

# How to decide absorption

Alexandr Kazda  
(joint work with Libor Barto)

Department of Mathematics  
Vanderbilt University

October 5th 2013

# What is absorption?

## Definition (Libor Barto, Marcin Kozik)

Let  $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A}$  be algebras. We say that  $\mathbf{B}$  **absorbs**  $\mathbf{A}$  if there exists a term  $t$  in  $\mathbf{A}$  such that for any  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B, a \in A$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}t(a, a, a, \dots, a) &= a \\t(a, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n) &\in B \\t(b_1, a, b_3, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n) &\in B \\&\vdots \\t(b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_{n-1}, a) &\in B\end{aligned}$$

# Ok, but what *is* absorption?

- If  $0$  is the minimal element of a finite semilattice  $(L, \wedge)$ , then  $\{0\}$  absorbs  $L$ ; absorption term is  $t(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \wedge x_2$ .
- If  $\mathbf{A}$  is an algebra with a majority term  $m$ , then every singleton is an absorbing subalgebra; absorption term is  $m$ .
- If  $\mathbf{A}$  is any algebra, then always  $\mathbf{A} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{A}$ .
- If  $\mathbf{A}$  is an abelian group, then  $\mathbf{A}$  has no proper absorbing subalgebra.

# Deciding absorption

- Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an idempotent finite algebra. Then  $\mathbf{A}$  has an NU term iff every singleton  $\{a\}$  absorbs  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- Miklós Maróti, Libor Barto, Dmitriy Zhuk: We can decide whether a finite algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  has an NU term.
- Problem: Given  $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A}$ , can we decide if  $\mathbf{B} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{A}$ ?
- Libor Barto, Jakub Bulín: Yes, if  $\mathbf{A}$  is finitely related.
- What about if  $\mathbf{A}$  is given by a finitely many operations instead?

- Let  $\mathbf{B} \sqsubseteq \mathbf{A}$  with absorption term  $t$ .
- We call  $(C, D)$  a **blocker** for  $\mathbf{B}$  if
  - $\emptyset \neq D \subset C$ ,
  - $C \cap B \neq \emptyset$ ,
  - $D \cap B = \emptyset$ ,
  - $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C^n : \exists i, x_i \in D\} \leq A^n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- If  $\mathbf{B} \sqsubseteq \mathbf{A}$ , then there is no blocker for  $\mathbf{B}$ .

# No blockers $\Rightarrow$ absorption?

- Given idempotent  $\mathbf{A}$  with finitely many operations, we can test if there are no blockers for  $\mathbf{B}$ .
- However, we can have no blockers and no absorption: Consider  $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbb{Z}_2, m)$ , where  $m(x, y, z) = x + y + z \pmod{2}$ .

- Weaker notion of absorption inspired by terms for congruence distributivity.
- Let  $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A}$ . Then  $\mathbf{B} \trianglelefteq_J \mathbf{A}$  if there exist idempotent terms  $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n$  such that:

$$\forall i = 0, \dots, n, d_i(B, A, B) \subset B$$

$$d_0(x, y, z) = x$$

$$d_i(x, y, y) = d_{i+1}(x, y, y) \text{ for } i \text{ even}$$

$$d_i(x, x, y) = d_{i+1}(x, x, y) \text{ for } i \text{ odd}$$

$$d_n(x, y, z) = z.$$

# Putting it all together



## Theorem

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite idempotent algebra,  $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{A}$ . Then  $\mathbf{B} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{A}$  iff there is no blocker for  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{B} \trianglelefteq_J \mathbf{A}$ .

## Corollary

We can decide  $\mathbf{B} \trianglelefteq \mathbf{A}$  algorithmically for idempotent algebras.



# Nonidempotent algebras

- If  $\mathbf{A}$  is not idempotent, we would also like to decide to absorption.
- Problem with taking the idempotent reduct: We might lose the generators of the clone of  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- Imitating some of Dmitriy Zhuk's ideas should give us an algorithm anyway. . .

Thank you for your attention.