x of length l such that BS2IP(x) = m, if such an x exists. Otherwise, the function **fails**.

The length in bits of a non-negative integer n is the number of bits in its binary representation, i.e.,  $\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil$ .

As a notational convenience, Oct(m) is defined as Oct(m) = I2BSP(m, 8).

NOTE Note that I2BSP(m, l) fails if and only if the length of m in bits is greater than l.

#### 5.2.5 Octet string/integer conversion

Primitives OS2IP and I2OSP to convert between octet strings and integers are defined as follows.

The function OS2IP(x) takes as input an octet string, and outputs the integer BS2IP(OS2BSP(x)).

The function I2OSP(m,l) takes as input two non-negative integers m and l, and outputs the unique octet string x of length l such that OS2IP(x) = m, if such an x exists. Otherwise, the function **fails**.

The length in octets of a non-negative integer n is the number of digits in its representation base 256, i.e.,  $\lceil \log_{256}(n+1) \rceil$ ; this quantity is denoted  $\mathcal{L}(n)$ .

NOTE Note that I2OSP(m, l) fails if and only if the length of m in octets is greater than l.

#### 5.3 Finite fields

This clause describes a very general framework for describing specific finite fields. A finite field specified in this way is called an *explicitly given finite field*, and it is determined by *explicit data*.

For a finite field F of cardinality  $q = p^e$ , where p is prime and  $e \ge 1$ , explicit data for F consists of p and e, along with a "multiplication table," which is a matrix  $T = (T_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le e}$ , where each  $T_{ij}$  is an e-tuple over [0..p).

The set of elements of F is the set of all e-tuples over [0..p). The entries of T are themselves viewed as elements of F.

Addition in F is defined element-wise: if

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_e) \in F$$
 and  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_e) \in F$ ,

then a + b = c, where

$$c = (c_1, \dots, c_e)$$
 and  $c_i = (a_i + b_i) \mod p \ (1 \le i \le e)$ .

A scalar multiplication operation for F is also defined element-wise: if

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_e) \in F \text{ and } d \in [0 \dots p),$$

then  $d \cdot a = c$ , where

$$c = (c_1, \ldots, c_e)$$
 and  $c_i = (d \cdot a_i) \mod p \ (1 \le i \le e)$ .

Multiplication in F is defined via the multiplication table T, as follows: if

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_e) \in F \text{ and } b = (b_1, \dots, b_e) \in F,$$
  
 $a \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^{e} \sum_{j=1}^{e} (a_i b_j \mod p) T_{ij},$ 

where the products  $(a_ib_j \mod p)T_{ij}$  are defined using the above rule for scalar multiplication, and where these products are summed using the above rule for addition in F. It is assumed that the multiplication table defines an algebraic structure that satisfies the usual axioms of a field; in particular, there exist additive and multiplicative identities, every element has an additive inverse, and every element besides the additive identity has a multiplicative inverse.

Observe that the additive identity of F, denoted  $0_F$ , is the all-zero e-tuple, and that the multiplicative identity of F, denoted  $1_F$ , is a non-zero e-tuple whose precise format depends on T.

NOTE 1 The field F is a vector space of dimension e over the prime field F' of cardinality p, where scalar multiplication is defined as above. The prime p is called the *characteristic* of F. For  $1 \le i \le e$ , let  $\theta_i$  denote the e-tuple over F' whose ith component is 1, and all of whose other components are 0. The elements  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_e$  form an ordered basis of F as a vector space over F'. Note that for  $1 \le i, j \le e$ , we have  $\theta_i \cdot \theta_j = T_{ij}$ .

NOTE 2 For e > 1, two types of *standard bases* are defined that are commonly used in implementations of finite field arithmetic:

- $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_e$  is called a *polynomial basis* for F over F' if for some  $\theta \in F$ ,  $\theta_i = \theta^{e-i}$  for  $1 \le i \le e$ . Note that in this case,  $1_F = \theta_e$ .
- $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_e$  is called a *normal basis* for F over F' if for some  $\theta \in F$ ,  $\theta_i = \theta^{p^{i-1}}$  for  $1 \le i \le e$ . Note that in this case,  $1_F = c \sum_{i=1}^e \theta_i$  for some  $c \in [1 \ldots p]$ ; if p = 2, then the only possible choice for c is 1; moreover, one can always choose a normal basis for which c = 1.

NOTE 3 The definition given here of an explicitly given finite field comes from [23].

#### 5.3.1 Octet string and integer/finite field conversion

Primitives  $OS2FEP_F$  and  $FE2OSP_F$  to convert between octet strings and elements of an explicitly given finite field F, as well as the primitive  $FE2IP_F$  to convert elements of F to integer values, are defined as follows.

The function  $FE2IP_F$  maps an element  $a \in F$  to an integer value a', as follows. If the cardinality of F is  $q = p^e$ , where p is prime and  $e \ge 1$ , then an element a of F is an e-tuple  $(a_1, \ldots, a_e)$ , where  $a_i \in [0 \ldots p)$  for  $1 \le i \le e$ , and the value a' is defined as

$$a' = \sum_{i=1}^{e} a_i p^{i-1}.$$

The function  $FE2OSP_F(a)$  takes as input an element a of the field F and outputs the octet string I2OSP(a',l), where  $a' = FE2IP_F(a)$ , and l is the length in octets of |F|-1, i.e.,  $l = \lceil \log_{256} |F| \rceil$ . Thus, the output of  $FE2OSP_F(a)$  is always an octet string of length exactly  $\lceil \log_{256} |F| \rceil$ .

The function  $OS2FEP_F(x)$  takes as input an octet string x, and outputs the (unique) field element  $a \in F$  such that  $FE2OSP_F(a) = x$ , if any such a exists, and otherwise **fails**. Note that  $OS2FEP_F(x)$  **fails** if and only if either x does not have length exactly  $\lceil \log_{256} |F| \rceil$ , or  $OS2IP(x) \ge |F|$ .

#### 5.4 Elliptic curves

An elliptic curve E over an explicitly given finite field F is a set of points P = (x, y), where x and y are elements of F that satisfy a certain equation, together with the "point at infinity," denoted by  $\mathcal{O}$ . For the purposes of this part of ISO/IEC 18033, the curve E is specified by two field elements  $a, b \in F$ , called the *coefficients* of E.

Let p be the characteristic of F.

If p > 3, then a and b shall satisfy  $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0_F$ , and every point P = (x, y) on E (other than  $\mathcal{O}$ ) shall satisfy the equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b.$$

If p = 2, then b shall satisfy  $b \neq 0_F$ , and every point P = (x, y) on E (other than  $\mathcal{O}$ ) shall satisfy the equation

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 + ax^2 + b.$$

If p = 3, then a and b shall satisfy  $a \neq 0_F$  and  $b \neq 0_F$ , and every point P = (x, y) on E (other than  $\mathcal{O}$ ) shall satisfy the equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax^2 + b.$$

The points on an elliptic curve form a finite abelian group, where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the identity element. There exist efficient algorithms to perform the group operation of an elliptic curve, but the implementation of such algorithms is out of the scope of this part of ISO/IEC 18033.

NOTE See, for example, ISO/IEC 15946-1, as well as [9], for more information on how to efficiently implement elliptic curve group operations.

#### Compressed elliptic curve points 5.4.1

Let E be an elliptic curve over an explicitly given finite field F, where F has characteristic p.

A point  $P \neq \mathcal{O}$  can be represented in either compressed, uncompressed, or hybrid form.

If P = (x, y), then (x, y) is the uncompressed form of P.

Let P = (x, y) be a point on the curve E, as above. The compressed form of P is the pair  $(x, \tilde{y})$ , where  $\tilde{y} \in \{0, 1\}$  is determined as follows.

- If  $p \neq 2$  and  $y = 0_F$ , then  $\tilde{y} = 0$ .
- If  $p \neq 2$  and  $y \neq 0_F$ , then  $\tilde{y} = ((y'/p^f) \mod p) \mod 2$ , where  $y' = FE2IP_F(y)$ , and where f is the largest non-negative integer such that  $p^f \mid y'$ .
- If p=2 and  $x=0_F$ , then  $\tilde{y}=0$ .
- If p=2 and  $x\neq 0_F$ , then  $\tilde{y}=|z'/2^f| \mod 2$ , where z=y/x, where  $z'=FE2IP_F(z)$ , and where f is the largest non-negative integer such that  $2^f$  divides  $FE2IP_F(1_F)$ .

The hybrid form of P = (x, y) is the triple  $(x, \tilde{y}, y)$ , where  $\tilde{y}$  is as in the previous paragraph.

#### Point decompression algorithms 5.4.2

There exist efficient procedures for point decompression, i.e., computing y from  $(x, \tilde{y})$ . These are briefly described here.

- Assume  $p \neq 2$ , and let  $(x, \tilde{y})$  be the compressed form of (x, y). The point (x, y) satisfies an equation  $y^2 = f(x)$  for a polynomial f(x) over F in x. If  $f(x) = 0_F$ , then there is only one possible choice for y, namely,  $y = 0_F$ . Otherwise, if  $f(x) \neq 0$ , then there are two possible choices of y, which differ only in sign, and the correct choice is determined by  $\tilde{y}$ . There are well-known algorithms for computing square roots in finite fields, and so the two choices of y are easily computed.
- Assume p=2, and let  $(x,\tilde{y})$  be the compressed form of (x,y). The point (x,y) satisfies an equation  $y^2 + xy = x^3 + ax^2 + b$ . If  $x = 0_F$ , then we have  $y^2 = b$ , from which y is uniquely determined and easily computed. Otherwise, if  $x \neq 0_F$ , then setting z = y/x, we have  $z^2 + z = g(x)$ , where  $g(x) = (x + a + bx^{-2})$ . The value of y is uniquely determined by, and easily computed from, the values z and x, and so it suffices to compute z. To compute z, observe that for a fixed x, if z is one solution to the equation  $z^2 + z = g(x)$ , then there is exactly one other solution, namely  $z+1_F$ . It is easy to compute these two candidate values of z, and the correct choice of z is easily seen to be determined by  $\tilde{y}$ .

#### Octet string/elliptic curve conversion 5.4.3

Primitives  $EC2OSP_E$  and  $OS2ECP_E$  for converting between points on an elliptic curve E and octet strings are defined as follows.

Let E be an elliptic curve over an explicitly given finite field F.

The function  $EC2OSP_E(P, fmt)$  takes as input a point P on E and a format specifier fmt, which is one of the symbolic values compressed, uncompressed, or hybrid. The output is an octet string EP, computed as follows.

- If  $P = \mathcal{O}$ , then  $EP = \langle Oct(0) \rangle$ .
- If  $P = (x, y) \neq \mathcal{O}$ , with compressed form  $(x, \tilde{y})$ , then

$$EP = \langle H \rangle \parallel X \parallel Y,$$

where

- H is a single octet of the form  $Oct(4U + C \cdot (2 + \tilde{y}))$ , where
  - U=1 if fmt is either uncompressed or hybrid, and otherwise, U=0;
  - C=1 if fmt is either compressed or hybrid, and otherwise, C=0;
- X is the octet string  $FE2OSP_F(x)$ ;
- Y is the octet string  $FE2OSP_F(y)$  if fmt is either uncompressed or hybrid, and otherwise Y is the null octet string.

NOTE If the format specifier fmt is uncompressed, then the value  $\tilde{y}$  need not be computed.

The function  $OS2ECP_E(EP)$  takes as input an octet string EP. If there exists a point P on the curve E and a format specifier fmt such that  $EC2OSP_E(P, fmt) = EP$ , then the function outputs P (in uncompressed form), and otherwise, the function **fails**. Note that the point P, if it exists, is uniquely defined, and so the function  $OS2ECP_E(EP)$  is well defined.

# 6 Cryptographic transformations

This clause describes several cryptographic transformations that will be referred to in subsequent clauses. The types of transformations are cryptographic hash functions, key derivation functions, message authentication codes, block ciphers, and symmetric ciphers. For each type of transformation, the abstract input/output characteristics are given, and then specific implementations of these transformations that are allowed for use in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 are specified.

#### 6.1 Cryptographic hash functions

A cryptographic hash function is essentially a function that maps an octet string of variable length to an octet string of fixed length. More precisely, a cryptographic hash function Hash specifies

- a positive integer *Hash.len* that denotes the length of the hash function output,
- a positive integer Hash.MaxInputLen that denotes the maximum length hash input,
- and a function *Hash.eval* that denotes the hash function itself, which maps octet strings of length at most *Hash.MaxInputLen* to octet strings of length *Hash.len*.

The invocation of Hash.eval fails if and only if the input length exceeds Hash.MaxInputLen.

#### 6.1.1 Allowable cryptographic hash functions

For the purposes of this part of ISO/IEC 18033, the allowable cryptographic hash functions are those described in ISO/IEC 10118-2 and ISO/IEC 10118-3, with the following provisos:

- The hash functions described in ISO/IEC 10118 map bit strings to bit strings, whereas in this part of ISO/IEC 18033, they map octet strings to octet strings. Therefore, a hash function in ISO/IEC 10118-2 or ISO/IEC 10118-3 is allowed in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 only if the length in bits of the output is a multiple of 8, in which case the mapping between octet strings and bit strings is affected by the functions OS2BSP and BS2OSP.
- Whereas the hash functions in ISO/IEC 10118 are not defined for inputs exceeding a given length, a hash function in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 is defined to **fail** for such inputs.

#### 6.2 Key derivation functions

A key derivation function is a function KDF(x, l) that takes as input an octet string x and an integer  $l \geq 0$ , and outputs an octet string of length l. The string x is of arbitrary length, although an implementation may define a (very large) maximum length for x and maximum size for l, and **fail** if these bounds are exceeded.

NOTE In some other documents and standards, the term "mask generation function" is used instead of "key derivation function."

#### 6.2.1 Allowable key derivation functions

The key derivation functions that are allowed in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 are KDF1, described below in Clause 6.2.2, and KDF2, described below in Clause 6.2.3.

#### 6.2.2 KDF1

#### 6.2.2.1 System parameters

KDF1 is a family of key derivation functions, parameterized by the following system parameters:

— *Hash*: a cryptographic hash function, as described in Clause 6.1.

#### 6.2.2.2 Specification

For an octet string x and a non-negative integer l, KDF1(x, l) is defined to be the first l octets of

$$Hash.eval(x \parallel I2OSP(0,4)) \parallel \cdots \parallel Hash.eval(x \parallel I2OSP(k-1,4)),$$

where  $k = \lceil l/Hash.len \rceil$ .

NOTE This function will **fail** if and only if  $k > 2^{32}$  or if |x| + 4 > Hash.MaxInputLen.

#### 6.2.3 KDF2

#### 6.2.3.1 System parameters

KDF2 is a family of key derivation functions, parameterized by the following system parameters:

— Hash: a cryptographic hash function, as described in Clause 6.1.

#### 6.2.3.2 Specification

For an octet string x and a non-negative integer l, KDF2(x, l) is defined to be the first l octets of

$$Hash.eval(x \parallel I2OSP(1,4)) \parallel \cdots \parallel Hash.eval(x \parallel I2OSP(k,4)),$$

where  $k = \lceil l/Hash.len \rceil$ .

NOTE 1 This function will **fail** if and only if  $k \ge 2^{32}$  or if |x| + 4 > Hash.MaxInputLen.

NOTE 2 KDF2 is the same as KDF1, except that the counter runs from 1 to k, rather than from 0 to k-1.

#### 6.3 MAC algorithms

A MAC algorithm MA is a scheme that defines two positive integers MA.KeyLen and MA.MACLen, along with a function MA.eval(k',T) that takes a secret key k', which is an octet string of length MA.KeyLen, along with an arbitrary octet string T as input, and computes as output an octet string MAC of length MA.MACLen.

An implementation may impose a maximum value for the length of T, and MA.eval(k',T) will fail if this bound is exceeded.

NOTE See Annex B.1 for a discussion on the desired security properties of MAC algorithms.

#### 6.3.1 Allowable MAC algorithms

For the purposes of this part of ISO/IEC 18033, the allowable MAC algorithms are those described in ISO/IEC 9797-1 and ISO/IEC 9797-2, with the following provisos:

- For MAC the algorithms described in ISO/IEC 9797-1 and ISO/IEC 9797-2, the inputs are bit strings, and the secret key and outputs are fixed-length bit strings. Therefore, an algorithm in ISO/IEC 9797-1 or ISO/IEC 9797-2 is allowed in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 only if the lengths in bits of the MAC and of the secret key are multiples of 8, in which case the mapping between octet strings and bit strings is affected by the functions *OS2BSP* and *BS2OSP*.
- Whereas the algorithms in ISO/IEC 9797-1 and ISO/IEC 9797-2 are not defined for inputs exceeding a given length, a MAC algorithm in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 is defined to fail for such inputs.

#### 6.4 Block ciphers

A block cipher BC specifies the following:

- a positive integer BC.KeyLen, which is the length in octets of the secret key,
- a positive integer BC.BlockLen, which is the length in octets of a block of plaintext or ciphertext,
- a function BC.Encrypt(k, b), which takes as input a secret key k, which is an octet string of length BC.KeyLen, and a plaintext block b, which is an octet string of length BC.BlockLen, and outputs a ciphertext block b', which is an octet string of length BC.BlockLen, and

a function BC.Decrypt(k,b'), which takes as input a secret key k, which is an octet string of length BC.KeyLen, and a ciphertext block b', which is an octet string of length BC.BlockLen, and outputs a plaintext block b, which is an octet string of length BC.BlockLen.

For any fixed secret key k, the function  $b \mapsto BC.Encrypt(k,b)$  acts as a permutation on the set of octet strings of length BC.BlockLen, and the function  $b' \mapsto BC.Decrypt(k,b)$  acts as the inverse permutation.

NOTE See Annex B.2 for a discussion of the desired security properties of block ciphers.

#### 6.4.1 Allowable block ciphers

For the purposes of this part of ISO/IEC 18033, the allowable block ciphers are those described in ISO/IEC 18033-3, with the following proviso:

In ISO/IEC 18033-3, plaintext/ciphertext blocks and secret keys are fixed-length bit strings, whereas in this part of ISO/IEC 18033, they are fixed-length octet strings. Therefore, a block cipher in ISO/IEC 18033-3 is allowed in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 only if the lengths in bits of plaintext/ciphertext blocks and of the secret key are multiples of 8, in which case the mapping between octet strings and bit strings is affected by the functions OS2BSP and BS2OSP.

#### Symmetric ciphers 6.5

A symmetric cipher SC specifies a key length SC. KeyLen, along with encryption and decryption algorithms:

The encryption algorithm SC.Encrypt(k, M) takes as input a secret key k, which is an octet string of length SC.KeyLen, and a plaintext M, which is an octet string of arbitrary length. It outputs a ciphertext c, which is an octet string.

The encryption algorithm may fail if the length of M exceeds some large, implementationdefined limit.

The decryption algorithm SC.Decrypt(k, c) takes as input a secret key k, which is an octet string of length SC.KeyLen, and a ciphertext c, which is an octet string of arbitrary length. It outputs a plaintext M, which is an octet string.

The decryption algorithm may **fail** under some circumstances.

The encryption and decryption algorithms are deterministic. Also, for all secret keys k and all plaintexts M, if M does not exceed the length bound of the encryption algorithm, and if c = SC.Encrypt(k, M), then SC.Decrypt(k, c) does not fail and SC.Decrypt(k, c) = M.

NOTE See Annex B.3 for a discussion on the desired security properties for a symmetric cipher.

#### 6.5.1Allowable symmetric ciphers

The symmetric ciphers that are allowed in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 are

- SC1, described below in Clause 6.5.2, and
- SC2, described below in Clause 6.5.3.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### 6.5.2 SC1

This symmetric cipher is the cipher obtained by using a block cipher in a particular cipher block chaining (CBC) mode (see ISO/IEC 10116), together with a particular padding scheme to pad cleartexts so that their length is a multiple of the block size of the underlying block cipher.

#### 6.5.2.1 System parameters

SC1 is a family of symmetric ciphers, parameterized by the following system parameters:

— BC: a block cipher, as described in Clause 6.4.

Strictly speaking, one must make the restriction that BC.BlockLen < 256; however, in practice this restriction is always met.

#### 6.5.2.2 Specification

SC1.KeyLen = BC.KeyLen.

The function SC1.Encrypt(k, M) works as follows.

- a) Set  $padLen = BC.BlockLen (|M| \mod BC.BlockLen)$ .
- b) Let  $P_1 = Oct(padLen)$ .
- c) Let  $P_2$  be the octet string formed by repeating the octet  $P_1$  a total of padLen times (so  $|P_2| = padLen$ ).
- d) Let  $M' = M || P_2$ .
- e) Parse M' as  $M'_1 \parallel \cdots \parallel M'_l$ , where for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ ,  $M'_i$  is an octet string of length BC.BlockLen.
- f) Let  $c_0$  be the octet string consisting of BC.BlockLen copies of the octet Oct(0), and for  $1 \le i \le l$ , let  $c_i = BC.Encrypt(k, M'_i \oplus c_{i-1})$ .
- g) Let  $c = c_1 \parallel \cdots \parallel c_l$
- h) Output c.

The function SC1.Decrypt(k,c) works as follows.

- a) If |c| is not a non-zero multiple of BC.BlockLen, then fail.
- b) Parse c as  $c = c_1 \parallel \cdots \parallel c_l$ , where for  $1 \le i \le l$ ,  $c_i$  is an octet string of length BC.BlockLen. Also, let  $c_0$  be the octet string consisting of BC.BlockLen copies of the octet Oct(0).
- c) For  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , let  $M'_i = BC.Decrypt(k, c_i) \oplus c_{i-1}$ .
- d) Let  $P_1$  be the last octet of  $M'_l$ , and let  $padLen = BS2IP(P_1)$ .

- e) If  $padLen \notin [1 ... BC.BlockLen]$ , then **fail**.
- f) Check that the last padLen octets of  $M'_l$  are equal to  $P_1$ ; if not, then fail.
- g) Let  $M''_l$  be the octet string consisting of the first BC.BlockLen padLen octets of  $M'_l$ .
- h) Set  $M = M'_1 \parallel \cdots \parallel M'_{l-1} \parallel M''_l$ .
- i) Output M.

#### 6.5.3 SC2

#### 6.5.3.1 System parameters

SC2 is a family of symmetric ciphers, parameterized by the following system parameters:

- *KDF*: a key derivation function, as described in Clause 6.2;
- KeyLen: a positive integer.

#### 6.5.3.2 Specification

The value of SC2. KeyLen is equal to the value of the system parameter KeyLen.

The function SC2.Encrypt(k, M) works as follows.

- a) Set mask = KDF(k, |M|).
- b) Set  $c = mask \oplus M$ .
- c) Output c.

The function SC2.Decrypt(k, c) works as follows.

- a) Set mask = KDF(k, |c|).
- b) Set  $M = mask \oplus c$ .
- c) Output M.

## 7 Asymmetric ciphers

An asymmetric cipher AC consists of three algorithms:

- A key generation algorithm AC.KeyGen(), that outputs a public-key/private-key pair (PK, pk). The structure of PK and pk depends on the particular cipher.
- An encryption algorithm AC.Encrypt(PK, L, M, opt) that takes as input a public key PK, a label L, a plaintext M, and an encryption option opt, and outputs a ciphertext C. Note that L, M, and C are octet strings. See Clause 7.2 below for more on *labels*. See Clause 7.4 below for more on *encryption options*.

### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

The encryption algorithm may fail if the lengths L or M exceed some implementation-defined limits.

— A decryption algorithm AC.Decrypt(pk, L, C) that takes as input a private key pk, a label L, and a ciphertext C, and outputs a plaintext M.

The decryption algorithm may fail under some circumstances.

In general, the key generation and encryption algorithms will be probabilistic algorithms, while the decryption algorithm is deterministic.

NOTE 1 The intent is that all of the asymmetric ciphers described in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 provide reasonable security against adaptive chosen ciphertext attack (as defined in [30], and which is equivalent to a notion of "non-malleability" defined in [17]). This notion of security is generally regarded by the cryptographic research community as the appropriate form of security that a general-purpose asymmetric cipher should provide. The formal definition of this notion of security is presented in Annex B.4, appropriately adapted to take into account variable length plaintexts and the role of *labels*; also, a slightly weaker notion of security, called "benign malleability," is defined. This notion of "benign malleability" is also adequate for most, if not all, applications of asymmetric ciphers, and some of the asymmetric ciphers described in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 only achieve this level of security.

NOTE 2 A basic requirement of any asymmetric cipher is *correctness*: for any public-key/private-key pair (PK, pk), for any label/plaintext pair (L, M), such that the lengths of L and M do not exceed the implementation-defined limits, any encryption of M with label L under PK decrypts with label L under pk to the original plaintext M. This requirement may be relaxed, so that it holds only for all but a negligible fraction of public-key/private-key pairs.

NOTE 3 As an example of an asymmetric cipher AC for which the above correctness requirement may not always hold, consider any RSA-based cipher where the modulus n=pq, where p and q should be prime. The key generation algorithm may use a probabilistic algorithm for testing if p and q are prime, and this algorithm may produce incorrect results with a negligible probability; if this happens, the decryption algorithm may not be the inverse of the encryption algorithm.

#### 7.1 Plaintext length

It is important to note that plaintexts may be of arbitrary and variable length, although an implementation may impose a (typically, very large) upper bound on this length.

However, two degenerate types of asymmetric ciphers are defined as follows:

- A fixed-plaintext-length asymmetric cipher AC only encrypts plaintexts whose length (in octets) is equal to a fixed value AC.MsgLen.
- A bounded-plaintext-length asymmetric cipher AC only encrypts plaintexts whose length (in octets) is less than or equal to a fixed value AC.MaxMsgLen(PK). Here, the maximum plaintext length may depend on the public key PK of the cipher.

NOTE Except for fixed-plaintext-length and bounded-plaintext-length asymmetric ciphers, the encryption of a plaintext will in general not hide the length of the plaintext. Therefore, it is up to the application using the asymmetric cipher to ensure, perhaps by an appropriate padding scheme, that no sensitive information is implicitly encoded in the length of a plaintext.

#### 7.2 The use of labels

A *label* is an octet string whose value is used by the encryption and decryption algorithms. It may contain public data that is implicit from context and need not be encrypted, but that should nevertheless be bound to the ciphertext.

A label is an octet string that is meaningful to the application using the asymmetric cipher, and that is independent of the implementation of the asymmetric cipher.

Labels may be of arbitrary and variable length, although a particular cipher may choose to impose a (very large) upper bound on this length.

A degenerate type of asymmetric cipher is defined as follows:

— A fixed-label-length asymmetric cipher is one in which the encryption and decryption algorithms only accept labels whose length (in octets) is equal to a fixed value AC.LabelLen.

NOTE 1 The traditional notion of security against adaptive chosen ciphertext attack has been extended in Annex B.4, so that intuitively, for a secure asymmetric cipher, the encryption algorithm should bind the label to the ciphertext in an appropriate "non-malleable" fashion.

NOTE 2 For example, there are key exchange protocols in which one party, say A, encrypts a session key K under the public key of the other party, say B. In order for the protocol to be secure, party A's identity (or public key or certificate) must be non-malleably bound to the ciphertext. One way to do this is simply to append this identity to the plaintext. However, this creates an unnecessarily large ciphertext, since A's identity is typically already known to B in the context of such a protocol. A good implementation of the labeling mechanism achieves the same effect, without increasing the size of the ciphertext.

#### 7.3 Ciphertext format

The asymmetric ciphers proposed in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 describe precisely how a ciphertext is to be formatted as an octet string. However, an implementation is free to store and/or transmit ciphertexts in alternative formats, if this is convenient. Moreover, the process of encrypting a plaintext and converting the resulting ciphertext into an alternative format may be collapsed into a single, functionally equivalent process; likewise, the process of converting from an alternative format and decrypting the ciphertext may be collapsed into a single, functionally equivalent process. Thus, in a given system, ciphertexts need never appear in the format prescribed here.

NOTE Besides promoting inter-operability, prescribing the format of a ciphertext is necessary in order to make meaningful claims and to reason about the security of an asymmetric cipher against adaptive chosen ciphertext attacks.

#### 7.4 Encryption options

Some asymmetric ciphers allow certain types of scheme-specific options to be passed to the encryption algorithm, which is why an extra encryption option argument opt is allowed in the abstract interface for an asymmetric cipher.

Some asymmetric ciphers presented here may naturally be viewed as not having any encryption options, in which case, the cipher is said to take no encryption option.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

A system may provide a "default" value of opt; however, such provisions are outside the scope of this part of ISO/IEC 18033.

NOTE Among the specific asymmetric ciphers described in this part of ISO/IEC 18033, only the elliptic-curve-based ciphers use an encryption option, which is used to indicate the desired format for encoding points on elliptic curves.

#### 7.5 Method of operation of an asymmetric cipher

Typically, the key generation algorithm is run by some party, known as the *owner* of the key pair, or by some trusted party on the owner's behalf. The public key shall be made available to all parties who wish to send encrypted messages to the owner, while the private key shall not be divulged to any party other than the owner. Mechanisms and protocols for making a public key available to other parties are out of the scope of this part of ISO/IEC 18033. See ISO/IEC 11770 for guidance on this issue.

Each of the asymmetric ciphers presented in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 are actually members of families of asymmetric ciphers, where a particular cipher is selected from the family by choosing particular values for the system parameters defining the family of ciphers.

For a cipher selected from a family of ciphers, prior to key generation, specific values of the system parameters for the family shall be chosen. Depending on the conventions used for encoding public keys, some of the choices of the system parameters may be embedded in the encoding of the public key as well. These system parameters shall remain fixed throughout the lifetime of the public key.

NOTE For example, if an asymmetric cipher may be parameterized in terms of a cryptographic hash function, the choice of hash function should be fixed once and for all at some point prior to the generation of a public-key/private-key pair, and the encryption and decryption algorithms should use the chosen hash function throughout the lifetime of the public key. Failure to abide by this rule not only makes an implementation non-conforming, but also invalidates the security analysis for the cipher, and may in some cases expose the implementation to severe security risks.

#### 7.6 Allowable asymmetric ciphers

Users who wish to employ an asymmetric cipher from this part of ISO/IEC 18033 shall select one of the following:

- a generic hybrid cipher chosen from the family HC of hybrid ciphers described in Clause 8.3;
- a bounded-plaintext-length asymmetric cipher from the family *RSAES* of ciphers described in Clause 11.4;
- a bounded-plaintext-length asymmetric cipher from the family HIME(R) of ciphers described in Clause 12.3.

NOTE As each of HC, RSAES, and HIME(R) are families of ciphers, parameterized by various system parameters, a user will have to choose specific values of these system parameters from the set of allowable system parameters specified in the corresponding clause in which each family is described.

# 8 Generic hybrid ciphers

In designing an efficient asymmetric cipher, a useful approach is to design a *hybrid cipher*, where one uses asymmetric cryptographic techniques to encrypt a secret key that can then be used to

encrypt the actual message using symmetric cryptographic techniques. This clause describes a specific type of hybrid cipher, called a *generic hybrid cipher*. A generic hybrid cipher is built from two lower-level "building blocks": a *key encapsulation mechanism* and a *data encapsulation mechanism*. Clause 8.3 specifies in detail the family HC of generic hybrid ciphers.

#### 8.1 Key encapsulation mechanisms

A key encapsulation mechanism KEM consists of three algorithms:

- A key generation algorithm KEM.KeyGen(), that outputs a public-key/private-key pair (PK, pk). The structure of PK and pk depends on the particular scheme.
- An encryption algorithm KEM.Encrypt(PK, opt) that takes as input a public key PK, along with an encryption option opt, and outputs a secret-key/ciphertext pair  $(K, C_0)$ . Both K and  $C_0$  are octet strings. The role of opt is analogous to its role in asymmetric ciphers (see Clause 7.4).
- A decryption algorithm  $KEM.Decrypt(pk, C_0)$  that takes as input a private key pk and a ciphertext  $C_0$ , and outputs a secret key K. Both K and  $C_0$  are octet strings.

The decryption algorithm may fail under some circumstances.

A key encapsulation mechanism also specifies a positive integer KEM.KeyLen — the length of the secret key output by KEM.Encrypt and KEM.Decrypt.

NOTE Any key encapsulation mechanism should satisfy a correctness property analogous to the correctness property of an asymmetric cipher: for any public-key/private-key pair (PK, pk), for any output  $(K, C_0)$  of the encryption algorithm on input (PK, opt), the ciphertext  $C_0$  should decrypt under pk to K. This requirement may be relaxed, so that it holds only for all but a negligible fraction of public-key/private-key pairs.

#### 8.1.1 Prefix-freeness property

Additionally, a key encapsulation mechanism must satisfy the following property. The set of all possible ciphertext outputs of the encryption algorithm should be a subset of a *candidate* set of octet strings (that may depend on the public key), such that the candidate set is prefix free and elements of the candidate set are easy to recognize (given either the public key or the private key).

#### 8.1.2 Allowable key encapsulation mechanisms

The key encapsulation mechanisms that are allowed in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 are

- $\longrightarrow$  ECIES-KEM (described in 10.2),
  - PSEC-KEM (described in 10.3),
  - ACE-KEM (described in 10.4),
  - FACE-KEM (described in 10.5), and
  - RSA-KEM (described in 11.5).

NOTE 1 As a matter of convention, the corresponding generic hybrid ciphers built from these key encapsulation mechanisms via the generic hybrid construction in 8.3 should be called (respectively) ECIES-HC, PSEC-HC, ACE-HC, RSA-HC, and FACE-HC. (A)

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

NOTE 2 Roughly speaking, a key encapsulation mechanism works just like an asymmetric cipher, except that the encryption algorithm takes no input other than the recipient's public key: instead of taking a message as input and producing a ciphertext, the encryption algorithm generates a secret-key/ciphertext pair  $(K, C_0)$ , where K is an octet string of some specified length, and  $C_0$  is an encryption of K, that is, the decryption algorithm applied to  $C_0$  yields K.

NOTE 3 One can always use a (possibly fixed-plaintext-length or bounded-plaintext-length) asymmetric cipher for this purpose, generating a random octet string K, and then encrypting it under the recipient's public key (and any encryption options) to obtain  $C_0$ . However, one can construct a key encapsulation mechanism in other, more efficient, ways as well.

NOTE 4 For the purposes of building a generic hybrid cipher that is secure against adaptive chosen ciphertext attack, there is a corresponding notion of security for a key encapsulation mechanism. This is discussed in detail in Annex B.5.

#### 8.2 Data encapsulation mechanisms

A data encapsulation mechanism DEM specifies a key length DEM.KeyLen, along with encryption and decryption algorithms:

— The encryption algorithm DEM.Encrypt(K, L, M) takes as input a secret key K, a label L, and a plaintext M. It outputs a ciphertext  $C_1$ . Here, K, L, M, and  $C_1$  are octet strings, and L and M may have arbitrary length, and K is of length DEM.KeyLen.

The encryption algorithm may fail if the lengths L or M exceed some (very large) implementation-defined limits.

— The decryption algorithm  $DEM.Decrypt(K, L, C_1)$  takes as input a secret key K, a label L, and a ciphertext  $C_1$ . It outputs a plaintext M.

The decryption algorithm may fail under some circumstances.

NOTE The encryption and decryption algorithms should be deterministic, and should satisfy the following correctness requirement: for all secret keys K, all labels L, and all plaintexts M, such that the lengths of L and M do not exceed the implementation-defined limits,

$$DEM.Decrypt(K, L, DEM.Encrypt(K, L, M)) = M.$$

#### 8.2.1 Degenerate types of data encapsulation mechanisms

Two different, degenerate types of data encapsulation mechanisms are defined as follows:

- A fixed-label-length data encapsulation mechanism is one for which the encryption and decryption algorithms only accept labels whose lengths are equal to a fixed value DEM.LabelLen.
- A fixed-plaintext-length data encapsulation mechanism is one for which the encryption algorithm only accepts plaintexts whose lengths are equal to a fixed value DEM.MsgLen.

#### 8.2.2 Allowable data encapsulation mechanisms

The data encapsulation mechanisms that are allowed in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 are described in Clause 9.

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

NOTE 1 Roughly speaking, a data encapsulation mechanism provides a "digital envelope" that protects both the confidentiality and integrity of data using symmetric cryptographic techniques; it may also bind the data to a public label.

NOTE 2 For the purposes of building a generic hybrid cipher that is secure against adaptive chosen ciphertext attack, there is a corresponding notion of security for a data encapsulation mechanism. This is discussed in detail in Annex B.6.

#### 8.3 HC

#### 8.3.1 System parameters

HC is a family of asymmetric ciphers parameterized by the following system parameters:

- KEM: a key encapsulation mechanism, as described in Clause 8.1;
- DEM: a data encapsulation mechanism, as described in Clause 8.2.

Any combination of KEM and DEM may be used, provided KEM.KeyLen = DEM.KeyLen.

NOTE 1 If DEM is a fixed-label-length data encapsulation mechanism, with labels restricted to length DEM.LabelLen, then HC is a fixed-label-length asymmetric cipher with HC.LabelLen = DEM.LabelLen.

NOTE 2 If DEM is a fixed-plaintext-length data encapsulation mechanism, with plaintexts restricted to length DEM.MsqLen, then HC is a fixed-plaintext-length asymmetric cipher with HC.MsqLen = DEM.MsgLen.

NOTE 3 For all the allowable choices of KEM, the value of KEM. KeyLen is a system parameter that may be chosen so as to equal DEM.KeyLen. Thus, all possible combinations of allowable KEM and *DEM* may be realized by appropriate choices of system parameters.

#### 8.3.2 **Key generation**

The key generation algorithm, public key, and private key for HC are the same as that of KEM. The encryption options of HC are the same as that of KEM.

Let (PK, pk) denote a public-key/private-key pair.

#### 8.3.3 Encryption

The encryption algorithm HC.Encrypt takes as input a public key PK, a label L, a plaintext M, and an encryption option opt. It runs as follows.

- Compute  $(K, C_0) = KEM.Encrypt(PK, opt)$ . a)
- Compute  $C_1 = DEM.Encrypt(K, L, M)$ .
- Set  $C = C_0 \| C_1$ .
- Output C. d)

#### 8.3.4 Decryption

The decryption algorithm HC.Decrypt takes as input a private key pk, a label L, and a ciphertext C. It runs as follows.

- a) Using the prefix-freeness property of the ciphertexts associated with KEM (see Clause 8.1.1), parse C as  $C = C_0 \parallel C_1$ , where  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  are octet strings such that  $C_0$  is an element of the candidate set of possible ciphertexts associated with KEM. This step fails if C cannot be so parsed.
- b) Compute  $K = KEM.Decrypt(pk, C_0)$ .
- c) Compute  $M = DEM.Decrypt(K, L, C_1)$
- d) Output M.

NOTE The security of HC is discussed in Annex B.7. It is only remarked here that so long as KEM and DEM satisfy the appropriate security properties, then HC will be secure against adaptive chosen ciphertext attack.

### 9 Constructions of data encapsulation mechanisms

This clause specifies the data encapsulation mechanisms that are allowed in this part of ISO/IEC 18033. These mechanisms are

- *DEM1*, described below in Clause 9.1,
- *DEM2*, described below in Clause 9.2, and
- *DEM3*, described below in Clause 9.3.

#### 9.1 *DEM1*

#### 9.1.1 System parameters

DEM1 is a family of data encapsulation mechanisms, parameterized by the following system parameters:

- SC: a symmetric cipher, as described in Clause 6.5;
- MA: a MAC algorithm, as described in Clause 6.3.

The value of DEM1.KeyLen is defined as DEM1.KeyLen = SC.KeyLen + MA.KeyLen.

#### 9.1.2 Encryption

The algorithm DEM1.Encrypt takes as input a secret key K, a label L, and a plaintext M. It runs as follows.

a) Parse K as  $K = k \parallel k'$ , where k and k' are octet strings such that |k| = SC.KeyLen and |k'| = MA.KeyLen.

- Compute c = SC.Encrypt(k, M). b)
- Let  $T = c \| L \| I2OSP(8 \cdot |L|, 8)$ .
- Compute MAC = MA.eval(k', T).
- Set  $C_1 = c \parallel MAC$ .
- Output  $C_1$ . f)

#### Decryption

The algorithm DEM1.Decrypt takes as input a secret key K, a label L, and a ciphertext  $C_1$ . It runs as follows.

- Parse K as  $K = k \parallel k'$ , where k and k' are octet strings such that |k| = SC.KeyLen and |k'| = MA.KeyLen.
- If  $|C_1| < MA.MACLen$ , then **fail**.
- Parse  $C_1$  as  $C_1 = c \parallel MAC$ , where c and MAC are octet strings such that |MAC| =MA.MACLen.
- Let  $T = c \| L \| I2OSP(8 \cdot |L|, 8)$ .
- Compute MAC' = MA.eval(k', T). e)
- If  $MAC \neq MAC'$ , then fail. f)
- Compute M = SC.Decrypt(k, c).
- Output M. h)

NOTE A detailed discussion of the security of this construction is found in Annex B.6.1. It is only remarked here that provided the underlying SC and MA satisfy the appropriate security requirements, then so too will DEM1.

#### 9.2 DEM2

#### System parameters

DEM2 is a family of fixed-label-length data encapsulation mechanisms, parameterized by the following system parameters:

- SC: a symmetric cipher, as described in Clause 6.5;
- MA: a MAC algorithm, as described in Clause 6.3;
- LabelLen: a non-negative integer.

The value of DEM2.LabelLen is defined to be equal to the value of the system parameter LabelLen.

The value of DEM2.KeyLen is defined as DEM2.KeyLen = SC.KeyLen + MA.KeyLen.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### 9.2.2 Encryption

The algorithm DEM2.Encrypt takes as input a secret key K, a label L of length LabelLen, and a plaintext M. It runs as follows.

- a) Parse K as  $K = k \parallel k'$ , where k and k' are octet strings such that |k| = SC.KeyLen and |k'| = MA.KeyLen.
- b) Compute c = SC.Encrypt(k, M).
- c) Let  $T = c \parallel L$ .
- d) Compute MAC = MA.eval(k', T).
- e) Set  $C_1 = c \parallel MAC$ .
- f) Output  $C_1$ .

#### 9.2.3 Decryption

The algorithm DEM2.Decrypt takes as input a secret key K, a label L of length LabelLen, and a ciphertext  $C_1$ . It runs as follows.

- a) Parse K as  $K = k \parallel k'$ , where k and k' are octet strings such that |k| = SC.KeyLen and |k'| = MA.KeyLen.
- b) If  $|C_1| < MA.MACLen$ , then fail.
- c) Parse  $C_1$  as  $C_1 = c \parallel MAC$ , where c and MAC are octet strings such that |MAC| = MA.MACLen.
- d) Let  $T = c \parallel L$ .
- e) Compute MAC' = MA.eval(k', T).
- f) If  $MAC \neq MAC'$ , then fail.
- g) Compute M = SC.Decrypt(k, c).
- h) Output M.

NOTE 1 A detailed discussion of the security of this construction is found in Annex B.6.1. It is only remarked here that provided the underlying SC and MA satisfy the appropriate security requirements, then so too will DEM2.

NOTE 2 DEM2 is provided mainly for compatibility with other standards.

#### 9.3 *DEM3*

#### 9.3.1 System parameters

*DEM3* is a family of fixed-plaintext-length data encapsulation mechanisms, parameterized by the following system parameters:

- MA: a MAC algorithm, as described in Clause 6.3;
- *MsgLen*: a positive integer.

The value of *DEM3.MsqLen* is defined to be equal to the value of the system parameter *MsqLen*.

The value of DEM3.KeyLen is defined as DEM3.KeyLen = MsgLen + MA.KeyLen.

#### 9.3.2Encryption

The algorithm DEM3.Encrypt takes as input a secret key K, a label L, and a plaintext M of length *MsqLen*. It runs as follows.

- Parse K as  $K = k \parallel k'$ , where k and k' are octet strings such that |k| = MsgLen and |k'| = MA.KeyLen.
- Compute  $c = k \oplus M$ .
- Let  $T = c \parallel L$ .
- Compute MAC = MA.eval(k', T).
- e) Set  $C_1 = c \parallel MAC$ .
- Output  $C_1$ .

#### 9.3.3 Decryption

The algorithm DEM3.Decrypt takes as input a secret key K, a label L, and a ciphertext  $C_1$ . It runs as follows.

- Parse K as  $K = k \| k'$ , where k and k' are octet strings such that |k| = MsgLen and |k'| = MA.KeyLen.
- If  $|C_1| \neq MsgLen + MA.MACLen$ , then fail.
- Parse  $C_1$  as  $C_1 = c \parallel MAC$ , where c and MAC are octet strings such that |c| = MsgLenand |MAC| = MA.MACLen.
- Let  $T = c \parallel L$ . d)
- Compute MAC' = MA.eval(k', T).
- If  $MAC \neq MAC'$ , then fail.
- Compute  $M = k \oplus c$ .
- Output M.

NOTE 1 A detailed discussion of the security of this construction is found in Annex B.6.1. It is only remarked here that provided the underlying MA satisfies the appropriate security requirement, then so too will DEM3.

NOTE 2 DEM3 is provided mainly for compatibility with other standards.

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

## 10 ElGamal-based key encapsulation mechanisms

This clause describes several key encapsulation mechanisms based on the discrete logarithm problem:

- ECIES-KEM is described in Clause 10.2;
- *PSEC-KEM* is described in Clause 10.3;
- ACE-KEM is described in Clause 10.4.
- $\longrightarrow$  FACE-KEM is described in 10.5.

NOTE All of these schemes are variations on the original ElGamal encryption scheme [18].

#### 10.1 Concrete groups

ElGamal encryption is based on arithmetic in a finite group. For the purposes of describing key encapsulation mechanisms based on ElGamal encryption, a group is described as an abstract data type. The description and analysis of these schemes relies on this abstract interface; however, this part of ISO/IEC 18033 only allows an implementation to use certain types of groups when instantiating this abstract data type.

As a matter of convention, additive notation will always be used for a group. Also, group elements will be typeset in boldface, and **0** denotes the identity element of the group.

A concrete group  $\Gamma$  is a tuple  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{g}, \mu, \nu, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}', \mathcal{D}')$ , where:

- $\mathcal{H}$  is a finite abelian group in which all group computations are actually performed. Note that this group need not be cyclic.
- $\mathcal{G}$  is a *cyclic* subgroup of  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- **g** is a generator for  $\mathcal{G}$ .
- $\mu$  is the order (i.e., size) of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and  $\nu$  is the index of  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , i.e.,  $\nu = |\mathcal{H}|/\mu$ .

It is required that  $\mu$  is prime. For some cryptographic schemes, it is further required that  $gcd(\mu, \nu) = 1$ .

—  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{a}, fmt)$  is an "encoding" function that maps a group element  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{H}$  to an octet string.

The second argument fmt is a format specifier that is used to choose from one of a small number of several possible formats for the encoding of a group element. The allowable values of fmt depend on the group.

The following requirements shall be met:

- The set of all outputs of  $\mathcal{E}$  is prefix free.
- The identity element has a unique encoding; that is, for all format specifiers fmt, fmt', we have  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{0}, fmt) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{0}, fmt')$ .

# BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

— Except on the identity element, the encoding function is one to one; that is, for all  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in \mathcal{H}$  and for all format specifiers fmt, fmt', if  $(\mathbf{a}, fmt) \neq (\mathbf{a}', fmt')$ , and if either  $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{0}$  or  $\mathbf{a}' \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{a}, fmt) \neq \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{a}', fmt')$ .

An octet string x is called a *valid encoding* of a group element  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{H}$  if  $x = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{a}, fmt)$  for some format specifier fmt.

- $\mathcal{D}(x)$  is the function that **fails** if x is not a valid encoding of an element of  $\mathcal{H}$ ; otherwise, it returns the unique group element  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{a}, fmt) = x$  for some format specifier fmt.
- $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{a})$  is a "partial encoding" function that maps a group element  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{H}$  to an octet string. It is required that the set of all outputs of  $\mathcal{E}'$  is prefix free.

An octet string x is called a valid partial encoding of a group element **a** if  $x = \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{a})$ .

—  $\mathcal{D}'(x)$  is a function that either **fails** if x is not a valid partial encoding of an element of  $\mathcal{H}$ ; otherwise, it returns the set containing all group elements  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{a}) = x$ . It is assumed that the size of this set is bounded by a small constant.

It is assumed that arithmetic in  $\mathcal{H}$  can be carried out efficiently. Also, all of the above algorithms should have efficient implementations. The function  $\mathcal{D}'$  will never be used by any of the schemes, but the existence of this function is necessary to analyze their security.

It is also assumed that one can efficiently test if an element of  $\mathcal{H}$  lies in the subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$ . Note that if all elements in  $\mathcal{H}$  of order  $\mu$  lie in  $\mathcal{G}$ , then one can test if  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{G}$  by testing if  $\mu \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$ . This test is therefore applicable if  $\mathcal{H}$  is itself cyclic, or if  $\gcd(\mu, \nu) = 1$ . For specific groups, there may be more efficient tests of subgroup membership.

A set  $\{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{a}_1, fmt_1), \dots, \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{a}_m, fmt_m)\}$  of valid encodings of group elements is called *consistent* if the encodings of all non-identity group elements use the same format specifier; that is, for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ , if  $\mathbf{a}_i \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_j \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then  $fmt_i = fmt_j$ . Given the above assumptions, one can efficiently test if a given set of valid encodings is consistent.

NOTE Different cryptographic applications will make different intractability assumptions about a group. These assumptions are discussed in Annex B.8.

#### 10.1.1 Allowable concrete groups

This part of ISO/IEC 18033 allows only the following two families of concrete groups, described below in Clauses 10.1.2 and 10.1.3.

#### 10.1.2 Subgroups of explicitly given finite fields

Let F be an explicitly given finite field, as defined in Clause 5.3, and consider the multiplicative group  $F^*$  of units in F. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote  $F^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote any prime-order subgroup of  $F^*$ , and let  $\mathbf{g}$  be a generator for  $\mathcal{G}$ . Set  $\mu = |\mathcal{G}|$  and  $\nu = (|F| - 1)/\mu$ .

Because  $\mathcal{H}$  is itself cyclic, it follows that  $\mathcal{G}$  contains all elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  whose order divides  $\mu$ , even if  $\gcd(\mu,\nu) \neq 1$ . Thus, one may always test if an element  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{H}$  lies in  $\mathcal{G}$  by testing if  $\mu \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$ ; there may, however, be other, more efficient tests; for example, if F is a prime finite field, and  $\nu = 2$ , this test may be implemented via a Jacobi symbol computation.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

The encoding map  $\mathcal{E}$  is implemented using the function  $FE2OSP_F$ , so that all group elements are encoded as octet strings of length  $\lceil \log_{256} |F| \rceil$ . Only one format is allowed. The map  $\mathcal{D}$  is implemented using  $OS2FEP_F$ , and **fails** if  $OS2FEP_F$  **fails** or yields  $0_F$ . The function  $\mathcal{E}'$  is the same as  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}'$  is the same as  $\mathcal{D}$ .

#### 10.1.3 Subgroups of Elliptic Curves

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over an explicitly given finite field F, as in Clause 5.4. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote this group E. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote a prime-order subgroup of  $\mathcal{H}$ , and let  $\mathbf{g}$  be a generator for  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\mu$  be the order of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and  $\nu$  be its index in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Observe that  $\mathcal{H}$  is not in general cyclic. If  $\gcd(\mu, \nu) = 1$ , then one may test if an element  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{H}$  lies in  $\mathcal{G}$  by testing if  $\mu \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$ . If  $\gcd(\mu, \nu) \neq 1$ , then more information about the group structure of E is required in order to construct an efficient test for membership in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

The encoding/decoding maps  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are implemented using the functions  $EC2OSP_E$  and  $OS2ECP_E$ . Thus, the encoding of a point is an octet string of length either 1,  $1 + \lceil \log_{256} |F| \rceil$ , or  $1 + 2\lceil \log_{256} |F| \rceil$ . The set of allowable format specifiers may be chosen to be any non-empty subset of {uncompressed, compressed, hybrid}. Thus, a concrete group defined using an elliptic curve may, but need not, allow multiple encoding formats.

The partial encoding map  $\mathcal{E}'$  is defined as follows. Given a point P on E, if  $P = \mathcal{O}$ , then the output is  $FE2OSP_F(0_F)$ , and if  $P = (x, y) \neq \mathcal{O}$ , where  $x, y \in F$ , then the output is  $FE2OSP_F(x)$ . Thus, the output of  $\mathcal{E}'$  is an octet string of length  $\lceil \log_{256} |F| \rceil$ .

#### 10.2 ECIES-KEM

This clause describes the key encapsulation mechanism *ECIES-KEM*.

NOTE ECIES-KEM is based on the work of Abdalla, Bellare, and Rogaway [1, 2].

#### 10.2.1 System parameters

ECIES-KEM is a family of key encapsulation mechanisms, parameterized by the following system parameters:

— Γ: a concrete group

$$\Gamma = (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{g}, \mu, \nu, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}', \mathcal{D}'),$$

as described in Clause 10.1;

- KDF: a key derivation function, as described in Clause 6.2;
- CofactorMode: one of two values: 0 or 1.
- *OldCofactorMode*: one of two values: 0 or 1.
- *CheckMode*: one of two values: 0 or 1.
- SingleHashMode: one of two values: 0 or 1.
- KeyLen: a positive integer.

Any combination of system parameters is allowed, except for the following restrictions:

- At most one of CofactorMode, OldCofactorMode, and CheckMode may be 1.
- If  $\nu > 1$  and CheckMode = 0, then we must have  $gcd(\mu, \nu) = 1$ .

The value of ECIES-KEM.KeyLen is defined to be equal to the value of the system parameter KeyLen.

NOTE The values of CofactorMode and CheckMode are used only by the decryption algorithm.

#### 10.2.2 Key generation

The key generation algorithm ECIES-KEM.KeyGen takes no input, and runs as follows.

- a) Generate a random number  $x \in [1 ... \mu)$ .
- b) Compute  $\mathbf{h} = x \cdot \mathbf{g}$ .
- c) Output the public key:
  - **h**: a non-zero element of  $\mathcal{G}$ .
- d) Output the private key:
  - x: an integer in the set  $[1..\mu)$

#### 10.2.3 Encryption

The encryption algorithm ECIES-KEM. Encrypt takes as input a public key, consisting of  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , together with an encryption option fmt that specifies the format to be used for encoding group elements. It runs as follows.

- a) Generate a random number  $r \in [1 ... \mu)$ .
- b) If OldCofactorMode = 1, then set  $r' = r \cdot \nu \mod \mu$ ; otherwise, set r' = r.
- c) Compute  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = r \cdot \mathbf{g}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = r' \cdot \mathbf{h}$ .
- d) Set  $C_0 = \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, fmt)$ .
- e) If Single Hash Mode = 1, then let Z be the null octet string; otherwise, let  $Z = C_0$ .
- f) Set  $PEH = \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{h})$ .
- g) Set  $K = KDF(Z \parallel PEH, KeyLen)$ .
- h) Output the ciphertext  $C_0$  and the secret key K.

#### 10.2.4 Decryption

The decryption algorithm ECIES-KEM. Decrypt takes as input a private key, consisting of  $x \in [1..\mu)$ , and a ciphertext  $C_0$ . It runs as follows.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- a) Set  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \mathcal{D}(C_0)$ ; this step **fails** if  $C_0$  is not a valid encoding of an element of  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- b) If CheckMode = 1, test if  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} \in \mathcal{G}$ ; if not, then **fail**.
- c) If CofactorMode = 1 or OldCofactorMode = 1, set  $\hat{\mathbf{g}} = \nu \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ ; otherwise, set  $\hat{\mathbf{g}} = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ .
- d) If CofactorMode = 1, then set  $\hat{x} = \nu^{-1}x \mod \mu$ ; otherwise, set  $\hat{x} = x$ .
- e) Compute  $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = \hat{x} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{g}}$ .
- f) If  $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = \mathbf{0}$ , then **fail**.
- g) If Single Hash Mode = 1, then let Z be the null octet string; otherwise, let  $Z = C_0$ .
- h) Set  $PEH = \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\mathbf{h}})$ .
- i) Set  $K = KDF(Z \parallel PEH, KeyLen)$ .
- j) Output the secret key K.

NOTE 1 Using CofactorMode = 1 or OldCofactorMode = 1 may yield a significant performance benefit if  $\nu$  is fairly small. An advantage of using CofactorMode = 1 is that the behavior of the encryption algorithm is not affected by the value of CofactorMode.

NOTE 2 When using CofactorMode = 1, an implementation could simply pre-compute and store the value  $\hat{x}$ , instead of the value x.

NOTE 3 When using Single Hash Mode = 1, even if  $\Gamma$  supports multiple encoding formats, the value of fmt used during encryption does not affect any of the computations, except for the format of the resulting ciphertext. Thus, given a ciphertext  $C_0$  that is an encoding of a group element  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ , any ciphertext  $C_0'$  that is also an encoding of  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$  will decrypt in the same way as  $C_0$ .

NOTE 4 A discussion of the security of this scheme can be found in Annex B.9.

#### 10.3 PSEC-KEM

This clause describes the key encapsulation mechanism *PSEC-KEM*.

NOTE *PSEC-KEM* is based on the work of Fujisaki and Okamoto [26].

#### 10.3.1 System parameters

PSEC-KEM is a family of key encapsulation mechanisms, parameterized by the following system parameters:

— Γ: a concrete group

$$\Gamma = (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{g}, \mu, \nu, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}', \mathcal{D}'),$$

as described in Clause 10.1;

- KDF: a key derivation function, as described in Clause 6.2;
- SeedLen: a positive integer;
- KeyLen: a positive integer.

#### 10.3.2 Key Generation

The key generation algorithm  $PSEC ext{-}KEM.KeyGen$  takes no input, and runs as follows.

- a) Generate a random number  $x \in [0..\mu)$ .
- b) Compute  $\mathbf{h} = x \cdot \mathbf{g}$ .
- c) Output the public key:
  - **h**: an element of  $\mathcal{G}$ .
- d) Output the private key:
  - x: an integer in the set  $[0..\mu)$ .

#### 10.3.3 Encryption

Let 
$$I0 = I2OSP(0,4)$$
 and  $I1 = I2OSP(1,4)$ .

The encryption algorithm PSEC-KEM.Encrypt takes as input a public key, consisting of  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{G}$ , together with an encryption option fmt that specifies the format to be used for encoding group elements. It runs as follows.

- a) Generate a random octet string seed of length SeedLen.
- b) Compute  $t=KDF(I0\parallel seed,\lceil\log_{256}\mu\rceil+16+KeyLen),$  an octet string of length  $\lceil\log_{256}\mu\rceil+16+KeyLen.$
- c) Parse t as  $t = u \parallel K$ , where u and K are octet strings such that  $|u| = \lceil \log_{256} \mu \rceil + 16$  and |K| = KeyLen.
- d) Compute  $r = OS2IP(u) \mod \mu$ .
- e) Compute  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = r \cdot \mathbf{g}$  and  $\dot{\mathbf{h}} = r \cdot \mathbf{h}$ .
- f) Set  $EG = \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, fmt)$  and  $PEH = \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\mathbf{h}})$ .
- g) Set  $SeedMask = KDF(I1 \parallel EG \parallel PEH, SeedLen)$ .
- h) Set  $MaskedSeed = seed \oplus SeedMask$ .
- i) Set  $C_0 = EG \parallel MaskedSeed$ .
- j) Output the secret key K and the ciphertext  $C_0$ .

#### 10.3.4 Decryption

Let 
$$I0 = I2OSP(0, 4)$$
 and  $I1 = I2OSP(1, 4)$ .

The decryption algorithm PSEC-KEM. Decrypt takes as input a private key, consisting of  $x \in [0...\mu)$ , and a ciphertext  $C_0$ . It runs as follows.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- a) Parse  $C_0$  as  $C_0 = EG \parallel MaskedSeed$ , EG and MaskedSeed are octet strings such that |MaskedSeed| = SeedLen; this step **fails** if  $|C_0| < SeedLen$ .
- b) Set  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \mathcal{D}(EG)$ ; this step fails if EG is not a valid encoding of a group element.
- c) Compute  $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = x \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ .
- d) Set  $PEH = \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\mathbf{h}})$ .
- e) Set  $SeedMask = KDF(I1 \parallel EG \parallel PEH, SeedLen)$ .
- f) Set  $seed = MaskedSeed \oplus SeedMask$ .
- g) Compute

$$t = \mathit{KDF}(\mathit{I0} \parallel \mathit{seed}, \lceil \log_{256} \mu \rceil + 16 + \mathit{KeyLen}),$$

an octet string of length  $\lceil \log_{256} \mu \rceil + 16 + KeyLen$ .

- h) Parse t as  $t = u \parallel K$ , where u and K are octet strings such that  $|u| = \lceil \log_{256} \mu \rceil + 16$  and |K| = KeyLen.
- i) Compute  $r = OS2IP(u) \mod \mu$ .
- j) Compute  $\bar{\mathbf{g}} = r \cdot \mathbf{g}$ .
- k) Test if  $\bar{\mathbf{g}} = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ ; if not, then **fail**.
- 1) Output the secret key K.

NOTE A discussion of the security of this scheme can be found in Annex B.10.

#### 10.4 ACE-KEM

This clause describes the key encapsulation mechanism ACE-KEM.

NOTE ACE-KEM is based on the work of Cramer and Shoup [13, 14].

#### 10.4.1 System parameters

ACE-KEM is a family of key encapsulation mechanisms, parameterized by the following system parameters:

— Γ: a concrete group

$$\Gamma = (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{g}, \mu, \nu, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}', \mathcal{D}'),$$

as described in Clause 10.1;

- KDF: a key derivation function, as described in Clause 6.2;
- *Hash*: a cryptographic hash function, as described in Clause 6.1;
- *CofactorMode*: one of two values: 0 or 1.
- KeyLen: a positive integer.

Any combination of allowable system parameters is allowed, except for the following restrictions:

- *Hash.len* must be less than  $\log_{256} \mu$ .
- If  $\nu = 1$ , then CofactorMode should be 0.
- If  $\nu > 1$ , CofactorMode may be 1 provided  $gcd(\mu, \nu) = 1$ .

NOTE The value of *CofactorMode* is used only by the decryption algorithm.

#### 10.4.2 Key generation

The key generation algorithm ACE-KEM.KeyGen takes no input, and runs as follows.

- a) Generate random numbers  $w, x, y, z \in [0..\mu)$ .
- b) Compute the group elements

$$\mathbf{g}' = w \cdot \mathbf{g}, \ \mathbf{c} = x \cdot \mathbf{g}, \ \mathbf{d} = y \cdot \mathbf{g}, \ \mathbf{h} = z \cdot \mathbf{g}.$$

- c) Output the public key:
  - $\mathbf{g}', \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}$ : elements of  $\mathcal{G}$ .
- d) Output the private key:
  - w, x, y, z: integers in the set  $[0..\mu)$ .

#### 10.4.3 Encryption

The encryption algorithm ACE-KEM. Encrypt takes as input a public key, consisting of

$$\mathbf{g}', \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{G},$$

together with an encryption option fmt that specifies the format to be used for encoding group elements. It runs as follows.

- a) Generate a random number  $r \in [0..\mu)$ .
- b) Compute group elements

$$\mathbf{u} = r \cdot \mathbf{g}, \ \mathbf{u}' = r \cdot \mathbf{g}', \ \tilde{\mathbf{h}} = r \cdot \mathbf{h}.$$

c) Compute the octet strings

$$EU = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}, fmt), \ EU' = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}', fmt).$$

d) Compute the integer

$$\alpha = OS2IP(Hash.eval(EU \parallel EU')).$$

e) Compute the integer

$$r' = \alpha \cdot r \mod \mu$$
.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

f) Compute the group element

$$\mathbf{v} = r \cdot \mathbf{c} + r' \cdot \mathbf{d}.$$

- g) Set  $EV = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{v}, fmt)$ .
- h) Set  $PEH = \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\mathbf{h}})$ .
- i) Set  $C_0 = EU || EU' || EV$ .
- j) Set  $K = KDF(EU \parallel PEH, KeyLen)$ .
- k) Output the ciphertext  $C_0$  and the secret key K.

#### 10.4.4 Decryption

The decryption algorithm ACE-KEM. Decrypt takes as input a private key, consisting of

$$w, x, y, z \in [0 \dots \mu),$$

and a ciphertext  $C_0$ . It runs as follows.

- a) Parse  $C_0$  as  $C_0 = EU \parallel EU' \parallel EV$ , where EU, EU', and EV are octet strings such that for some (uniquely determined) group elements  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have  $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{D}(EU)$ ,  $\mathbf{u}' = \mathcal{D}(EU')$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{D}(EV)$ . This step **fails** if  $C_0$  cannot be so parsed.
- b) Check that  $\{EU, EU', EV\}$  is a consistent set of valid encodings; if not, then fail.
- c) If CofactorMode = 1, set

 $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \nu \cdot \mathbf{u}, \ \hat{w} = \nu^{-1} w \mod \mu, \ \hat{x} = \nu^{-1} x \mod \mu, \ \hat{y} = \nu^{-1} y \mod \mu, \ \hat{z} = \nu^{-1} z \mod \mu;$  otherwise, set

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u}, \ \hat{w} = w, \ \hat{x} = x, \ \hat{y} = y, \ \hat{z} = z.$$

- d) If  $CofactorMode \neq 1$  and  $\nu > 1$ : test if  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{G}$ ; if  $\mathbf{u} \notin \mathcal{G}$ , then fail.
- e) Compute the integer

$$\alpha = OS2IP(Hash.eval(EU \parallel EU'))$$

f) Compute the integer

$$t = \hat{x} + \hat{y}\alpha \mod \mu$$
.

g) Test if

$$\hat{w} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u}' \text{ and } t \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{v}.$$

If not, then **fail**.

h) Compute the group element

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = \hat{z} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}$$
.

i) Set  $PEH = \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\mathbf{h}})$ .

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- j) Set  $K = KDF(EU \parallel PEH, KeyLen)$ .
- k) Output the secret key K.

For security reasons, it is recommended that an implementation reveals no information about the cause of the error in Step g. In particular, an implementation should output the same error message at the same time, regardless of the cause of error.

NOTE 1 Using CofactorMode = 1 may yield a performance benefit if  $\nu$  is fairly small. Note that in this mode, an implementation could simply pre-compute and store the values  $\hat{w}, \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$ , instead of the values w, x, y, z.

NOTE 2 An implementation is free to use the following, functionally equivalent, version of the decryption algorithm. The implementation need not necessarily compute  $\mathbf{u}'$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  in Step a of the decryption algorithm, but rather, simply syntactically parse  $C_0$ , obtaining EU, EU', and EV, and convert only EU to a group element  $\mathbf{u}$ . Step b may be omitted. Then the test in Step g of the decryption algorithm runs as follows: if  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ , then test if EU' and EV are (the unique) encodings of  $\mathbf{0}$ ; otherwise, let fmt be the format specifier of EU (which is evident from EU itself), and test if  $\mathcal{E}(w \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}, fmt) = EU'$  and  $\mathcal{E}(t \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}, fmt) = EV$ .

NOTE 3 A detailed discussion of the security of this scheme can be found in Annex B.11.

#### $|A_1\rangle$ 10.5 FACE-KEM

The key encapsulation mechanism FACE-KEM is described in 10.5.

NOTE FACE-KEM is based on a series of research papers (see References [43] to [46]).

#### 10.5.1 System parameters

FACE-KEM is a family of key encapsulation mechanisms, parameterized by the following system parameters

— Γ: a concrete group

$$\Gamma = (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{g}, \mu, \nu, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}', \mathcal{D}')$$

- KDF: a key derivation function, as described in 6.2;
- *Hash*: a cryptographic hash function, as described in 6.1;
- *CofactorMode*: one of two values: 0 or 1.
- KeyLen: a positive integer.
- TagLen: a positive integer.

Any combination of allowable system parameters (in 6.1.1, 6.2.1, 10.1.1) is allowed, except for the following restrictions:

- *Hash.len* shall be less than  $\log_{256}\mu$ .
- If  $\nu = 1$ , then CofactorMode should be 0.
- If  $\nu > 1$ , then CofactorMode may be 1 provided  $gcd(\mu, \nu) = 1$ .

NOTE The value of *CofactorMode* is used only by the decryption algorithm.

#### 10.5.2 Key generation

The key generation algorithm FACE-KEM.KeyGen takes no input, and runs as follows.

- a) Generate numbers  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  uniformly at random from the range  $[0..\mu)$ .
- b) Compute the group elements

$$\mathbf{g}_1 = \alpha_1 \cdot \mathbf{g}, \ \mathbf{g}_2 = \alpha_2 \cdot \mathbf{g}.$$
 (A)

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- $\triangle$  c) Generate numbers  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$  uniformly at random from the range  $[0..\mu)$ .
  - d) Compute the group elements

$$\mathbf{c}_1 = x_1 \cdot \mathbf{g}_1 + x_2 \cdot \mathbf{g}_2, \ \mathbf{d} = y_1 \cdot \mathbf{g}_1 + y_2 \cdot \mathbf{g}_2.$$

- e) Output the public key  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$ , c, d.
- f) Output the private key  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in [0..\mu)$ .

#### 10.5.3 Encryption

The encryption algorithm  $FACE ext{-}KEM.Encrypt$  takes as input a public key, consisting of

$$\mathbf{g}_{_{\! 1}},\mathbf{g}_{_{\! 2}},\,\mathbf{c},\,\mathbf{d}\in\mathcal{G},$$

together with an encryption option fmt that specifies the format to be used for encoding group elements. It runs as follows.

- a) Generate a number r uniformly at random from the range  $[0..\mu)$ .
- b) Compute group elements

$$u_1 = r \cdot \mathbf{g}_1, \ u_2 = r \cdot \mathbf{g}_2$$

c) Compute the octet strings

$$EU_1 = \mathcal{E}(u_1, fmt), EU_2 = \mathcal{E}(u_2, fmt).$$

d) Compute the integer

$$\alpha = OS2IP (Hash.eval(EU_1||EU_2)).$$

e) Compute the integer

$$r' = \alpha r \mod \mu$$
.

f) Compute the group element

$$\mathbf{v} = r \cdot \mathbf{c} + r' \cdot \mathbf{d}$$
.

- g) Set  $EV = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{v}, fmt)$ .
- h) Set Len = KeyLen + TagLen.
- i) Set W = KDF(EV, Len).
- j) Parse W as  $W = \langle W_{\mbox{\tiny 1}}, ..., \ W_{\mbox{\tiny Len}} \rangle$  of Len octets.
- k) Set  $K = \langle W_1, ..., W_{\textit{KeyLen}} \rangle$  of KeyLen octets.
- l) Set  $T = \langle W_{\text{KeyLen+1}}, ..., W_{\text{Len}} \rangle$  of TagLen octets.
- m) Set  $C_0 = EU_1 ||EU_2||T$ .
- n) Output the ciphertext  $C_0$  and the secret key K.

#### 10.5.4 Decryption

The decryption algorithm FACE-KEM. Decrypt takes as input a private key, consisting of

$$x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in [0..\mu).$$

and ciphertext  $C_0$ . It runs as follows.

- a) Parse  $C_0$  as  $C_0 = EU_1||EU_2||T$ , where  $EU_1$ ,  $EU_2$  are octet strings such that for some (uniquely determined) group elements  $\boldsymbol{u}_1$ ,  $\boldsymbol{u}_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{u}_1 = \mathcal{D}(EU_1)$ ,  $\boldsymbol{u}_2 = \mathcal{D}(EU_2)$ . This step fails if  $C_0$  cannot be so parsed. Check that  $\{EU_1, EU_2\}$  is a consistent set of valid encodings; if not, then fail.
- b) If Cofactor Mode = 0 and  $\nu > 1$ : test if  $\boldsymbol{u}_1 \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $\boldsymbol{u}_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ ; if either  $\boldsymbol{u}_1 \notin \mathcal{G}$  or  $\boldsymbol{u}_2 \notin \mathcal{G}$ , then fail. (A1)

 $\overline{A_1}$  c) If CofactorMode = 0, set

$$egin{aligned} \hat{u}_{_{1}} &= u_{_{1}}, \; \hat{u}_{_{2}} &= u_{_{2}}; \ \hat{x}_{_{1}} &= x_{_{1}}, \; \hat{x}_{_{2}} &= x_{_{2}}, \; \hat{y}_{_{1}} &= y_{_{1}}, \; \hat{y}_{_{2}} &= y_{_{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

d) If CofactorMode = 1, set:

e) Compute the integer:

$$\alpha = OS2IP (Hash.eval(EU_1||EU_2)).$$

f) Compute the integers:

$$t_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = \hat{\textit{x}}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} + \alpha \hat{\textit{y}}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \bmod \mu, \, t_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = \hat{\textit{x}}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} + \alpha \hat{\textit{y}}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \bmod \mu.$$

g) Compute the group element:

$$\mathbf{v} = t_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_1 + t_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_2.$$

- h) Set  $EV = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{v}, fmt)$ .
- i) Set Len = KeyLen + TagLen.
- j) Set W = KDF(EV, Len).
- k) Parse W as  $L = \langle W_1, ..., W_{Len} \rangle$  of Len octets.
- l) Set  $K = \langle W_1, ..., W_{\textit{KeyLen}} \rangle$  of KeyLen octets.
- m) Set  $T_{dec} = \langle W_{\textit{KeyLen}+1}, ..., W_{\textit{Len}} \rangle$  of TagLen octets.
- n) Test if  $T_{dec} = T$ ; if not then **fail**.
- o) Output the secret key K.  $\langle A_1 \rangle$

# 11 RSA-based asymmetric ciphers and key encapsulation mechanisms

This clause describes asymmetric ciphers and key encapsulation mechanisms based on the RSA transform. The cipher RSAES is described in Clause 11.4; the key encapsulation mechanism RSA-KEM is described in Clause 11.5.

NOTE 1 These schemes are variations of the original RSA encryption scheme [31].

NOTE 2 In some other ISO standards, the term "integer factorization" is used in place of "RSA based"; however, as this standard defines several different schemes that are based on integer factorization, it adopts a new naming convention.

#### 11.1 RSA key generation algorithms

An RSA key generation algorithm RSAKeyGen() is a probabilistic algorithm that takes no input, and produces a triple (n, e, d), where

- n is an integer that is the product of two primes p and q of similar length, with  $p \neq q$ ,
- e is a positive integer such that gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1, and
- d is a positive integer such that  $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \pmod{\lambda(n)}$ , where  $\lambda(n)$  is the least common multiple of (p-1) and (q-1).

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

The output distribution of an RSA key generation algorithm depends on the particular algorithm. The algorithm is allowed to produce an output that fails to satisfy the above conditions, so long as this happens with negligible probability.

NOTE 1 In describing RSA-based ciphers, these ciphers are parameterized in terms of RSAKeyGen; i.e., RSAKeyGen is treated as a system parameter of the cipher. In a typical implementation, a particular

RSA key generation algorithm may be selected from a family of such algorithms parameterized by a "security parameter" (e.g., the length of n).

NOTE 2 See ISO/IEC 18032 for guidance on designing algorithms for generating prime numbers p and q as above.

#### 11.2 RSA transform

The algorithm  $RSATransform(X, \alpha, n)$  takes as input

- an octet string X,
- a positive integer  $\alpha$ , and
- a positive integer n,

and outputs an octet string. It runs as follows:

- a) Check if  $|X| = \mathcal{L}(n)$ ; if not, then **fail**.
- b) Set x = OS2IP(X).
- c) Check if x < n; if not, then **fail**.
- d) Set  $y = x^{\alpha} \mod n$ .
- e) Set  $Y = I2OSP(y, \mathcal{L}(n))$ .
- f) Output Y.

NOTE It is well known that if (n, e, d) is the output of an RSA key generation algorithm and  $X = I2OSP(x, \mathcal{L}(n))$  for some integer x with  $0 \le x < n$ , then

RSATransform(RSATransform(X, e, n), d, n) = X.

#### 11.3 RSA encoding mechanisms

An RSA encoding mechanism REM specifies two algorithms:

- REM.Encode(M, L, ELen) takes as input a plaintext M, a label L, and an output length ELen. Here, M and L are octet strings whose lengths are bounded, as described below. It outputs an octet string E of length ELen.
- REM.Decode(E, L) takes as input an octet string E and a label L. It attempts to find a plaintext M such that REM.Encode(M, L, |E|) = E. It returns M if such an M exists, and otherwise **fails**.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

In addition to this, the mechanism should specify a bound REM.Bound such that when REM.Encode(M, L, ELen) is invoked, the condition  $|M| \leq ELen - REM.Bound$  should hold; if not, the encoding algorithm fails. Additionally, the encoding algorithm may also fail if |L|exceeds some (very large) implementation-defined bound.

The algorithm REM. Encode will in general be probabilistic, so that the same plaintext can be encoded in a number of ways. Also, for technical reasons, it is required that the first octet of the output of REM.Encode is always Oct(0).

#### 11.3.1 Allowable RSA encoding mechanisms

The only RSA encoding mechanism allowed in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 is REM1, described below in Clause 11.3.2.

#### 11.3.2 REM1

This clause describes a particular RSA encoding mechanism, called *REM1*.

NOTE REM1 is based on the OAEP construction of Bellare and Rogaway [8].

#### 11.3.2.1 System parameters

REM1 is a family of RSA encoding mechanisms, parameterized by the following system parameters:

- Hash: a cryptographic hash function, as described in Clause 6.1;
- *KDF*: a key derivation function, as described in Clause 6.2.

The quantity REM1.Bound is defined as

 $REM1.Bound = 2 \cdot Hash.len + 2.$ 

#### **Encoding function** 11.3.2.2

The algorithm REM1.Encode(M, L, ELen) runs as follows:

- Check that  $|M| \leq ELen 2 \cdot Hash.len 2$ ; if not, then **fail**.
- Let pad be the octet string of length  $ELen |M| 2 \cdot Hash.len 2$  consisting of a sequence of Oct(0) octets.
- Generate a random octet string seed of length Hash.len. c)
- Set check = Hash.eval(L). d)
- Set  $DataBlock = check \parallel pad \parallel \langle Oct(1) \rangle \parallel M$ .
- Set DataBlockMask = KDF(seed, ELen Hash.len 1). f)
- Set  $MaskedDataBlock = DataBlockMask \oplus DataBlock$ .
- Set SeedMask = KDF(MaskedDataBlock, Hash.len). h)
- i) Set  $MaskedSeed = SeedMask \oplus seed$ .

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- j) Set  $E = \langle Oct(0) \rangle \parallel MaskedSeed \parallel MaskedDataBlock$ .
- k) Output E.

#### 11.3.2.3 Decoding function

The algorithm REM1.Decode(E, L) runs as follows.

- a) Let ELen = |E|.
- b) Check if  $ELen \geq 2 \cdot Hash.len + 2$ ; if not, then fail.
- c) Set check = Hash.eval(L).
- d) Parse E as  $E = \langle X \rangle \parallel MaskedSeed \parallel MaskedDataBlock$ , where X is an octet, and MaskedSeed and MaskedDataBlock are octet strings such that |MaskedSeed| = Hash.len, and |MaskedDataBlock| = ELen Hash.len 1.
- e) Set SeedMask = KDF(MaskedDataBlock, Hash.len).
- f) Set  $seed = MaskedSeed \oplus SeedMask$ .
- g) Set DataBlockMask = KDF(seed, ELen Hash.len 1).
- h) Set  $DataBlock = MaskedDataBlock \oplus DataBlockMask$ .
- i) Parse DataBlock as  $DataBlock = check' \parallel M'$ , where check' and M' are octet strings such that |check'| = Hash.len and  $|M'| = ELen 2 \cdot Hash.len 1$ .
- j) Let  $M' = \langle M_1, M_2, \dots, M_l \rangle$ , where  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_l$  are octets, and  $l = ELen 2 \cdot Hash.len 1$ ; also, let m be the largest positive integer such that  $m \leq l$  and  $M_1 = M_2 = \cdots M_{m-1} = Oct(0)$ , and let T denote the octet  $M_m$  and let M denote the octet string  $\langle M_{m+1}, \dots, M_l \rangle$ .
- k) If  $check' \neq check$ ,  $X \neq Oct(0)$ , or  $T \neq Oct(1)$ , then fail.
- 1) Output M.

For security reasons, it is essential that an implementation reveal no information about the cause of the error in Step k. In particular, an implementation should output the same error message at the same time, regardless of the cause of error.

#### 11.4 *RSAES*

44

#### 11.4.1 System parameters

RSAES is a family of bounded-plaintext-length asymmetric ciphers, parameterized by the following system parameters:

- RSAKeyGen: an RSA key generation algorithm, as described in Clause 11.1;
- REM: an RSA encoding mechanism, as described in Clause 11.3.

Any combination of system parameters is allowed, subject to the following restrictions:

— The length in octets of the output n of RSAKeyGen() must always be greater than REM.Bound.

#### 11.4.2 Key generation

The algorithm RSAES.KeyGen takes no input, and runs as follows:

- a) Compute (n, e, d) = RSAKeyGen().
- b) Output the public key PK:
  - n: a positive integer.
  - e: a positive integer.
- c) Output the private key pk:
  - n: a positive integer.
  - d: a positive integer.

RSAES is a bounded-plaintext-length asymmetric cipher. For a given public key PK = (n, e), the value of RSAES.MaxMsgLen(PK) is  $\mathcal{L}(n) - REM.Bound$ .

The encryption and decryption algorithms make use of the *RSATransform* algorithm, defined in Clause 11.2.

#### 11.4.3 Encryption

The algorithm RSAES.Encrypt takes as input

- a public key, consisting of a positive integer n, and a positive integer e,
- a label L,
- a plaintext M, whose length is at most  $\mathcal{L}(n) REM.Bound$ , and
- no encryption option.

It runs as follows:

- a) Set  $E = REM.Encode(M, L, \mathcal{L}(n))$ .
- b) Set C = RSATransform(E, e, n).
- c) Output C.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### 11.4.4 Decryption

The algorithm RSAES.Decrypt takes as input

- a private key, consisting of a positive integer n, and a positive integer d,
- a label L, and
- a ciphertext C.

It runs as follows:

- a) Set E = RSATransform(C, d, n); note that this step may fail.
- b) Set M = REM.Decode(E, L); note that this step may fail.
- c) Output M.

NOTE The security of RSAES is discussed in Annex B.13.

#### 11.5 RSA-KEM

#### 11.5.1 System parameters

 $RSA ext{-}KEM$  is a family of key encapsulation mechanisms, parameterized by the following system parameters:

- RSAKeyGen: an RSA key generation algorithm, as described in Clause 11.1;
- KDF: a key derivation function, as described in Clause 6.2;
- KeyLen: a positive integer.

The value of RSA-KEM.KeyLen is defined to be equal to the value of the system parameter KeyLen.

#### 11.5.2 Key generation

The algorithm RSA-KEM.KeyGen takes no input, and runs as follows:

- a) Compute (n, e, d) = RSAKeyGen().
- b) Output the public key PK:
  - n: a positive integer.
  - e: a positive integer.
- c) Output the private key pk:
  - n: a positive integer.
  - d: a positive integer.

The encryption and decryption algorithms make use of the RSATransform algorithm, defined in Clause 11.2.

#### 11.5.3 Encryption

The algorithm RSA-KEM.Encrypt takes as input

- a public key, consisting of a positive integer n, and a positive integer e, and
- no encryption option.

It runs as follows:

- a) Generate a random number  $r \in [0..n)$ .
- b) Set  $R = I2OSP(r, \mathcal{L}(n))$ .
- c) Set  $C_0 = RSATransform(R, e, n)$ .
- d) Compute K = KDF(R, KeyLen).
- e) Output the ciphertext  $C_0$  and the secret key K.

#### 11.5.4 Decryption

The algorithm RSA-KEM. Decrypt takes as input

- a private key, consisting of a positive integer n, and a positive integer d, and
- a ciphertext  $C_0$ .

It runs as follows:

- a) Set  $R = RSATransform(C_0, d, n)$ ; note that this step may fail.
- b) Compute K = KDF(R, KeyLen).
- c) Output the secret key K.

NOTE The security of RSA-KEM is discussed in Annex B.14.

## 12 Ciphers based on modular squaring

This clause describes a family of asymmetric ciphers based on modular squaring. The cipher HIME(R) is described in Clause 12.3.

## 12.1 HIME key generation algorithms

For positive integers l and d > 1, an l-bit HIME key generation algorithm HIMEKeyGen is a probabilistic algorithm that takes no input, and outputs positive integers (p, q, d, n), where

### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- p is a prime, with  $2^{l-1} \le p < 2^l$  and  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,
- q is a prime, with  $2^{l-1} \le q < 2^l$ ,  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $p \ne q$ ,
- $-- n = p^d q$ .

The output distribution of an l-bit HIME key generation algorithm depends on the particular algorithm. The algorithm is allowed to produce an output that fails to satisfy the above conditions, so long as this happens with negligible probability.

NOTE 1 In describing HIME-based ciphers, these schemes are parameterized in terms of HIMEKeyGen; i.e., HIMEKeyGen is treated as a system parameter of the cipher.

NOTE 2 See ISO/IEC 18032 for guidance on designing algorithms for generating prime numbers p and q as above.

#### 12.2 HIME encoding mechanisms

A HIME encoding mechanism *HEM* specifies two algorithms:

- HEM.Encode(M, L, ELen, KLen) takes as input a plaintext M, a label L, an output length ELen, and a positive integer KLen. M and L are octet strings whose lengths are bounded, as described below. KLen satisfies  $1 \le KLen \le 8$ . It outputs an octet string E of length ELen.
- HEM.Decode(E, L, KLen) takes as input an octet string E, a label L, and a positive integer KLen. It attempts to find a plaintext M such that HEM.Encode(M, L, |E|, KLen) = E. It returns M if such an M exists, and otherwise fails.

#### 12.2.1 Allowable HIME encoding mechanisms

The only HIME encoding mechanism allowed in this part of ISO/IEC 18033 is *HEM1*, described below in Clause 12.2.2.

#### 12.2.2 HEM1

This clause describes a particular HIME encoding mechanism, called *HEM1*.

NOTE *HEM1* is based on the OAEP construction of Bellare and Rogaway [8].

#### 12.2.2.1 System parameters

HEM1 is a family of HIME encoding mechanisms, parameterized by the following system parameters:

- Hash: a cryptographic hash function, as described in Clause 6.1;
- *KDF*: a key derivation function, as described in Clause 6.2.

The quantity *HEM1.Bound* is defined as

 $HEM1.Bound = 2 \cdot Hash.len + 2.$ 

#### 12.2.2.2 Encoding function

The algorithm HEM1.Encode(M, L, ELen, KLen) runs as follows:

- a) Check that  $|M| \leq ELen 2 \cdot Hash.len 2$ ; if not, then fail.
- b) Let pad be the octet string of length  $ELen |M| 2 \cdot Hash.len 2$  consisting of a sequence of Oct(0) octets.
- c) Generate a random octet string seed of length Hash.len + 1.
- d) Clear most significant *KLen*-bit of *seed*.
- e) Set check = Hash.eval(L).
- f) Set  $DataBlock = check \parallel pad \parallel \langle Oct(1) \rangle \parallel M$ .
- g) Set DataBlockMask = KDF(seed, ELen Hash.len 1).
- h) Set  $MaskedDataBlock = DataBlockMask \oplus DataBlock$ .
- i) Set SeedMask = KDF(MaskedDataBlock, Hash.len + 1).
- j) Clear most significant *KLen*-bit of *SeedMask*.
- k) Set  $MaskedSeed = SeedMask \oplus seed$ .
- 1) Set  $E = MaskedSeed \parallel MaskedDataBlock$ .
- m) Output E.

#### 12.2.2.3 Decoding function

The algorithm HEM1.Decode(E, L, KLen) runs as follows.

- a) Let ELen = |E|.
- b) Set check = Hash.eval(L).
- c) Parse E as  $E = MaskedSeed \parallel MaskedDataBlock$ , where MaskedSeed and MaskedDataBlock are octet strings such that |MaskedSeed| = Hash.len + 1, and |MaskedDataBlock| = ELen Hash.len 1.
- d) Set SeedMask = KDF(MaskedDataBlock, Hash.len + 1).
- e) Clear most significant *KLen*-bit of *SeedMask*.
- f) Set  $seed = MaskedSeed \oplus SeedMask$ .
- g) Set DataBlockMask = KDF(seed, ELen Hash.len 1).
- h) Set  $DataBlock = MaskedDataBlock \oplus DataBlockMask$ .
- i) Parse DataBlock as  $DataBlock = check' \parallel M'$ , where check' and M' are octet strings such that |check'| = Hash.len and  $|M'| = ELen 2 \cdot Hash.len 1$ .

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- j) Let  $M' = \langle M_1, M_2, \dots, M_l \rangle$ , where  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_l$  are octets, and  $l = ELen 2 \cdot Hash.len 1$ ; also, let m be the largest positive integer such that  $m \leq l$  and  $M_1 = M_2 = \dots M_{m-1} = Oct(0)$ , and let T denote the octet  $M_m$  and let M denote the octet string  $\langle M_{m+1}, \dots, M_l \rangle$ .
- k) If  $check' \neq check$ , most significant KLen-bit of  $seed \neq bit$  string of 0, or  $T \neq Oct(1)$ , then fail.
- 1) Output M.

For security reasons, it is essential that an implementation reveals no information about the cause of the error in Step k. In particular, an implementation should output the same error message at the same time, regardless of the cause of error.

#### $12.3 \quad HIME(R)$

#### 12.3.1 System parameters

HIME(R) is a family of bounded-plaintext-length asymmetric ciphers, parameterized by the following system parameters:

- d: an integer with d > 1,
- HIMEKeyGen: an l-bit HIME key generation algorithm, as described in Clause 12.1;
- HEM: a HIME encoding mechanism, as described in Clause 12.2.

#### 12.3.2 Key generation

The algorithm HIME(R).KeyGen takes no input, and runs as follows:

- a) Compute (p, q, n) = HIMEKeyGen().
- b) Output the public key PK:
  - n: a positive integer.
- c) Output the private key pk:
  - n, p, q: positive integers.

#### 12.3.3 Encryption

The algorithm HIME(R).Encrypt takes as input

- a public key, consisting of a positive integer n,
- a label L,
- a plaintext M, whose length is at most  $\mathcal{L}(n) HEM.Bound$ , and
- no encryption option.

BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

It runs as follows:

a) Set  $k = 8 \cdot \mathcal{L}(n)$  (bit length of n)+1.

b) Set  $E = HEM.Encode(M, L, \mathcal{L}(n), k)$ .

c) Set e = OS2IP(E).

d) Set  $c = e^2 \mod n$ .

e) Set  $C = I2OSP(c, \mathcal{L}(n))$ .

f) Output C.

#### 12.3.4 Decryption

The algorithm HIME(R).Decrypt takes as input

— a private key, consisting of positive integers n, p, q,

— a label L, and

— a ciphertext C.

It runs as follows:

a) Set c = OS2IP(C).

b) Set  $k = 8 \cdot \mathcal{L}(n)$  –(bit length of n)+1.

c) Set  $z = p^{-1} \mod q$ .

d) Set  $c_p = c \mod p$ , and  $c_q = c \mod q$ .

e) Set  $\alpha_1 = c_p^{\frac{p+1}{4}} \mod p$ , and  $\alpha_2 = p - \alpha_1$ .

f) Set  $\beta_1 = c_q^{\frac{q+1}{4}} \mod q$  and  $\beta_2 = q - \beta_1$ .

g) Set

1)  $u_0^{(1)} = \alpha_1$ , and  $u_1^{(1)} = (\beta_1 - u_0^{(1)})z \mod q$ .

2)  $u_0^{(2)} = \alpha_1$ , and  $u_1^{(2)} = (\beta_2 - u_0^{(2)})z \mod q$ .

3)  $u_0^{(3)} = \alpha_2$ , and  $u_1^{(3)} = (\beta_1 - u_0^{(3)})z \mod q$ .

4)  $u_0^{(4)} = \alpha_2$ , and  $u_1^{(4)} = (\beta_2 - u_0^{(4)})z \mod q$ .

h) For i from 1 to 4 do:

1) Set  $v_1^{(i)} = u_0^{(i)} + u_1^{(i)} p$ .

## ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

2) For t from 2 to d do:

i) Set 
$$u_t^{(i)} = \left( (c - v_{t-1}^{(i)})^2 \mod p^t q \right) / (p^{t-1}q) (2u_0^{(i)})^{-1} \mod p$$
.

ii) Set 
$$v_t^{(i)} = v_{t-1}^{(i)} + u_t^{(i)} p^{t-1} q$$
.

3) Set 
$$x_i = u_0^{(i)} + u_1^{(i)} p + \sum_{t=2}^d u_t^{(i)} p^{t-1} q$$
.

- i) For i from 1 to 4, set  $X_i = I2OSP(x_i, \mathcal{L}(n))$ .
- j) If there exists a unique i such that  $HEM.Decode(X_i, L, k)$  does not fail, and  $x_i^2 \mod n = c$ , then, for such i, set  $M = HEM.Decode(X_i, L, k)$ , otherwise fail.
- k) Output M.

NOTE A discussion of the security of this scheme can be found in Annex B.15.

# Annex A (normative) A) Object identifiers (A)

Annex A gives object identifiers, public keys, and parameter structures to be associated with the algorithms specified in this document.

```
EncryptionAlgorithms-2 {
  iso(1) standard(0) encryption-algorithms(18033) part(2)
     asn1-module(0) algorithm-object-identifiers(0) }
  DEFINITIONS EXPLICIT TAGS ::= BEGIN
-- EXPORTS All; --
IMPORTS
BlockAlgorithms
FROM EncryptionAlgorithms-3 { iso(1) standard(0)
encryption-algorithms(18033) part(3)
asn1-module(0) algorithm-object-identifiers(0) }
HashFunctionAlgs, id-sha1, NullParms
FROM DedicatedHashFunctions { iso(1) standard(0)
hash-functions(10118) part(3) asn1-module(1)
dedicated-hash-functions(0) };
-- oid definitions
OID ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER -- alias
-- Synonyms --
is18033-2 OID ::= { iso(1) standard(0) is18033(18033) part2(2)}
id-ac OID ::= { is18033-2 asymmetric-cipher(1) }
id-kem OID ::= { is18033-2 key-encapsulation-mechanism(2) }
id-dem OID ::= { is18033-2 data-encapsulation-mechanism(3) }
id-sc OID ::= { is18033-2 symmetric-cipher(4) }
id-kdf OID ::= { is18033-2 key-derivation-function(5) }
id-rem OID ::= { is18033-2 rsa-encoding-method(6) }
id-hem OID ::= { is18033-2 himer-encoding-method(7) }
id-ft OID ::= { is18033-2 field-type(8) }
-- Asymmetric ciphers --
id-ac-rsaes OID ::= { id-ac rsaes(1) }
id-ac-generic-hybrid OID ::= { id-ac generic-hybrid(2) }
id-ac-himer OID ::= { id-ac himer(3) }
```

```
A1 -- Key encapsulation mechanisms --
id-kem-ecies OID::= { id-kem ecies(1) }
id-kem-psec OID::= { id-kem psec(2) }
id-kem-ace OID::= { id-kem ace(3) }
id-kem-rsa OID::= { id-kem rsa(4) }
id-kem-face OID::= { id-kem face(5) } (A1
-- Data encapsulation mechanisms --
id-dem-dem1 OID ::= { id-dem dem1(1) }
id-dem-dem2 OID ::= { id-dem dem2(2) }
id-dem-dem3 OID ::= { id-dem dem3(3) }
-- Symmetric ciphers --
id-sc-sc1 OID ::= { id-sc sc1(1) }
id-sc-sc2 OID ::= { id-sc sc2(2) }
-- Key derivation functions --
id-kdf-kdf1 OID ::= { id-kdf kdf1(1) }
id-kdf-kdf2 OID ::= { id-kdf kdf2(2) }
-- rsa encoding methods --
id-rem-rem1 OID ::= { id-rem rem1(1) }
-- hime(r) encoding methods --
id-hem-hem1 OID ::= { id-hem hem1(1) }
-- new field types oids
-- id-ft-prime-field OID ::= { id-ft prime-field(1) }
-- used only to define new basis type
id-ft-characteristic-two OID ::= { id-ft characteristic-two(2) }
id-ft-odd-characteristic OID ::= { id-ft odd-characteristic(3) }
id-ft-characteristic-two-basis OID ::=
{ id-ft-characteristic-two basisType(1) }
charTwoPolynomialBasis OID ::=
{ id-ft-characteristic-two-basis
charTwoPolynomialBasis(1) }
id-ft-odd-characteristic-basis OID ::= { id-ft-odd-characteristic
basisType(1)}
oddCharPolynomialBasis OID ::= {id-ft-odd-characteristic-basis
oddCharPolynomialBasis(1)}
-- normative comment:
-- whenever values of public key structures defined in this module
-- are to be carried in the SubjectPublicKeyInfo structure defined
-- in X.509
-- the value of the subjectPublicKey shall be the bit string
-- corresponding to the DER encoding of the public key structure and
-- the value of the algorithm field shall be the algorithm identifier
```

# BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

```
-- (defined in this module) of the algorithm for which the public key
-- is intended
-- RSAES asymmetric cipher
rsaes ALGORITHM ::= {
OID id-RSAES-OAEP PARMS RsaesParameters
RsaesPublicKey ::= RSAPublicKey
-- taken from PKCS#1
RSAPublicKey ::= SEQUENCE {
modulus INTEGER, -- n
publicExponent INTEGER -- e
-- the pSource field from PKCS #1 is omitted as it has
-- the default (empty) value
-- it plays no role in the encryption algorithm
RsaesParameters ::= SEQUENCE {
hashFunction [0] HashFunction DEFAULT alg-sha1,
keyDerivationFunction [1] RsaesKeyDerivationFunction
DEFAULT alg-mgf1-sha1
RsaesKeyDerivationFunction ::=
AlgorithmIdentifier {{ RKDFAlgorithms }}
RKDFAlgorithms ALGORITHM ::= {
KDFAlgorithms
{ OID id-mgf1 PARMS HashFunction }
-- MGF1 in PKCS #1 is equivalent to KDF1 here
-- id-mgf1 should be used instead of id-kdf-kdf1 for compatibility
-- with existing implementations
alg-mgf1-sha1 RsaesKeyDerivationFunction ::= {
   algorithm
              id-mgf1,
   parameters HashFunction: alg-sha1
}
alg-sha1 HashFunction ::= {
algorithm id-sha1,
parameters NullParms : NULL
-- HIME(R) asymmetric cipher
himer ALGORITHM ::= {
OID id-ac-himer PARMS HimerParameters
}
```

```
HimerPublicKey ::= INTEGER
HimerParameters::=SEQUENCE{
d INTEGER(2..MAX),
encodingMethod HimerEncodingMethod
}
HimerEncodingMethod ::= AlgorithmIdentifier {{ HemAlgorithms }}
HemAlgorithms ALGORITHM ::= {
{ OID id-hem-hem1 PARMS Hem1Parameters },
... -- Expect additional algorithms --
Hem1Parameters ::= SEQUENCE {
hashFunction HashFunction,
keyDerivationFunction KeyDerivationFunction
}
-- HC asymmetric cipher
genericHybrid ALGORITHM ::= {
OID id-ac-generic-hybrid PARMS GenericHybridParameters
GenericHybridParameters ::= SEQUENCE {
kem KeyEncapsulationMechanism,
{\tt dem} \ {\tt DataEncapsulationMechanism}
}
-- normative comment:
-- in SubjectPublicKeyInfo structure defined in X.509, the algorithm
-- field shall follow the genericHybrid syntax, and the
-- subjectPublicKey field shall be a bit string corresponding to a
-- value of type EciesKemPublicKey, PsecKemPublicKey,
-- AceKemPublicKey, or RsaKemPublicKey, according to the kem field of
-- GenericHybridParameters
A<sub>1</sub> -- KEM information objects
KeyEncapsulationMechanism::= AlgorithmIdentifier {{ KEMAlgorithms }}
KEMAlgorithms ALGORITHM::= {
{ OID id-kem-ecies PARMS EciesKemParameters } |
{ OID id-kem-psec PARMS PsecKemParameters } |
{ OID id-kem-ace PARMS AceKemParameters } |
{ OID id-kem-rsa PARMS RsaKemParameters } |
{ OID id-kem-face PARMS FaceKemParameters },
... -- Expect additional algorithms --
} (A<sub>1</sub>
```

```
-- ECIES-KEM
-- this must be a non-zero element of the group given in
-- EciesKemParameters
EciesKemPublicKey ::= FieldElement
EciesKemParameters ::= SEQUENCE {
group Group OPTIONAL,
keyDerivationFunction KeyDerivationFunction,
oldCofactorMode BOOLEAN,
singleHashMode BOOLEAN,
keyLength KeyLength
-- PSEC-KEM
-- an element of the group given in PsecKemParameters (may be 0)
PsecKemPublicKey ::= FieldElement
PsecKemParameters ::= SEQUENCE {
group Group OPTIONAL,
keyDerivationFunction KeyDerivationFunction,
seedLength INTEGER (1..MAX),
keyLength KeyLength
}
-- ACE-KEM
-- all components of public key are elements of the group given in
-- AceKemParameters
AceKemPublicKey ::= SEQUENCE {
gPrime FieldElement,
c FieldElement,
d FieldElement,
h FieldElement
AceKemParameters ::= SEQUENCE {
group Group OPTIONAL,
keyDerivationFunction KeyDerivationFunction,
hashFunction HashFunction,
keyLength KeyLength
-- RSA-KEM
RsaKemPublicKey ::= RSAPublicKey
RsaKemParameters ::= SEQUENCE {
keyDerivationFunction KeyDerivationFunction,
keyLength KeyLength
}
```

```
A<sub>1</sub> -- FACE-KEM
-- all components of public key are elements of the group given in
-- FaceKemParameters
FaceKemPublicKey::= SEQUENCE {
g1 FieldElement,
g2 FieldElement,
c FieldElement,
d FieldElement
FaceKemParameters::= SEQUENCE {
group Group OPTIONAL,
keyDerivationFunction KeyDerivationFunction,
hashFunction HashFunction,
keyLength KeyLength,
tagLength TagLength
-- DEM specifications
DataEncapsulationMechanism ::= AlgorithmIdentifier {{DEMAlgorithms}}
DEMAlgorithms ALGORITHM ::= {
{ OID id-dem-dem1 PARMS Dem1Parameters } |
{ OID id-dem-dem2 PARMS Dem2Parameters } |
{ OID id-dem-dem3 PARMS Dem3Parameters },
... -- Expect additional algorithms --
}
Dem1Parameters ::= SEQUENCE{
symmetricCipher SymmetricCipher,
mac MacAlgorithm
Dem2Parameters ::= SEQUENCE{
symmetricCipher SymmetricCipher,
mac MacAlgorithm,
labelLength INTEGER (0..MAX)
}
Dem3Parameters ::= SEQUENCE{
mac MacAlgorithm,
msgLength INTEGER (0..MAX)
}
-- finite field, group, and elliptic curve representations
Group ::= CHOICE {
groupOid OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
groupHashId OCTET STRING, -- defined in RFC2528
groupParameters GroupParameters
```

```
GroupParameters ::= CHOICE {
explicitFiniteFieldSubgroup
[0] ExplicitFiniteFieldSubgroupParameters,
ellipticCurveSubgroup
[1] EllipticCurveSubgroupParameters
ExplicitFiniteFieldSubgroupParameters ::= SEQUENCE {
fieldID FieldID {{FieldTypes}},
generator FieldElement,
subgroupOrder INTEGER,
subgroupIndex INTEGER
FIELD-ID ::= TYPE-IDENTIFIER
FieldID { FIELD-ID:IOSet } ::= SEQUENCE {
fieldType FIELD-ID.&id({IOSet}),
parameters FIELD-ID.&Type({IOSet}{@fieldType}) OPTIONAL
FieldTypes FIELD-ID ::= {
{ Prime-p IDENTIFIED BY prime-field } |
{ Characteristic-two IDENTIFIED BY characteristic-two-field } |
{ Odd-characteristic IDENTIFIED BY id-ft-odd-characteristic },
... -- expect additional field types
-- prime fieds
Prime-p ::= INTEGER
-- characteristic two fields
CHARACTERISTIC-TWO ::= TYPE-IDENTIFIER
-- when basis is gnBasis then the basis shall be an optimal
-- normal basis of Type T where T is determined as follows:
-- if an ONB of Type 2 exists for the given value of m then
-- T shall be 2, otherwise if an ONB of Type 1 exists for the
-- given value of m then T shall be 1, otherwise T shall be
-- the least value for which an ONB of Type T exists for the
-- given value of m
-- when basis is gnBasis then m shall not be divisible by 8
-- note: the above rule is from ANSI X9.62
-- note: for the given m and T the ONB is unique
Characteristic-two ::= SEQUENCE {
m INTEGER, -- extension degree
basis CHARACTERISTIC-TWO.&id({BasisTypes}),
parameters CHARACTERISTIC-TWO.&Type({BasisTypes}{@basis})
}
BasisTypes CHARACTERISTIC-TWO ::= {
{ NULL IDENTIFIED BY gnBasis } |
{ Trinomial IDENTIFIED BY tpBasis } |
{ Pentanomial IDENTIFIED BY ppBasis } |
{ CharTwoPolynomial IDENTIFIED BY charTwoPolynomialBasis },
... -- expect additional basis types
```

```
Trinomial ::= INTEGER
Pentanomial ::= SEQUENCE {
k1 INTEGER,
k2 INTEGER,
k3 INTEGER
}
-- characteric two general irreducible polynomial representation
-- the irreducible polymial
-- a(n)*x^n + a(n-1)*x^(n-1) + ... + a(1)*x + a(0)
-- is encoded in the bit string with a(n) in the first bit, the
-- following coefficients in the following bit positions and a(0)
-- in the last bit of the bit string (one could omit a(n) and a(0)
-- but it may be simpler and less error-prone to leave them in
-- the encoding)
-- the degree of the polynomial is to be inferred from the length
-- of the bit string
CharTwoPolynomial ::= BIT STRING
-- odd characteristic extension fields
ODD-CHARACTERISTIC ::= TYPE-IDENTIFIER
Odd-characteristic ::= SEQUENCE {
characteristic INTEGER(3..MAX),
degree INTEGER(2..MAX),
basis ODD-CHARACTERISTIC.&id({OddCharBasisTypes}),
parameters ODD-CHARACTERISTIC.&Type({OddCharBasisTypes}{@basis})
OddCharBasisTypes ODD-CHARACTERISTIC ::= {
{ OddCharPolynomial IDENTIFIED BY oddCharPolynomialBasis },
... -- expect additional basis types
}
-- the monic irreducible polynomial is encoded as follows
-- the leading coefficient is ignored
-- the remaining coefficients define an element of the finite field
-- which is encoded in an octet string using FE2OSP
OddCharPolynomial ::= FieldElement
EllipticCurveSubgroupParameters ::= SEQUENCE {
version INTEGER { ecpVer1(1) } (ecpVer1),
fieldID FieldID {{ FieldTypes }},
curve Curve,
generator ECPoint,
subgroupOrder INTEGER,
subgroupIndex INTEGER,
}
Curve ::= SEQUENCE {
aCoeff FieldElement,
bCoeff FieldElement,
seed BIT STRING OPTIONAL
}
```

# -- auxiliary definitions FieldElement ::= OCTET STRING -- obtained through FE2OSP ECPoint ::= OCTET STRING -- obtained through EC2OSP KeyLength ::= INTEGER (1..MAX) MacAlgorithm ::= AlgorithmIdentifier {{ MACAlgorithms }} MACAlgorithms ALGORITHM ::= { { OID hMAC-SHA1 PARMS NULL } ... -- Expect additional algorithms --HashFunction ::= AlgorithmIdentifier {{ HashFunctionAlgorithms }} HashFunctionAlgorithms ALGORITHM ::= { HashFunctionAlgs, -- from 10118-3 -- expect additional algorithms KeyDerivationFunction ::= AlgorithmIdentifier {{ KDFAlgorithms }} KDFAlgorithms ALGORITHM ::= { { OID id-kdf-kdf1 PARMS HashFunction } | { OID id-kdf-kdf2 PARMS HashFunction } , ... -- Expect additional algorithms --SymmetricCipher ::= AlgorithmIdentifier {{ SymmetricAlgorithms }} SymmetricAlgorithms ALGORITHM ::= { { OID id-sc-sc1 PARMS BlockCipher } { OID id-sc-sc2 PARMS BlockCipher }, ... -- Expect additional algorithms --BlockCipher ::= AlgorithmIdentifier {{ BlockAlgorithms }} -- external OIDs -- RSA-OAEP pkcs-1 OID ::= { iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) 1 } id-RSAES-OAEP OID ::= { pkcs-1 7 } id-mgf1 OID ::= { pkcs-1 8 } -- HMAC-SHA1 hMAC-SHA1 OID ::= { iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1) security(5)

mechanisms(5) 8 1 2 }

```
-- X9.62 finite field and basis types
ansi-X9-62 OID ::= { iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) 10045 }
id-fieldType OID ::= { ansi-X9-62 fieldType(1) }
prime-field OID ::= { id-fieldType 1 }
characteristic-two-field OID ::= { id-fieldType 2 }
-- characteristic two basis
id-characteristic-two-basis OID ::= { characteristic-two-field
basisType(3) }
gnBasis OID ::= { id-characteristic-two-basis 1 }
tpBasis OID ::= { id-characteristic-two-basis 2 }
ppBasis OID ::= { id-characteristic-two-basis 3 }
-- Cryptographic algorithm identification --
ALGORITHM ::= CLASS {
&id OBJECT IDENTIFIER UNIQUE,
&Type OPTIONAL
  WITH SYNTAX { OID &id [PARMS &Type] }
AlgorithmIdentifier { ALGORITHM:IOSet } ::= SEQUENCE {
algorithm ALGORITHM.&id( {IOSet} ),
END -- EncryptionAlgorithms-2 --
```

BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

# Annex B (informative) Security considerations

This annex discusses the security properties of the various cryptographic schemes described in this part of ISO/IEC 18033. For each type of scheme (e.g., asymmetric cipher, MAC algorithm, etc.), an appropriate formal definition of security is given, and for each particular scheme, the extent to which this definition is satisfied is discussed.

The security of several schemes can be proven formally, based on certain intractability assumptions, or based on the assumption that other, lower-level mechanisms are secure. These proofs are "reductions," which show how to turn an adversary A that breaks the scheme into an adversary A' that solves the presumed-to-be-hard problem or breaks the presumed-to-be-secure mechanism. In most cases, the "quality" of the reduction is indicated by quantitatively describing the relationship between the resource requirements (e.g., running time) and advantage (i.e., success probability) of A and those of A'. A reduction is called "tight" if the resource requirements of A' are not significantly greater than those of A, and if the advantage of A' is not significantly less than that of A.

The approach to security taken here is "concrete," as in [6], rather than "asymptotic": security reductions are stated in terms of specific schemes, rather than in terms of families of schemes indexed by a "security parameter" that tends to infinity. However, some quantitative estimates will be stated using "big-O" notation, which imply hidden, but quite small, constants.

Some of the proofs of security are in the so-called "random oracle" model, which was first formalized in [7], and has since been used in the analysis of numerous cryptographic schemes in the literature. In the random oracle model, one models a hash function or key derivation function as a random function to which all algorithms as well as the adversary have only "black box," i.e., oracle, access. Such random oracle proofs of security are perhaps best viewed as heuristic proofs — it is conceivable that a scheme that is secure in the random oracle model can be broken without either breaking the underlying intractability or security assumptions, and without finding any particular weakness in the hash function or key derivation function [12]. Nevertheless, a random oracle proof does rule out a broad class of attacks.

#### B.1 MAC algorithms

This clause describes the basic security property that shall be required of a MAC algorithm in this part of ISO/IEC 18033.

Consider a MAC algorithm MA, as defined in Clause 6.3.

Consider the following attack scenario. An octet string  $T^*$  is chosen by the adversary, and a secret key k' is chosen at random. The value  $MAC^* = MA.eval(k', T^*)$  is given to the adversary. The adversary outputs a list of pairs (T, MAC), where T is an octet string with  $T \neq T^*$  (and not necessarily of the same length as  $T^*$ ), and MAC is an octet string of length MA.MACLen. The adversary's advantage is defined to be the probability that for one such pair (T, MAC), we have MA.eval(k', T) = MAC.

For a given adversary A and a given MAC algorithm MA, the above advantage is denoted by  $Adv_{MA}(A)$ . If the adversary A runs in time at most t, generates a list of at most l pairs, and  $T^*$  and all the T are bounded in length by l', then A is called a MA[t, l, l']-adversary.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

Security means that this advantage is negligible for any efficient adversary.

Although the "single message" attack model considered here is sufficient for constructing secure data encapsulation mechanisms, for many other applications, it is not sufficient, and a "multiple message" attack model must be considered. In the "multiple message" attack model, instead of just obtaining the value of  $MA.eval(k',\cdot)$  at a single input  $T^*$ , the adversary is allowed to obtain the value of  $MA.eval(k',\cdot)$  at many (adaptively chosen) inputs  $T_1^*,\ldots,T_s^*$ . As before, the adversary outputs a list of pairs (T,MAC), but now with the restriction that  $T \neq T_i^*$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

Clause 6.3.1 allows for the use of the MAC algorithms described in ISO/IEC 9797-1 and ISO/IEC 9797-2, all of which are designed to be secure in the "multiple message" attack model, and some of which can be proven secure in this attack model based on certain assumptions about the underlying cryptographic hash function.

#### B.2 Block ciphers

This clause describes the basic security property that shall be required of a block cipher in this part of ISO/IEC 18033.

Consider a block cipher BC, as defined in Clause 6.4.

BC is called a pseudo-random permutation if it is difficult for an adversary to distinguish between a random permutation on octet strings of length BC.BlockLen and the permutation  $b \mapsto BC.Encrypt(k,b)$  for a randomly chosen secret key k. In such an attack, the adversary is given oracle access to the permutation — either to the random permutation or to the block cipher — and must guess which is the case. To be a pseudo-random permutation means that for any efficient adversary, its success at guessing which is the case should be negligibly close to 1/2.

Clause 6.4.1 allows for the use of the block ciphers described in ISO/IEC 18033-3. Although there is little formal justification, experience suggests that these block ciphers do indeed behave as pseudo-random permutations.

#### B.3 Symmetric ciphers

This clause describes the basic security property that shall be required of a symmetric cipher in this part of ISO/IEC 18033.

Consider a symmetric cipher SC, as defined in Clause 6.5.

Consider the following attack scenario. The adversary generates two plaintexts (octet strings)  $M_0, M_1$  of equal length, a random secret key k is generated, a random bit b is chosen, and  $M_b$  is encrypted under the secret key k. The resulting ciphertext c is given to the adversary. The adversary makes a guess  $\hat{b}$  at b. The adversary's advantage is defined to be  $|Pr[\hat{b}=b]-1/2|$ .

For a given adversary A and a given symmetric cipher SC, this advantage is denoted by  $Adv_{SC}(A)$ . If the adversary runs in time at most t, and the *output* of the encryption algorithm is at most l octets in length, then A is called a SC[t, l]-adversary.

Security means that this advantage is negligible for any efficient adversary.

Although the "single plaintext" attack model considered here is sufficient for constructing secure data encapsulation mechanisms, for many other applications, it is not sufficient. For some applications, one must consider a "multiple plaintext" attack model, where an adversary is allowed to adaptively obtain many encryptions of its choice, and not just a single encryption. This type of attack is also called a "chosen plaintext" attack. Still another type of attack is a "chosen ciphertext" attack, where an adversary is allowed to adaptively obtain decryptions of its choice.

#### B.3.1 Security of SC1

This clause discusses the security of SC1, defined in Clause 6.5.2.

This is a symmetric cipher parameterized in terms of block cipher BC.

The basic cipher-block-chaining (CBC) mode with a random initial value (IV) is analyzed in [4], where it is shown to be secure against a "multiple plaintext" attack, as discussed above, assuming BC is a pseudo-random permutation (see Annex B.2). The cipher SC1 uses a fixed initial value; nevertheless, it is easy to adapt the proof of security in [4] to show that SC1 is secure against "single plaintext" attacks, which is adequate for the constructions in this document.

Note that the paper [35] presents some attacks on SC1. However, the attacks in [35] are "chosen ciphertext" attacks, and are therefore not relevant here. Indeed, the padding scheme plays a role in the security of CBC encryption only when considering "chosen ciphertext" attacks.

#### **B.3.2** Security of SC2

This clause discusses the security of SC2, defined in Clause 6.5.3.

There is no known formal reduction which reduces the security of SC2 to the security of some other mechanisms or the intractability of some problem. However, if one is willing to model a key derivation function as a random oracle, then of course, one should be willing to believe that SC2 is a secure symmetric cipher.

#### Asymmetric ciphers **B.4**

This clause describes the basic security property that shall be required of an asymmetric cipher.

Consider an asymptotic cipher AC, as defined in Clause 7.

Consider the following "adaptive chosen ciphertext" attack scenario.

Stage 1: The key generation algorithm is run, generating a public key and private key. The adversary, of course, obtains the public key, but not the private key.

Stage 2: The adversary makes a series of arbitrary queries to a decryption oracle. Each query is a label/ciphertext pair (L,C) that is decrypted by the decryption oracle, making use of the private key. The resulting decryption is given to the adversary; moreover, if the decryption algorithm fails, then this information is given to the adversary, and the attack continues. The adversary is free to construct these label/ciphertext pairs in an arbitrary way — it is certainly not required to compute them using the encryption algorithm.

Stage 3: The adversary prepares a label  $L^*$  and two "target" plaintexts  $M_0, M_1$  of equal length, and gives these to an encryption oracle. If the scheme supports any encryption

options, the adversary also chooses these. The encryption oracle chooses  $b \in \{0,1\}$  at random, encrypts  $M_b$  with label  $L^*$ , and gives the resulting "target" ciphertext  $C^*$  to the adversary.

**Stage 4:** The adversary continues to submit label/ciphertext pairs (L, C) to the decryption oracle, subject only to the restriction that  $(L, C) \neq (L^*, C^*)$ .

**Stage 5:** The adversary outputs  $\hat{b} \in \{0, 1\}$ , and halts.

The advantage of A in this game is defined to be  $|\Pr[\hat{b} = b] - 1/2|$ . For a given adversary A, and a given asymptotic cipher AC, this advantage is denoted by  $Adv_{AC}(A)$ . If the adversary runs in time t, makes at most q decryption oracle queries, all ciphertexts output from the encryption oracle and input to the decryption oracle are at most l octets in length, and the labels input to the encryption and decryption oracle are at most l' octets in length, then A is called a AC[t, q, l, l']-adversary.

Security means that this advantage is negligible for all efficient adversaries.

This definition, in slightly different form, was first proposed by Rackoff and Simon [30]. Here, the definition in [30] has been generalized to take into account the fact the plaintexts may be of variable length, and to take into account the role of labels. It is generally agreed in the cryptographic research community that this is the "right" security property for a general-purpose asymmetric cipher. This notion of security implies other useful properties, like non-malleability (see [15, 16]). Intuitively, non-malleability means that it should be hard to transform a given label/ciphertext pair (L, C) encrypting a plaintext M into a different pair (L', C'), such that the decryption of C' with label L' is related in some "interesting" way to M. See [11, 14, 5, 15, 16] for more on notions of security for asymmetric ciphers.

See [27] for a definition of a weaker notion of security, sometimes called security against "lunchtime" attacks. In that setting, security is defined as it has been defined here, except that the adversary is not allowed to make any decryption oracle queries in Stage 4. Although this may seem like a natural definition of security, it is actually inadequate for many applications, and is not a suitable notion of security for a general-purpose asymmetric cipher.

An even weaker notion of security is called "semantic" security, and is defined in [21]. In that setting, security is defined as it has been defined here, except that the adversary is not allowed to make any decryption oracle queries at all.

#### B.4.1 Hiding the plaintext length

Note that in the attack game, the adversary is required to submit two target plaintexts of equal length to the encryption oracle. This restriction on the adversary reflects the fact that one cannot expect to hide the length of an encrypted plaintext from the adversary — for many ciphers, this will be evident from the length of the ciphertext. It is in general up to the application using the cipher to ensure that the length of a plaintext does not reveal sensitive information.

For bounded-plaintext-length asymmetric ciphers, the notion of security is the same as for the ordinary case, except that the adversary *is not* required to submit target plaintexts of equal length to the encryption oracle. This reflects the fact that such schemes should hide the length of an encrypted plaintext from the adversary.

For fixed-plaintext-length asymmetric ciphers, this issue simply does not arise.

#### B.4.2 Benign malleability: a slightly weaker notion of security

The definition of security given above may be viewed as being unnecessarily strong. For example, suppose one takes an asymmetric cipher AC that satisfies the definition above, and modifies it as follows, obtaining a new cipher AC': the cipher AC' is the same as AC, except that it appends a random octet to the ciphertext upon encryption, and ignores this extra octet upon decryption. Technically speaking, AC' does not satisfy the definition given above for adaptive chosen ciphertext security, yet this seems counter-intuitive. Indeed, although AC' is technically "malleable," it is only malleable in a "benign" sort of way: one can create alternative encryptions of the same plaintext, and these alternative encryptions are all clearly recognizable as such.

This clause describes a formal notion of security that precisely captures the intuitive notion of "benign malleability."

For a particular asymmetric cipher AC, a polynomial-time, 0/1-valued function Equiv is called an equivalence predicate for AC if with overwhelming probability, the output of AC.KeyGen is a pair (PK, pk), such that for any label L and any two ciphertexts C and C', we have

$$Equiv(PK, L, C, C') = 1$$
 implies  $AC.Decrypt(pk, L, C) = AC.Decrypt(pk, L, C')$ .

An asymmetric cipher AC is called benignly malleable if there exists an equivalence predicate Equiv as above, and if it satisfies the definition of security given above for adaptive chosen ciphertext security, but with the following modification in the attack game: when the adversary submits a label/ciphertext pair (L, C) to the decryption oracle in Stage 4, then instead of requiring that  $(L, C) \neq (L^*, C^*)$ , it is required that  $L \neq L^*$  or  $Equiv(PK, L, C, C^*) = 0$ . For an adversary A, its advantage in this setting is denoted by  $Adv'_{AC}(A)$ .

#### B.5 Key encapsulation mechanisms

This clause describes the basic security property that shall be required of a key encapsulation mechanism.

Consider a key encapsulation mechanism *KEM*, as defined in Clause 8.1.

Consider the following "adaptive chosen ciphertext" attack scenario.

- **Stage 1:** The key generation algorithm is run, generating a public key and private key. The adversary, of course, obtains the public key, but not the private key.
- Stage 2: The adversary makes a series of arbitrary queries to a decryption oracle. Each query is a ciphertext  $C_0$  that is decrypted by the decryption oracle, making use of the private key. The resulting decryption is given to the adversary; moreover, if the decryption algorithm fails, then this information is given to the adversary, and the attack continues. The adversary is free to construct these ciphertexts in an arbitrary way it is certainly not required to compute them using the encryption algorithm.
- **Stage 3:** The adversary invokes an *encryption oracle*, supplying any encryption options, if the scheme supports them. The encryption oracle does the following:
- a) Run the encryption algorithm, generating a pair  $(K^*, C_0^*)$ .
- b) Generate a random octet string  $\tilde{K}$  of length KEM.KeyLen.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- c) Choose  $b \in \{0,1\}$  at random.
- d) If b = 0, output  $(K^*, C_0^*)$ ; otherwise output  $(\tilde{K}, C_0^*)$ .

**Stage 4:** The adversary continues to submit ciphertexts  $C_0$  to the decryption oracle, subject only to the restriction that  $C_0 \neq C_0^*$ .

**Stage 5:** The adversary outputs  $\hat{b} \in \{0,1\}$ , and halts.

The advantage of A in this game is defined to be  $|\Pr[\hat{b} = b] - 1/2|$ . For a given adversary A, and a given key encapsulation mechanism KEM, this advantage is denoted by  $Adv_{KEM}(A)$ . If the adversary runs in time t, and makes at most q decryption oracle queries, then A is called a KEM[t, q]-adversary.

Security means that this advantage is negligible for all efficient adversaries.

#### B.5.1 Benign malleability

This clause defines the notion of benign malleability for a key encapsulation mechanism, corresponding to the notion of benign malleability for an asymmetric cipher, as in Annex B.4.2.

For a particular key encapsulation mechanism KEM, a polynomial-time, 0/1-valued function Equiv is called an equivalence predicate for KEM if with overwhelming probability, the output of KEM.KeyGen is a pair (PK, pk), such that for any two ciphertexts  $C_0$  and  $C'_0$ , we have

$$Equiv(PK, C_0, C'_0) = 1$$
 implies  $KEM.Decrypt(pk, C_0) = KEM.Decrypt(pk, C'_0)$ .

A key encapsulation mechanism KEM is called benignly malleable if there exists an equivalence predicate Equiv as above, and if it satisfies the definition of security given above for adaptive chosen ciphertext security, but with the following modification in the attack game: when the adversary submits a ciphertext pair  $C_0$  to the decryption oracle in Stage 4, then instead of requiring that  $C_0 \neq C_0^*$ , it is required that  $Equiv(PK, C_0, C_0^*) = 0$ . For an adversary A, its advantage in this setting is denoted by  $Adv'_{KEM}(A)$ .

#### B.6 Data encapsulation mechanisms

This clause describes the basic security property that shall be required of a data encapsulation mechanism.

Consider a key encapsulation mechanism *DEM*, as defined in Clause 8.2.

Consider the following attack scenario. The adversary generates two plaintexts (octet strings)  $M_0, M_1$  of equal length, and a label  $L^*$ . A random secret key K is generated. A random bit b is chosen, and  $M_b$  is encrypted under secret key K. The resulting ciphertext  $C_1^*$  is given to the adversary. The adversary then submits a series of requests to a decryption oracle: each such request is a label/ciphertext pair  $(L, C_1) \neq (L^*, C_1^*)$ , and the decryption oracle responds with the decryption of  $C_1$  with label L under secret key K. The adversary makes a guess  $\hat{b}$  at b. The adversary's advantage is defined as  $|Pr[\hat{b}=b]-1/2|$ .

For a specific adversary A and data encapsulation mechanism DEM, this advantage is denoted by  $Adv_{DEM}(A)$ . If the adversary runs in time t, makes at most q decryption oracle queries, the ciphertexts output from the encryption oracle and input to the decryption oracle are at most

l octets in length, and the labels input to the encryption and decryption oracle are at most l'octets in length, then A is called a DEM[t, q, l, l']-adversary.

Security means that this advantage is negligible for any efficient adversary.

#### Security of *DEM1*, *DEM2*, and *DEM3*

This clause discusses the security of the data encapsulation mechanisms *DEM1* (see Clause 9.1), DEM2 (see Clause 9.2), and DEM3 (see Clause 9.3).

Consider the data encapsulation mechanism *DEM1*. This scheme is parameterized by a symmetric cipher SC and a MAC algorithm MA. It can be shown that if SC satisfies the definition of security in Annex B.3 and MA satisfies the definition of security in Annex B.1, then DEM1 satisfies the definition of security in Annex B.6.

More specifically, for any DEM1[t, q, l, l']-adversary A, we have

$$Adv_{DEM1}(A) \leq Adv_{SC}(A_1) + Adv_{MA}(A_2),$$

where

- $A_1$  is a  $SC[t_1, l'']$ -adversary, with  $t_1 \approx t$ ,
- $A_2$  is a  $MA[t_2, q, l'']$ -adversary, with  $t_2 \approx t$ , and
- -- l'' = l MA.MACLen.

Similarly, for any DEM2[t, q, l, l']-adversary A, where necessarily l' = DEM2.LabelLen, we have

$$Adv_{DEM2}(A) \leq Adv_{SC}(A_1) + Adv_{MA}(A_2),$$

where

- $A_1$  is a  $SC[t_1, l'']$ -adversary, with  $t_1 \approx t$ ,
- $A_2$  is a  $MA[t_2, q, l'' + l']$ -adversary, with  $t_2 \approx t$ , and
- -- l'' = l MA.MACLen.

Similarly, for any DEM3[t,q,l,l']-adversary A, where necessarily l = DEM3.MsgLen +MA.MACLen, we have

$$Adv_{DEM3}(A) \leq Adv_{MA}(A_2),$$

where

—  $A_2$  is a  $MA[t_2, q, DEM3.MsgLen + l']$ -adversary, with  $t_2 \approx t$ .

These bounds are easily established from the definitions. See, for example, [14] for a proof for DEM2 with LabelLen = 0. The proofs for the other cases can be established along similar lines of reasoning to that in [14].

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### B.7 Security of HC

This clause discusses the security of the generic hybrid cipher HC, defined in Clause 8.3. This scheme is parameterized in terms of a key encapsulation mechanism KEM and a data encapsulation mechanism DEM.

It can be shown that if KEM satisfies the definition of security in Annex B.5 and DEM satisfies the definition of security in Annex B.6, then HC satisfies the definition of security in Annex B.4.

More specifically, for any HC[t, q, l, l']-adversary A, we have

$$Adv_{HC}(A) \le 2 \cdot Adv_{KEM}(A_1) + Adv_{DEM}(A_2).$$

where

- $A_1$  is a  $KEM[t_1, q]$ -adversary, with  $t_1 \approx t$ , and
- $A_2$  is a  $DEM[t_2, q, l, l']$ -adversary, with  $t_2 \approx t$ .

The above inequality does not take into account the possibility that *KEM.KeyGen* outputs a "bad" key pair (i.e., one for which the decryption algorithm does not act as the inverse of the encryption algorithm) with non-zero probability. In this case, one must simply add this probability (which is assumed to be negligible) to the right hand side of the above inequality.

This bound is easily established from the definitions. See, for example, [14] for a detailed proof in the case where there are no labels. The proof in the case of labels can be established along similar lines of reasoning to that in [14]. If KEM is benignly malleable (see Annex B.5.1), then one can easily show that HC is also benignly malleable (see Annex B.4.2) with the same security bound as above.

#### B.8 Intractability assumptions related to concrete groups

This clause defines several intractability assumptions related to concrete groups.

Let  $\Gamma = (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{g}, \mu, \nu, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}', \mathcal{D}')$  be a concrete group, as defined in Clause 10.1.

#### B.8.1 The Computational Diffie-Hellman problem

The Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem for  $\Gamma$  is as follows. On input  $(x\mathbf{g}, y\mathbf{g})$ , where  $x, y \in [0..\mu)$ , compute  $xy \cdot \mathbf{g}$ . It is assumed that the inputs are random, i.e., that x and y are randomly chosen from the set  $[0..\mu)$ .

The CDH assumption is the assumption that this problem is intractable.

Note that in general, it is not feasible to even identify a correct solution to the CDH problem (this is the Decisional Diffie-Hellman problem — see below). In analyzing cryptographic systems, the types of algorithms for solving the CDH that most naturally arise are algorithms that produce a list of candidate solutions to a given instance of the CDH problem. For any algorithm A for the CDH problem that produces a list of group elements as output,  $AdvCDH_{\Gamma}(A)$  denotes the probability that this list contains a correct solution to the input problem instance. If A runs in time t and produces a list of at most t group elements, then t is called a t contains a correct solution to the input problem.

Note that in [32], it is shown how to take a  $CDH_{\Gamma}[t, l]$ -adversary A with  $\epsilon = AdvCDH_{\Gamma}(A)$ , and a given value of  $\delta$ , and transform this into a  $CDH_{\Gamma}[t', 1]$ -adversary A', such that  $AdvCDH_{\Gamma}(A') =$ 

 $1-\delta$ , and such that t' is roughly equal to  $O(t \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \log(1/\delta))$ , plus the time to perform

$$O(\epsilon^{-1}l\log(1/\delta)\log\mu + (\log\mu)^2)$$

additional group operations.

#### B.8.2 The Decisional Diffie-Hellman problem

The Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem for  $\Gamma$  is as follows.

One defines two distributions.

Distribution **R** consists of triples  $(x\mathbf{g}, y\mathbf{g}, z\mathbf{g})$ , where x, y, z are chosen at random from  $[0..\mu)$ . Let  $X_{\mathbf{R}}$  denote a random variable sampled from this distribution.

Distribution **D** consists of triples  $(x\mathbf{g}, y\mathbf{g}, z\mathbf{g})$ , where x, y are chosen at random from  $[0..\mu)$ , and  $z = xy \mod \mu$ . Let  $X_{\mathbf{D}}$  denote a random variable sampled from this distribution.

The problem is to distinguish these two distributions.

For an algorithm A that outputs either 0 or 1, its "DDH advantage" is defined as

$$AdvDDH_{\Gamma}(A) = |\Pr[A(X_{\mathbf{R}}) = 1] - \Pr[A(X_{\mathbf{D}}) = 1]|.$$

If A runs in time t, then it is called a  $DDH_{\Gamma}[t]$ -adversary.

The DDH assumption is that this advantage is negligible for all efficient algorithms.

See [10, 25, 26] for further discussion of the DDH and related problems.

#### B.8.3 The Gap-CDH Problem

The Gap-CDH problem is the problem of solving the CDH problem with the aid of an oracle for the DDH problem. In this case, since an algorithm for this problem has access to a DDH oracle, one may assume that the output of the algorithm is a single group element, rather than a list of group elements.

The Gap-CDH assumption is the assumption that this problem is intractable.

For any "oracle" algorithm A,  $AdvGapCDH_{\Gamma}(A)$  is defined to be the probability that it outputs a correct solution to a random instance of the CDH problem, given access to a DDH oracle for  $\Gamma$ . If A runs in time at most t, and makes at most q queries to the DDH oracle, then A is called a  $GapCDH_{\Gamma}[t,q]$ -adversary.

See [29] for more details about this assumption.

#### B.9 Security of ECIES-KEM

This clause discusses the security of the key encapsulation mechanism ECIES-KEM, defined in Clause 10.2.

This scheme is parameterized in terms of a concrete group  $\Gamma$  (see Clause 10.1) and a key derivation function KDF (see Clause 6.2).

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

This scheme can be shown secure in the random oracle model, where KDF is modeled as a random oracle, assuming the Gap-CDH problem is hard.

More specifically, suppose that the system parameters of ECIES-KEM are selected so that SingleHashMode = 0 and

$$CheckMode + CofactorMode + OldCofactorMode > 0.$$

Then if A is a ECIES-KEM[t, q]-adversary that makes at most q' random oracle queries, then we have

$$Adv_{ECIES\text{-}KEM}(A) = O(AdvGapCDH_{\Gamma}(A')),$$

where

— 
$$A'$$
 is a  $GapCDH_{\Gamma}[t', O(q')]$ -adversary, where  $t' \approx t$ .

This bound is essentially proved in [14], at least for the case where CheckMode = 1 and group elements have unique encodings. The other cases can be proved by similar reasoning.

Alternatively, suppose that the system parameters of ECIES-KEM are selected so that SingleHashMode=1 and

$$CheckMode + CofactorMode + OldCofactorMode > 0.$$

In this case, ECIES-KEM is no longer secure against adaptive chosen ciphertext attacks, but it is benignly malleable (see Annex B.5.1). If A is a ECIES-KEM[t,q]-adversary that makes at most q' random oracle queries, then we have

$$Adv'_{ECIES\text{-}KEM}(A) = O(AdvGapCDH_{\Gamma}(A')),$$

where

— A' is a 
$$GapCDH_{\Gamma}[t', O(q \cdot q')]$$
-adversary, where  $t' \approx t$ .

Besides satisfying only a weaker definition of security, this reduction is not as tight as in the case where SingleHashMode = 0. Also, the quality of the reduction degrades even further with SingleHashMode = 1 when one considers the multi-plaintext model formally defined in [3], whereas the reduction does not degrade significantly when SingleHashMode = 0.

If

$$CheckMode + CofactorMode + OldCofactorMode = 0,$$

then in both of the above estimates, the term

$$AdvGapCDH_{\Gamma}(A'),$$

must be replaced by

$$\nu \cdot AdvGapCDH_{\Gamma}(A')$$
.

Therefore, this selection of system parameters should only be used when  $\nu$  is very small.

Instead of analyzing *ECIES-KEM* in terms of the Gap-CDH assumption in the random oracle model, one can analyze it without the use of random oracles, but under a very specific and non-standard assumption. See [1, 2] for details.

#### B.10 Security of *PSEC-KEM*

This clause discusses the security of the key encapsulation mechanism *PSEC-KEM*, defined in Clause 10.3.

This scheme is parameterized in terms of a concrete group  $\Gamma$  (see Clause 10.1) and a key derivation function KDF (see Clause 6.2).

This scheme can be proven secure in the random oracle model, viewing KDF as a random oracle, assuming the CDH problem is hard.

More specifically, for a given value of the system parameter SeedLen, and for any PSEC-KEM[t,q]-adversary A that makes at most q' random oracle queries, we have

$$Adv_{PSEC\text{-}KEM}(A) = O(AdvCDH_{\Gamma}(A') + (q+q')(\mu^{-1} + 2^{-SeedLen})),$$

where A' is a  $AdvCDH_{\Gamma}[t', O(q+q')]$ -adversary, with  $t' \approx t$ .

This bound is proven in [41].

Also, the security does not significantly degrade in the multi-plaintext model formally defined in [10].

#### B.11 Security of ACE-KEM

This clause discusses the security of the key encapsulation mechanism ACE-KEM, defined in Clause 10.4.

This scheme is parameterized in terms of a concrete group  $\Gamma$  (see Clause 10.1), a key derivation function KDF (see Clause 6.2), and a hash function Hash (see Clause 6.1).

This scheme can be proven secure assuming the DDH problem is hard — it is to be emphasized that this proof of security is not in the random oracle model. Instead, some specific, and fairly standard, assumptions are made about KDF and Hash.

More specifically, for any ACE-KEM[t, q]-adversary A, we have

$$Adv_{ACE\text{-}KEM}(A) = O(AdvDDH_{\Gamma}(A_1) + Adv_{Hash}(A_2) + Adv_{KDF}(A_3) + q \cdot \mu^{-1}),$$

where:

- $A_1, A_2, A_3$  denote adversaries that run in time essentially the same as A.
- $Adv_{Hash}(A_2)$  denotes the probability that an adversary  $A_2$ , given encodings  $EU1^*$  and  $EU2^*$ of two independent, random elements in  $\mathcal{G}$ , can find encodings EU1 and EU2 of elements in  $\mathcal{G}$ , such that  $(EU1, EU2) \neq (EU1^*, EU2^*)$ , but

$$Hash.eval(EU1 \parallel EU2) = Hash.eval(EU1^* \parallel EU2^*).$$

If the group supports multiple encodings, the adversary can choose the format it wants when  $EU1^*$  and  $EU2^*$  are generated; furthermore, the adversary may choose to use the same or different formats in its choice of EU1 and EU2; however,  $EU1^*$  and  $EU2^*$  must be consistent encodings, and the same holds for EU1 and EU2.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

If CofactorMode = 1, then the adversary may choose EU1 to be an encoding of an element of  $\mathcal{H}$  that does not necessarily lie in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Note that this problem is a second-preimage collision problem, which is generally believed to be a much harder problem to solve than the problem of finding an arbitrary pair of colliding inputs.

—  $Adv_{KDF}(A_3)$  denotes the advantage that an adversary  $A_3$  has in distinguishing between the following two distributions. Let  $\mathbf{u}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}$  be independent, random elements of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and let EU1 be an encoding of  $\mathbf{u}_1$ . Let R be a random octet string of length KeyLen. The first distribution is (R, EU1), and the second is  $(KDF(EU1 \parallel \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\mathbf{h}}), KeyLen), EU1)$ .

The reader is referred to [14] for a detailed proof for the case where CofactorMode = 0 and group elements have unique encodings. The proof is easily adapted to handle the other cases as well, making use of the fact that the decryption algorithm checks for consistent encodings.

It is also shown in [14] that ACE-KEM is no less secure than ECIES-KEM, in the sense that for any ACE-KEM[t,q]-adversary A, there exists a ECIES-KEM[t',q]-adversary A' such that  $t' \approx t$  and  $Adv_{ECIES\text{-}KEM}(A') \approx Adv_{ACE\text{-}KEM}(A)$ . The proof in [14] is only for the case where CofactorMode = 0 and group elements have unique encodings. The proof is easily adapted to handle the other cases as well, again making use of the fact that the decryption algorithm checks for consistent encodings.

It is also shown in [14] that if KDF is viewed as a random oracle, then the security of ACE-KEM can be proven based on the CDH assumption. However, this security reduction is not very tight. The proof in [14] is only for the case where CofactorMode = 0 and group elements have unique encodings. The proof is easily adapted to handle the other cases as well.

As pointed out in Clause 10.4.4, care should be taken in the implementation of *ACE-KEM.Decrypt*. Specifically, the implementation of *ACE-KEM.Decrypt* should not reveal the cause of the error in Step g. If an attacker can obtain such information from a decryption oracle, the proof of security under the DDH assumption will no longer be valid; however, even if such information is available, no attack on the scheme is known, and moreover, the scheme is still no less secure than *ECIES-KEM*.

#### B.12 The RSA inversion problem

This clause discusses the RSA inversion problem.

Let RSAKeyGen be an RSA key generation algorithm (see Clause 11.1).

The RSA inversion problem is this: given outputs n and e of RSAKeyGen(), along with random  $x \in [0..n)$ , compute y such that  $y^e \equiv x \pmod{n}$ . For any given algorithm A and any given RSA key generation algorithm RSAKeyGen,  $Adv_{RSAKeyGen}(A)$  denotes the probability that A solves the RSA inversion problem, as above. If A runs in time at most t, then it is called a RSAKeyGen[t]-adversary.

The RSA assumption for RSAKeyGen is the assumption  $Adv_{RSAKeyGen}(A)$  is negligible for any efficient algorithm A.

#### B.13 Security of *RSAES*

This clause discusses the security of the bounded-plaintext-length asymmetric cipher RSAES, defined in Clause 11.4.

The paper [8] analyzes a more general setting in which (a minor variant of) the RSA encoding mechanism *REM1* (defined in Clause 11.3.2) is applied to a general "one-way trapdoor permutation," rather than to a specific function such as the RSA function. The analysis is done in the random oracle model, where the key derivation and hash functions are modeled as random oracles.

It is proven in [8] that the resulting scheme satisfies a technical property called "plaintext awareness," assuming the underlying permutation is indeed one way. However, as pointed out in [33], plaintext awareness does not imply security against adaptive chosen ciphertext attack—it only implies a weaker notion of security, namely, security against "lunchtime" attacks (see Annex B.4). Moreover, it is proven in [33] that REM1 will in general not yield a cipher that is secure against adaptive chosen ciphertext attack, if the underlying permutation is arbitrary. This negative result does not imply that RSAES is insecure against adaptive chosen ciphertext attack—it only implies that the analysis in [8] does not establish this.

In [33], it is shown that RSAES is secure if the encryption exponent e is very small (e.g., e=3). This result was generalized in [20] to general encryption exponents. It should be pointed out, however, that the security reduction in [20] is not very tight — indeed, it is so bad that it actually says nothing at all about the security of RSAES for RSA moduli of up to several thousand bits. The security reduction in [33] for small encryption exponents is significantly better, but still is not quite as tight as one would like.

As pointed out in Clause 11.3.2.3, care must be taken in the implementation of *RSAES*. Specifically, it is essential that the implementation of *REM1.Decode* should not reveal the cause of the error in Step k; if an attacker can obtain such information from a decryption oracle, then the scheme can be easily broken, as described in [24].

#### B.14 Security of RSA-KEM

This clause discusses the security of the key encapsulation mechanism RSA-KEM, defined in Clause 11.5.

This scheme can be easily shown to be secure in the random oracle model, where the system parameter KDF is modeled as a random oracle, assuming the RSA inversion problem is hard.

More specifically, for any RSA key generation algorithm RSAKeyGen, such that the output (n, e, d) always satisfies  $n \ge nBound$ , and for any RSA-KEM[t, q]-adversary A, we have

$$Adv_{RSA-KEM}(A) \leq Adv_{RSAKeyGen}(A') + q/nBound,$$

where

— A' is a RSAKeyGen[t']-adversary, with  $t' \approx t$ .

This inequality does not take into account the possibility that RSAKeyGen outputs a "bad" RSA key with non-zero probability. In this case, one must simply add this probability (which is assumed to be negligible) to the right hand side of the above inequality.

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

For a proof, see [34].

This security reduction is quite tight, unlike those for RSAES discussed above in Annex B.13. Moreover, in the multi-plaintext model formally defined in [3], the security of RSA-KEM does not degrade at all, due to the random self-reducibility of the RSA inversion problem. In contrast, the security of RSAES degrades linearly in the number of plaintexts, as the random self-reducibility property unfortunately cannot be exploited in this context.

Also, unlike RSAES, RSA-KEM does not seem to be susceptible to "implementation" attacks, such as the attack in [24].

#### B.15 Security of HIME(R)

It can be shown that in the random oracle model, where the functions Hash and KDF in HEM1 are modeled as random oracles, that HIME(R) is secure against adaptive chosen ciphertext attack, assuming that it is computationally infeasible to factor integers of the form output by algorithm HIMEKeyGen. For details, see [29, 35] — note that [35] corrects several mistakes in [29].

#### $\boxed{\text{A}} \text{ B.16}$ Security of FACE-KEM

The key encapsulation mechanism FACE-KEM, defined in 10.5, has the following security properties.

Recall that FACE-KEM is parameterized by a group  $\Gamma$  (see 10.1), a hash function Hash (see 6.1), and a key derivation KDF (see 6.2).

FACE-KEM can be proven secure against adaptive chosen ciphertext attack, assuming that the DDH problem (defined in B.8.2) is hard for group  $\Gamma$ . The security proof does not make use of the random oracle model. Instead, in the security proof, Hash is assumed to be secure against second preimage collision attacks, and KDF is assumed to transform the encoding of a random group element to a random octet string. For the security proof of FACE-KEM, see Reference [44] (indirect security reduction to the DDH problem), or Reference [46] (direct security reduction to the DDH problem).

#### BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

## Annex C (informative) Numerical examples (41)

This annex gives has numerical examples has for the encryption schemes speci<sup>-</sup>ed in this part of ISO/IEC 18033.

For the ElGamal-based key encapsulation mechanisms, the "Modp" group is a subgroup of  $\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{*}$ for the given prime p; the "ECModp" group is the elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  that is sometimes called "P192" in other standards; the "ECGF2" group is the elliptic curve over the finite field of 2<sup>163</sup> elements that is sometimes called "B163" in other standards (elements of the field are represented with respect to the polynomial basis for the given irreducible polynomial p).

## 

## C.1.1 And Numerical examples (And

DEM1 SC=SC1(BC=AES(keylen=32)) MAC=HMAC(Hash=Sha1(), keylen=20, outlen=20) Trace for DEM1 encrypt Message in ASCII = "the rain in spain falls mainly on the plain" Message as octet string = 0x746865207261696e20696e20737061696e2066616c6c 73206d61696e6c79206f6e2074686520706c61696e Label in ASCII = "test" Label as octet string = 0x74657374k = 0x6434363064303334306635613764353333643739636535636535396235633737k' = 0x3863323837346633333330653033653032303536c = 0x0745c5f99ad56fe3ae4ebbeddc5385493cf67a8fa3e3fcdda5d8c82308a8e2b04ca4ac32241b1036f20fbe1f3aed19a3

- T = 0x0745c5f99ad56fe3ae4ebbeddc5385493cf67a8fa3e3fcdda5d8c82308a8e2b04c
- MAC = 0x016072f3d5cd979bb49a7c350b233b724f64bba9
- C1 = 0x0745c5f99ad56fe3ae4ebbeddc5385493cf67a8fa3e3fcdda5d8c82308a8e2b04 ca4ac32241b1036f20fbe1f3aed19a3016072f3d5cd979bb49a7c350b233b724f64 bba9

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### C.1.2 And Numerical examples (And

DEM1

SC=SC2(Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()), keylen=32)
MAC=HMAC(Hash=Sha1(), keylen=20, outlen=20)

-----

Trace for DEM1 encrypt

-----

Message in ASCII = "the rain in spain falls mainly on the plain"

Message as octet string = 0x746865207261696e20696e20737061696e2066616c6c73206d61696e6c79206f6e2074686520706c61696e

Label in ASCII = "test"

Label as octet string = 0x74657374

k = 0x6434363064303334306635613764353333643739636535636535396235633737

k' = 0x3863323837346633333330653033653032303536

- c = 0xae747466b1f160cf196d2ebe16ac9a70b6ff57c614436cf3de67ea38324f275791
  164cfcaea866b0024db7
- $T = 0xae747466b1f160cf196d2ebe16ac9a70b6ff57c614436cf3de67ea38324f275791\\ 164cfcaea866b0024db7746573740000000000000020$
- MAC = 0xa3462a9d5997aeaac247b33b6c13d748511e0f20
- C1 = 0xae747466b1f160cf196d2ebe16ac9a70b6ff57c614436cf3de67ea38324f27579 1164cfcaea866b0024db7a3462a9d5997aeaac247b33b6c13d748511e0f20

## C.2 Numerical examples (1) for *ECIES-KEM*

## C.2.1 Numerical examples (A)

-----

ECIES-KEM

-----

Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1())
Keylen=128
CofactorMode=0
OldCofactorMode=0
CheckMode=1
SingleHashMode=0

----

Group=Modp-Group:

p = 0x8a1b8d83ef967f4e8dc0a423a178b33f31a3aeb743fb332dc020970b44ba95bd29
38eb60365ee9c1b1bda579d8276553758e84eb2a8f89c21f8c08ae12f2aacf

g	=	0x5e769d3a6fc9b82acf30800c8afe9631c2b9a1bdee398fd0a920704560513898d9
		4e40f3f6fc6a773249d63fc74bba14ceadc203b49f2344a6a22a0a8904c60b

- mu = 0xdf0235fe94e74d2d70dbbc887389e5af9ec9ccd7
- nu = 0x9e89f7f68e9a2e44b68affab0e53d03763d829685af48fa6405ce08865be6c7ee 7221781300459df024b33e2

Public Key

h = 0x61ddb01fad54cffe21a3a68c1cf388c23493699e74519931e42b8576a9652e47dc ${\tt c65f7cd297039268d4a7d6b0337466415647a6f6204b6604d3659127f5c69f}$ 

Private Key

x = 0x4a401de389f502aa4e1fb066b940a6784626a429

Trace for ECIES-KEM encrypt

- r = 0x83bd99b480f6e3ab8b9dc4f410470949f9c9361d
- r' = 0x83bd99b480f6e3ab8b9dc4f410470949f9c9361d
- $g^{\sim} = 0x5110f7e54f656e70c71ea2067c901570088a1eb1b230000abba1b2df4b774bed5$ 43c0325b7083f2b477d5c02ddcafdfec0725672da2cbed39baf75f02dc078d0
- $h^{\sim} = 0x4e9752632f973db43ed3d06ffd5bd9e741af0f855cbc556b73ab530affd7850ca$ 4 c93 d4 b91 d73 b47 db8718 c05 e296151 e036 cf9 ba980 cef6563 af244438 cac1 barren barren
- PEH = 0x4e9752632f973db43ed3d06ffd5bd9e741af0f855cbc556b73ab530affd7850c a4c93d4b91d73b47db8718c05e296151e036cf9ba980cef6563af244438cac1b
- z = 0x5110f7e54f656e70c71ea2067c901570088a1eb1b230000abba1b2df4b774bed543c0325b7083f2b477d5c02ddcafdfec0725672da2cbed39baf75f02dc078d0
- C0 = 0x5110f7e54f656e70c71ea2067c901570088a1eb1b230000abba1b2df4b774bed543c0325b7083f2b477d5c02ddcafdfec0725672da2cbed39baf75f02dc078d0
- K = 0x23e41472d780bfbb2daafd85a8fcdf8641fdca4d9f539a4ad175c473ca0f498728931bc311baa2c957ab528935aa22954075a2899ab1ce8ff5ba90a049aeba8cbb9019 bccfc5c24c815ac8a1106e163936597b5d06ba4b52377ca48d82621b2768373a2103 88998b964c11b0a2780c12c49cdea2cb454543fb3b725b026443d9

# C.2.2 A) Numerical examples (A)

ECIES-KEM

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

```
Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1())
Keylen=128
CofactorMode=0
OldCofactorMode=0
CheckMode=0
SingleHashMode=0
Group=ECModp-Group:
b = 0x64210519e59c80e70fa7e9ab72243049feb8deecc146b9b1
nu = 0x01
g(x) = 0x188da80eb03090f67cbf20eb43a18800f4ff0afd82ff1012
g(y) = 0x07192b95ffc8da78631011ed6b24cdd573f977a11e794811
Public Key
h(x) = 0x1cbc74a41b4e84a1509f935e2328a0bb06104d8dbb8d2130
h(y) = 0x7b2ab1f10d76fde1ea046a4ad5fb903734190151bb30cec2
Private Key
x = 0xb67048c28d2d26a73f713d5ebb994ac92588464e7fe7d3f3
Trace for ECIES-KEM encrypt
Encoding format = uncompressed_fmt
r = 0x083d4ac64f1960a9836a84f91ca211a185814fa43a2c8f21
r' = 0x083d4ac64f1960a9836a84f91ca211a185814fa43a2c8f21
g^{(x)} = 0xccc9ea07b8b71d25646b22b0e251362a3fa9e993042315df
g^{(y)} = 0x047b2e07dd2ffb89359945f3d22ca8757874be2536e0f924
h^{(x)} = 0xcdec12c4cf1cb733a2a691ad945e124535e5fc10c70203b5
h^{(y)} = 0x0cae66e42ae0dd8857ab670c6397c93c1769f9a5f5b9d36d
```

BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

PEH = 0xcdec12c4cf1cb733a2a691ad945e124535e5fc10c70203b5

- z = 0x04ccc9ea07b8b71d25646b22b0e251362a3fa9e993042315df047b2e07dd2ffb89359945f3d22ca8757874be2536e0f924
- $\begin{array}{lll} {\tt C0} &=& 0 \times 0.4 \times 0.9 \times 0.07 \times$
- K = 0x9a709adeb6c7590ccfc7d594670dd2d74fcdda3f8622f2dbcf0f0c02966d5d9002 db578c989bf4a5cc896d2a11d74e0c51efc1f8ee784897ab9b865a7232b5661b7cac 87cf4150bdf23b015d7b525b797cf6d533e9f6ad49a4c6de5e7089724c9cadf0adf1 3ee51b41be6713653fc1cb2c95a1d1b771cc7429189861d7a829f3

## C.2.3 Numerical examples (A)

ECIES-KEM Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()) Keylen=128 CofactorMode=0 OldCofactorMode=0 CheckMode=0 SingleHashMode=0 \_\_\_\_ Group=ECModp-Group: b = 0x64210519e59c80e70fa7e9ab72243049feb8deecc146b9b1nu = 0x01g(x) = 0x188da80eb03090f67cbf20eb43a18800f4ff0afd82ff1012g(y) = 0x07192b95ffc8da78631011ed6b24cdd573f977a11e794811Public Key h(x) = 0x1cbc74a41b4e84a1509f935e2328a0bb06104d8dbb8d2130h(y) = 0x7b2ab1f10d76fde1ea046a4ad5fb903734190151bb30cec2Private Key

x = 0xb67048c28d2d26a73f713d5ebb994ac92588464e7fe7d3f3

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

Trace for ECIES-KEM encrypt

Encoding format = compressed\_fmt

r = 0x083d4ac64f1960a9836a84f91ca211a185814fa43a2c8f21

r' = 0x083d4ac64f1960a9836a84f91ca211a185814fa43a2c8f21

 $g^{(x)} = 0xccc9ea07b8b71d25646b22b0e251362a3fa9e993042315df$ 

 $g^{(y)} = 0x047b2e07dd2ffb89359945f3d22ca8757874be2536e0f924$ 

 $h^{\sim}(x) = 0xcdec12c4cf1cb733a2a691ad945e124535e5fc10c70203b5$ 

 $h^{(y)} = 0x0cae66e42ae0dd8857ab670c6397c93c1769f9a5f5b9d36d$ 

PEH = 0xcdec12c4cf1cb733a2a691ad945e124535e5fc10c70203b5

z = 0x02ccc9ea07b8b71d25646b22b0e251362a3fa9e993042315df

C0 = 0x02ccc9ea07b8b71d25646b22b0e251362a3fa9e993042315df

K = 0x8fbe0903fac2fa05df02278fe162708fb432f3cbf9bb14138d22be1d279f74bfb9 4f0843a153b708fcc8d9446c76f00e4ccabef85228195f732f4aedc5e48efcf2968c 3a46f2df6f2afcbdf5ef79c958f233c6d208f3a7496e08f505d1c792b314b45ff647 237b0aa186d0cdbab47a00fb4065d62cfc18f8a8d12c78ecbee3fd

## C.2.4 Numerical examples (A)

DATES 1/DV

ECIES-KEM

-----

Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()) Keylen=128 CofactorMode=0 OldCofactorMode=0 CheckMode=0 SingleHashMode=0

\_\_\_\_

Group=ECGF2-Group:

a = 0x01

b = 0x020a601907b8c953ca1481eb10512f78744a3205fd

mu = 0x040000000000000000000292fe77e70c12a4234c33

nu = 0x01

BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

g(x) = 0x03f0eba16286a2d57ea0991168d4994637e8343e36
g(y) = 0xd51fbc6c71a0094fa2cdd545b11c5c0c797324f1
Public Key
h(x) = 0x03d401df33470c1eb3611ed1b9fd4dd12ffb48cbc1
h(y) = 0x057b470f90c82a900cc4daa27567d15b05d8bdbcb0
Private Key
x = 0x028d2d26a73f713d3f9d0d5b8ce30d76f4d151c933
Trace for ECIES-KEM encrypt
<pre>Encoding format = uncompressed_fmt</pre>
r = 0xa9836a84a1583f601a2f9b2b2432a0aff42c8541
r' = 0xa9836a84a1583f601a2f9b2b2432a0aff42c8541
$g^{(x)} = 0x0619b155dea55122f456a0b4741093a244893c91df$
$g^{(y)} = 0x03c75545c65707dd31d9a1a583aba4f107c0c2af51$
$h^{(x)} = 0x93c4a6f28021e71e1af8c9da440ab0317e12febd$
$h^{(y)} = 0x048d83cad5c3da366af4b7da10f5e13ec45eb1d65d$
PEH = 0x0093c4a6f28021e71e1af8c9da440ab0317e12febd
z = 0x040619b155dea55122f456a0b4741093a244893c91df03c75545c65707dd31d9a1a583aba4f107c0c2af51
C0 = 0x040619b155dea55122f456a0b4741093a244893c91df03c75545c65707dd31d9a 1a583aba4f107c0c2af51
K = 0x970d1027a42bb88402797cadc8b0822849218339f25189a624c1c7881a09814ede d59a9baafafd2ceb516d43b7c6594d1db583ac478bec07bfe37cc3d216a9a2929658 fae29a7023e266abbdecff6ccecd19bd1f8e51d4db6329af82cae0c07ee093eb3188 3c57511800057e60407d7d67210ba7366ae3b8b6877a9e81ecb774
C.2.5 Numerical examples (A)
ECIES-KEM
<del></del>

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

```
Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1())
Keylen=128
CofactorMode=0
OldCofactorMode=0
CheckMode=0
SingleHashMode=0
Group=ECGF2-Group:
a = 0x01
b = 0x020a601907b8c953ca1481eb10512f78744a3205fd
mu = 0x040000000000000000000292fe77e70c12a4234c33
nu = 0x01
g(x) = 0x03f0eba16286a2d57ea0991168d4994637e8343e36
g(y) = 0xd51fbc6c71a0094fa2cdd545b11c5c0c797324f1
Public Key
h(x) = 0x03d401df33470c1eb3611ed1b9fd4dd12ffb48cbc1
h(y) = 0x057b470f90c82a900cc4daa27567d15b05d8bdbcb0
Private Key
x = 0x028d2d26a73f713d3f9d0d5b8ce30d76f4d151c933
Trace for ECIES-KEM encrypt
Encoding format = compressed_fmt
r = 0xa9836a84a1583f601a2f9b2b2432a0aff42c8541
r' = 0xa9836a84a1583f601a2f9b2b2432a0aff42c8541
g^{(x)} = 0x0619b155dea55122f456a0b4741093a244893c91df
g^{(y)} = 0x03c75545c65707dd31d9a1a583aba4f107c0c2af51
h^{-}(x) = 0x93c4a6f28021e71e1af8c9da440ab0317e12febd
h^{(y)} = 0x048d83cad5c3da366af4b7da10f5e13ec45eb1d65d
```

BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

PEH = 0x0093c4a6f28021e71e1af8c9da440ab0317e12febd

z = 0x030619b155dea55122f456a0b4741093a244893c91df

C0 = 0x030619b155dea55122f456a0b4741093a244893c91df

K = 0xdc66d10d56868d338b147186fdac210c351150862f94ff3ffcf4fc34b96c2117f1 2e8cf39527419a96066ce00fd856b1742f3ec1865614d901b87ea7b89102417f9b62 775e5806870e73db128fe00a0edd3efe21d93e84a4ae9609ade5838c96da784104db 20170f74b430acde310785d4b66edd09d37f9f32c54ae44442c41f

#### C.3 Numerical examples (4) for *PSEC-KEM*

## C.3.1 Numerical examples (A)

PSEC-KEM
Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()) Keylen=128 Seedlen=64
Group=Modp-Group:
p = 0x8a1b8d83ef967f4e8dc0a423a178b33f31a3aeb743fb332dc020970b44ba95bd29 38eb60365ee9c1b1bda579d8276553758e84eb2a8f89c21f8c08ae12f2aacf
g = 0x5e769d3a6fc9b82acf30800c8afe9631c2b9a1bdee398fd0a920704560513898d9 4e40f3f6fc6a773249d63fc74bba14ceadc203b49f2344a6a22a0a8904c60b
mu = 0xdf0235fe94e74d2d70dbbc887389e5af9ec9ccd7
nu = 0x9e89f7f68e9a2e44b68affab0e53d03763d829685af48fa6405ce08865be6c7ee 7221781300459df024b33e2
Public Key
h = 0x61ddb01fad54cffe21a3a68c1cf388c23493699e74519931e42b8576a9652e47dc c65f7cd297039268d4a7d6b0337466415647a6f6204b6604d3659127f5c69f
Private Key
x = 0x4a401de389f502aa4e1fb066b940a6784626a429
Trace for PSEC-KEM encrypt

seed = 0x79878e0f7ef84d47753bf4b9a4fa5c33ec1bfa66fa140a3d998770496c613ad
f8b9b6fdc083d4ac64f1960a9836a84a1583f601b1222a45b9ec718604eb67048

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- t = 0x583e88b2d550ec4b00419221470e635a63eb0ec74cb9fb6295b57c360e8b68eba9 631b4e58bd6f118861b03d4dc8b12a3f2cb2e74a5a47e733f34e875891e980963615 bad107bd2430e8e0d00c4f2d8f9306195b079ba4276900541f0fc7816815366b5190 34810f6b0d6a6632e251a5ab70d176077701a9c048658a87178a4b94430190607b3a 52cf66002e4d0251d2cf09f9b19cfbf4793251f7caf9d852a13ad7e37f
- u = 0x583e88b2d550ec4b00419221470e635a63eb0ec74cb9fb6295b57c360e8b68eba9 631b4e
- r = 0x0a3b085c410f14847aa9c17ecae644cff418369e
- $g^{\circ} = 0x6e60226637400270f589f53577f00641538d241462441652cb18ffb244414789f \\ 6cfe71770e5248e74d80524927acd9b0242d273844f8415c4199d1b7037613f$
- h = 0x4ebe32dd0b9aa56cfb712581e7dcf9d8b5a4413544cbf6d09b074fa0d332ff335 682de79a9a27cfae7a362f84c3e8ab15fca0ce2d1aae6aafc659438225c5559
- EG = 0x6e60226637400270f589f53577f00641538d241462441652cb18ffb244414789f 6cfe71770e5248e74d80524927acd9b0242d273844f8415c4199d1b7037613f
- PEH = 0x4ebe32dd0b9aa56cfb712581e7dcf9d8b5a4413544cbf6d09b074fa0d332ff33 5682de79a9a27cfae7a362f84c3e8ab15fca0ce2d1aae6aafc659438225c5559
- SeedMask = 0xeab31c0d24a50c663d7e14d767cc2c4b5e2470deb00b09eab870d28ad0e a7c3a3cd05e998ce08c5a6f77a04e2d2b3b84c22d1747f36d5aff7794fbb0 e27b7a80
- MaskedSeed = 0x933492025a5d41214845e06ec3367078b23f8ab84a1f03d721f7a2c3b c8b46e5b74b314584ddc69c206ec0e7ae41bf259a12775ce14ffea4e953 e3d0accd0ac8
- C0 = 0x6e60226637400270f589f53577f00641538d241462441652cb18ffb244414789f 6cfe71770e5248e74d80524927acd9b0242d273844f8415c4199d1b7037613f9334 92025a5d41214845e06ec3367078b23f8ab84a1f03d721f7a2c3bc8b46e5b74b314 584ddc69c206ec0e7ae41bf259a12775ce14ffea4e953e3d0accd0ac8
- K = 0x58bd6f118861b03d4dc8b12a3f2cb2e74a5a47e733f34e875891e980963615bad1 07bd2430e8e0d00c4f2d8f9306195b079ba4276900541f0fc7816815366b51903481 0f6b0d6a6632e251a5ab70d176077701a9c048658a87178a4b94430190607b3a52cf 66002e4d0251d2cf09f9b19cfbf4793251f7caf9d852a13ad7e37f

## C.3.2 Numerical examples (4)

PSEC-KEM
Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()) Keylen=128 Seedlen=64
Group=ECModp-Group:
p = 0xfffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff

# 100/1E0 10000-2.2000 A1.20

- b = 0x64210519e59c80e70fa7e9ab72243049feb8deecc146b9b1
- nu = 0x01
- g(x) = 0x188da80eb03090f67cbf20eb43a18800f4ff0afd82ff1012
- g(y) = 0x07192b95ffc8da78631011ed6b24cdd573f977a11e794811

----

Public Key

- h(x) = 0x1cbc74a41b4e84a1509f935e2328a0bb06104d8dbb8d2130
- h(y) = 0x7b2ab1f10d76fde1ea046a4ad5fb903734190151bb30cec2

\_\_\_\_

Private Key

x = 0xb67048c28d2d26a73f713d5ebb994ac92588464e7fe7d3f3

-----

Trace for PSEC-KEM encrypt

-----

Encoding format = uncompressed\_fmt

- seed = 0xae8aeaf179878e0f7ef84d47753bf4b9a4fa5c33ec1bfa66fa140a3d9987704
  96c613adf8b9b6fdc083d4ac64f1960a9836a84a1583f601b1222a45b9ec71860
- t = 0x336bbe43a45e8bb835c7fe866cf3501e9eff51d26d6d1dc10ae0775897f2f7a63f
  9d18df8a6880f99ed846a35852323b31b3b24eb1778db73a1195641b815990cf51ed
  62dd220189d600927c0fd9b19f8ddf5bde2305332cdbb202f915c76dca22bce645ea
  70b039ebbc12ac76d93590c4884062fca8a33ad29580fea2ddbf72e3746a334b8f5e
  f1f772aa09a6b7242df1fc806e605fcd45f50128f6d03db4c0581132f917f4e59d
- u = 0x336bbe43a45e8bb835c7fe866cf3501e9eff51d26d6d1dc10ae0775897f2f7a63f 9d18df8a6880f9
- r = 0x9a53172304b54d475de3654019156aa4214a478cec066668
- $g^{(x)} = 0x87256b492f43b0cf7cf192faeb26ea354a0e19d1d9bdbbc0$
- $g^{(y)} = 0x0c8e9ddf435a593e775339ed77b9f5f5bcc5097d0819c4b1$
- $h^{(x)} = 0xb444acd74621f37573fcd0e79eb3a300fefd174b88cee971$
- $h^{(y)} = 0x393eb322bac28badc949896dbff834da61954c1ebec59885$
- $EG = 0x0487256b492f43b0cf7cf192faeb26ea354a0e19d1d9bdbbc00c8e9ddf435a593 \\ e775339ed77b9f5f5bcc5097d0819c4b1$

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

PEH = 0xb444acd74621f37573fcd0e79eb3a300fefd174b88cee971

- MaskedSeed = 0x74a05d38e628958e9e5544273933442e2a47b31452402684668105fdf 824cb1b128a20756ba52f5eb25aa538b52c9b263556e0f6e876c1eecee2 677ac794171d
- C0 = 0x0487256b492f43b0cf7cf192faeb26ea354a0e19d1d9bdbbc00c8e9ddf435a593 e775339ed77b9f5f5bcc5097d0819c4b174a05d38e628958e9e5544273933442e2a 47b31452402684668105fdf824cb1b128a20756ba52f5eb25aa538b52c9b263556e 0f6e876c1eecee2677ac794171d
- K = 0x9ed846a35852323b31b3b24eb1778db73a1195641b815990cf51ed62dd220189d6 00927c0fd9b19f8ddf5bde2305332cdbb202f915c76dca22bce645ea70b039ebbc12 ac76d93590c4884062fca8a33ad29580fea2ddbf72e3746a334b8f5ef1f772aa09a6 b7242df1fc806e605fcd45f50128f6d03db4c0581132f917f4e59d

## C.3.3 Numerical examples (A)

PSEC-KEM Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()) Keylen=128 Seedlen=64 \_\_\_\_ Group=ECModp-Group: b = 0x64210519e59c80e70fa7e9ab72243049feb8deecc146b9b1nu = 0x01g(x) = 0x188da80eb03090f67cbf20eb43a18800f4ff0afd82ff1012g(y) = 0x07192b95ffc8da78631011ed6b24cdd573f977a11e794811Public Key h(x) = 0x1cbc74a41b4e84a1509f935e2328a0bb06104d8dbb8d2130h(y) = 0x7b2ab1f10d76fde1ea046a4ad5fb903734190151bb30cec2

Private Key

x = 0xb67048c28d2d26a73f713d5ebb994ac92588464e7fe7d3f3

Trace for PSEC-KEM encrypt

Encoding format = compressed\_fmt

- seed = 0xae8aeaf179878e0f7ef84d47753bf4b9a4fa5c33ec1bfa66fa140a3d9987704 96c613adf8b9b6fdc083d4ac64f1960a9836a84a1583f601b1222a45b9ec71860
- t = 0x336bbe43a45e8bb835c7fe866cf3501e9eff51d26d6d1dc10ae0775897f2f7a63f9d18df8a6880f99ed846a35852323b31b3b24eb1778db73a1195641b815990cf51ed 62dd220189d600927c0fd9b19f8ddf5bde2305332cdbb202f915c76dca22bce645ea 70b039ebbc12ac76d93590c4884062fca8a33ad29580fea2ddbf72e3746a334b8f5e f1f772aa09a6b7242df1fc806e605fcd45f50128f6d03db4c0581132f917f4e59d
- u = 0x336bbe43a45e8bb835c7fe866cf3501e9eff51d26d6d1dc10ae0775897f2f7a63f9d18df8a6880f9
- r = 0x9a53172304b54d475de3654019156aa4214a478cec066668
- $g^{(x)} = 0x87256b492f43b0cf7cf192faeb26ea354a0e19d1d9bdbbc0$
- $g^{(y)} = 0x0c8e9ddf435a593e775339ed77b9f5f5bcc5097d0819c4b1$
- $h^{(x)} = 0xb444acd74621f37573fcd0e79eb3a300fefd174b88cee971$
- $h^{(y)} = 0x393eb322bac28badc949896dbff834da61954c1ebec59885$
- EG = 0x0387256b492f43b0cf7cf192faeb26ea354a0e19d1d9bdbbc0
- PEH = 0xb444acd74621f37573fcd0e79eb3a300fefd174b88cee971
- SeedMask = 0xe63cf131069307ca1a2296e0ac3fa1afa25a6476a01254e56903c7301a5 5dde0bd2cd68a28f2c94c867a0b8e4d6f825c041e63e463f6cabb1a9d290b f4c20673
- MaskedSeed = 0x48b61bc07f1489c564dadba7d904551606a038454c09ae839317cd0d8 3d2ada9d14dec55a369a6908e4741480276e2f58774e7453bc9aaa008bf 8d506a051e13
- ${\tt C0 = 0x0387256b492f43b0cf7cf192faeb26ea354a0e19d1d9bdbbc048b61bc07f1489c}$ 564dadba7d904551606a038454c09ae839317cd0d83d2ada9d14dec55a369a6908e 4741480276e2f58774e7453bc9aaa008bf8d506a051e13
- K = 0x9ed846a35852323b31b3b24eb1778db73a1195641b815990cf51ed62dd220189d600927c0fd9b19f8ddf5bde2305332cdbb202f915c76dca22bce645ea70b039ebbc12 ac76d93590c4884062fca8a33ad29580fea2ddbf72e3746a334b8f5ef1f772aa09a6 b7242df1fc806e605fcd45f50128f6d03db4c0581132f917f4e59d

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

## C.3.4 And Numerical examples (And

```
PSEC-KEM
Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1())
Keylen=128
Seedlen=64
Group=ECGF2-Group:
a = 0x01
b = 0x020a601907b8c953ca1481eb10512f78744a3205fd
mu = 0x040000000000000000000292fe77e70c12a4234c33
nu = 0x01
g(x) = 0x03f0eba16286a2d57ea0991168d4994637e8343e36
g(y) = 0xd51fbc6c71a0094fa2cdd545b11c5c0c797324f1
Public Key
h(x) = 0x03d401df33470c1eb3611ed1b9fd4dd12ffb48cbc1
h(y) = 0x057b470f90c82a900cc4daa27567d15b05d8bdbcb0
Private Key
x = 0x028d2d26a73f713d3f9d0d5b8ce30d76f4d151c933
Trace for PSEC-KEM encrypt
Encoding format = uncompressed_fmt
seed = 0xf179878e0f7ef84d47753bf4b9a4fa5c33ec1bfa66fa140a3d998770496c613
```

- adf8b9b6fdc083d4ac64f1960a9836a84a1583f601b1222a45b9ec718604eb670
- t = 0xc6836e810a973cb54f73dc4b573505e2f1fe2b80c67633494fd53af386c73e42c5c4508d75b270dd95d81fff0518e500e42925ae1f699f498e8273e4884f31407b8a3a 26aa6ee547d4f6b8448b72e9b05f51803bce733cf773bac707fb6127476ba914f74a 5ad10ac0a7b87b59b9699a707a326924528af10911386c65388aebe88ebefa8ee2a1 c9cca32a6d00d9833ca055f0437ee06379416cc139a7fb1900b8d3cadde2

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- u = 0xc6836e810a973cb54f73dc4b573505e2f1fe2b80c67633494fd53af386c73e42c5
- r = 0x02f40b3321460743cc5722182f8529f93ed53cc58c
- $g^{(x)} = 0x067ba0d66f34b80ade98971eaec46ae7df42e41864$
- $g^{(y)} = 0x051879a0b595dacd15353f307a61f741467f1be232$
- $h^{(x)} = 0x031878816c68b18a57a4528f1ae4247a33a319d4f5$
- $h^{(y)} = 0x037b354c91ad6607a52fc1222972610dd4d0df1361$
- EG = 0x04067ba0d66f34b80ade98971eaec46ae7df42e41864051879a0b595dacd15353f307a61f741467f1be232
- PEH = 0x031878816c68b18a57a4528f1ae4247a33a319d4f5
- SeedMask = 0x4de1b17b54d897920299ffc57d414cc2f533521f737633dcc953ca8fd86 e087722b7dae4df95d940c29d56fa08c6ead9f418786f092c993e5d6a314f fc6c6994
- MaskedSeed = 0xbc9836f55ba66fdf45ecc431c4e5b69ec6df49e5158c27d6f4ca4dff9 102694dfd3c418b039de40a04d24f9aa145805d5540470f123ebb9a06f4 f6579c22dfe4
- C0 = 0x04067ba0d66f34b80ade98971eaec46ae7df42e41864051879a0b595dacd15353f307a61f741467f1be232bc9836f55ba66fdf45ecc431c4e5b69ec6df49e5158c27 d6f4ca4dff9102694dfd3c418b039de40a04d24f9aa145805d5540470f123ebb9a0 6f4f6579c22dfe4
- K = 0xb270dd95d81fff0518e500e42925ae1f699f498e8273e4884f31407b8a3a26aa6ee547d4f6b8448b72e9b05f51803bce733cf773bac707fb6127476ba914f74a5ad10a c0a7b87b59b9699a707a326924528af10911386c65388aebe88ebefa8ee2a1c9cca3 2a6d00d9833ca055f0437ee06379416cc139a7fb1900b8d3cadde2

# C.3.5 Numerical examples (A)

\_\_\_\_\_

PSEC-KEM Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()) Keylen=128 Seedlen=64 Group=ECGF2-Group: a = 0x01b = 0x020a601907b8c953ca1481eb10512f78744a3205fd

mu = 0x04000000000000000000292fe77e70c12a4234c33

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

```
nu = 0x01
g(x) = 0x03f0eba16286a2d57ea0991168d4994637e8343e36
g(y) = 0xd51fbc6c71a0094fa2cdd545b11c5c0c797324f1
Public Key
h(x) = 0x03d401df33470c1eb3611ed1b9fd4dd12ffb48cbc1
h(y) = 0x057b470f90c82a900cc4daa27567d15b05d8bdbcb0
Private Key
x = 0x028d2d26a73f713d3f9d0d5b8ce30d76f4d151c933
Trace for PSEC-KEM encrypt
Encoding format = compressed_fmt
seed = 0xf179878e0f7ef84d47753bf4b9a4fa5c33ec1bfa66fa140a3d998770496c613
       adf8b9b6fdc083d4ac64f1960a9836a84a1583f601b1222a45b9ec718604eb670
t = 0xc6836e810a973cb54f73dc4b573505e2f1fe2b80c67633494fd53af386c73e42c5
    c4508d75b270dd95d81fff0518e500e42925ae1f699f498e8273e4884f31407b8a3a
    26aa6ee547d4f6b8448b72e9b05f51803bce733cf773bac707fb6127476ba914f74a
    5ad10ac0a7b87b59b9699a707a326924528af10911386c65388aebe88ebefa8ee2a1
    c9cca32a6d00d9833ca055f0437ee06379416cc139a7fb1900b8d3cadde2
u = 0xc6836e810a973cb54f73dc4b573505e2f1fe2b80c67633494fd53af386c73e42c5
    c4508d75
r = 0x02f40b3321460743cc5722182f8529f93ed53cc58c
g^{(x)} = 0x067ba0d66f34b80ade98971eaec46ae7df42e41864
g^{(y)} = 0x051879a0b595dacd15353f307a61f741467f1be232
h^{(x)} = 0x031878816c68b18a57a4528f1ae4247a33a319d4f5
h^{\sim}(y) = 0x037b354c91ad6607a52fc1222972610dd4d0df1361
EG = 0x03067ba0d66f34b80ade98971eaec46ae7df42e41864
PEH = 0x031878816c68b18a57a4528f1ae4247a33a319d4f5
SeedMask = 0xefce9dd9b8e3ebd1f563ead211fc08e3a21dca27d0a56ef447c201e85f3
           f33e144f6281fa60d1f94f8d31ee0bb791b276ede83dcda51d37ee35b1bb6
           1f349211
```

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

MaskedSeed = 0x1eb71a57b79d139cb216d126a858f2bf91f1d1ddb65f7afe7a5b86981 65352db9b7db3707a0522de3e9c078012fa71a3cf86bcbcc143f1dab8c5 dcae7f7a2461

- C0 = 0x03067ba0d66f34b80ade98971eaec46ae7df42e418641eb71a57b79d139cb216d126a858f2bf91f1d1ddb65f7afe7a5b8698165352db9b7db3707a0522de3e9c078012fa71a3cf86bcbcc143f1dab8c5dcae7f7a2461
- K = 0xb270dd95d81fff0518e500e42925ae1f699f498e8273e4884f31407b8a3a26aa6ee547d4f6b8448b72e9b05f51803bce733cf773bac707fb6127476ba914f74a5ad10a c0a7b87b59b9699a707a326924528af10911386c65388aebe88ebefa8ee2a1c9cca3 2a6d00d9833ca055f0437ee06379416cc139a7fb1900b8d3cadde2

## C.4 Numerical examples $\triangle$ for ACE-KEM

#### C.4.1 Numerical examples (4)

ACE-KEM

Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()) Hash=Sha1() Keylen=128 CofactorMode=0

#### Group=Modp-Group:

- p = 0x8a1b8d83ef967f4e8dc0a423a178b33f31a3aeb743fb332dc020970b44ba95bd2938eb60365ee9c1b1bda579d8276553758e84eb2a8f89c21f8c08ae12f2aacf
- g = 0x5e769d3a6fc9b82acf30800c8afe9631c2b9a1bdee398fd0a920704560513898d94e40f3f6fc6a773249d63fc74bba14ceadc203b49f2344a6a22a0a8904c60b
- mu = 0xdf0235fe94e74d2d70dbbc887389e5af9ec9ccd7
- nu = 0x9e89f7f68e9a2e44b68affab0e53d03763d829685af48fa6405ce08865be6c7ee7221781300459df024b33e2

#### Public Key

- g' = 0x32785f2307a7cb33cdf124e4349e8e6037040950e51171a4e3d47e0b7280b4798  ${\tt ec799752e8761d48de565a13962ad951a6322441074a3a7e001dd5bee6448e9}$
- c = 0x84e3b74b067c33ea7ab19ac8e61863e704d56c43e96b14acfb2f2a056f4e72a413889732006a11bbd34e487e36084fab09c9ec7828308b76412d6a4753e55d31
- d = 0x39967584286a71b1dc7fa5a486b26b9cfad2731a5902a8dcc611a5f37eae8d6e9cc8ad0948344e8edbe80fa607d1c35b2395487ff1aa94b66af9693e20a28027
- h = 0x46d73cf934f674c1c9549c7b3e9460c826e2a52c31fd4c5d4cb8da9caddce1b493eec79ca9a9d6ec5377cf42d8d2968a28c4b183acc9a3bf0590d5bd147e1c14

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### Private Key

- w = 0x4a401de389f502aa4e1fb066b940a6784626a349
- x = 0x83bd99b480f6e3ab8b9dc4f410470949f9c9355a
- y = 0xa881357fe37c1047061a8192e51b5ebef3a34c23
- z = 0x87b8cdd4253bbab89fae7e5c67b5dac6d637f3e7

\_\_\_\_\_

Trace for ACE-KEM encrypt

-----

- r = 0x346dbd3e7b9fe6b6aebdfcb4077b9b0c6351e94e
- $u = 0x8a17046e6e2417994139c5b57fb1f8700062fb67d435b5ddfcf4a9d44f6c52fceb\\ 6eb10372486c1c9d01587ad776d285e6b02cdda1d5a80993b6f6d2fc356ac8$
- u' = 0x7e150711098af13547d25ab9f85615a892faa3842778d8442729dd00cf72687a2 b86af2de61622ebae0823a03656501a01370da1cef809c9809ef2b749c09e0e
- $h^{\sim} = 0x31c724131f8fc689de7a23e51320d265321b1f33db2e161b75f35b66e63064115\\ 648a39c8b28345a3be4290bde2a9d93d6c87ca01f455e1912de76fd5672c755$
- EU = 0x8a17046e6e2417994139c5b57fb1f8700062fb67d435b5ddfcf4a9d44f6c52fce b6eb10372486c1c9d01587ad776d285e6b02cdda1d5a80993b6f6d2fc356ac8
- EU' = 0x7e150711098af13547d25ab9f85615a892faa3842778d8442729dd00cf72687a 2b86af2de61622ebae0823a03656501a01370da1cef809c9809ef2b749c09e0e
- alpha = 0x7265603f0ff462e1940a060c68dd864b16b9ce22
- r' = 0xc2114e9865736183434568cd3526c4e00dcc2b52
- EV = 0x378c692bb3450c9a506348f345019053ef00afd2d436b0e2f435722ecadbf728a 3adda54806d9d759618d5be331907276d87a051c8260e0357c9a0130a8d43e5
- PEH = 0x31c724131f8fc689de7a23e51320d265321b1f33db2e161b75f35b66e6306411 5648a39c8b28345a3be4290bde2a9d93d6c87ca01f455e1912de76fd5672c755
- C0 = 0x8a17046e6e2417994139c5b57fb1f8700062fb67d435b5ddfcf4a9d44f6c52fce b6eb10372486c1c9d01587ad776d285e6b02cdda1d5a80993b6f6d2fc356ac87e15 0711098af13547d25ab9f85615a892faa3842778d8442729dd00cf72687a2b86af2 de61622ebae0823a03656501a01370da1cef809c9809ef2b749c09e0e378c692bb3 450c9a506348f345019053ef00afd2d436b0e2f435722ecadbf728a3adda54806d9 d759618d5be331907276d87a051c8260e0357c9a0130a8d43e5
- K = 0x72c0f34359abf9cbeebb3e52cf1273d14066479a43ef9c93f9fd6f4080a5f27916 98ab80c57d163192b51dc2efa27740d7625db9eb5cfeb6af370e85af5832a035facf 2e2a150cb847338eb173438cdf7126162230917e258cc8a5eee6cb006ec5493ce69d c91fe3aa2c3c5792e19fea7eeec3bef3db66c4e0b4b36b08507f4e

## C.4.2 Numerical examples (A)

ACE-KEM Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()) Hash=Sha1() Keylen=128 CofactorMode=0 Group=ECModp-Group: b = 0x64210519e59c80e70fa7e9ab72243049feb8deecc146b9b1nu = 0x01g(x) = 0x188da80eb03090f67cbf20eb43a18800f4ff0afd82ff1012g(y) = 0x07192b95ffc8da78631011ed6b24cdd573f977a11e794811Public Key g'(x) = 0x5a9d4f57936977adcade30ca2350d00096bab728d97499a8g'(y) = 0xb521a9a56bac905bdf8673a9e83a25ded725bf7a53631b90 c(x) = 0x48dd5e86ac11435b355f9e42ddf6c4509d4d00ed4dc7eb83c(y) = 0xc4f840332c46a887c58f7e0731ec0f4b11433ea220ee078fd(x) = 0x603a3be96761734ec5a11096686ec2d252ce79ebc4b9dd5dd(y) = 0x7aa5a1a995563856c3eb8b03e7c40157009f86e03793dd35h(x) = 0x28437b3ff9b4371d4eeabf4ca150a5366eb8b950ab779072h(y) = 0x6569c7ce2e2020768c9ee52e7100e46a06c81365821d2b13Private Key w = 0xb67048c28d2d26a73f713d5ebb994ac92588464e7fe7d3a4x = 0x083d4ac64f1960a9836a84f91ca211a185814fa43a2c8e44y = 0xb9a4fa5c33ec1bfa66fa146b9514f3e4d2b023da873d4cbbz = 0xd8b41a0eb3f5f88ce888aed452af12a8e096873e563a9203

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

Trace for ACE-KEM encrypt

Encoding format = uncompressed\_fmt

r = 0x9658ad41da2d788ddec09a0265990ccbe903be34126c26a9

u(x) = 0xfd5dd4aa91d2c67b57bfd32f103e5432605f8b903fb02944

u(y) = 0x07eb4a06d8c64b8032a60394736c4d645003bcf412516fdf

u'(x) = 0x83123745fa28135677da40c250bb4254bd0cba6a1c2e2585

u'(y) = 0x6bdf0ade4befa54a9ed1aa7cd9831383a8d17ed3498a19df

 $h^{\sim}(x) = 0x456af30e1cbacbb6d069244aa8d1f191ff3ebacdcfaf539b$ 

 $h^{(y)} = 0x3c9a22e32c801a9ec37d9e8d6b8a90e5a41ba007204cb4ff$ 

 $EU = 0x04fd5dd4aa91d2c67b57bfd32f103e5432605f8b903fb0294407eb4a06d8c64b8 \\ 032a60394736c4d645003bcf412516fdf$ 

EU' = 0x0483123745fa28135677da40c250bb4254bd0cba6a1c2e25856bdf0ade4befa54a9ed1aa7cd9831383a8d17ed3498a19df

alpha = 0xa1fd1f8238f51ea06ad52d55df7da4772f730e94

r' = 0x716a5800d4de6612fcf75653538c5eb5571a83040f2d47a4

v(x) = 0x1544105c84f3765f8f1fd490b271a18b0ed1c45e6ecc5071

v(y) = 0xf44c386f466f43eaa29e0434395bb20a218d21715d15316c

EV = 0x041544105c84f3765f8f1fd490b271a18b0ed1c45e6ecc5071f44c386f466f43e aa29e0434395bb20a218d21715d15316c

PEH = 0x456af30e1cbacbb6d069244aa8d1f191ff3ebacdcfaf539b

- C0 = 0x04fd5dd4aa91d2c67b57bfd32f103e5432605f8b903fb0294407eb4a06d8c64b8 032a60394736c4d645003bcf412516fdf0483123745fa28135677da40c250bb4254 bd0cba6a1c2e25856bdf0ade4befa54a9ed1aa7cd9831383a8d17ed3498a19df041 544105c84f3765f8f1fd490b271a18b0ed1c45e6ecc5071f44c386f466f43eaa29e 0434395bb20a218d21715d15316c
- $\label{eq:K} K = 0x94a6b23344a026db8e3f2669562ad8fc06a529befb032d89a192a460d0340f5a7d\\ 533d79ce5ce59b5c778c2874f3330e03e02056b92d6ae1ad5d9749babe116620b168\\ d77de156ab53b52b328b0b42c12ef7c74887805ee3fa82c0fb88e6e27ef65e669fa9\\ 43844124c9d5de423d08766dbfa44686fbb5d179239d9096520034$

## C.4.3 Numerical examples (A)

ACE-KEM

# BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1())
Hash=Sha1()
Keylen=128
CofactorMode=0

----

#### Group=ECModp-Group:

- b = 0x64210519e59c80e70fa7e9ab72243049feb8deecc146b9b1
- nu = 0x01
- g(x) = 0x188da80eb03090f67cbf20eb43a18800f4ff0afd82ff1012
- g(y) = 0x07192b95ffc8da78631011ed6b24cdd573f977a11e794811

\_\_\_\_

#### Public Key

- g'(x) = 0x5a9d4f57936977adcade30ca2350d00096bab728d97499a8
- g'(y) = 0xb521a9a56bac905bdf8673a9e83a25ded725bf7a53631b90
- c(x) = 0x48dd5e86ac11435b355f9e42ddf6c4509d4d00ed4dc7eb83
- c(y) = 0xc4f840332c46a887c58f7e0731ec0f4b11433ea220ee078f
- d(x) = 0x603a3be96761734ec5a11096686ec2d252ce79ebc4b9dd5d
- d(y) = 0x7aa5a1a995563856c3eb8b03e7c40157009f86e03793dd35
- h(x) = 0x28437b3ff9b4371d4eeabf4ca150a5366eb8b950ab779072
- h(y) = 0x6569c7ce2e2020768c9ee52e7100e46a06c81365821d2b13

----

#### Private Key

- w = 0xb67048c28d2d26a73f713d5ebb994ac92588464e7fe7d3a4
- x = 0x083d4ac64f1960a9836a84f91ca211a185814fa43a2c8e44
- y = 0xb9a4fa5c33ec1bfa66fa146b9514f3e4d2b023da873d4cbb
- z = 0xd8b41a0eb3f5f88ce888aed452af12a8e096873e563a9203

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E) Trace for ACE-KEM encrypt Encoding format = compressed\_fmt r = 0x9658ad41da2d788ddec09a0265990ccbe903be34126c26a9u(x) = 0xfd5dd4aa91d2c67b57bfd32f103e5432605f8b903fb02944u(y) = 0x07eb4a06d8c64b8032a60394736c4d645003bcf412516fdfu'(x) = 0x83123745fa28135677da40c250bb4254bd0cba6a1c2e2585u'(y) = 0x6bdf0ade4befa54a9ed1aa7cd9831383a8d17ed3498a19df  $h^{\sim}(x) = 0x456af30e1cbacbb6d069244aa8d1f191ff3ebacdcfaf539b$  $h^{(y)} = 0x3c9a22e32c801a9ec37d9e8d6b8a90e5a41ba007204cb4ff$ EU = 0x03fd5dd4aa91d2c67b57bfd32f103e5432605f8b903fb02944EU' = 0x0383123745fa28135677da40c250bb4254bd0cba6a1c2e2585alpha = 0xf3af4f830f0cdb0f2c3dd05a2ceca58edb37c97fr' = 0x8088d4e192dc432148f02aa124d31f0d0ea82c0ab3fb96ea v(x) = 0x7f0963883bed2203445a315a3d5ca1bb68d3ec74ede13f4fv(y) = 0x37a45b48bde10a956a0f19fbdf9b2796d33c2be5330b7cf9EV = 0x037f0963883bed2203445a315a3d5ca1bb68d3ec74ede13f4fPEH = 0x456af30e1cbacbb6d069244aa8d1f191ff3ebacdcfaf539b  $\texttt{C0} = 0 \times 03 \\ \texttt{fd5} \\ \texttt{dd4aa91d2c67b57bfd32f103e5432605f8b903fb029440383123745fa281}$ bb68d3ec74ede13f4f K = 0xd29e265d98f2b3051f2f516ac3cbb96852bec0518bc82ba8660bc5d406a4c82fcdd8751ba4ec02e959bbb8b3278468228d2695156ae59f01eca85b58

- 35677da40c250bb4254bd0cba6a1c2e2585037f0963883bed2203445a315a3d5ca1
- dc311d935f847963f7a8ea8c0e661109d4bb18306d868aa2a70fcade78d51b0a9468 b309a59ca8d33774caf4966adc156a27243d2added6ee47551eb26f0b9c68c0715e5

## C.4.4 Numerical examples (A)

ACE-KEM Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()) Hash=Sha1() Keylen=128 CofactorMode=0

©ISO 2006 — All rights reserved

BS ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017 ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### Group=ECGF2-Group:

a = 0x01

b = 0x020a601907b8c953ca1481eb10512f78744a3205fd

mu = 0x040000000000000000000292fe77e70c12a4234c33

nu = 0x01

g(x) = 0x03f0eba16286a2d57ea0991168d4994637e8343e36

g(y) = 0xd51fbc6c71a0094fa2cdd545b11c5c0c797324f1

----

#### Public Key

g'(x) = 0x052248912facadbe4995dc17e15c2760dca33bef9c

g'(y) = 0x0132e6b3cdf5a6fc94af4bcff2320c1e673e2897df

c(x) = 0x0537639a8b5c088e9c4960986961fc0e7c531df742

c(y) = 0x0733205990c58c743f14aed5550fa5f9a44af020e7

d(x) = 0x013344cd624a8d3af7b38fc6103d795792d951d2a6

d(y) = 0xb47079579331c06ae15065d4cf0b436a20c77f6e

h(x) = 0x059adc6998e2b481aa7d65739ae772187fcc94a933

h(y) = 0x03294c9d5168906f47fe504d5121542a8962fa945b

----

#### Private Key

w = 0x028d2d26a73f713d3f9d0d5b8ce30d76f4d151c902

x = 0xa9836a84a1583f601a2f9b2b2432a0aff42c84e8

y = 0x02140a3d998770496c5cbec836b6e8d38e47cc0575

z = 0x02f179878e0f7ef84d45966f119bc634d0f246beec

-----

#### Trace for ACE-KEM encrypt

-----

Encoding format = uncompressed\_fmt

r = 0x015897ecb2c932fa1bb876e25442682b342fab391c

u(x) = 0x05cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b52a226f463

u(y) = 0x06c65878cff713a57fa53bbfc87497ac73067ed3aa

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- u'(x) = 0x04783f61a7493d83d76b8178c0935a1830b8708ea8
- u'(y) = 0x02aa698207027836dd768207089af0ee1b556aa9d3
- $h^{(x)} = 0x0b420ea755ce20f5fa8ea1015d0d2cbf5860767f$
- $h^{\sim}(y) = 0x055fe3d3d923afdb92c3e44a1e9ae34c249b7f3eb1$
- EU = 0x0405cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b52a226f46306c65878cff713a57fa53 bbfc87497ac73067ed3aa
- EU' = 0x0404783f61a7493d83d76b8178c0935a1830b8708ea802aa698207027836dd76 8207089af0ee1b556aa9d3
- alpha = 0x4a159752a3b5fad5725dce4b7a626e93021de7d5
- r' = 0x8aeed29f26765252b9b6fa8e7419c3db8b2766aa
- v(x) = 0x01452f7abbd59e15c528aa67738c03829a4facb9d3
- v(y) = 0x0374bb51467dc126d5af50e6360f29b8a1427d01c9
- EV = 0x0401452f7abbd59e15c528aa67738c03829a4facb9d30374bb51467dc126d5af5 0e6360f29b8a1427d01c9
- PEH = 0x000b420ea755ce20f5fa8ea1015d0d2cbf5860767f
- C0 = 0x0405cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b52a226f46306c65878cff713a57fa53 bbfc87497ac73067ed3aa0404783f61a7493d83d76b8178c0935a1830b8708ea802 aa698207027836dd768207089af0ee1b556aa9d30401452f7abbd59e15c528aa677 38c03829a4facb9d30374bb51467dc126d5af50e6360f29b8a1427d01c9
- K = 0x472984597505cf1aec33eeb7477b7546ab14490e65106fce3842a55adbc6aa9828 e0be5b74785fdf3583023352961ae5d49827a61898e458e4b5b4571472ec6fa05558 fe870d2954814d49b8560f0d02b039398a5bbd8742d37a463a4056488db1bae29b89 c5a532e16a4ca8dcd3ab0a9d1fd4a1c42ab27c031a81dc1e53b9ba

# C.4.5 Numerical examples (A)

ACE-KEM

Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1())

Hash=Sha1() Keylen=128 CofactorMode=0

----

Group=ECGF2-Group:

- a = 0x01
- b = 0x020a601907b8c953ca1481eb10512f78744a3205fd

mu = 0x040000000000000000000292fe77e70c12a4234c33

nu = 0x01

g(x) = 0x03f0eba16286a2d57ea0991168d4994637e8343e36

g(y) = 0xd51fbc6c71a0094fa2cdd545b11c5c0c797324f1

----

Public Key

g'(x) = 0x052248912facadbe4995dc17e15c2760dca33bef9c

g'(y) = 0x0132e6b3cdf5a6fc94af4bcff2320c1e673e2897df

c(x) = 0x0537639a8b5c088e9c4960986961fc0e7c531df742

c(y) = 0x0733205990c58c743f14aed5550fa5f9a44af020e7

d(x) = 0x013344cd624a8d3af7b38fc6103d795792d951d2a6

d(y) = 0xb47079579331c06ae15065d4cf0b436a20c77f6e

h(x) = 0x059adc6998e2b481aa7d65739ae772187fcc94a933

h(y) = 0x03294c9d5168906f47fe504d5121542a8962fa945b

----

Private Key

w = 0x028d2d26a73f713d3f9d0d5b8ce30d76f4d151c902

x = 0xa9836a84a1583f601a2f9b2b2432a0aff42c84e8

y = 0x02140a3d998770496c5cbec836b6e8d38e47cc0575

z = 0x02f179878e0f7ef84d45966f119bc634d0f246beec

-----

Trace for ACE-KEM encrypt

\_\_\_\_\_

Encoding format = compressed\_fmt

r = 0x015897ecb2c932fa1bb876e25442682b342fab391c

u(x) = 0x05cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b52a226f463

u(y) = 0x06c65878cff713a57fa53bbfc87497ac73067ed3aa

u'(x) = 0x04783f61a7493d83d76b8178c0935a1830b8708ea8

u'(y) = 0x02aa698207027836dd768207089af0ee1b556aa9d3

 $h^{(x)} = 0x0b420ea755ce20f5fa8ea1015d0d2cbf5860767f$ 

# ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

 $h^{(y)} = 0x055fe3d3d923afdb92c3e44a1e9ae34c249b7f3eb1$ 

EU = 0x0305cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b52a226f463

EU' = 0x0204783f61a7493d83d76b8178c0935a1830b8708ea8

alpha = 0xd8e475b97184ee436903685198f494fbaa979816

r' = 0x0267bffb82048609976b545bc4311c57e0869cf07c

v(x) = 0x06904e3cfb1b97cda28216f7caeca93fb005122cd3

v(y) = 0x05d0ae5a5d32e563575bfe4f59a2e5a18151163070

EV = 0x0306904e3cfb1b97cda28216f7caeca93fb005122cd3

PEH = 0x000b420ea755ce20f5fa8ea1015d0d2cbf5860767f

- $\begin{array}{lll} {\tt C0 = 0x0305cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b52a226f4630204783f61a7493d83d76b8178c0935a1830b8708ea80306904e3cfb1b97cda28216f7caeca93fb005122cd3} \\ {\tt C0 = 0x0305cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b97cda28216f7caeca93fb005122cd3} \\ {\tt C0 = 0x0305cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b97cda28216f7caeca93fb00512cd3} \\ {\tt C0 = 0x0305cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b97cda28216f7caeca93fb00512cd3} \\ {\tt C0 = 0x0305cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b97caeca93fb00512cd3} \\ {\tt C0 = 0x0305cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b97caeca93fb00512cd3} \\ {\tt C0 = 0x05cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b97caeca93fb00512cd3} \\ {\tt C0 = 0x05cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df95486460bef47df95486660bef47df9548660bef47df9548660bef47df954660bef47df95460bef47df95460bef47df95460bef47df95460bef47df95460bef47df9560bef47df9560bef47df9560bef47df9560bef47df9560bef47df9560bef47df9560bef47df9560bef47df9560bef$
- K = 0xa0e34391d4fd70e6e780d7edb112ab475d88d3cd9782fd6365aca96b67cfeee964 1bf7ee8176ec16db20623729a5001ec8e69779ecb3d25e9d128fe22aa4fc3056a032 969279bb2eeaa2af3e9e5708e8b2b92d2d3f8932adeac7181c7ae03b663883fac467 e54579cc7531dd3226fd94504c8a8bb60c2ad8cdb2aca4ef8664c9

# C.5 Numerical examples A for RSAES

# C.5.1 Numerical examples (A)

\_\_\_\_

**RSAES** 

===========

Rem=Rem1(Hash=Sha1(), Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()))

----

Public Key

 $\begin{array}{ll} n = 10967693177675339414139456451472073423679658402284282050761394597830\\ 40989205294124156197088513144236714832255003171958334357891744914178\\ 71864260375066278885574232653256425434296113773973874542733322600365\\ 15623396523529228114693865230337475152542610273253071143047346690365\\ 6428846184387282528950095967567885381 \end{array}$ 

e = 65537

\_\_\_\_

Private Key

 $\begin{array}{lll} n = & 10967693177675339414139456451472073423679658402284282050761394597830 \\ & 40989205294124156197088513144236714832255003171958334357891744914178 \\ & 71864260375066278885574232653256425434296113773973874542733322600365 \\ & 15623396523529228114693865230337475152542610273253071143047346690365 \\ & 6428846184387282528950095967567885381 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} d = 36604719910171765415791435519332386590192588649642812654882582974250\\ 35561116224175300745978157072171393796773377539442551140602428629298\\ 12363545353590295192906382395640986479188889136284619444866954451931\\ 90809948446596269042966714133764211707743041789247544284660831177834\\ 928904892102326793526435136473548141 \end{array}$ 

\_\_\_\_\_

Trace for RSAES encrypt

Message in ASCII = " This is a test message !!!"

Message as octet string = 0x205468697320697320612074657374206d6573736167 6520212121

Label in ASCII = "Label"

Label as octet string = 0x4c6162656c

seed = 0xd6e168c5f256a2dcff7ef12facd390f393c7a88d

DataBlockMask = 0xc325ebbb41a82551d5d0ad4834870a05ef3918c8caae38873f07dc a43127a4dee36a6ca5970f6c06926037de7df79c4915d83ff705821d 2c46a1fa7bb81b73e27176feb7fd3a45e40b843f1aaebccb1ef4fa7e e3b9b491a342f43eaaa435efded41e0a3a6ec2eff1f2ed95

MaskedDataBlock = 0xb711f58766b5d696513538f03036f30e0fc11ce1caae38873f07 dca43127a4dee36a6ca5970f6c06926037de7df79c4915d83ff705 821d2c46a1fa7bb81b73e27176feb7fd3a45e40b843f1aaebccb1f d4ae168aca94f8d062951edec1469bfeb97b79490fa58ad1d3ccb4

SeedMask = 0x281d7cb2d7d5531ed1f9382152d9be9a89a1df09

MaskedSeed = 0xfefc14772583f1c22e87c90efe0a2e691a667784

- E = 0x00fefc14772583f1c22e87c90efe0a2e691a667784b711f58766b5d696513538f0 3036f30e0fc11ce1caae38873f07dca43127a4dee36a6ca5970f6c06926037de7df7 9c4915d83ff705821d2c46a1fa7bb81b73e27176feb7fd3a45e40b843f1aaebccb1f d4ae168aca94f8d062951edec1469bfeb97b79490fa58ad1d3ccb4
- C = 0x4712734b1d3c9e43bc8ca30f4d93c88b6273075cb59a63ed2de383cf1a719afc42
  99919813f3b775153ef66121fea89821e6ef57427cbb03628884db2aed8e980bce93
  1205efdd3d6ee2e2ffc32a8266176ceee26dda7e3ed664c70c97c21187e97e1ccafa
  0c1b2e504552ff81d2aa683d89c77b37e9f7818aaf09b7fb585daf

# C.5.2 And Numerical examples (And

==========	
RSAES	
==========	
<pre>Rem=Rem1(Hash=Sha1(),</pre>	<pre>Kdf=Kdf2(Hash=Sha1()))</pre>
nem-nemi(nash-shai(),	ndi-ndi2(nasii-Silai()))

# ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

Public Key

 $\begin{array}{lll} n = & 10967693177675339414139456451472073423679658402284282050761394597830 \\ & 40989205294124156197088513144236714832255003171958334357891744914178 \\ & 71864260375066278885574232653256425434296113773973874542733322600365 \\ & 15623396523529228114693865230337475152542610273253071143047346690365 \\ & 6428846184387282528950095967567885381 \end{array}$ 

e = 65537

----

#### Private Key

- $\begin{array}{ll} n = 10967693177675339414139456451472073423679658402284282050761394597830\\ 40989205294124156197088513144236714832255003171958334357891744914178\\ 71864260375066278885574232653256425434296113773973874542733322600365\\ 15623396523529228114693865230337475152542610273253071143047346690365\\ 6428846184387282528950095967567885381 \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{ll} d = 36604719910171765415791435519332386590192588649642812654882582974250\\ 35561116224175300745978157072171393796773377539442551140602428629298\\ 12363545353590295192906382395640986479188889136284619444866954451931\\ 90809948446596269042966714133764211707743041789247544284660831177834\\ 928904892102326793526435136473548141 \end{array}$

\_\_\_\_\_

Trace for RSAES encrypt

\_\_\_\_\_

Message in ASCII = " This is a test message !!!"

Message as octet string = 0x205468697320697320612074657374206d6573736167 6520212121

Label in ASCII = "Label"

Label as octet string = 0x4c6162656c

seed = 0xd6e168c5f256a2dcff7ef12facd390f393c7a88d

- DataBlockMask = 0xcaae38873f07dca43127a4dee36a6ca5970f6c06926037de7df79c 4915d83ff705821d2c46a1fa7bb81b73e27176feb7fd3a45e40b843f 1aaebccb1ef4fa7ee3b9b491a342f43eaaa435efded41e0a3a6ec2ef f1f2ed951285c5776e259a31024b20beab5cfa02db497746
- MaskedDataBlock = 0xbe9a26bb181a2f63b5c23166e7db95ae77f7682f926037de7df7 9c4915d83ff705821d2c46a1fa7bb81b73e27176feb7fd3a45e40b 843f1aaebccb1ef4fa7ee3b9b491a342f43eaaa435efded41e0a3b 4e96879881cdfc61a5a4571a40e945222645cdd83d9d67fb685667

SeedMask = 0x0bfaec4d57584c957e242aa0ef72860f3e109d42

MaskedSeed = 0xdd1b8488a50eee49815adb8f43a116fcadd735cf

- E = 0x00dd1b8488a50eee49815adb8f43a116fcadd735cfbe9a26bb181a2f63b5c23166 e7db95ae77f7682f926037de7df79c4915d83ff705821d2c46a1fa7bb81b73e27176 feb7fd3a45e40b843f1aaebccb1ef4fa7ee3b9b491a342f43eaaa435efded41e0a3b 4e96879881cdfc61a5a4571a40e945222645cdd83d9d67fb685667
- C = 0x7e72db6f8d55e9ef81e7486a891dd6f3399cd6275f817cf2978a64577fc276e8a8 b0108d42d671867e22fd76ee2b59cca834a548aeb7b8f1e635ad719a9530b435d2bc 8d2b15eeb2e162e9573d9765bcc9e4fbededdf6f1ef277aed2449214ffcb998734e1 d1ba948e84e79f67d2c2a441509899222de4131819718bde30c471

# C.5.3 Numerical examples (A)

\_\_\_\_\_

RSAES

==========

Rem=Rem1(Hash=Sha256(outlen=20), Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha256(outlen=20)))

----

Public Key

 $\begin{array}{ll} n = 10967693177675339414139456451472073423679658402284282050761394597830\\ 40989205294124156197088513144236714832255003171958334357891744914178\\ 71864260375066278885574232653256425434296113773973874542733322600365\\ 15623396523529228114693865230337475152542610273253071143047346690365\\ 6428846184387282528950095967567885381 \end{array}$ 

e = 65537

----

Private Key

- $\begin{array}{ll} n = 10967693177675339414139456451472073423679658402284282050761394597830\\ 40989205294124156197088513144236714832255003171958334357891744914178\\ 71864260375066278885574232653256425434296113773973874542733322600365\\ 15623396523529228114693865230337475152542610273253071143047346690365\\ 6428846184387282528950095967567885381 \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{ll} \mathtt{d} = 36604719910171765415791435519332386590192588649642812654882582974250\\ 35561116224175300745978157072171393796773377539442551140602428629298\\ 12363545353590295192906382395640986479188889136284619444866954451931\\ 90809948446596269042966714133764211707743041789247544284660831177834\\ 928904892102326793526435136473548141 \end{array}$

\_\_\_\_\_

Trace for RSAES encrypt

\_\_\_\_\_

Message in ASCII = " This is a test message !!!"

Message as octet string = 0x205468697320697320612074657374206d6573736167 6520212121

Label in ASCII = "Label"

Label as octet string = 0x4c6162656c

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

seed = 0xd6e168c5f256a2dcff7ef12facd390f393c7a88d

DataBlockMask = 0x0742ba966813af75536bb6149cc44fc256fd6406df79665bc31dc5 a62f70535e52c53015b9d37d412ff3c1193439599e1b628774c50d9c cb78d82c425e4521ee47b8c36a4bcffe8b8112a89312fc04420a39de 99223890e74ce10378bc515a212b97b8a6447ba6a8870278

MaskedDataBlock = 0x09248da92dcf5ca8360ae7f18533a19c6ba8e99adf79665bc31d c5a62f70535e52c53015b9d37d412ff3c1193439599e1b628774c5 0d9ccb78d82c425e4521ee47b8c36a4bcffe8b8112a89312fc0443 2a6db6f05118f9946c80230cd9222e0146f2cbd5251cc388a62359

SeedMask = 0x6f0195f38eed2417aa6eb7a365245073e58711db

MaskedSeed = 0xb9e0fd367cbb86cb5510468cc9f7c0807640b956

- $\begin{array}{ll} {\tt E} = 0 x 0 0 b 9 e 0 f d 367 c b b 86 c b 5510468 c c 9 f 7 c 0 807640 b 95609248 d a 92 d c f 5 c a 8360 a e 7 f 1 \\ {\tt 8533a19c6ba8e99adf79665bc31dc5a62f70535e52c53015b9d37d412ff3c1193439} \\ {\tt 599e1b628774c50d9ccb78d82c425e4521ee47b8c36a4bcffe8b8112a89312fc0443} \\ {\tt 2a6db6f05118f9946c80230cd9222e0146f2cbd5251cc388a62359} \\ \end{array}$

# C.5.4 Numerical examples (A)

\_\_\_\_\_

**RSAES** 

=========

Rem=Rem1(Hash=Sha256(outlen=20), Kdf=Kdf2(Hash=Sha256(outlen=20)))

----

Public Key

 $\begin{array}{lll} n &=& 10967693177675339414139456451472073423679658402284282050761394597830\\ & & 40989205294124156197088513144236714832255003171958334357891744914178\\ & & 71864260375066278885574232653256425434296113773973874542733322600365\\ & & 15623396523529228114693865230337475152542610273253071143047346690365\\ & 6428846184387282528950095967567885381 \end{array}$ 

e = 65537

\_\_\_\_

Private Key

 $\begin{array}{lll} n = & 10967693177675339414139456451472073423679658402284282050761394597830 \\ & 40989205294124156197088513144236714832255003171958334357891744914178 \\ & 71864260375066278885574232653256425434296113773973874542733322600365 \\ & 15623396523529228114693865230337475152542610273253071143047346690365 \\ & 6428846184387282528950095967567885381 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} d = 36604719910171765415791435519332386590192588649642812654882582974250\\ 35561116224175300745978157072171393796773377539442551140602428629298\\ 12363545353590295192906382395640986479188889136284619444866954451931\\ 90809948446596269042966714133764211707743041789247544284660831177834\\ 928904892102326793526435136473548141 \end{array}$ 

\_\_\_\_\_

Trace for RSAES encrypt

\_\_\_\_\_

Message in ASCII = " This is a test message !!!"

Message as octet string = 0x205468697320697320612074657374206d6573736167 6520212121

Label in ASCII = "Label"

Label as octet string = 0x4c6162656c

seed = 0xd6e168c5f256a2dcff7ef12facd390f393c7a88d

- DataBlockMask = 0xdf79665bc31dc5a62f70535e52c53015b9d37d412ff3c119343959 9e1b628774c50d9ccb78d82c425e4521ee47b8c36a4bcffe8b8112a8 9312fc04420a39de99223890e74ce10378bc515a212b97b8a6447ba6 a8870278f0262727ca041fa1aa9f7b5d1cf7f308232fe861
- MaskedDataBlock = 0xd11f516486c1367b4a1102bb4b32de4b8486f0dd2ff3c1193439 599e1b628774c50d9ccb78d82c425e4521ee47b8c36a4bcffe8b81 12a89312fc04420a39de99223890e74ce10378bc515a212b97b8a7 642fcec1f4221183064607be616cd58af21e2e6f96946d030ec940

SeedMask = 0xaed67204e89d4e7fc20317fe06684bc794aad260

MaskedSeed = 0x78371ac11acbeca33d7de6d1aabbdb34076d7aed

- $\begin{array}{lll} {\tt E} &= 0 x 0 0 7 8 3 7 1 a c 11 a c b e c a 3 3 d 7 d e 6 d 1 a a b b d b 3 4 0 7 6 d 7 a e d d 11 f 5 1 6 4 8 6 c 13 6 7 b 4 a 11 0 2 b b \\ & 4 b 3 2 d e 4 b 8 4 8 6 f 0 d d 2 f f 3 c 11 9 3 4 3 9 5 9 9 e 1 b 6 2 8 7 7 4 c 5 0 d 9 c c b 7 8 d 8 2 c 4 2 5 e 4 5 2 1 e e 4 7 b 8 c 3 6 a 4 b c f f e 8 b 8 11 2 a 8 9 3 1 2 f c 0 4 4 2 0 a 3 9 d e 9 9 2 2 3 8 9 0 e 7 4 c e 10 3 7 8 b c 5 1 5 a 2 1 2 b 9 7 b 8 a 7 6 4 2 f c e c 1 f 4 2 2 1 1 8 3 0 6 4 6 0 7 b e 6 1 6 c d 5 8 a f 2 1 e 2 e 6 f 9 6 9 4 6 d 0 3 0 e c 9 4 0 \\ \end{array}$
- C = 0x4565d8b8edd717044fbee766d4e7b20e17ac060db1a3cc7087cf4dee0adc68eeb1 b91958c83187419730595237a31ddb24277754705db809da5b4b3c2a9a0e711aad62 2fc1e334785d2eb2ea673f883d2036247ac3caac578eb14915126000cbb06a8ad716 a4b39a80c184387e3b170193d2df02864672f5abca52ac0a638419
- C.6 Numerical examples  $\lozenge$  for RSA-KEM
- C.6.1 And Numerical examples (And

RSA-KEM	

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)	
Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()) keylen=128	
Public Key	
	92848849666407571798023374905464783262 24869184990638749556269785797065508097
e = 65537	
Private Key	
	92848849666407571798023374905464783262 24869184990638749556269785797065508097
	11739956797835803921402370443497280464 06395564474639124525446044708705259675
Trace for RSA-KEM encrypt	
	9b15d1372e30b207255f0611b8f785d7643741 3b64e135cf4e2292c75efe5288edfda4
	9b15d1372e30b207255f0611b8f785d7643741
CO = 0x4603e5324cab9cef8365c817052	3b64e135cf4e2292c75efe5288edfda4 1954d44447b1667099edc69942d32cd594e4ff fe499806b67dedaa26bf72ecbd117a6fc0
75bc57c3989e8fbad31a224655d800	dd1def7e3b8e0e6a26eb7b956ccb8b3bdc1ca9 c46954840ff32052cdf0d640562bdfadfa263c 54cf15bd7a25192985a842dbff8e13efee5b7e 9b2d7767d3837eea4e0a2f04
C.6.2 Numerical examples	<b>(</b> A1
RSA-KEM	
Kdf=Kdf2(Hash=Sha1())	

keylen=128

#### Public Key

 $\begin{array}{ll} n = 58881133325026912517619364310092848849666407571798023374905464783262\\ 38537107326596800820237597139824869184990638749556269785797065508097\\ 452399642780486933 \end{array}$ 

e = 65537

----

#### Private Key

- $\begin{array}{ll} n = 58881133325026912517619364310092848849666407571798023374905464783262\\ 38537107326596800820237597139824869184990638749556269785797065508097\\ 452399642780486933 \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{lll} d = 32023135558599481863153745244741739956797835803921402370443497280464 \\ 79396037520308981353808895461806395564474639124525446044708705259675 \\ 840210989546479265 \end{array}$

-----

Trace for RSA-KEM encrypt

-----

- r = 0x032e45326fa859a72ec235acff929b15d1372e30b207255f0611b8f785d7643741 52e0ac009e509e7ba30cd2f1778e113b64e135cf4e2292c75efe5288edfda4
- $R = 0x032e45326fa859a72ec235acff929b15d1372e30b207255f0611b8f785d7643741\\ 52e0ac009e509e7ba30cd2f1778e113b64e135cf4e2292c75efe5288edfda4$
- C0 = 0x4603e5324cab9cef8365c817052d954d44447b1667099edc69942d32cd594e4ff cf268ae3836e2c35744aaa53ae201fe499806b67dedaa26bf72ecbd117a6fc0
- K = 0x0e6a26eb7b956ccb8b3bdc1ca975bc57c3989e8fbad31a224655d800c46954840f f32052cdf0d640562bdfadfa263cfccf3c52b29f2af4a1869959bc77f854cf15bd7a 25192985a842dbff8e13efee5b7e7e55bbe4d389647c686a9a9ab3fb889b2d7767d3 837eea4e0a2f04b53ca8f50fb31225c1be2d0126c8c7a4753b0807

# C.6.3 Numerical examples (41

-----

RSA-KEM

\_\_\_\_\_

Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha256(outlen=20))

keylen=128

----

Public Key

- $\begin{array}{ll} n = 58881133325026912517619364310092848849666407571798023374905464783262\\ 38537107326596800820237597139824869184990638749556269785797065508097\\ 452399642780486933 \end{array}$
- e = 65537

----

# ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

Private Key

- $\begin{array}{ll} n = 58881133325026912517619364310092848849666407571798023374905464783262\\ 38537107326596800820237597139824869184990638749556269785797065508097\\ 452399642780486933 \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{lll} d = 32023135558599481863153745244741739956797835803921402370443497280464 \\ 79396037520308981353808895461806395564474639124525446044708705259675 \\ 840210989546479265 \end{array}$

Trace for RSA-KEM encrypt

- r = 0x032e45326fa859a72ec235acff929b15d1372e30b207255f0611b8f785d7643741 52e0ac009e509e7ba30cd2f1778e113b64e135cf4e2292c75efe5288edfda4
- R = 0x032e45326fa859a72ec235acff929b15d1372e30b207255f0611b8f785d7643741 52e0ac009e509e7ba30cd2f1778e113b64e135cf4e2292c75efe5288edfda4
- $\begin{array}{lll} {\tt C0 = 0x4603e5324cab9cef8365c817052d954d44447b1667099edc69942d32cd594e4ffcf268ae3836e2c35744aaa53ae201fe499806b67dedaa26bf72ecbd117a6fc0} \\ \end{array}$
- K = 0x09e2decf2a6e1666c2f6071ff4298305e2643fd510a2403db42a8743cb989de86e 668d168cbe604611ac179f819a3d18412e9eb45668f2923c087c12fee0c5a0d2a8aa 70185401fbbd99379ec76c663e875a60b4aacb1319fa11c3365a8b79a44669f26fb5 55c80391847b05eca1cb5cf8c2d531448d33fbaca19f6410ee1fcb

# C.6.4 Numerical examples (A)

RSA-KEM

-----

Kdf=Kdf2(Hash=Sha256(outlen=20))
keylen=128

----

Public Key

- $\begin{array}{ll} n = 58881133325026912517619364310092848849666407571798023374905464783262\\ 38537107326596800820237597139824869184990638749556269785797065508097\\ 452399642780486933 \end{array}$
- e = 65537

----

Private Key

- $\begin{array}{ll} n = 58881133325026912517619364310092848849666407571798023374905464783262\\ 38537107326596800820237597139824869184990638749556269785797065508097\\ 452399642780486933 \end{array}$
- d = 32023135558599481863153745244741739956797835803921402370443497280464 79396037520308981353808895461806395564474639124525446044708705259675 840210989546479265

Trace for RSA-KEM encrypt

- $r = 0x032e45326fa859a72ec235acff929b15d1372e30b207255f0611b8f785d7643741\\ 52e0ac009e509e7ba30cd2f1778e113b64e135cf4e2292c75efe5288edfda4$
- $R = 0x032e45326fa859a72ec235acff929b15d1372e30b207255f0611b8f785d7643741\\ 52e0ac009e509e7ba30cd2f1778e113b64e135cf4e2292c75efe5288edfda4$
- K = 0x10a2403db42a8743cb989de86e668d168cbe604611ac179f819a3d18412e9eb456 68f2923c087c12fee0c5a0d2a8aa70185401fbbd99379ec76c663e875a60b4aacb13 19fa11c3365a8b79a44669f26fb555c80391847b05eca1cb5cf8c2d531448d33fbac a19f6410ee1fcb260892670e0814c348664f6a7248aaf998a3acc6

# C.7 A Numerical examples $\Phi$ for HC

Combining a KEM with a DEM is fairly straightforward, but enumerating all the different possible combinations would be quite tedious and lengthy. We give just one  $\square$  numerical example  $\square$  here as an illustration.

# C.7.1 A) Numerical examples (A)

Hybrid Cipher
=======================================
DEM1
SC=SC1(BC=AES(keylen=32)) MAC=HMAC(Hash=Sha1(), keylen=20, outlen=20)
ACE-KEM
Kdf=Kdf1(Hash=Sha1()) Hash=Sha1() Keylen=52 CofactorMode=0
Group=ECModp-Group:
p = Oxfffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff
a = 0xfffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff
b = 0x64210519e59c80e70fa7e9ab72243049feb8deecc146b9b1
mu = 0vffffffffffffffffffffffff00dof8361/6bc0b1b/d2283

# ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

nu = 0x01
g(x) = 0x188da80eb03090f67cbf20eb43a18800f4ff0afd82ff1012
g(y) = 0x07192b95ffc8da78631011ed6b24cdd573f977a11e794811
Public Key
g'(x) = 0x5a9d4f57936977adcade30ca2350d00096bab728d97499a8
g'(y) = 0xb521a9a56bac905bdf8673a9e83a25ded725bf7a53631b90
c(x) = 0x48dd5e86ac11435b355f9e42ddf6c4509d4d00ed4dc7eb83
c(y) = 0xc4f840332c46a887c58f7e0731ec0f4b11433ea220ee078f
d(x) = 0x603a3be96761734ec5a11096686ec2d252ce79ebc4b9dd5d
d(y) = 0x7aa5a1a995563856c3eb8b03e7c40157009f86e03793dd35
h(x) = 0x28437b3ff9b4371d4eeabf4ca150a5366eb8b950ab779072
h(y) = 0x6569c7ce2e2020768c9ee52e7100e46a06c81365821d2b13
Private Key
w = 0xb67048c28d2d26a73f713d5ebb994ac92588464e7fe7d3a4
x = 0x083d4ac64f1960a9836a84f91ca211a185814fa43a2c8e44
y = 0xb9a4fa5c33ec1bfa66fa146b9514f3e4d2b023da873d4cbb
z = 0xd8b41a0eb3f5f88ce888aed452af12a8e096873e563a9203
Trace for UC oncernt
Trace for HC encrypt
The section ACE VEW account
Trace for ACE-KEM encrypt
<pre>Encoding format = uncompressed_fmt</pre>
r = 0x9658ad41da2d788ddec09a0265990ccbe903be34126c26a9
u(x) = 0xfd5dd4aa91d2c67b57bfd32f103e5432605f8b903fb02944
u(y) = 0x07eb4a06d8c64b8032a60394736c4d645003bcf412516fdf
u'(x) = 0x83123745fa28135677da40c250bb4254bd0cba6a1c2e2585
u'(y) = 0x6bdf0ade4befa54a9ed1aa7cd9831383a8d17ed3498a19df

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- $h^{\sim}(x) = 0x456af30e1cbacbb6d069244aa8d1f191ff3ebacdcfaf539b$
- $h^{(y)} = 0x3c9a22e32c801a9ec37d9e8d6b8a90e5a41ba007204cb4ff$
- EU = 0x04fd5dd4aa91d2c67b57bfd32f103e5432605f8b903fb0294407eb4a06d8c64b8032a60394736c4d645003bcf412516fdf
- EU' = 0x0483123745fa28135677da40c250bb4254bd0cba6a1c2e25856bdf0ade4befa54a9ed1aa7cd9831383a8d17ed3498a19df
- alpha = 0xa1fd1f8238f51ea06ad52d55df7da4772f730e94
- r' = 0x716a5800d4de6612fcf75653538c5eb5571a83040f2d47a4
- v(x) = 0x1544105c84f3765f8f1fd490b271a18b0ed1c45e6ecc5071
- v(y) = 0xf44c386f466f43eaa29e0434395bb20a218d21715d15316c
- EV = 0x041544105c84f3765f8f1fd490b271a18b0ed1c45e6ecc5071f44c386f466f43eaa29e0434395bb20a218d21715d15316c
- PEH = 0x456af30e1cbacbb6d069244aa8d1f191ff3ebacdcfaf539b
- ${\tt C0 = 0x04fd5dd4aa91d2c67b57bfd32f103e5432605f8b903fb0294407eb4a06d8c64b8}$ 032a60394736c4d645003bcf412516fdf0483123745fa28135677da40c250bb4254 bd0cba6a1c2e25856bdf0ade4befa54a9ed1aa7cd9831383a8d17ed3498a19df041 544105c84f3765f8f1fd490b271a18b0ed1c45e6ecc5071f44c386f466f43eaa29e 0434395bb20a218d21715d15316c
- K = 0x94a6b23344a026db8e3f2669562ad8fc06a529befb032d89a192a460d0340f5a7d533d79ce5ce59b5c778c2874f3330e03e02056

Trace for DEM1 encrypt

Message in ASCII = "the rain in spain falls mainly on the plain"

Message as octet string = 0x746865207261696e20696e20737061696e2066616c6c 73206d61696e6c79206f6e2074686520706c61696e

Label in ASCII = "test"

Label as octet string = 0x74657374

- k = 0x94a6b23344a026db8e3f2669562ad8fc06a529befb032d89a192a460d0340f5a
- k' = 0x7d533d79ce5ce59b5c778c2874f3330e03e02056
- c = 0x4e11a54ddf582716b4d46b75adcd446a173ca235b70a944901d2e6f8a583a01993bfebf63d92496654e5fe271784a310
- T = 0x4e11a54ddf582716b4d46b75adcd446a173ca235b70a944901d2e6f8a583a01993
- MAC = 0xd4a406ce2e48b63c3d054b91c354b4eeb4a16941

# ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

C1 = 0x4e11a54ddf582716b4d46b75adcd446a173ca235b70a944901d2e6f8a583a0199 3bfebf63d92496654e5fe271784a310d4a406ce2e48b63c3d054b91c354b4eeb4a1 6941

# C.8 Numerical examples $\bigcirc$ for HIME(R)

# C.8.1 Numerical example (A)

HIME(R) [A1] Numerical Example (A1] No.1 (1023-bit)

Hash.eval: SHA1 Hash.len: 20 KDF: KDF1-SHA1 n: 1023-bit p,q: 341-bit

d: 2

# Public key

n = 45 79 68 9f 45 3a 81 0f 87 bd 83 9e bd 99 3e a0 67 0e d9 06 dd 20 23 e6 69 51 7a fa 79 6a 77 9c 7f de 32 26 81 49 15 f7 7c 08 c1 ed fa 7c da 7d ad be d0 51 66 11 b2 63 bd 4c 96 32 7d 5e 08 b4 03 a5 f9 eb 31 35 c7 87 d3 fe 5e ef 7f 7e ad 42 07 3a fa ad fa cb f0 36 7d 11 2e 08 b3 8f 56 4e 1a 6e c7 9f b7 6f 6a d6 94 9f 88 25 d8 7b 88 8d 9a 92 9f 0f ab 05 9d f7 04 75 08 6a 08 23 76 4f

#### Private key

-----

p = 18 a0 e0 be 46 81 ae 1a 96 67 de e8 fe 53 8c 39 3f 47 a5 49 0e 14 aa 67 0a dc 80 2b 8a b2 8d d3 76 3d 07 d8 34 8a b3 23 2d 65 4f

16 30 01 08 34 8a b3 23 20 65 41

q = 1d 52 60 8f 7d 37 84 55 85 ff 0a 67 cb 11 cf 2f 52 84 fc 04 b9 2f e4 b0 a5 37 16 55 c5 e1 6d 66

3e 6a 6b 52 8a b7 52 cb 50 42 af

#### Message to be encrypted

-----

M = fb e8 e3 3b 8a e5 35 a3 56 45 d8 04 89 75 8e ed 50 26 34 dc 5d a1 e7 84 71 a7 37 d9 84 72 81 19

## Encoding parameters

-----

L = (the empty string)

# Step-by-step HEM1 encoding of ${\tt M}$

check = Hash.eval(L)

seed = random string of octets

seed' = the most significant KLen-bit cleared

seea

SeedMask

MaskedSeed = seed' xor SeedMask'

seed =		9d 12			d2 67	66	54	c4	41	с9	18	26	d4	6a	b4	d4
seed' =		9d 12			d2 67	66	54	c4	41	с9	18	26	d4	6a	b4	d4
check =		39 d8			5e	6b	4b	0d	32	55	bf	ef	95	60	18	90
DataBlock =	af 00 00 00 e5	d8 00 00 00 35	07 00 00 00 a3	09 00 00 00 56	5e 00 00 00 00 45 a7	00 00 00 00 d8	00 00 00 00 04	00 00 00 00 89	00 00 00 00 75	00 00 00 00 8e	00 00 00 01 ed	00 00 00 fb	00 00 00 e8	00 00 00 e3	00 00 00 3b	00 00 00 8a
DataBlockMask =	66 80 ec 48 f7	f3 bd 1d 49 4c	e2 e2 9c 32 2e	63 40 51 b7 4e	75 75 ff 0b 7f 0b 40	d7 8c f4 8f 1e	bf 22 cf 81 bc	bd 16 f1 6e bd	19 e5 05 64 93	eb 83 11 dc 4d	14 49 8c 39 a6	67 0a 48 70	97 f0 b5 57	dc 19 3c 63	d7 af fd ed	c1 5d 13 d6
MaskedDataBlock =	c9 80 ec 48 12	2b bd 1d 49 79	e5 e2 9c 32 8d	6a 40 51 b7 18	2b 75 ff 0b 7f 4e e7	d7 8c f4 8f c6	bf 22 cf 81 b8	bd 16 f1 6e 34	19 e5 05 64 e6	eb 83 11 dc c3	14 49 8c 38 4b	67 0a 48 8b	97 f0 b5 bf	dc 19 3c 80	d7 af fd d6	c1 5d 13 5c
SeedMask =		59 03			a1 a9	9a	32	d6	80	51	f6	22	c2	1d	90	c2
SeedMask' =		59 03			a1 a9	9a	32	d6	08	51	f6	22	c2	1d	90	c2
MaskedSeed =		c4 11			73 ce	fc	66	12	49	98	ee	04	16	77	24	16
E =	52 3f 67 0a	11 d7 97 f0	f6 20 dc 19	89 23 d7 af	73 ce 19 c1 5d 13	f4 c9 80 ec	80 2b bd 1d	1b e5 e2 9c	7a 6a 40 51	2b 75 ff 0b	f7 d7 8c f4	73 bf 22 cf	fc bd 16 f1	7d 19 e5 05	e4 eb 83 11	52 14 49 8c

## ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

8b bf 80 d6 5c 12 79 8d 18 4e c6 b8 34 e6 c3 4b b2 86 1d 82 c8 54 e8 d4 75 e7 67 8d fa 19 54 a8

# The ciphertext

-----

C = 15 73 07 76 08 64 8e c0 d3 66 b6 b5 78 7d 18 c5 0c 58 7f 42 ea 50 88 cc 04 c2 68 24 ea e4 8f cb dd 0c c6 47 ce 93 5f 14 2b 8c eb ea b5 1f 78 4b 2d 9e 15 80 63 55 bb 9a ed ce 2e 23 a8 ec 7d 8b a6 ae bf bb 1e 54 b7 64 e6 76 51 e9 c5 b6 e7 c2 e6 bc 38 fc c9 da 94 20 a9 64 d6 92 07 3a e1 8a 3c 66 61 f2 45 74 d5 5a 3e a4 ee b0 c2 73 ef f9 d9 2e 16 0f 6f d9 3c a4 74 8d 16 01 d9 36 4f e8

Step-by-step HEM1 decoding of E

The intermediate values are the same as during  ${\tt HEM1}$  encoding of  ${\tt M.}$ 

# C.8.2 Numerical example (A)

HIME(R) And Numerical Example (And No.2 (1023-bit)

Hash.eval: SHA1 Hash.len: 20 KDF: KDF1-SHA1 n: 1023-bit p,q: 341-bit

d: 2

L = (the empty string)

#### Public key

-----

n = 45 79 68 9f 45 3a 81 0f 87 bd 83 9e bd 99 3e a0 67 0e d9 06 dd 20 23 e6 69 51 7a fa 79 6a 77 9c 7f de 32 26 81 49 15 f7 7c 08 c1 ed fa 7c da 7d ad be d0 51 66 11 b2 63 bd 4c 96 32 7d 5e 08 b4 03 a5 f9 eb 31 35 c7 87 d3 fe 5e ef 7f 7e ad 42 07 3a fa ad fa cb f0 36 7d 11 2e 08 b3 8f 56 4e 1a 6e c7 9f b7 6f 6a d6 94 9f 88 25 d8 7b 88 8d 9a 92 9f 0f ab 05 9d f7 04 75 08 6a 08 23 76 4f

# Private key

-----

p = 18 a0 e0 be 46 81 ae 1a 96 67 de e8 fe 53 8c 39 3f 47 a5 49 0e 14 aa 67 0a dc 80 2b 8a b2 8d d3

76 3d 07 d8 34 8a b3 23 2d 65 4f

q = 1d 52 60 8f 7d 37 84 55 85 ff 0a 67 cb 11 cf 2f 52 84 fc 04 b9 2f e4 b0 a5 37 16 55 c5 e1 6d 66

3e 6a 6b 52 8a b7 52 cb 50 42 af

# Example 2.1

		 _

M = d8 5a 93 45 a8 60 51 e7 30 71 62 00 56 b9 20 e2 19 00 58 55 a2 13 a0 f2 38 97 cd cd 73 1b 45 25 7c 77 7f e9 

seed = dd dd 87 71 fe c4 8b 83 a3 1e e6 f5 92 c4 cf d4 bc 88 17 4f 3b

C = 0e 38 31 4b 1e f6 49 fd d1 d6 1c 81 7b 21 d4 30 d5 b3 79 ca 3e 05 34 1d d9 53 34 d4 2a e9 7e 73

d5 b3 79 ca 3e 05 34 1d d9 53 34 d4 2a e9 7e 73 11 32 83 6b 71 52 91 2b 7b d2 b7 45 85 c3 c9 1f c0 20 dd 34 8c fe d0 a3 f2 3c e1 4c 27 ca da 07 d1 8c cc a8 ad ff 6b 2c bb 85 48 2c ae 3e cb ac 6a 27 f9 5f f8 45 19 41 19 60 d7 1b 9e 8b 2c 34 0c 71 11 7c 0c 25 58 80 e9 2f 8c 02 62 51 0f 36 22 04 e1 9e fe 5c 73 a0 11 47 13 36 09 d2 d5 2f

19 8d 28 71 02 9e ba b7 9e 7c f3 34 49 45 62 64 95 4f e2 51 5e 66 ad 1c 6e d3 63 42 46 16 b1 fd fb 89 5e 0b c0 9d e3 12 27 a2 ac 91 a2 31 99 d2

# Example 2.2

-----

M = da fb f0 38 e1 80 d8 37 c9 63 66 df 24 c0 97 b4 ab 0f ac 6b df 59 0d 82 1c 9f 10 64 2e 68 1a d0 seed = cc 88 53 d1 d5 4d a6 30 fa c0 04 f4 71 f2 81 c7 b8 98 2d 82 24

C = 22 27 04 3b 95 59 a7 38 f8 36 5d 03 3e de 8b 51 62 a6 e8 c7 31 45 23 8a f6 8a 0c 8c e6 13 ab 16 9f a9 73 aa 32 df ec 25 15 a2 2d c8 3e f6 d0 5f fa 1f 9b c0 1b 45 ae 9c da 1f 66 46 4b 90 3f 5f 1b 1e e0 24 57 30 49 5b db 90 2a ee b2 dd 33 51

# C.8.3 And Numerical example (And

HTMF (D) A) Normal - 1 Francis - 1 (A) No. 2 (4002 bit)

HIME(R) A<sub>1</sub> Numerical Example (A<sub>1</sub> No.3 (1023-bit)

Hash.eval: SHA1 Hash.len: 20 KDF: KDF1-SHA1 n: 1023-bit p,q: 341-bit

d: 2

L = (the empty string)

# Public key

n = 64 46 ed 6c 4b a2 a1 ad e0 c3 6f ba 47 1c 02 8c 3a fc ba 00 3b 8f 8f 52 f2 1e c7 8f fb 42 33 83 4f a5 75 f4 ff 7d bb 1a be c8 b5 93 57 e9 69 dc

## ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

f7 57 21
93 3a f4
fd b3 50
da 1a 47
b6 62 97

# Private key

p = 1c a0 e0 be 46 91 ae 1a 96 67 de e8 fe 53 8c 39 3f 47 a5 49 0e 14 aa 67 0a dc 80 2b 8a b2 8d d3

76 3d 07 d8 34 8a b3 23 2d 66 c7

q = 1f 52 60 8f 7d 37 84 55 85 ff 0a 6b cb 11 cf 2f

52 84 fc 04 b9 2f e4 b0 a5 37 16 55 c5 e1 6d 66

3e 6a 6b 52 8a b7 52 cb 50 47 c7

# Example 3.1

M = ab 3c d9 d8 8d 98 40 3b 38 b4 09 95 fd 6f f4 1a

1a cc 8a da

seed = 6a 90 87 4e ef ce 8f 2c cc 20 e4 f2 74 1f b0 a3

3a 38 48 ae c9

C = 5d c8 e9 ec 7c 33 f6 a4 5e 63 26 43 e8 b6 57 32

38 76 b7 17 ac dd 30 a2 fc f3 fd 8d 22 35 f1 ec 3e 75 ac e1 c8 e8 4e 2e dd 5a b9 6f 9e bd 48 68

79 3f 20 f2 af 90 60 57 da b1 2e f1 d3 07 5e 0d b3 39 39 f6 50 50 1c 27 8f 59 25 4d 3d 64 81 3d

8c da e2 98 37 c5 76 65 53 43 15 eb 54 e9 3f ac

b3 53 7b 95 b7 c0 0e 92 36 38 f9 7b 4b 1d 6d 5e 0a bb a3 4c 8a b5 13 4b 2a 7b 47 4c 37 af 01 c3

# Example 3.2

M =

ef f2 9d da 4f 2d 51 64 73 f1 10 64 c9 96 fa 26

56 d2 c3 c0 93 44 05 af bc de 22 2d 9d 31 7c f2

39 fe 8a 16

seed = 95 29 7b 0f 95 a2 fa 67 d0 07 07 d6 09 df d4 fc

05 c8 9d af c2

C = 41 57 55 2e 9d ab ff 3c 08 54 6e 5b c8 0d e0 07

c9 64 7a 09 bc e5 9c a0 8b 9b f9 f6 be 14 58 e0

8b 0a 1d 47 ff 2c 7d 5f 42 75 30 32 3b 1c ce dc

c3 ac c3 8e 43 bc ca bc 57 39 4f 29 8d 8e dd cd  $\,$ 

7d 26 bf 72 1f 6a 3f c5 55 19 c9 04 cd eb 94 f4

 $3f\ c8\ 15\ a6\ fb\ fc\ 43\ 0a\ 0e\ 25\ 05\ 14\ 5d\ ac\ 22\ b6$ 

c9 a5 57 27 89 ca 0e 52 4d fb 87 91 71 fe 80 f7

d8 78 c4 3a b0 d7 7c 3e 66 39 c6 ac 28 c3 85 8f

# C.8.4 Numerical example (A)

\_\_\_\_\_

HIME(R) [A] Numerical Example (A] No.4 (1023-bit)

Hash.eval: SHA1 Hash.len: 20 KDF: KDF1-SHA1 n: 1344-bit p,q: 448-bit

d: 2

Public key

-----

```
n = 87 e5 d1 89 c4 66 b7 98 0f 48 50 1f 36 10 80 2d 86 9d 91 55 d2 66 54 c4 41 c9 18 26 d4 6a b4 d4 32 12 6f 6a 0d 8c 39 22 f2 6b 35 42 80 1b 0b cf fb e8 e3 3b 8a e5 35 a3 56 45 d8 04 89 75 8e ed 50 26 34 dc 5d a1 e7 84 71 a7 37 d9 84 72 81 19 92 d2 09 25 dc 4b a8 1f 7f ee 3a f5 71 cb b3 f2 c6 68 a6 93 56 c0 72 aa ba 4b 3e 4d 19 9a e1 84 07 33 e8 e4 df 9f 43 89 c4 f0 4c fc ad 99 63 67 70 cb d8 9c 70 a7 87 eb 73 5f 91 f6 cb fc 5a 22 b9 72 63 1d e2 28 3d 1e 84 9c 82 0f f6 2a d9 42 c4 c5 fd ea 0b 8a 66 63
```

#### Private key

-----

```
p = d1 ad c7 92 77 f0 e7 16 de bf 8f a0 42 be 80 8c
55 cc da 53 34 2c fd a4 60 d6 d5 68 e3 85 b7 89
36 b3 28 ad fa 67 9b 80 b0 ec 94 5c 9c da 67 1b
63 da 57 f9 e4 66 87 03
```

q = ca 92 db 5a 3a 53 22 0a 4a 92 1e e6 e9 af da ce 12 7e a7 67 0e df df c2 68 42 a1 ad 62 79 05 1b

e6 82 00 c0 09 83 db a2 36 ab e1 6d 37 41 45 cd

b1 f6 da b2 cc 81 d8 0b

#### Message to be encrypted

-----

M = fb e8 e3 3b 8a e5 35 a3 56 45 d8 04 89 75 8e ed 50 26 34 dc 5d a1 e7 84 71 a7 37 d9 84 72 81 19

Encoding parameters

-----

L = (the empty string)

#### Step-by-step HEM1 encoding of M

-----

check = Hash.eval(L)

seed = random string of octets

seed' = the most significant KLen-bit cleared

seed

DataBlockMask = KDF(seed', ELen-Hash.len-1)

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

```
MaskedDataBlock = DataBlock xor DataBlockMask
                     = KDF(MaskedDataBlock, Hash.len+1)
       SeedMask'
                     = the most significant KLen-bit cleared
                       SeedMask
       MaskedSeed
                     = seed' xor SeedMask'
                86 9d 91 55 d2 66 54 c4 41 c9 18 26 d4 6a b4 d4
seed =
                32 12 6f a7 67
seed' =
                06 9d 91 55 d2 66 54 c4 41 c9 18 26 d4 6a b4 d4
                32 12 6f a7 67
                da 39 a3 ee 5e 6b 4b 0d 32 55 bf ef 95 60 18 90
check =
                af d8 07 09
DataBlock =
                da 39 a3 ee 5e 6b 4b 0d 32 55 bf ef 95 60 18 90
                af d8 07 09 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
                00 00 01 fb e8 e3 3b 8a e5 35 a3 56 45 d8 04 89
                75 8e ed 50 26 34 dc 5d a1 e7 84 71 a7 37 d9 84
                72 81 19
DataBlockMask =
                2e b9 b8 94 75 9c 38 f1 4f b1 ed d0 42 40 3b 89
                66 f3 e2 63 75 d7 bf bd 19 eb 14 67 97 dc d7 c1
                80 bd e2 40 ff 8c 22 16 e5 83 49 0a f0 19 af 5d
                ec 1d 9c 51 0b f4 cf f1 05 11 8c 48 b5 3c fd 13
                48 49 32 b7 7f 8f 81 6e 64 dc 39 70 57 63 ed d6
                f7 4c 2e 4e 0b 1e bc bd 93 4d a6 e2 a0 29 5e 95
                f5 Of 50 O4 40 50 54 7e 6b d5 b1 7a Od O4 a8 ca
                27 26 10 33 dc 95 e2 e4 5b 32 08 d1 7e 13 90 61
                9b 4b d7 c4 15 04 ea 4e fd fc b7 24 dc 0e 75 01
                a3 19 f9
MaskedDataBlock = f4 80 1b 7a 2b f7 73 fc 7d e4 52 3f d7 20 23 19
                c9 2b e5 6a 75 d7 bf bd 19 eb 14 67 97 dc d7 c1
                80 bd e2 40 ff 8c 22 16 e5 83 49 0a f0 19 af 5d
                ec 1d 9c 51 0b f4 cf f1 05 11 8c 48 b5 3c fd 13
                48 49 32 b7 7f 8f 81 6e 64 dc 39 70 57 63 ed d6
                f7 4c 2e 4e 0b 1e bc bd 93 4d a6 e2 a0 29 5e 95
                f5 Of 50 O4 40 50 54 7e 6b d5 b1 7a Od O4 a8 ca
                27 26 11 c8 34 76 d9 6e be 07 ab 87 3b cb 94 e8
                ee c5 3a 94 33 30 36 13 5c 1b 33 55 7b 39 ac 85
                d1 98 e0
SeedMask =
                6d ad 57 05 63 99 d1 1f 44 a1 20 bd 1a ff 15 47
                31 f0 79 59 ea
                6d ad 57 05 63 99 d1 1f 44 a1 20 bd 1a ff 15 47
SeedMask' =
                31 f0 79 59 ea
MaskedSeed =
                6b 30 c6 50 b1 ff 85 db 05 68 38 9b ce 95 a1 93
                03 e2 16 fe 8d
                6b 30 c6 50 b1 ff 85 db 05 68 38 9b ce 95 a1 93
E =
                03 e2 16 fe 8d f4 80 1b 7a 2b f7 73 fc 7d e4 52
```

3f d7 20 23 19 c9 2b e5 6a 75 d7 bf bd 19 eb 14 67 97 dc d7 c1 80 bd e2 40 ff 8c 22 16 e5 83 49 0a f0 19 af 5d ec 1d 9c 51 0b f4 cf f1 05 11 8c 48 b5 3c fd 13 48 49 32 b7 7f 8f 81 6e 64 dc 39 70 57 63 ed d6 f7 4c 2e 4e 0b 1e bc bd 93 4d a6 e2 a0 29 5e 95 f5 0f 50 04 40 50 54 7e 6b d5 b1 7a 0d 04 a8 ca 27 26 11 c8 34 76 d9 6e be 07 ab 87 3b cb 94 e8 ee c5 3a 94 33 30 36 13 5c 1b 33 55 7b 39 ac 85 d1 98 e0

# The ciphertext

C: =

79 67 bc c3 42 fc bb 72 e2 75 e6 68 73 bf 3c 68 c9 81 05 df cd 08 82 28 0d cd 0a 45 26 1c 7d 68 ee 46 79 6b 49 b3 66 74 81 9c c8 84 05 98 5a 44 8b f9 17 4d 93 c5 ce d4 fc b2 00 ff 65 e5 fd 58 cb 3c f1 1f 65 d8 3c 24 f2 78 c6 ba 88 44 79 32 86 af 8e b3 9b f5 9d 02 65 21 b8 2b 09 c5 40 05 92 1e a7 4c 56 87 57 4b 3a 9f c1 8b 86 c2 90 eb 21 34 17 bb 3b e2 b4 b4 a6 be d1 54 d8 6f 1e b4 d6 be cd 65 05 a6 00 23 40 31 d8 c3 a7 ce c1 03 b8 14 17 30 37 8a 33 05 c6 3b 1d bd ed e7 bf ac 6f 8d e8 22 34 90 db 7a

Step-by-step HEM1 decoding of E

The intermediate values are the same as during HEM1 encoding of M.

# C.8.5 Numerical example (A)

Hash.eval: SHA1 Hash.len: 20 KDF: KDF1-SHA1 n: 1344-bit p,q: 448-bit

d: 2

L = (the empty string)

## Public key

87 e5 d1 89 c4 66 b7 98 0f 48 50 1f 36 10 80 2d 86 9d 91 55 d2 66 54 c4 41 c9 18 26 d4 6a b4 d4 32 12 6f 6a 0d 8c 39 22 f2 6b 35 42 80 1b 0b cf fb e8 e3 3b 8a e5 35 a3 56 45 d8 04 89 75 8e ed 50 26 34 dc 5d a1 e7 84 71 a7 37 d9 84 72 81 19 92 d2 09 25 dc 4b a8 1f 7f ee 3a f5 71 cb b3 f2 c6 68 a6 93 56 c0 72 aa ba 4b 3e 4d 19 9a e1 84 07 33 e8 e4 df 9f 43 89 c4 f0 4c fc ad 99 63 67 70 cb d8 9c 70 a7 87 eb 73 5f 91 f6 cb fc 5a 22 b9 72 63 1d e2 28 3d 1e 84 9c 82 0f f6 2a d9 42 c4 c5 fd ea 0b 8a 66 63

# ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

d1 ad c7 92 77 f0 e7 16 de bf 8f a0 42 be 80 8 55 cc da 53 34 2c fd a4 60 d6 d5 68 e3 85 b7 8 36 b3 28 ad fa 67 9b 80 b0 ec 94 5c 9c da 67 163 da 57 f9 e4 66 87 03
ca 92 db 5a 3a 53 22 0a 4a 92 1e e6 e9 af da of 12 7e a7 67 0e df df c2 68 42 a1 ad 62 79 05 1 e6 82 00 c0 09 83 db a2 36 ab e1 6d 37 41 45 of b1 f6 da b2 cc 81 d8 0b
ab 3c d9 d8 8d 98 40 3b 38 b4 09 95 fd 6f f4 :
6a 90 87 4e ef ce 8f 2c cc 20 e4 f2 74 1f b0 a 3a 38 48 ae c9
71 9d 83 07 f8 75 1e b4 51 be d2 20 28 d0 6a 2 e4 25 16 fe 5b 3d bd e2 3f a5 50 85 6f 06 7e 2 3b f7 0a ca ae 1a 8d 36 39 13 36 4a e3 7f 9f 5b1 ed 53 b7 81 97 95 9a 9b e3 c4 fd 33 46 5c 4 55 53 b5 a5 ba 21 07 36 7e ba ec f9 9f 2a 15 86 6c 9b 8a d0 f5 70 2e 61 2c 12 26 6a 56 90 7c 91 e9 2a d7 b2 3a 14 43 fa 95 94 b5 23 b5 96 0d5 5d 6d 8f 1b f9 fe fc bf dd 72 cf 3c bd 21 87c dc 01 51 c6 50 04 b1 f6 ae eb 4c a8 b9 bc f6 11 9c fd d9 09 34 14 8f 1e af 1d 54 6e 63 a41 27 74 54 5f d8 19 39
ef f2 9d da 4f 2d 51 64 73 f1 10 64 c9 96 fa 2 56 d2 c3 c0 93 44 05 af bc de 22 2d 9d 31 7c 1 39 fe 8a 16
95 29 7b 0f 95 a2 fa 67 d0 07 07 d6 09 df d4 t 05 c8 9d af c2
1b 17 e0 25 92 33 25 83 0b 77 1f cf 9e 17 53 8 5b 6e 07 f2 59 5a c4 50 8a fd 00 58 3c b4 32 95 7a 46 a1 4c 21 68 99 bd 35 93 d9 96 8a 1f 7 88 f4 bb 9a fe 35 89 01 5b 57 c9 0a e5 a0 00 6 57 9c 0c e8 1e b4 fc 51 81 d9 fe ba e0 35 38 160 f1 4e 6c fb 04 28 e7 43 d6 0f 26 ce a6 40 30 7d 1f 08 d8 18 22 94 ed 3f 53 1c ab eb ee 189 f7 37 85 88 be 1b ba 8a 45 a1 ee 5e 69 fd 6

fe d9 5e d0 56 52 cc 8b

# C.8.6 Numerical example (A)

=======================================	========	========	=====	=======================================
HIME(R)	A <sub>1</sub> Numerical	Example $\triangle 1$	No.6	(1023-bit)
			=====	

Hash.eval: SHA1 Hash.len: 20 KDF: KDF1-SHA1 n: 1344-bit p,q: 448-bit

d: 2

L = (the empty string)

## Public key

-----

n = d1 10 0b fe 0e 2c f5 d0 76 12 57 dc 34 e4 13 bb 02 21 f7 c4 27 cd ee 41 d1 b0 78 28 ac b3 c8 80 cc b5 26 db ea d4 39 58 fb 71 07 e6 28 1b 73 0f 6c f1 64 bc 28 9f df b9 f2 9b ae 88 e4 e3 a3 38 c8 45 1e 70 c3 0b b1 f1 44 87 e8 49 9b 67 55 11 e5 ac 3d ff 50 91 05 3b 46 59 cc 3b 23 c2 99 a7 0f a3 ed ea e4 63 45 6e e8 35 99 90 68 c3 a4 5e b7 45 47 7c 79 d8 ed ac c5 8a cc 16 9a 67 7d 96 ab f9 4f 7a 1b 55 3b 56 35 c0 62 37 21 0d 9d 48 63 c1 f1 27 64 15 b9 ba 1c ae a0 73 d2 f9 3d 32 11 8a 73 b2 61 bd 13 1b

#### Private key

-----

p = f1 ad c7 92 77 f0 e7 16 de bf 8f a0 42 be 80 8c 55 cc da 53 34 2c fd a4 60 d6 d5 68 e3 85 b8 89 36 b3 28 ad fa 67 9b 80 b0 ec 94 5c 9c da 67 1b 63 da 57 f9 e4 cc 6f 6b

q = ea 92 db 5a 3a 53 22 0a 4a 92 1e e6 e9 af da ce 12 7e b7 67 0e df df c2 68 42 a1 ad 62 79 05 1b e6 82 00 c0 09 83 db a2 36 ab e1 6d 37 41 45 cd b1 f6 da b2 cc 8a 2e 73

#### Example 6.1

-----

seed =

M = d8 5a 93 45 a8 60 51 e7 30 71 62 00 56 b9 20 e2 19 00 58 55 a2 13 a0 f2 38 97 cd cd 73 1b 45 25 7c 77 7f e9

dd dd 87 71 fe c4 8b 83 a3 1e e6 f5 92 c4 cf d4

bc 88 17 4f 3b

C = 6b ab 8d 82 90 ec 05 7c d3 e8 b2 7f e9 e5 38 d1 25 cd c6 13 38 7d e4 e5 f0 df 23 24 fe 58 3d 91 91 79 71 f5 1a 93 ae 13 29 9d 47 5d 4c bc 45 be 9a 8d f1 71 5c 32 c6 cc da 85 ea b6 ca ed da 1b 92 48 42 a8 4a f9 aa 40 9f 02 97 f9 73 74 b2 71

# ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

```
18 18 85 07 9e c4 02 1a 95 f2 18 08 28 69 bc 00 9d da c2 45 b3 f7 fe be 58 07 d3 65 67 59 d4 b2 14 f3 d2 1a e1 e5 10 49 90 f8 7d e5 70 02 13 87 96 b0 cc b9 15 3b 6b 2a 0f 25 52 90 a1 d4 1c 44 45 c1 07 ae 90 da 54 fb 3b b4 44 88 fc d6 1e 26 2b a7 62 ce a8 7f df 91
```

## Example 6.2

-----

M =da fb f0 38 e1 80 d8 37 c9 63 66 df 24 c0 97 b4 ab Of ac 6b df 59 Od 82 1c 9f 10 64 2e 68 1a d0 cc 88 53 d1 d5 4d a6 30 fa c0 04 f4 71 f2 81 c7 seed = b8 98 2d 82 24 C = b6 dd 2f 33 ee 04 52 1f a9 17 d3 30 9e f1 2f a1 cb 93 de 75 4c fe a3 b1 bf e7 dd 9c a4 51 44 85 bf dc 57 31 c1 6d c2 78 7c 14 6d f6 f4 98 68 45 b8 ce aa c6 59 cf 42 12 f9 31 07 4c e6 e5 26 9e 62 96 06 46 db 64 88 12 42 fe 7f c7 6b 7a ed f9 0d 30 ca 8f 03 62 23 69 25 cb ce 11 d9 4a 76 e4 6e c7 bf c9 e7 b6 02 a0 f5 32 73 3b a8 b1 e6 f5 7c 07 45 28 bf b2 df c0 3c d7 1f 7f d6 3b 7e 4b 66 68 f5 89 e4 c8 d8 df 3c Of 40 c1 O4 ce b5 7b 6e 45 06 e9 a1 f5 bc 79 c1 40 bc e8 f2 11 dd 85 96 43 81 a6 ef d5 14 7b

# $\triangle$ C.9 Numerical examples for FACE-KEM

#### C.9.1 Numerical examples over elliptic curve P224

```
FACE-KEM
Kdf = Kdf2(Hash = Sha256(outlen = 20 octets))
Hash = Sha256(outlen = 20 octets)
CofactorMode = 0
KeyLen = TagLen = 16 (octets)
Group = ECModp-Group:
b = 0xb4050a850c04b3abf54132565044b0b7d7bfd8ba270b39432355ffb4
nu = 0x01
g(x) = 0xb70e0cbd6bb4bf7f321390b94a03c1d356c21122343280d6115c1d21
g(y) = 0xbd376388b5f723fb4c22dfe6cd4375a05a07476444d5819985007e34
Numbers to generate g1 and g2 in key generation
a1 = 0xdc32d5babd0d3753ca5f7ff8be59f4d6c49168b8f4c6e3b59317ba44
a2 = 0x81314bb3154af84a7489c95bb9fcca0edea88a8a0779497092677d46
Public Key
g1(x) = 0x73e451f448f7c473e436f394de7ddf7a562af2f6cb0a1aa7d2d38f51
g1(y) = 0x892910a01bbd1a6c7995d79c29e72d21ed37112143ab55375bb9a29c
g2(x) = 0x57fc06cf338f547227f1275fe8c11055d73feba2a3eae9245c95d7c5
g2(y) = 0x7af7c4319f8cf998bf3fc2d48be46d8b527f08e02c8dec7589b23350
c(x) = 0xb4baaf147b33bc50c8f2d20555fb7315cd139332f89a1ada6e7ce53f
```

c(y) = 0xff46b1bf4d2c2fbe8ae0a34b4f696cfb6b3bc90a4a236a8be0673827

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

```
d(x) = 0x9eb266244b51847f0a2eb665e130f5d695a99f8ddd040819d39e7b92
```

d(y) = 0x14032c241b51f7d40b7612406694fa583d3bfb35eba5b326455a090f

----

Private Key

x1 = 0xc718604eb67048c28d2d26a7400144b8eb64a4f31be041a4970e00ec

x2 = 0x6c613adf8b9b6fdc083d4ac64f5bec376eae02edae2e9b53bbda98f6

y1 = 0xf179878e0f7ef84d47753bf4ba7a497acae0833c3ed25aa3d15aebae

y2 = 0xc099fb374680995897ecb2c933c47a79f853c4397a2c2e66d09c0da4

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Trace for FACE-KEM.Encrypt

Encoding format = uncompressed\_fmt

r = 0x453109403b913bd9e1ca9498948f942c8b5e97394e74ffa2b196e8a0

u1(x) = 0x686c7d062e31a49433dec25470228a5f3e101f7b48ae967426e76966

u1(y) = 0x0385cd57a1fc4faf04e2ee791f5fa9fa33d6046f40fb0ea01511e02b

u2(x) = 0x13a4d81283775e9cda6381f42a930cddda3b9e2ed054e0949378c74f

u2(y) = 0x1f1e78ba5a8988a3e37ca923e7fc6b3002cf3d0505353d20d62327b6

EU1 =

 $0 \times 04686 c7 d062 e31 a49433 dec 25470228 a5f3 e101 f7 b48 a e967426 e769660385 cd57 a1f c4fa f04 e2 e e791 f5 fa9 fa33 d6046 f40 fb0 ea01511 e02 b$ 

EU2 =

0x0413a4d81283775e9cda6381f42a930cddda3b9e2ed054e0949378c74f1f1e78ba5a8988a3e37ca923e7fc6b3002cf3d0505353d20d62327b6

alpha = 0xe90304e9eab627d21bd80996bbd70e8d9a70861b

 $r_{dash} = 0x116bd69f5aeae58c3798ce9e9a99f223c57a28d64c648808943e6810$ 

v(x) = 0xc0d9e1c8c97e694de6f856b5853732038c10de30e79a92028da3b978

v(y) = 0x822e6aa51e1ebf5a29622988798e01332995ea3a2f6870412ddbadc9

EV =

0x04c0d9e1c8c97e694de6f856b5853732038c10de30e79a92028da3b978822e6aa51e1ebf5a29622988798e01332995ea3a2f6870412ddbadc9

W = 0xc43cf57936c5b1fc6d957a5106d8f61376792f5bb1cefbb315f79d214712a15f

K = 0xc43cf57936c5b1fc6d957a5106d8f613

T = 0x76792f5bb1cefbb315f79d214712a15f

CO =

0x04686c7d062e31a49433dec25470228a5f3e101f7b48ae967426e769660385cd57a1fc4faf04e2ee791f5fa9fa33d6046f40fb0ea01511e02b0413a4d81283775e9cda6381f42a930cddd3b9e2ed054e0949378c74f1f1e78ba5a8988a3e37ca923e7fc6b3002cf3d0505353d20d62327b676792f5bb1cefbb315f79d214712a15f

#### C.9.2 Numerical examples over elliptic curve B163

```
FACE-KEM
```

\_\_\_\_\_

Kdf = Kdf2(Hash = Sha256(outlen = 20 octets))

Hash = Sha256(outlen = 20 octets)

CofactorMode = 0

KeyLen = TagLen = 16 (octets)

----

Group=ECGF2-Group:

a = 0x01

b = 0x020a601907b8c953ca1481eb10512f78744a3205fd

mu = 0x040000000000000000000292fe77e70c12a4234c33

nu = 0x01

g(x) = 0x03f0eba16286a2d57ea0991168d4994637e8343e36

#### ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

```
g(y) = 0xd51fbc6c71a0094fa2cdd545b11c5c0c797324f1
Numbers to generate g1 and g2 in key generation
a1 = 0x015897ecb2c932fa1bb876e25442682b342fab391c
a2 = 0x0353cedb56d6129658a9c208427a79756979ffa1f2
Public Key
g1(x) = 0x05cf2e1de9dcf32160bef47df954851b52a226f463
g1(y) = 0x06c65878cff713a57fa53bbfc87497ac73067ed3aa
g2(x) = 0x034115a8459671a752b8be5926ac1f604983cc8e45
g2(y) = 0x06ba7e233b76dc98ab9adad1e320c62a29690e52c1
c(x) = 0x03cd12b6bf02ec9f36885a6d6d45eea5a2c6753c53
c(y) = 0x0464d1b820fb17f9b943c12fca6385d799b891d8b8
d(x) = 0x0682142c7a07e7e445ca2c48aca4e9d46bab195821
d(y) = 0x05067a81d6cb789c8bd443fe8e416c706eea7bb435
Private Key
x1 = 0x028d2d26a73f713d3f9d0d5b8ce30d76f4d151c902
x2 = 0xa9836a84a1583f601a2f9b2b2432a0aff42c84e8
y1 = 0x02140a3d998770496c5cbec836b6e8d38e47cc0575
v2 = 0x02f179878e0f7ef84d45966f119bc634d0f246beec
Trace for FACE-KEM.Encrypt
Encoding format = uncompressed_fmt
r = 0x010c6028d090fa88fdd82d281f640a5a3353387048
u1(x) = 0x02f0e6e40244de3232377911ea47cc95d73b4512c6
u1(y) = 0x9fa93f1fb1d81ba29db4d29071506eaaa0fa2def
u2(x) = 0x51d260249605e811007536a7ec3520d9e3a1566f
u2(y) = 0xdb2f64fee47dac599f3744e739fc3a45b21db7d2
EU1 = 0x0402f0e6e40244de3232377911ea47cc95d73b4512c6009fa93f1fb1d81ba29db4d290715
06eaaa0fa2def
EU2 = 0x040051d260249605e811007536a7ec3520d9e3a1566f00db2f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f3744e739f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f64fee47dac599f66fee47dac596f66fee47dac599f66fee47dac599f66fee47dac599f66fee47dac599f66fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac599f66fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fee47dac596fe
c3a45b21db7d2
alpha = 0x36d1facc466a738aaa09fe33e4cdf4983eb0c8d1
r_dash = 0x036f3c8b855b8d2d3b30f8f6748ea0229ec5a25c03
v(x) = 0x050dea8e376f2e31c714e59a07ec02d5d17df9a5e7
v(y) = 0x0140402b886f4969289ce1c31ccd82f9f492a41e9a
EV = 0x04050dea8e376f2e31c714e59a07ec02d5d17df9a5e70140402b886f4969289ce1c31ccd8
2f9f492a41e9a
W = 0x3ee707aec1ab5f0435d8e0e0c0d4d107f4343213cde66426b98a3ce7e91cf302
K = 0x3ee707aec1ab5f0435d8e0e0c0d4d107
```

T = 0xf4343213cde66426b98a3ce7e91cf302

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

 $\begin{array}{lll} {\rm CO} &=& 0 \times 0402 \\ {\rm f} \\ 06 \\ {\rm e} \\ 40244 \\ {\rm d} \\ {\rm e} \\ 3232377911 \\ {\rm e} \\ 447 \\ {\rm c} \\ {\rm e} \\ 53617 \\ {\rm e} \\ 43213 \\ {\rm e} \\ 66605 \\ {\rm e} \\ 4311007536 \\ {\rm e} \\ 7320 \\ {\rm e}$ 

#### C.9.3 Numerical examples over Zp group

```
FACE-KEM
------
Kdf = Kdf2(Hash = Sha256(outlen = 20 octets))
Hash = Sha256(outlen = 20 octets)

CofactorMode = 0
KeyLen = TagLen = 16 (octets)
-----

Group=Modp-Group:
```

p =

0xa35178c0f9b33e25e6d473a41bcfc1c9bb38182821d16a25de75b75b81b71c4cb9f245590 976fc2c4d62dd2dfc2973dd6131cc84ccb0cd75d80b7e802bb7537b5c91ef4234989471e0dd f6577e35b28140fc13f97c925a97d1bad5b9946a0bf80b097f5f106cf134a395b4af80b949e 7c1f02c3df831fec9fbf4c18b617b8513

g = 0x06bb7bb2b9c9218947602a11c58a91a39b28eaa73057765cfb1dc14e563f21d5209583a6f 68b4ac7d2d7a42e4cd6796437841c4746f27a098ef6a26455410003e54f25b3d252b8f35569 b0017496d60829609382a951fb19bf471a9674d8d4418df1563244ad709de93386e9d29ca66 e9bb6ea173009b8a1d4502d7c7f461dd5

mu =

0x51a8bc607cd99f12f36a39d20de7e0e4dd9c0c1410e8b512ef3adbadc0db8e265cf922ac84bb7e1626b16e96fe14b9eeb098e642665866baec05bf4015dba9bdae48f7a11a4c4a38f06efb2bbf1ad940a07e09fcbe492d4be8dd6adcca3505fc0584bfaf8836789a51cada57c05ca4f3e0f8161efc18ff64fdfa60c5b0bdc289

```
nu = 0x02
----
Numbers to generate g1 and g2 in key generation
```

a1 =

 $0x306e82cd2471c56a70a3522d2f51021d862ce87b1b55da895a4ed2dfa2bb7751e4472e320\\2052413a250289387216fca1e5b7a140fdcb00ee01e20acc79fc0af0f33b87b025061db163bf4c1b9f973bf73540281d9761f807644c35b35f0adbe9873ab9600f62fc37f0c7dff99cef3fd6695ed5be70c0bc6e712710f520b310a$ 

a2 =

 $0x06c4410333e2b6531346ed3e1e093aa15d8305e169655c0f6c42131c070f44eb98d46fde8\\2ef858c8af1074cef1c22b612b9b27ea206ea60ebed82e57e67e80b562fb4a8f15cbcd1b7b5\\70eb9b4ed9ecbcce59271204e03411c64b7f849240ae83158a72274957d997e7e5489f352c0b58b586d2cf278ae4abf2866902b75733$ 

Public Key

g1 =

0x84e36daa032b03469efcacce74f5efe02aca71e5ea4499e0e9bfca4d4acdf94d52daa36ca 1b3cfde68f2fa22a0b4fe633e83a60f73bd4eac21e806dbc61c47fb71533890a24b83e3d5e7 a82a54e07fc06bf83fa90f08147ae587e12ad276bfcccc1f7d7c194fe45edc02806a84a46c1 efda06394ed4a49813a8d3b5541d42441

# ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### g2 =

0x8fc931fc719b25fda1632f66b7dd575436a504a124a0e52ba7767965c96e79ad0c594abe571c23fbccfa0bd8a98d1463c335fc175b3cb8c2c4e8c56cf39a469ac6e5829a305f859f1f6b0f6fa0bd5ee28ca65b696ec777d516fe6d635f575d5ffe96843253060c0402325be9849ce0a31e14ad9f20945acfd41f726d35d7958b

c =

0x9c668439338aff11f4bfd6f6fd8b5c42df5d6e04d09dbd7c49d465c4616e077cf4d0c18a09a9418df072ce8ab41376fb22829059d039a884e87700574e4c2df6048ae23e010e459bb99c1833032207e2246b55ad47a7069ff3db1e18c96906a1fc5f038834f8ff72598e3b1970ea5707d9419476a3ad0e6024a5575dac524104

#### d =

0x997a23da7b7d23955e7c51b8afec13b7e82dbb0a9aafe0c570878d6ff0576b0670a3984a771b193cae615a970a97c8d30ba56ba81a7e1fef045ef194db08c3a469bf3b741e42f7c2d0637d1a000457d494506259861c0fc7f808d819ac28ff7bb8d7a194525f05216699ce3a3a4b99a644a1f168ace4cc51cb8c6041060f0154

----

#### Private Key

#### **v**1 =

 $0x118914fa206031f8feafaee274069d2965b6d93d0811376df7690576a5028d165a5381847\\c01e14f35b93dca618eaa3294c71d647ca99b4b40d0ad170509a0f6eba3512927d4ac7c6626885638883ead63af237380c5f545bce28651263754e8cf8f69425a8a0369cbb79e17f3c9ff593013e55a616a80d251cc5bb610d96063$ 

#### x2 =

0x11f40b6772f6c2f17e41647f7ab4d06bbc3cdbb17746aa848251fbcb3187a0eaf854b3bea 61c2bc70cf9ac3fc088318b4e58060aad36e1a64e1967e12f17f7ee6c81b31f0463bd53b3c8 9cf62add5ddcdfe62f58d2dd9f130208fbca628990291060caa0817c71f98732d43da0bde02 a72697f6f7090c850c49390e1a3b1a3f6

#### v1 =

0x25d28ef24793f4fadaed1821fbd197d0a43f847d478fadc8023f3bf0731fb5535f2a2167f9e3a7b687c6bc27ddb3f37ead6d308a381c2ef3444ddc43b823a8c7c305c33abee447a93569e72018193aa176deda0d818be403bb0899dc766bae7d92ab0b97c0239fb4b575b68f5f5ec4109aa3ad9658cbffa760daa9a331750fb2

#### y2 =

0x1926469e03c6009525640e1edb7b5ca3427d69309005bc287e40c29bf3462a6f4566f50ec1e6304ec153fe5e406dd26bae389affb42536c9cab79a276ad9c9c9494777c301148c683a915c03ac6ec72b0d3e04fd859d90aa05c18f514767d88e1251ae98d6106ee478be0cccb9f8680081c019658558c32d00cd52f440524b93

## Trace for FACE-KEM.Encrypt

r =

 $0x2e85d3669420a7351ee1cadeac7b1b360e5eac139e57c706e1e36d76a00e5c65f7193bfd8\\0cb94d8dc7ff0d7ca4aaba8981bb18de55180fe063500b5f579b8c995bbfd70e1b5c3ec4e5b1887afe3b960524ee40d471d36bbe5b270b90d8955a2392be62e643de1ac4c435357789b908f35aa221bed7b81630d3b4bfef7bc2c18$ 

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

#### 111 =

0x29d4bbf838900c1cce4dd4dbe6c7bc63a177e264d7ac7c7188ba5f12e77961eaf149cb8fcdd027787148da465b13360d0fba5105f2a7150b069b2c738611e2024a67fcaccd1efaa096829a605f4305510a35a4ed07c9859fd272c7d54c9224a8f7ceeda81d85608c6a225dde80faf6098f126d9fb3953329fe0d4fbffc09242d

#### 112 =

0x4b16320e1cd654c70ae07d44dccd0b0184822e6071475ffa39da78003e6adf4c1dd49c51d7e0492f83854fea581f829b37a9e1bae7126f8faf3c0aacedb79221f591a2befd43335b04c2c350662f7151ae8df745a7e57941a5c6e987cd1151f00dc362f98fe8ed8ad9d34098f767a2fe5cc903c75d2ce3c1e28a752a73c4bfed

#### EU1 =

0x29d4bbf838900c1cce4dd4dbe6c7bc63a177e264d7ac7c7188ba5f12e77961eaf149cb8fcdd027787148da465b13360d0fba5105f2a7150b069b2c738611e2024a67fcaccd1efaa096829a605f4305510a35a4ed07c9859fd272c7d54c9224a8f7ceeda81d85608c6a225dde80faf6098f126d9fb3953329fe0d4fbffc09242d

#### FII2 =

0x4b16320e1cd654c70ae07d44dccd0b0184822e6071475ffa39da78003e6adf4c1dd49c51d7e0492f83854fea581f829b37a9e1bae7126f8faf3c0aacedb79221f591a2befd43335b04c2c350662f7151ae8df745a7e57941a5c6e987cd1151f00dc362f98fe8ed8ad9d34098f767a2fe5cc903c75d2ce3c1e28a752a73c4bfed

alpha = 0xcf53ecf805373603c1ebbb8eb7ca5591fa34ab54

#### r dash =

0x3f6882c3e13c298cafcae3b63b94806972b128f99d339e788fbeb0e9975b374e07e264dfd2d35bcf50b566aa7d80ba1718a3b167a019f8f4c7a6b1eeb62b57296eab2536ca5cdf47c23bfcd93c529b5a621955b2b2725e35d472adf942bf2a4a231df0b2624cdcdfa03fca544a10ed63a7c529bc8e96dcf2a8f4ccdfc1675d0e

#### v =

0x94a2a68a042cc599f5d884f383f83e1f886da635c1a3d81f21dc8526a14601a856d74176ed06c3103bc0dec97334ca00400f45f98e32a4709cc96425be1b1d890837a97f0dfd226d7a93c298ea674d86d2a618dc274b3b5f51a7b29a9533dca2a030082b66b38d3a4c7ecd71841d2f3009a92d39180dcac14c86d2e15114f171

#### EV =

0x94a2a68a042cc599f5d884f383f83e1f886da635c1a3d81f21dc8526a14601a856d74176ed06c3103bc0dec97334ca00400f45f98e32a4709cc96425be1b1d890837a97f0dfd226d7a93c298ea674d86d2a618dc274b3b5f51a7b29a9533dca2a030082b66b38d3a4c7ecd71841d2f3009a92d39180dcac14c86d2e15114f171

- W = 0xda171db2eb553d6faad1d46aef506db4b791e60981f69e4ed6e9c96bc40d6ac1
- K = 0xda171db2eb553d6faad1d46aef506db4
- T = 0xb791e60981f69e4ed6e9c96bc40d6ac1

#### CO =

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

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

# **Bibliography**

- [1] ISO/IEC 10116:1997, Information technology — Security techniques — Modes of operation for an n-bit block cipher
- [2] ISO/IEC 10118 (all parts), *Information technology* — Security techniques — Hash-functions
- [3] ISO/IEC 11770 (all parts), Information technology — Security techniques — Key management
- ISO/IEC 15946-1:2002, Information technology Security techniques Cryptographic [4] techniques based on elliptic curves — Part 1: General
- ISO/IEC 18031:2005, Information technology Security techniques Random bit [5] generation
- ISO/IEC 18032:2005, Information technology Security techniques Prime number [6] generation
- [7] ISO/IEC 18033-1:2005, Information technology — Security techniques — Encryption algorithms — Part 1: General
- [8] M. Abdalla, M. Bellare, and P. Rogaway. DHAES: an encryption scheme based on the Diffie-Hellman problem. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 1999/007, 1999. <a href="http://eprint.iacr.org">http://eprint.iacr.org</a>
- [9] M. Abdalla, M. Bellare, and P. Rogaway. The oracle Diffie-Hellman assumptions and an analysis of DHIES. In Topics in Cryptology - CT-RSA 2001, pages 143-158, 2001. Springer LNCS 2045
- M. Bellare, A. Boldyreva, and S. Micali. Public-key encryption in a multi-user setting: [10] security proofs and improvements. In Advances in Cryptology - Eurocrypt 2000, pages 259-274, 2000
- M. Bellare, A. Desai, E. Jokipii, and P. Rogaway. A concrete security treatment of symmetric [11] encryption: analysis of the DES modes of operation. In 38th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, 1997
- M. Bellare, A. Desai, D. Pointcheval, and P. Rogaway. Relations among notions of security [12] for public-key encryption schemes. In Advances in Cryptology-Crypto '98, pages 26-45, 1998
- M. Bellare, J. Kilian, and P. Rogaway. On the security of cipher block chaining. In Advances [13] in Cryptology - Crypto '94, pages 341-358, 1994
- M. Bellare and P. Rogaway. Random oracles are practical: a paradigm for designing efficient [14] protocols. In First ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security, pages 62-73, 1993
- M. Bellare and P. Rogaway. Optimal asymmetric encryption. In Advances in Cryptology -[15] Eurocrypt '94, pages 92-111, 1994
- I. Blake, G. Seroussi, and N. Smart. Elliptic Curves in Cryptography. Cambridge University [16] Press, 1999

- D. Boneh. The Decision Diffie-Hellman Problem. In Ants-III, pages 48-63, 1998. Springer [17] LNCS 1423
- R. Canetti. Universally composable security: a new paradigm for cryptographic protocols. [18] Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2000/067, 2000. <a href="http://eprint.iacr.org">http://eprint.iacr.org</a>
- R. Canetti, O. Goldreich, and S. Halevi. The random oracle methodology, revisited. In 30th [19] Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pages 209-218, 1998
- [20] R. Cramer and V. Shoup. A practical public key cryptosystem provably secure against adaptive chosen ciphertext attack. In Advances in Cryptology-Crypto '98, pages 13-25, 1998
- R. Cramer and V. Shoup. Design and analysis of practical public-key encryption schemes [21] secure against adaptive chosen ciphertext attack. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2001/108, 2001. <a href="http://eprint.iacr.org">http://eprint.iacr.org</a>
- [22] D. Dolev, C. Dwork, and M. Naor. Non-malleable cryptography. In 23rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pages 542-552, 1991
- D. Dolev, C. Dwork, and M. Naor. Non-malleable cryptography, 1998. Manuscript (updated, [23] full length version of STOC paper)
- D. Doley, C. Dwork, and M. Naor. Non-malleable cryptography. SIAM J. Comput., [24] 30(2):391-437, 2000
- T. ElGamal. A public key cryptosystem and signature scheme based on discrete logarithms. [25] IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 31:469-472, 1985
- [26] E. Fujisaki and T. Okamoto. Secure integration of asymmetric and symmetric encryption schemes. In Advances in Cryptology-Crypto '99, pages 537-554, 1999
- E. Fujisaki, T. Okamoto, D. Pointcheval, and J. Stern. RSA-OAEP is secure under the RSA [27] assumption. In Advances in Cryptology-Crypto 2001, pages 260-274, 2001
- [28] S. Goldwasser and S. Micali. Probabilistic encryption. Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 28:270-299, 1984
- [29] Self-evaluation report: HIME(R) cryptosystem, Oct. 2003. Available from <a href="http://www.sdl.hitachi.co.jp/crypto/hime/index.html">http://www.sdl.hitachi.co.jp/crypto/hime/index.html</a>
- [30] H. W. Lenstra. Finding isomorphisms between finite fields. Math. Comp., 56:329-347, 1991
- [31] J. Manger. A chosen ciphertext attack on RSA Optimal Asymmetric Encryption Padding (OAEP) as standardized in PKCS # 1 v2.0. In Advances in Cryptology-Crypto 2001, pages 230-238, 2001
- U. Maurer and S. Wolf. The Diffie-Hellman protocol. Designs, Codes, and Cryptography, [32] 19:147-171, 2000
- M. Naor and O. Reingold. Number-theoretic constructions of efficient pseudo-random [33] functions. In 38th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, 1997

ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006+A1:2017(E)

- M. Naor and M. Yung. Public-key cryptosystems provably secure against chosen ciphertext [34] attacks. In 22nd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pages 427-437, 1990
- M. Nishioka, H. Satoh, and K. Sakuri. Design and analysis of fast provably secure public-key [35] cryptosystems based on a modular squaring. In Proc. ICISC 2001, LNCS 2288, pages 81-102, 2001
- T. Okamoto and D. Pointcheval. The gap-problems: a new class of problems for the security [36] of cryptographic schemes. In Proc. 2001 International Workshop on Practice and Theory in Public Key Cryptography (PKC 2001), 2001
- C. Rackoff and D. Simon. Noninteractive zero-knowledge proof of knowledge and chosen [37] ciphertext attack. In Advances in Cryptology-Crypto '91, pages 433-444, 1991
- R. L. Rivest, A. Shamir, and L. M. Adleman. A method for obtaining digital signatures and [38] public-key cryptosystems. Communications of the ACM, 21(2):120-126, 1978
- [39] V. Shoup. Lower bounds for discrete logarithms and related problems. In Advances in Cryptology - Eurocrypt '97, pages 256-266, 1997
- V. Shoup. OAEP reconsidered. In Advances in Cryptology-Crypto 2001, pages 239-259, [40] 2001
- V. Shoup. A proposal for an ISO standard for public key encryption. Cryptology ePrint [41] Archive, Report 2001/112, 2001 <a href="http://eprint.iacr.org">http://eprint.iacr.org</a>
- [42] S. Vaudenay. Security flaws induced by CBC padding - applications to SSL, IPSEC, WTLS. In Advances in Cryptology-Eurocrypt 2002, 2002
- Y. Desmedt, R. Gennaro, K. Kurosawa, V. Shoup. A New and Improved Paradigm for Hybrid  $A_1$  [43] Encryption Secure Against Chosen-Ciphertext Attack. J. Cryptology 23(1): 91-120 (2010)
  - G. Hanaoka, K. Kurosawa. Between Hashed DH and Computational DH: Compact Encryption [44] from Weaker Assumption. IEICE Transactions 93-A(11): 1994-2006 (2010)
  - [45] K. Kurosawa, Y. Desmedt. A New Paradigm of Hybrid Encryption Scheme. CRYPTO 2004: 426-442 (2004)
  - K. Kurosawa, L.T. Phong. Kurosawa-Desmedt Key Encapsulation Mechanism, Revisited and [46] More. IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive 2013: 765 (2013), last revised in June 2014 (A)

# BSI is stand BSI is produ

# **British Standards Institution (BSI)**

BSI is the national body responsible for preparing British Standards and other standards-related publications, information and services.

BSI is incorporated by Royal Charter. British Standards and other standardization products are published by BSI Standards Limited.

#### About us

We bring together business, industry, government, consumers, innovators and others to shape their combined experience and expertise into standards -based solutions.

The knowledge embodied in our standards has been carefully assembled in a dependable format and refined through our open consultation process. Organizations of all sizes and across all sectors choose standards to help them achieve their goals.

#### Information on standards

We can provide you with the knowledge that your organization needs to succeed. Find out more about British Standards by visiting our website at bsigroup.com/standards or contacting our Customer Services team or Knowledge Centre.

#### **Buying standards**

You can buy and download PDF versions of BSI publications, including British and adopted European and international standards, through our website at bsigroup.com/shop, where hard copies can also be purchased.

If you need international and foreign standards from other Standards Development Organizations, hard copies can be ordered from our Customer Services team.

#### Copyright in BSI publications

All the content in BSI publications, including British Standards, is the property of and copyrighted by BSI or some person or entity that owns copyright in the information used (such as the international standardization bodies) and has formally licensed such information to BSI for commercial publication and use.

Save for the provisions below, you may not transfer, share or disseminate any portion of the standard to any other person. You may not adapt, distribute, commercially exploit, or publicly display the standard or any portion thereof in any manner whatsoever without BSI's prior written consent.

#### Storing and using standards

Standards purchased in soft copy format:

- A British Standard purchased in soft copy format is licensed to a sole named user for personal or internal company use only.
- The standard may be stored on more than 1 device provided that it is accessible
  by the sole named user only and that only 1 copy is accessed at any one time.
- A single paper copy may be printed for personal or internal company use only.
- Standards purchased in hard copy format:
- A British Standard purchased in hard copy format is for personal or internal company use only.
- It may not be further reproduced in any format to create an additional copy.
   This includes scanning of the document.

If you need more than 1 copy of the document, or if you wish to share the document on an internal network, you can save money by choosing a subscription product (see 'Subscriptions').

#### **Reproducing extracts**

For permission to reproduce content from BSI publications contact the BSI Copyright & Licensing team.

#### **Subscriptions**

Our range of subscription services are designed to make using standards easier for you. For further information on our subscription products go to balance com/subscriptions.

With **British Standards Online (BSOL)** you'll have instant access to over 55,000 British and adopted European and international standards from your desktop. It's available 24/7 and is refreshed daily so you'll always be up to date.

You can keep in touch with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards, both in single copy and subscription format, by becoming a **BSI Subscribing Member**.

**PLUS** is an updating service exclusive to BSI Subscribing Members. You will automatically receive the latest hard copy of your standards when they're revised or replaced.

To find out more about becoming a BSI Subscribing Member and the benefits of membership, please visit bsigroup.com/shop.

With a **Multi-User Network Licence (MUNL)** you are able to host standards publications on your intranet. Licences can cover as few or as many users as you wish. With updates supplied as soon as they're available, you can be sure your documentation is current. For further information, email subscriptions@bsigroup.com.

#### Revisions

Our British Standards and other publications are updated by amendment or revision.

We continually improve the quality of our products and services to benefit your business. If you find an inaccuracy or ambiguity within a British Standard or other BSI publication please inform the Knowledge Centre.

#### **Useful Contacts**

#### **Customer Services**

Tel: +44 345 086 9001

**Email (orders):** orders@bsigroup.com **Email (enquiries):** cservices@bsigroup.com

#### Subscriptions

Tel: +44 345 086 9001

Email: subscriptions@bsigroup.com

#### Knowledge Centre

Tel: +44 20 8996 7004

Email: knowledgecentre@bsigroup.com

#### Copyright & Licensing

Tel: +44 20 8996 7070

Email: copyright@bsigroup.com

#### **BSI Group Headquarters**

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL UK

