

- **Basic Templates**

- **Intro**

- **C++ is a strongly typed language - there is strict set of rules on what types that variables can have, and when one type can be used as another type.**

- *e.g. conversion rules:*

- `my_int = my_double;`
- `my_int = sqrt (int_var);`
- `Thing * = pointer_to_gizmo; // illegal`

- **C++ is also statically typed - types of variables are known and fixed at compile time.**

- *Enables compiler to generate very fast and efficient code*
- *Most programming languages work this way.*

- **Compare to LISP**

- *Lisp is a language that is dynamically typed; every "variable" can have any kind of value at all - numbers, strings, lists, even code (since code is a list of expressions).*
- *Every value is actually an object that carries its type with it - so at run time, every operator or function knows what to do with it; if it turns out to be the wrong type, you get a run-time error*
- **Example - playing around with variable values in lisp**

```
(defun example()
  (let (x y z)
    (setq x 5)
    (print x)
    (setq y 10)
    (print y)
    (setq z (+ x y))
    (print z)
    ;;(setq z (append x y)) ;; comment out
```

```
(setq x (list 'a 'b))
(print x)
(setq y (list 15 "foo"))
(print y)
(setq z (append x y))
(print z)
```

```
(setq z (+ x y))
```

```
))
```

```
;output:
```

```
5
```

```
10
```

```
15
```

```
> Error: value 5 is not of the expected type LIST.
```

```
> While executing: CCL::APPEND-2
```

```
> Type Command-. to abort.
```

commenting out append of numbers

```

5
10
15
(A B)
(15 "foo")
(A B 15 "foo")
> Error: value (A B) is not of the expected type NUMBER.
> While executing: CCL::+-2
> Type Command-. to abort.

```

Can't add lists, etc

- *But this run-time checking can be very slow. Statically typed is faster*
- **But strong and static typing has a serious pitfall - impossible to use the same code to work on different types**
  - *Example of how clumsy this can be:*
    - ```
void swap (int& a, int& b)
{
    int temp = a;
    a = b;
    b = temp;
}
```
- **Will this work for doubles?**
  - *swap(double\_var1, double\_var2);*
  - *A conversion from double to int is allowed (though it loses information)*
  - *But the function can't be called, because a reference to an int can't be set to refer to a double - same concept as disallowed pointer conversions.*
- **Will this work for C strings?**
  - *No, because pointers will not be converted to integers*
- **What about for string objects?**
  - *No - compiler will reject because a string can't be converted into an integer*
- **Have to write a different version of swap for every type - what a pain!**
- **Code will be fast and efficient, but are we doomed to writing it out over and over again?**
- **Generic Programming and Templates**
  - *Concept of generic programming - writing code that applies to all kinds of types, and letting compiler modify it as needed for the type we want.*
  - *in C++, this is done with TEMPLATES*
    - you write the code using a template, and specifying a TYPE PARAMETER (one or more)
    - The compiler generates the appropriate code for the TYPE PARAMETER when it is needed
  - *Concept of the template:*
    - A recipe for the compiler to follow to generate some code for you.
    - Both function templates and class templates
- **C++ templates can be extremely sophisticated**
  - *Std. Lib. uses them very heavily - almost all templates, in fact*
  - *Very fancy template programming is now the cutting-edge concept ...*

- *But simple use of templates is easy and worth knowing*
  - For your own code
  - To help understand how to use Std. Library code

- **Function templates**

- **Function template approach:**

- *You define the function template*
- *When your code uses the function, the compiler generates the suitable definition of the function - INSTANTIATING the template*
- **Compiler deduces the relevant types from the type used in the arguments of the call**
  - **A key feature of function templates - very useful in a variety of ways**
  - **Class templates have to have the types explicitly specified!**

- **function templates can be useful - StdLib is full of them, for handy & often used things - later.**

- **Template example**

- *Swap as a template: T (can be anything) is TYPE PARAMETER*

- ```
template <typename T>
void swap (T& a, T& b)
{
    T temp = a;
    a = b;
    b = temp;
}
```

- *these days, new "typename" keyword often used instead of "class" in the template declaration header*
  - the template parameter is the name of a type - always - and might not be a class type!

- *compiler must see the template definition first - before your use of it in code*

- defined at top level of a file
- often put in a header file

- *If you write:*

- `swap(my_int1, my_int2);`
- compiler will generate the code:

- ```
void swap (int& a int& b)
{int temp = a;
  a = b;
  b = temp;
}
```

- *If you write:*

- `swap(str1, str2);` // str1 and str2 are string
- compiler will generate the code:

- ```
void swap (string& a string& b)
{string temp = a;
  a = b;
  b = temp;
}
```

- **Advantage:**

- *You get to have the benefits of strong static typing*

- Compiler error checks and warnings
- Fast run speed
- *But don't have to write repetitious code.*
- **Additional detail about function templates:**
  - *Can have more than one type parameter:*
    - `template <class T1, class T2>`
    - `void print_both(T1 a, T2 b)`
      - `{ cout << a << b << endl;}`
    - if you write `print_both(my_char, my_double);`
    - compiler will create and call:
      - `void print_both(char a, double b);`
  - *After compiler instantiates the template, subject to normal rules of compilation and execution: code must be correct and make sense;*
    - For example
      - suppose class `Thing` does not have a public assignment operator
      - `swap(thing1, thing2)` would fail to compile as a result because the assignment statements would be illegal
      - code example:
 

```
template <class T>
void swapem(T &a, T &b){
    T temp = a;
    a = b;
    b = temp;
}

class Thing {
public:
    Thing(int i_, char c_) : i(i_), c(c_) {}
    int i;
    char c;
    friend ostream& operator<< (ostream&, const Thing&);
private:
    Thing& operator= (const Thing& rhs);
};

ostream& operator<< (ostream& oss, const Thing& t)
{
    oss << '[' << t.i << ", " << t.c << ']';
    return oss;
}

int main(){
    Thing thing1(1, 'A'), thing2(2, 'B');
    cout << "thing1: " << thing1 << ", thing2: " << thing2 << endl;
```

```

    swap(thing1, thing2);
    cout << "thing1: " << thing1 << ", thing2: " << thing2 << endl;
    return 0;
}

```

- main.cpp:19: error: 'Thing& Thing::operator=(const Thing&)' is private
- some template error messages can be confusing, though - lots of room for improvement in current compilers!
- g++ is actually among the better ones - parse it apart patiently - it tells you everything
- Other exaple - what does the instantiated code actually do?
  - char s1[20] = "Hello";
  - char s2[20] = " Goodbye";
  - swap (s1, s2); //?? allowed?
  - char \* p1 = s1;
  - char \* p2 = s2;
  - swap (p1, p2); ???
    - this swaps the pointers, but not the strings!
  - how would you swap the contents of the two strings?
    - swap(char \* s1, char \* s2); ???
- **What rules does the compiler follow to instantiate vs. when to use other overloaded functions:**
  - *First, compiler looks for exact type match with non-template function*
    - e.g. swap(char \* s1, char \* s2);
  - *Second, a directly applicable template*
  - *Third, do ordinary argument conversions on a non-template function*
    - e.g. print\_both(int, int)

- **Class templates**

- See `Smart_array` example
- A class template is a class definition in which member variables have parameterized types
  - e.g. `Ordered_array` of `Player *`, `String`
  - e.g. `List` of `doubles`, `Strings`, `Ordered_arrays`, etc.
- Class templates are extremely useful for container classes
  - Gives generic but type-safe containers
  - Java has a quasi-template concept as a result - but not statically typed.
- How to create a class template:
  - Build a class that has ordinary member variable data types
  - Make sure it works right.
  - Change the relevant data types to template type parameters.
  - Instantiate by giving the types
  - There you go!
- micro example of class template:
  - start with
    - ```
class Thing {  
    int x;  
    double y;  
    void defrangulate() { /* incredibly complex code */ }  
};
```
  - After fully debugging it, change to
    - ```
template <typename T1, typename T2>  
class Thing {  
    T1 x;  
    T2 y;  
    void defrangulate() { /* incredibly complex code */ }  
};
```
  - use by:
    - `Thing<int, double> thing1;`
      - compiler generates:
        - ```
class Thing {  
    int x;  
    double y;  
    void defrangulate() { /* incredibly complex code */ }  
};
```
    - `Thing<String, Item> thing2;`
      - compiler generates:
        - ```
class Thing {  
    String x;  
    Item y;
```

```
void defrangulate() {/* incredibly complex code */}
};
```

- 

- **Important issues about Class templates**

- **The name of a template class:**

- *classname<typeparameter>*
    - *classname<sometype> when instantiated*
    - *e.g. Ordered\_array was originally a non-template class that was a smart array of ints*
    - *now, a template class Ordered\_array instantiated with ints is named:*
    - *Ordered\_array<int>*
    - *must use this name everywhere we would have used the plain name before.*

- **How about class templates that use other class templates : no problem:**

- *template <typename DT>*  
*class Thing {*  
*DT data\_var;*  
*Ordered\_array<DT> data\_array;*  
*};*

- **Defining class template member functions**

- *Every member function of a class template is a function template!*
      - Even for ordinary classes, you can have member functions that are template functions!
        - Occasionally *\*very\** handy!
    - *Member functions defined inside the class declaration - no problem, same as non-template classes*
    - *Member functions defined outside the class declaration -*
      - Class name becomes the template class name in template form:
    - *Simple example:*
      - definition inside
        - ```
template <class T> class Thing {
void foo() {
    blah;
    blah;
}
};
```
      - definition outside:
        - ```
template <class T> class Thing {
void foo();
};

void Thing<T>::foo() {
    blah;
    blah;
}
```

- **Major Practical Issue: How the compiler processes templates**



- *Compiler must see the complete template definition for every translation unit that makes use of the template.*
- *Standard practice: put the complete template definition in a header file.*
  - Both classes and member functions of those classes
  - Compiler/linker work together to avoid/handle duplicated definitions with templates
  - E.g. to use `Ordered_array` template, include `Ordered_array.h`
- *Potentially very awkward - header files can get very long.*
  - Standard Library - `iostream` is actually a monster set of templates - almost all of the I/O library is actually being read in, in near source form
  - Why - makes it easy for the same code to be used for both normal and wide characters!
    - Not of a lot of use to us, though!
  - It is possible to separate code into `.h` and `.cpp` files, but is not done very often, and is not as flexible
  - Future compilers may make it better - "export" keyword was supposed to help
    - But actually, looking like export is not as good an idea as everybody was expecting!
- **Dependent types - occasional issue**
  - *Suppose you are writing a template with `T` as the type parameter*
    - `template <typename T>`
  - *and somewhere in the middle of it you refer to "foo" that is in the type given by `T`*
    - `T::foo`
  - *the type of `foo` depends on `T` - it is a dependent type.*
  - *What is `foo`? Compiler can't tell just from `T::foo` because it doesn't know what `T` is yet.*
  - *On certain occasions, the compiler will complain because of the ambiguity. Usually `foo` should be the name of a type embedded in `T` (like a nested class or a typedef). Compilers used to just assume it, but it could be something else - like a static variable or a member function.*
  - *If the compiler is confused, and `foo` is the name of a type, you need to tell the compiler with the `typename` keyword:*
    - `typename T::foo`
    - "foo" is the name of a type declared within the scope of `T`