# THE COMMUTATOR AS FIXED POINT OF A CLOSURE OPERATOR

## WILLIAM DEMEO

ABSTRACT. In this note we elaborate on the following remark of Keith Kearnes [Kea95, p. 930] giving an alternate description of the commutator (here  $\beta$  is a congruence of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A} \times_{\beta} \mathbf{A}$  denotes the subalgebra of  $\mathbf{A}^2$  with universe  $\beta$ ):

"Let  $\Delta_{\beta,\alpha}$  be the congruence on  $\mathbf{A} \times_{\beta} \mathbf{A}$  generated by

$$\{\langle (x,x),(y,y)\rangle \mid (x,y) \in \alpha\}.$$

Call a subset  $G \subseteq A^2$   $\Delta$ -closed if

$$\Delta_{\beta,\alpha} \circ G \circ \Delta_{\beta,\alpha} \subseteq G$$
.

When  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are reflexive, compatible relations, then  $[\alpha, \beta]$  is the smallest subset  $\gamma \subseteq A^2$  such that (i)  $\gamma$  is a congruence of **A** and (ii)  $\gamma$  is  $\Delta$ -closed."

#### 1. Introduction

To be honest, the genesis of these notes was my futile attempt to interpret the expression  $\Delta_{\beta,\alpha} \circ G \circ \Delta_{\beta,\alpha}$ . Noting that  $\Delta_{\beta,\alpha} \subseteq (A \times A)^2$  while  $G \subseteq A^2$ , I wasn't aware of a standard means of composing relations of such different arities. In this note I try to reconcile this by giving an alternative "closure" operation that acheives the same end and verifies Keith's assertion that "there is a useful alternate description of  $[\alpha, \beta]$ ." Indeed, the commutator is a fixed point of some closure operator (i.e., closed set), and the closure operator involves the relation  $\Delta_{\beta,\alpha}$  defined above.

1.1. **Definitions.** For an algebra **A** with congruence relations  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \text{Con } \mathbf{A}$ , let  $\underline{\beta}$  denote the subalgebra of  $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$  with universe  $\beta$ . Let  $D = \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$  and  $D_{\alpha}^2 = \{((a, a), (b, b)) \in D^2 \mid a \alpha b\}$ . Finally, let  $\Delta_{\beta,\alpha} = \text{Cg}^{\underline{\beta}}(D_{\alpha}^2)$  be the congruence on  $\underline{\beta}$  generated by the set  $D_{\alpha}^2$ . The congruence class of  $\Delta_{\beta,\alpha}$  that contains (b, b') is denoted and defined as follows:

$$(b,b')/\Delta_{\beta,\alpha} = \{(a,a') \in \beta \mid (a,a') \Delta_{\beta,\alpha} (b,b')\}.$$

Let  $\Phi_{\beta,\alpha} \colon \mathcal{P}(\beta) \to \mathcal{P}(\beta)$  be the function that takes each  $B \subseteq \beta$  to

(1.1) 
$$\Phi_{\beta,\alpha}(B) = \bigcup_{(b,b')\in B} (b,b')/\Delta_{\beta,\alpha}.$$

## 2. Fixed Point Lemma

**Lemma 2.1.** Let **A** be an algebra with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \text{Con}(\mathbf{A})$ . If  $\Phi := \Phi_{\beta,\alpha}$  is defined as in (1.1), then

- (i)  $\Phi$  is a closure operator on  $\mathfrak{P}(\beta)$ ;
- (ii)  $[\alpha, \beta]$  is the least fixed point of  $\Phi$ .

Date: March 1, 2017.

W. DEMEO

Proof. (i) Fix  $B \subseteq \beta$ . We must prove the following: (a)  $B \subseteq \Phi(B)$ ; (b)  $B \subseteq C \Rightarrow \Phi(B) \subseteq \Phi(C)$ ; and (c)  $\Phi(\Phi(B)) = \Phi(B)$ . If  $(b,b') \in B$ , then  $(b,b') \in \Phi(B)$  since the operation (1.1) does not discard any of the pairs that were already in B. As for (b), if  $(a,a') \in \Phi(B)$ , then there exists  $(b,b') \in B \subseteq C$  such that  $(a,a') \Delta_{\beta,\alpha}(b,b')$ . Since (b,b') belongs to C we have  $(a,a') \in \Phi(C)$  as well. As for (c), it clearly follows from (a) and (b) that  $\Phi(B) \subseteq \Phi(\Phi(B))$ , so we prove the reverse inclusion. Let  $(d,d') \in \Phi(\Phi(B))$ . Then  $(c,c') \Delta_{\beta,\alpha}(d,d')$  for some  $(c,c') \in \Phi(B)$ , which implies  $(b,b') \Delta_{\beta,\alpha}(c,c')$  for some  $(b,b') \in B$ . By transitivity of  $\Delta_{\beta,\alpha}$  we conclude that  $(d,d') \in \Phi(B)$ , as desired.

(ii) Since  $[\alpha, \beta] \in \mathcal{P}(\beta)$  we have  $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq \Phi([\alpha, \beta])$ , by (i). We prove the reverse inclusion. If  $(c, c') \in \Phi([\alpha, \beta])$ , then (1.1) implies there exists  $(b, b') \in [\alpha, \beta]$  such that

$$(2.1) (b,b') \Delta_{\beta,\alpha}(c,c').$$

From the definition of  $\Delta_{\beta,\alpha}$  and Mal'tsev's theorem on congruence generation, (2.1) holds if and only if  $\exists z_i \ \beta \ z'_i \ (0 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ ,  $\exists x_i \ \alpha \ y_i \ (0 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ ,  $\exists f_i \in \operatorname{Pol}_1(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}) \ (0 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$  such that  $(b,b') = (z_0,z'_0)$  and  $(z_n,z'_n) = (c,c')$ , and

$$\{(b,b'),(z_1,z_1')\} = \{f_0(x_0,x_0),f_0(y_0,y_0)\}\$$

(2.3) 
$$\{(z_1, z_1'), (z_2, z_2')\} = \{f_1(x_1, x_1), f_1(y_1, y_1)\}\$$

:

$$\{(z_{n-1}, z'_{n-1}), (c, c')\} = \{f_{n-1}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), f_{n-1}(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1})\}$$

For each  $(0 \le i < n)$ ,  $f_i \in Pol_1(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A})$ , which means

$$f_i(x, x') = g_i^{\beta}((x, x'), (a_1, a_1'), \dots, (a_k, a_k')) = (g_i^{\mathbf{A}}(x, \mathbf{a}), g_i^{\mathbf{A}}(x, \mathbf{a}')),$$

for some  $k, g_i \in \mathsf{Clo}_{k+1}(\mathbf{A})$ , and constants tuples  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_k)$  and  $\mathbf{a}' = (a'_1, \ldots, a'_k)$  such that  $a_i \beta a'_i (1 \leq i \leq k)$ . By (2.2), either

$$(b,b') = (g_0(x_0,\mathbf{a}), g_0(x_0,\mathbf{a}'))$$
 and  $(z_1, z_1') = (g_0(y_0,\mathbf{a}), g_0(y_0,\mathbf{a}')),$ 

or vice-versa. Since  $x_0 \alpha y_0$  and  $a_i \beta a_i'$   $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ , the  $\alpha, \beta$ -term condition entails

$$g_0(x_0, \mathbf{a}) [\alpha, \beta] g_0(x_0, \mathbf{a}') \iff g_0(y_0, \mathbf{a}) [\alpha, \beta] g_0(y_0, \mathbf{a}').$$

This and (2.2) yield  $(b,b') \in [\alpha,\beta]$  iff  $(z_1,z_1') \in [\alpha,\beta]$ . Similarly (2.3) and  $x_1 \alpha y_1$  imply  $(z_1,z_1') \in [\alpha,\beta]$  iff  $(z_2,z_2') \in [\alpha,\beta]$ . Inductively, we arrive at  $(b,b') \in [\alpha,\beta]$  iff  $(c,c') \in [\alpha,\beta]$ , as desired.

We have thus proved  $[\alpha, \beta]$  is a fixed point of  $\Phi$ . In other words,  $[\alpha, \beta]$  is a " $\Phi$ -closed" subset of  $\beta$ . (A set  $B \subseteq \beta$  is called  $\Phi$ -closed provided  $\Phi(B) \subseteq B$ .) Recall, if f is a monotone increasing function defined on a complete poset  $\langle P, \leqslant \rangle$ , then the least fixed point of f is  $\bigwedge \{ p \in P \mid fp \leqslant p \}$ . Thus, Lemma 2.1 (ii) asserts that

(2.4) 
$$[\alpha, \beta] = \bigwedge \{ B \subseteq \beta \mid \Phi(B) \subseteq B \}.$$

We already proved  $[\alpha, \beta]$  is  $\Phi$ -closed, so it remains to check for every  $\Phi$ -closed subset  $B \subseteq \beta$  that  $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq B$ . Fix a  $\Phi$ -closed subset  $B \subseteq \beta$ . It suffices to prove  $\mathsf{C}(\alpha, \beta; \Phi(B))$ , since this implies  $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq \Phi(B) \subseteq B$ . Thus, our goal is to establish the  $\alpha, \beta$ -term condition.

Let  $p \in \operatorname{Pol}_{k+1}(\mathbf{A})$  and  $a \alpha a'$  and  $c_i \beta c'_i$   $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ ; suppose  $p(a, \mathbf{c}) \Phi(B) p(a, \mathbf{c}')$ . We prove that these hypotheses entail the following relation:

(2.5) 
$$p(a', \mathbf{c}) \Phi(B) p(a', \mathbf{c}').$$

By definition of  $\Phi$ , (2.5) is equivalent to the existence of some pair  $(b, b') \in B$  such that  $(b, b') \Delta_{\beta,\alpha}$   $(p(a', \mathbf{c}), p(a', \mathbf{c}'))$ . Notice that the pair  $(p(a, \mathbf{c}), p(a, \mathbf{c}'))$  belongs to B since  $(p(a, \mathbf{c}), p(a, \mathbf{c}')) \in \Phi(B) \subseteq B$ . Also,  $c_i \beta c'_i (0 \le i < k)$  implies

$$((a, a), (c_1, c'_1), (c_1, c'_1), \dots, (c_k, c'_k)) \in \beta^{k+1}$$
 and  $((a', a'), (c_1, c'_1), (c_1, c'_1), \dots, (c_k, c'_k)) \in \beta^{k+1}$ .

Therefore,

(2.6) 
$$p^{\beta}((a,a),(c_1,c_1'),(c_1,c_1'),\dots,(c_k,c_k')) = (p^{\mathbf{A}}(a,\mathbf{c}),p^{\mathbf{A}}(a,\mathbf{c}')) \in \beta$$
 and

(2.7) 
$$p^{\beta}((a', a'), (c_1, c'_1), (c_1, c'_1), \dots, (c_k, c'_k)) = (p^{\mathbf{A}}(a', \mathbf{c}), p^{\mathbf{A}}(a', \mathbf{c}')) \in \beta.$$

Finally,  $a \alpha a'$  implies  $p(a, \mathbf{c}) \alpha p(a', \mathbf{c})$ , and this—together with (2.6) and (2.7)—proves the pair  $(p(a, \mathbf{c}), p(a, \mathbf{c}')), (p(a', \mathbf{c}), p(a', \mathbf{c}'))$  belongs to  $\Delta_{\beta,\alpha}$ . Since  $(p(a, \mathbf{c}), p(a, \mathbf{c}')) \in B$ , this proves  $(p(a', \mathbf{c}), p(a', \mathbf{c}')) \in \Phi(B)$ , completing the proof.

### References

[Kea95] Keith A. Kearnes. Varieties with a difference term. J. Algebra, 177(3):926-960, 1995. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1995.1334, doi:10.1006/jabr.1995.1334.

University of Hawaii

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: williamdemeo@gmail.com}$