

Finite Taylor Algebras, Pointing Terms and Cubed Elements

Alexander Wires

University of Waterloo
Department of Pure Math

October 5, 2013
Louisville, KY

Outline

- CSP Dichotomy on small domains
- Bounded-Width iff $SD(\wedge)$
 - New characterization for f.g $SD(\wedge)$ idempotent varieties (Barto-Kozik)
- f.g Taylor varieties (idempotent)

Outline

- CSP Dichotomy on small domains
- Bounded-Width iff $SD(\wedge)$
 - New characterization for f.g $SD(\wedge)$ idempotent varieties (Barto-Kozik)
- f.g Taylor varieties (idempotent)
- $|A| = 2$ (Schaefer[1978]-Jeavons[1998])
- $|A| = 3$ (Bulatov [2006])
- $|A| = 4$ (Markovic, McKenzie, et al [?])
- $|A| < 4$ relies heavily on Post[1941]
- NO G-set(polymorphism algebra is Taylor) \Rightarrow every 2-element subalgebra has

Outline

- CSP Dichotomy on small domains
- Bounded-Width iff $SD(\wedge)$
 - New characterization for f.g $SD(\wedge)$ idempotent varieties (Barto-Kozik)
- f.g Taylor varieties (idempotent)
- $|A| = 2$ (Schaefer[1978]-Jeavons[1998])
- $|A| = 3$ (Bulatov [2006])
- $|A| = 4$ (Markovic, McKenzie, et al [?])
- $|A| < 4$ relies heavily on Post[1941]
- NO G-set(polymorphism algebra is Taylor) \Rightarrow every 2-element subalgebra has
 - constant operation
 - semilattice
 - majority
 - affine

3-element Taylor Algebras

Let $\mathbf{A} = \{a, b, c\}$ idempotent and $\theta = \{[a, b], [c]\} \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$.

- \mathbf{A}/θ is affine
 - $[a, b]$ affine
 - $[a, b]$ semilatt
 - $[a, b]$ majority
- \mathbf{A}/θ has semilatt, or majority.
 - $[a, b]$ affine
 - $[a, b]$ semilatt
 - $[a, b]$ majority
- \mathbf{A} simple: reduce relations to 2-element domains, other characterizations of subpowers
 - non-singleton subalgebras - Post[1941]
 - strictly simple - Szendrei[1990]

With a Different Lens

Absorption, small generating sets, algebraic characterization of consistency($BW \Leftrightarrow SD(\wedge)$)

- \mathbf{A}/θ is affine
 - $[a, b]$ affine (few subpowers)
 - $\{a\} \triangleleft [a, b]$ but $\{b\} \not\triangleleft [a, b]$ (Malcev on Top - Maroti)
 - $\{a\}, \{b\} \triangleleft [a, b]$ (few subpowers)
- \mathbf{A}/θ absorption: $\{c\} \triangleleft \mathbf{A}$ or $[a, b] \triangleleft \mathbf{A}$
 - $[a, b]$ affine (Markovic, McKenzie - Semilatt over malcev) or (few subpowers)
 - bounded-width
- \mathbf{A} simple
 - absorption - (2,3)-consistency output nicely decomposes (Prague Strategy) - induction smaller domains
 - no absorption - in $SD(\wedge)$ variety (2,3)-consistency output nicely decomposes (Prague Strategy) - smaller domains

Larger Domains

Larger Domains

- Cannot rely on “complete” classification of clones
- But “some” classification of finitely related clones
 - few subpowers (many contributors)
 - $SD(\wedge)???$
- Strictly simple (Szendrei[1990])- arbitrary finite domains
- Absorption - works well inductively

Larger Domains

- Cannot rely on “complete” classification of clones
- But “some” classification of finitely related clones
 - few subpowers (many contributors)
 - $SD(\wedge)$???
- Strictly simple (Szendrei[1990])- arbitrary finite domains
- Absorption - works well inductively
 - new algorithm conservative CSP (Barto[2010])
 - Absorption/no Absorption \rightarrow decompose Prague strategies($SD(\wedge) \Rightarrow BW$)
 - $a \prec_m b$ iff $m(\bar{x})$ is a cube term for (a, b) evaluating to a
 - $a \prec_m x, \forall x \in \mathbf{B} \triangleleft \mathbf{A} \Rightarrow a \prec_{m \star r} x, \forall x \in \mathbf{A}$

Pointed elements

Definition

A term $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ *points to* a if $\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ such that $\forall i \leq n$,

$$p(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_i, \dots, a_n) = a$$

The element a is *pointed* and the term $t(\bar{x})$ is a *pointing term*.

Pointed elements

Definition

A term $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ *points to* a if $\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ such that $\forall i \leq n$,

$$p(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_i, \dots, a_n) = a$$

The element a is *pointed* and the term $t(\bar{x})$ is a *pointing term*.

Lemma

(Barto, Kozik) Let \mathbf{A} be a finite simple algebra with no proper absorbing subalgebra in a $SD(\wedge)$ variety. Then for every $a \in A$ there exists a term which points to a .

Let $\text{Pt}\mathbf{A}$ denote the set of pointed elements.

Pointed elements

Definition

A term $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ *points to* a if $\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ such that $\forall i \leq n$,

$$p(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_i, \dots, a_n) = a$$

The element a is *pointed* and the term $t(\bar{x})$ is a *pointing term*.

Lemma

(Barto, Kozik) Let \mathbf{A} be a finite simple algebra with no proper absorbing subalgebra in a $SD(\wedge)$ variety. Then for every $a \in A$ there exists a term which points to a .

Let $\text{Pt } \mathbf{A}$ denote the set of pointed elements.

- $B \triangleleft A \Rightarrow \text{Pt } \mathbf{B} \subseteq \text{Pt } \mathbf{A}$

Hereditary Characterization

Theorem

(B,K) A finite idempotent algebra \mathbf{A} generates a $SD(\wedge)$ variety iff every $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A}$ has pointed elements.

Hereditary Characterization

Theorem

(B, K) A finite idempotent algebra \mathbf{A} generates a $SD(\wedge)$ variety iff every $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A}$ has pointed elements.

Lemma

(B, K) Let \mathbf{A} be finite idempotent Taylor algebra. If \mathbf{A} is without proper absorption, then $\exists t(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ s.t. $\forall a, b \in A, i \leq m, \exists a_1, \dots, a_m$ s.t.

$$t(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_m) = b$$

So if $p(\bar{x})$ points to some $a \in A$, then $t * p$ satisfies

$$\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq mn} (\forall b) (\exists a_1, \dots, a_{mn}) [t * p(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{mn}) = \{b\}]$$

on pilgrimage

Definition

An element $a \in A$ is *cubed* if $\exists t(x_1, \dots, x_n), (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, subsets C_1, \dots, C_k of $[n]$ with $\bigcup C_i = [n]$ s.t.

$$t(b_1, \dots, b_n) = a \quad \text{whenever}$$

- $|\{i : a_i \neq b_i\}| = C_j$ and $|\{b_i : i \in C_j\}| = 1$ for some j

The tuple $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is called the *base* of a , the collection C_1, \dots, C_k an *index cover*, and t is called a *pointing cube-term*.

on pilgrimage

Definition

An element $a \in A$ is *cubed* if $\exists t(x_1, \dots, x_n), (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, subsets C_1, \dots, C_k of $[n]$ with $\bigcup C_i = [n]$ s.t.

$$t(b_1, \dots, b_n) = a \quad \text{whenever}$$

- $|\{i : a_i \neq b_i\}| = C_j$ and $|\{b_i : i \in C_j\}| = 1$ for some j

The tuple $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is called the *base* of a , the collection C_1, \dots, C_k an *index cover*, and t is called a *pointing cube-term*.

- pointing term = pointing cube-term where index cover is collection of singletons.
- The index cover C_1, \dots, C_k can be pair-wise incomparable under inclusion.

Subalgebra of cubed elements

Let $\text{CPt}\mathbf{A}$ be the set of cubed elements.

Lemma

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} be algebras and $\phi \in \text{hom}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$. The following hold.

- $\text{CPt}\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{A}$
- $\phi(\text{CPt}\mathbf{A}) \leq \text{CPt}\phi(\mathbf{A})$
- $\text{Pt}\mathbf{A} \leq \text{CPt}\mathbf{A}$
- $\mathbf{B} \triangleleft \mathbf{A} \Rightarrow \text{CPt}\mathbf{B} \leq \text{CPt}\mathbf{A}$

Subalgebra of cubed elements

Let $\text{CPt}\mathbf{A}$ be the set of cubed elements.

Lemma

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} be algebras and $\phi \in \text{hom}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$. The following hold.

- $\text{CPt}\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{A}$
 - $\phi(\text{CPt}\mathbf{A}) \leq \text{CPt}\phi(\mathbf{A})$
 - $\text{Pt}\mathbf{A} \leq \text{CPt}\mathbf{A}$
 - $\mathbf{B} \triangleleft \mathbf{A} \Rightarrow \text{CPt}\mathbf{B} \leq \text{CPt}\mathbf{A}$
- Strongly abelian algebras cannot have cubed elements

Subalgebra of cubed elements

Let $\text{CPt}\mathbf{A}$ be the set of cubed elements.

Lemma

Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} be algebras and $\phi \in \text{hom}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$. The following hold.

- $\text{CPt}\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{A}$
- $\phi(\text{CPt}\mathbf{A}) \leq \text{CPt}\phi(\mathbf{A})$
- $\text{Pt}\mathbf{A} \leq \text{CPt}\mathbf{A}$
- $\mathbf{B} \triangleleft \mathbf{A} \Rightarrow \text{CPt}\mathbf{B} \leq \text{CPt}\mathbf{A}$

- Strongly abelian algebras cannot have cubed elements

Theorem

A finite idempotent algebra \mathbf{A} generates a Taylor variety iff every $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A}$ has cubed elements

What is this good for?

Theorem

A finite idempotent algebra \mathbf{A} generates a Taylor variety iff every $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A}$ has cubed elements

So if \mathbf{A} is without proper absorption, then $\exists s(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ s.t.

$$\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\forall b)(\exists \bar{a})(\exists i \in C \subset [n])[s(\bar{a})_C[x, \dots, x] = \{b\}]$$

4,5,6-elements(scribblings)

- Similar traction using

4,5,6-elements(scribblings)

- Similar traction using absorption, star product of special terms, Maclev on Top, Semilattice over Malcev, few subpowers

4,5,6-elements(scribblings)

- Similar traction using absorption, star product of special terms, Maclev on Top, Semilattice over Malcev, few subpowers
- Modifying Bulatov with Prague strategies
 - walking absorption around small potatoes of prague strategy produces
 - new absorption
 - new smaller subalgebras
 - constraint relations of very restrictive kinds
- An unexpected problem.....

4,5,6-elements(scribblings)

- Similar traction using absorption, star product of special terms, Maclev on Top, Semilattice over Malcev, few subpowers
- Modifying Bulatov with Prague strategies
 - walking absorption around small potatoes of prague strategy produces
 - new absorption
 - new smaller subalgebras
 - constraint relations of very restrictive kinds
- An unexpected problem.....
 - Failure to anticipate time demands of teaching 150+ Calculus class