

LOCAL DIFFERENCE TERMS

Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be an $(n+1)$ -tuple of ternary terms, where $p_0(x, y, z) \approx x$ and $p_n(x, y, z) \approx z$, the first and third ternary projections, respectively. Let $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \dots \rangle$ be an algebra. In [VW14], Valeriote and Willard define an **A**-triple for \mathbf{p} to be a triple (a, b, i) such that $a, b \in A$ and $p_i(a, b, b) = p_{i+1}(a, a, b)$. They use this to derive methods for deciding for a given k whether an idempotent variety is k -permutable. This inspired us to develop the concept of a “local difference term” that we hope will be useful for the purpose of deciding, given a finite idempotent algebra \mathbf{A} , whether the variety generated by \mathbf{A} has a difference term.

Let $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \dots \rangle$ be an algebra, fix $a, b \in A$ and $i \in \{0, 1\}$. An **A**-local difference term for (a, b, i) is a ternary term p satisfying the following:

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{if } i = 0, \text{ then } a [\text{Cg}^{\mathbf{A}}(a, b), \text{Cg}^{\mathbf{A}}(a, b)] p(a, b, b); \\ &\text{if } i = 1, \text{ then } p(a, a, b) = b. \end{aligned}$$

When often drop the \mathbf{A} when the algebra is clear from context. For example, we write Cg in place of $\text{Cg}^{\mathbf{A}}$, and call the term p above a local difference term for (a, b, i) . If p satisfies (0.1) for all triples in some subset $S \subseteq A \times A \times \{0, 1\}$, then we call p a *local difference term for S* .

Let $\mathcal{S} = A \times A \times \{0, 1\}$ and suppose that every pair $((a_0, b_0, \chi_0), (a_1, b_1, \chi_1))$ in \mathcal{S}^2 has a local difference term. That is, for each pair $((a_0, b_0, \chi_0), (a_1, b_1, \chi_1))$, there exists p such that for each $i \in \{0, 1\}$ we have

$$(0.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &a_i [\text{Cg}(a_i, b_i), \text{Cg}(a_i, b_i)] p(a_i, b_i, b_i), \text{ if } \chi_i = 0, \text{ and} \\ &p(a_i, a_i, b_i) = b_i, \text{ if } \chi_i = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Under these hypothesis we will prove that every subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ has a local difference term. That is, there is a single term p that works (i.e., satisfies (0.2)) for all $(a_i, b_i, \chi_i) \in S$. The statement and proof of this new result follows.

Lemma. Let \mathcal{V} be an idempotent variety and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$. Define $\mathcal{S} = A \times A \times \{0, 1\}$, and suppose that every pair $((a_0, b_0, \chi_0), (a_1, b_1, \chi_1)) \in \mathcal{S}^2$ has a local difference term. Then every subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, has a local difference term.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the size of S . In the base case, $|S| = 2$, the claim holds by assumption. Fix $n > 2$ and assume that every subset of \mathcal{S} of size $2 \leq k \leq n$ has a local difference term. Let $S = \{(a_0, b_0, \chi_0), (a_1, b_1, \chi_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n, \chi_n)\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, so that $|S| = n + 1$. We prove S has a local difference term.

Since $|S| \geq 3$ and $\chi_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for all i , there must exist indices $i \neq j$ such that $\chi_i = \chi_j$. Assume without loss of generality that one of these indices is $j = 0$. Define the set $S' = S \setminus \{(a_0, b_0, \chi_0)\}$. Since $|S'| < |S|$, the set S' has a local difference term p . We split the remainder of the proof into two cases. In the first case $\chi_0 = 0$ and in the second $\chi_0 = 1$.

Case 1: $\chi_0 = 0$.

Assume $\chi_0 = 0$ and, without loss of generality, suppose $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \dots = \chi_k = 1$, and $\chi_{k+1} = \chi_{k+2} = \dots = \chi_n = 0$. Define

$$T = \{(a_0, p(a_0, b_0, b_0), 0), (a_1, b_1, 1), (a_2, b_2, 1), \dots, (a_k, b_k, 1)\}.$$

Let t be a local difference term for T . Define

$$d(x, y, z) = t(x, p(x, y, y), p(x, y, z)).$$

Since $\chi_0 = 0$, we want $d(a_0, b_0, b_0) [\text{Cg}(a_0, b_0), \text{Cg}(a_0, b_0)] a_0$. We have

$$(0.3) \quad d(a_0, b_0, b_0) = t(a_0, p(a_0, b_0, b_0), p(a_0, b_0, b_0)) [\tau, \tau] a_0,$$

where $\tau := \text{Cg}(a_0, p(a_0, b_0, b_0))$. Notice that

$$(a_0, p(a_0, b_0, b_0)) = (p(a_0, a_0, a_0), p(a_0, b_0, b_0)) \in \text{Cg}(a_0, b_0),$$

so $\tau \leq \text{Cg}(a_0, b_0)$. Therefore, $[\tau, \tau] \leq [\text{Cg}(a_0, b_0), \text{Cg}(a_0, b_0)]$, by monotonicity of the commutator. It follows from this and (0.3) that $d(a_0, b_0, b_0) [\text{Cg}(a_0, b_0), \text{Cg}(a_0, b_0)] a_0$, as desired.

For $1 \leq i \leq k$ we have $\chi_i = 1$, so we want $d(a_i, a_i, b_i) = b_i$. Indeed,

$$(0.4) \quad d(a_i, a_i, b_i) = t(a_i, p(a_i, a_i, a_i), p(a_i, a_i, b_i)) = t(a_i, a_i, b_i) = b_i.$$

The first equality in (0.4) holds by definition of d ; the second, because p is an idempotent local difference term for S' ; the third, because t is a local difference term for T .

The remaining triples in our original set S have index j satisfying $k < j \leq n$ and $\chi_j = 0$. Thus, for these triples we want $d(a_j, b_j, b_j) [\text{Cg}(a_j, b_j), \text{Cg}(a_j, b_j)] a_j$. Indeed, since p is a local difference term for S' , we have $p(a_j, b_j, b_j) [\text{Cg}(a_j, b_j), \text{Cg}(a_j, b_j)] a_j$, so

$$d(a_j, b_j, b_j) = t(a_j, p(a_j, b_j, b_j), p(a_j, b_j, b_j)) = [\text{Cg}(a_j, b_j), \text{Cg}(a_j, b_j)] t(a_j, a_j, a_j) = a_j,$$

as desired.

Case 2: $\chi_0 = 1$.

Assume $\chi_0 = 1$ and, without loss of generality, suppose $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \dots = \chi_k = 0$, and $\chi_{k+1} = \chi_{k+2} = \dots = \chi_n = 1$. Define

$$T = \{(p(a_0, a_0, b_0), b_0, 1), (a_1, b_1, 0), (a_2, b_2, 0), \dots, (a_k, b_k, 0)\}.$$

Let t be a local difference term for T . Define

$$d(x, y, z) = t(p(x, y, z), p(y, y, z), z).$$

Since $\chi_0 = 1$, we want $d(a_0, a_0, b_0) = b_0$. Indeed, by the definition of d in this case,

$$d(a_0, a_0, b_0) = t(p(a_0, a_0, b_0), p(a_0, a_0, b_0), b_0) = b_0.$$

The last equality holds since t is a local difference term for T , thus, for $(p(a_0, a_0, b_0), b_0, 1)$.

For $1 \leq i \leq k$ we have $\chi_i = 0$, so we want $d(a_i, b_i, b_i) [\text{Cg}(a_i, b_i), \text{Cg}(a_i, b_i)] a_i$. Again, starting from the definition of d and using idempotence of p , we have

$$d(a_i, b_i, b_i) = t(p(a_i, b_i, b_i), p(b_i, b_i, b_i), b_i) = t(p(a_i, b_i, b_i), b_i, b_i)$$

Next, since p is a local difference term for S' , we have

$$t(p(a_i, b_i, b_i), b_i, b_i) [\text{Cg}(a_i, b_i), \text{Cg}(a_i, b_i)] t(a_i, b_i, b_i).$$

Finally $t(a_i, b_i, b_i) [\text{Cg}(a_i, b_i), \text{Cg}(a_i, b_i)] a_i$, as desired.

The remaining elements of our original set S have indices j satisfying $k < j \leq n$ and $\chi_j = 1$. For these we want $d(a_j, a_j, b_j) = b_j$. Indeed, since p is a local difference term for S' , we have $p(a_j, a_j, b_j) = b_j$, so

$$d(a_j, a_j, b_j) = t(p(a_j, a_j, b_j), p(a_j, a_j, b_j), b_i) = t(b_j, b_j, b_j) = b_j,$$

as desired. □

REFERENCES

- [VW14] M. Valeriote and R. Willard. Idempotent n -permutable varieties. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 46(4):870–880, 2014. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/blms/bdu044>, doi:10.1112/blms/bdu044.

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

E-mail address: `williamdemeo@gmail.com`

E-mail address: `ralph@math.hawaii.edu`