

- **Lecture Outline - Multiple Inheritance and RTTI**

- Stroustrup Ch 15.

- **Basic concept of multiple inheritance**

- **Inherit from more than one class**
- **class Derived : public Base1, public Base2 {**
 - *Derived object has Base1 member variables followed by Base2 member variables*
 - *Has both sets of member functions*
 - *Base constructors run in declaration order, destructors in reverse declaration order*

- **Mixin Multiple inheritance**

- **Mixin multiple inheritance is no problem, and can be very useful at times**
 - *concept: base classes are orthogonal - have nothing in common*
 - no tricky ambiguity or duplication issues
 - *Simply add some functionality or an interface to derived classes.*
 - class Base1 {
public:
 void defrangulate();
};
 - class Base2 {
public:
 void transmogrify();
};
 - class Base3 {
public:
 void munge();
};
 - *Derive from combinations of class to get combinations of functionality*
 - class D1 : public Base1, public Base3 {
}; can defrangulate and munge
 - class D2: public Base2, public Base3 {
}; can transmogrify and munge
 - class D3; public Base1, public Base2, public Base3 {
}; can do all three
 - *An example later - allows you to connect together two different class systems*
 - A GUI toolkit class hierarchy and a whole functionality system
 - Model-View-Controller and Observer patterns
- **Use for capability classes and queries solution to the fat interface problem**
 - *Class has a base class with a common interface and (possibly) implementation*
 - *Each capability class is an interface class describing a way of talking to an object*
 - *Class inherits also from one or more capability classes*
 - *A dynamic_cast is used to find out whether an object implements a particular interface*

- **Implications of multiple inheritance**

- **If two base classes have a member of the same name, you have to disambiguate which one you mean in order to access it.**

- *class Base1 has void foo();*
class Base2 has void foo();
class D inherits from both
D d;
d.foo() // ambiguous - you have to say which one you want

d.Base2::foo();
- *Confusing: Function overloading won't sort it out - compiler looks for the function name first, and balks immediately if the name is ambiguous.*
- **If a class has two bases with virtual functions in each base, a virtual call can only access the virtual functions in the one base corresponding to the pointer.**
 - *class Base1 has virtual void vf1();*
 - *class Base2 has virtual void vf2();*
 - *Base1 * p1; Base2 * p2;*
 - *p1->vf2(); // compile error - p1 is a Base1 *, no information about Base2*
 - *p2->vf1(); // compile error*
 - *If you have the Base1 pointer and you need to access a Base2 virtual function, use dynamic_cast to do a cross-cast.*
- **Run-time adjustments to the addresses in the pointers can be necessary - so some run-time cost of using MI.**

- **Duplicated bases - diamond shaped inheritance - produces ambiguities.**
 - "Dreaded diamond" inheritance pattern
 - **Base, D1 isa Base, D2 isa Base, DD is a D1 and isa D2**
 - *Duplicated Base members - ambiguity - has two Base subobjects*
 - *have to explicitly qualify which one you mean in the D1 and D2 classes*

● Example code

- `#include <iostream>`

```
using namespace std;

class B {
public:
    B(int i_) : i(i_)
    {cout << "B " << i << " ctor" << endl;}
    void print() {cout << "B has " << i << '\n' << endl;}
private:
    int i;
};

class D1 : public B {
public:
    D1(int i_, int j_) : B(i_), j(j_)
    {cout << "D1 " << j << " ctor" << endl;}
private:
    int j;
};

class D2 : public B {
public:
    D2(int i_, int j_) : B(i_), j(j_)
    {cout << "D2 " << j << " ctor" << endl;}
private:
    int j;
};

class DD : public D1, public D2 {
public:
    DD(int i_, int j_, int k_, int m_) : D1(i_, j_), D2(i_*10, k_), m(m_)
    {cout << "DD " << m << " ctor" << endl;}
private:
    int m;
};

int main()
{
    B b(0);
    b.print();

    D1 d1(1, 11);
    d1.print();

    D2 d2(2, 22);
    d2.print();

    DD dd(3, 22, 33, 111);
    // dd.print();// error - it's ambiguous!
    dd.D1::print();
    dd.D2::print();

    cout << "done" << endl;
}

/*
```

```
B 0 ctor  
B has 0
```

```
B 1 ctor  
D1 11 ctor  
B has 1
```

```
B 2 ctor  
D2 22 ctor  
B has 2
```

```
B 3 ctor  
D1 22 ctor  
B 30 ctor  
D2 33 ctor  
DD 111 ctor  
B has 3
```

```
B has 30
```

```
done
```

```
*/
```

- **virtual inheritance: Heavyweight solution to the "dreaded diamond" problem.**
 - Remove ambiguity of Base subobject, but complicates object construction.
 - Use is not required in this course - but you might be able to try it out if you want.
 - **virtual inheritance - construct a single shared subobject - very different from normal**
 - *complicates the addressing, causes some run-time overhead*
 - *has to be anticipated in the class design - not necessarily possible to retrofit the design*
 - *don't use if the design can be modified to make it unnecessary*
 - **D1 virtually inherits from Base, D2 virtually inherits from Base, DD isa D1 and isa D2**
 - *B becomes a virtual base class.*
 - **DD gets only one Base subobject**
 - *gets a pointer to a single chunk of memory for the base subobject*
 - *No longer any ambiguity about base object members*
 - **Initialization problem: Does D1 or D2 initialize the Base subobject? Who controls it? Ambiguity is not allowed!**
 - *Answer: The leaf class has to invoke the base constructor of the virtual base class*
 - violation of the normal immediate-base-only constructor rule
 - Any other attempts to invoke the base constructor are ignored!
 - Notice that depends on the type of object declared - most derived one gets to say what happens
 - *See example - derived from bottom of diamond gets to initialize the base subobject*
 - **Run time cost: single base subobject is referred to by indirection - through a pointer - means a bit of run-time cost compared to a non-virtual inheritance.**
 - **Complexity and overhead leads to recommendation to avoid using virtual inheritance except where it is actually needed - try to avoid!**

● Example code

```

● #include <iostream>
   using namespace std;

   class B {
   public:
       // B() : i(-1)
       // {cout << "B " << i << " default ctor" << endl;}
       B(int i_) : i(i_)
       {cout << "B ctor arg is " << i << endl;}
       void print() {cout << "B has " << i << endl;}
   private:
       int i;
   };

   class D1 : virtual public B {
   public:
       D1(int i_, int j_) : B(i_), j(j_)
       {cout << "D1 ctor args are " << i_ << " for B, and " << j_ << endl;}
       void print() {cout << "D1 has " << j << endl;}
   private:
       int j;
   };

   class D2 : virtual public B {
   public:
       D2(int i_, int j_) : B(i_), j(j_)
       {cout << "D2 ctor args are " << i_ << " for B, and " << j_ << endl;}
       void print() {cout << "D2 has " << j << endl;}
   private:
       int j;
   };

   class DD : public D1, public D2 {
   public:
       // DD(int i_, int j_, int k_, int m_) : D1(i_, j_), D2(i_*10, k_), m(m_) // error - tries to
       // call B() constructor if not defined
       DD(int i_, int j_, int k_, int m_) : B(99), D1(i_, j_), D2(i_, k_), m(m_)
       {cout << "DD ctor args are " << i_ << ", " << j_ << " for D1, and "<< i_ << ", "<<
       k_ << " for D2, and "<< m_ << endl;}
       void print() {cout << "DD has " << m << endl;}
   private:
       int m;
   };

   class DDD : public DD {
   public:
       // DDD(int i_, int j_, int k_, int m_, int n_) : DD(i_, j_, k_, m_), n(n_) // error - tries
       // to call B() constructor if not defined
       DDD(int i_, int j_, int k_, int m_, int n_) : B(999), DD(i_, j_, k_, m_), n(n_)
       {cout << "DDD ctor args are " << i_ << ", " << j_ << ", " << k_ << ", " << m_ << "
       for DD, and " << n_ << endl;}
       void print() {cout << "DDD has " << n << endl;}
   private:
       int n;
   };

```

```
int main()
{
    cout << "\nB  b(0);" << endl;
    B  b(0);
    b.print();

    cout << "\nD1 d1(10, 11);" << endl;
    D1 d1(10, 11);
    d1.B::print();
    d1.print();

    cout << "\nD2 d2(20, 22);" << endl;
    D2 d2(20, 22);
    d2.B::print();
    d2.print();

    cout << "\nDD dd(30, 32, 33, 333);" << endl;
    DD dd(30, 32, 33, 333);
    dd.B::print();
    dd.D1::print();
    dd.D2::print();
    dd.print();

    cout << "\nDDD ddd(40, 42, 43, 444, 4444)" << endl;
    DDD ddd(40, 42, 43, 444, 4444);
    ddd.B::print();
    ddd.D1::print();
    ddd.D2::print();
    ddd.DD::print();
    ddd.print();
    cout << "done" << endl;
}
/*
B  b(0);
B ctor arg is 0
B has 0

D1 d1(10, 11);
B ctor arg is 10
D1 ctor args are 10 for B, and 11
B has 10
D1 has 11

D2 d2(20, 22);
B ctor arg is 20
D2 ctor args are 20 for B, and 22
B has 20
D2 has 22

DD dd(30, 32, 33, 333);
B ctor arg is 99
D1 ctor args are 30 for B, and 32
D2 ctor args are 30 for B, and 33
DD ctor args are 30, 32 for D1, and 30, 33 for D2, and 333
B has 99
D1 has 32
D2 has 33
DD has 333
```



```

DDD ddd(40, 42, 43, 444, 4444)
B ctor arg is 999
D1 ctor args are 40 for B, and 42
D2 ctor args are 40 for B, and 43
DD ctor args are 40, 42 for D1, and 40, 43 for D2, and 444
DDD ctor args are 40, 42, 43, 444 for DD, and 4444
B has 999
D1 has 42
D2 has 43
DD has 444
DDD has 4444
done
*/

```

• RTTI

- **Compiler can generate information that identifies the class of an object**
 - *made available in the vtable, so doesn't take up any more space in the object*
 - *but is only available for classes that have virtual functions - only time a vtable is present.*
 - *makes sense because only if virtual functions are present is there any issue about the type of an object being unknown*
 - the one place in the language where dynamic type rather than static type is involved
- **One use is kinda handy - identifying the actual type of an object for debugging purposes:**
 - *typeid operator (an operator, not a function)*
 - *normal use:*
 - *typeid(<an object>) - returns a reference to a type_info object that has information about the exact type of the object - can be compared, ordered, etc.*
 - *A name() function returns a pointer to a C-string containing the type name in implementation-defined form.*
 - *use to print out the type of an object at run time:*
 - `Base * ptr;`
`ptr = a pointer to some object in the class hierarchy`
`if(trace)`
`cout << typeid(*ptr).name() << " being processed" << endl;`
 - *the string returned by name is implementation-defined, so might not be good for an end user to see, but certainly useful for debugging, analysis by developer.*
- **Sometimes the design is such that you need to interrogate an object to see what its type is:**
 - *Virtual functions are better, but sometimes they won't do the trick.*
 - *Fat-interface dilemma.*
 - *Crummy design situation that you can't avoid or fix.*
 - *You're stuck with branch-on-type programming.*
 - *Don't use your own type codes, use RTTI instead*
 - *Usual procedure is to use a dynamic_cast to determine the type of an object*
- **Kinds of casts used in inheritance situations**
 - **static_cast** \Leftrightarrow can cast up or down, but is not checked
 - *upcast:*
 - `Base * p = static_cast<Base *>(derived_ptr);`

- upcast is redundant, explicit cast is poor style, since always valid - Substitution principle
- `Base * p = derived_ptr; // instead`
- *downcast:*
 - `Derived * p = static_cast<Derived *>(base_ptr);`
 - not checked, so undefined if `base_ptr` does not actually point to a Derived object
- **dynamic_cast<> can cast up, down, and "sideways" (cross-cast, when multiple inheritance is involved)**
 - *Up-cast with dynamic_cast is redundant for same reasons as upcast with static_cast.*
 - *Downcast can be checked for validity*
 - `Derived * p = dynamic_cast<Derived *>(base_ptr)`
`if(p)`
`// p is guaranteed to point to a Derived`
`else`
`// p doesn't point to a Derived`
 - can be used for compiler-supported branch-on-type programming if you are forced into it.
 - Dynamic casts used to solve fat interface problem:
 - Instead of fat interface, see if you can downcast safely; if so, can access the derived interface safely.
 - `if(Derived * p = dynamic_cast<Derived *>(base_ptr))`
`// use p to access something found only in Derived interface`
`else`
`// can't validly access Derived interface`
 - *Cross-cast example - check to see if object in one hierarchy (pointed to be `Base1 * base1_ptr`) is also in another (`Base2`).*
 - `Base1 * base1_ptr = some object's address;`
`Base2 * base2_ptr = dynamic_cast<Base2 *>(base1_ptr)`
`if(base2_ptr)`
`// base1_ptr (and now base2_ptr) indeed points to a Base2-derived object as well as a Base1-derived object.`
`else`
`// base1_ptr (and also base2_ptr) does not point to a Base2-derived object`
- **See capability class discussion ...**