

- **Stroustrup review -**
- **S 4 Types and Declarations:**
  - **declaration terminology (4.9.1)**
    - *optional specifier, base type, declarator, initializer*
    - *specifier is non-type modifier*
    - *base type is the type*
    - *declarator is a name and optional operators: \*, \* const, &, [], () both prefix and postfix, like use in expressions is the idea*
      - postfix bind tighter than prefix - `*kings[]` is an array of pointers
      - sometimes need parentheses
  - **scope (4.9.4)**
    - *block - or local scope*
    - *function parameter names are actually declared in the outer most block of a function*
    - *global - outside any function, class, or namespace*
    - *try to avoid hiding names by choosing global or outer scope names carefully*
    - *globals have a global scope, can use the scope resolution operator to specify them*
    - *names come into scope after the complete declarator and before the initializer*
      - `struct Thing * p ...` declares the incomplete type `struct Thing`
  - **initialization (4.9.5)**
    - *if no initializer, and the variable is global or local static (just static actually), it gets initialized to the appropriate flavor of zero; ditto for global or local static structs or arrays. User defined types are default initialized.*
    - *arrays and structs can be initialized by lists of values in { }*
    - *for user defined types, "function style initializer" from invoking a possibly implicit constructor*
      - `Point p(1, 2);`
      - `note int f();` is a function declaration
      - `Point p;` would default initialize `p`, not `Point p();`
        - `Point p();` declares "p" to be a function with no arguments that returns a `Point!`
  - **Unnamed objects**
    - *Suppose we have a class `Point` that we can initialize with `x, y` values as in:*
      - `Point p1(12, 23);`
      - This declares and defines `Point` object named "p1" initialized with 12 and 23;
    - *If you leave out the name, then you are declaring an "unnamed" object.*
      - `Point(12, 23);`
      - This declares and defines a `Point` object initialized with 12 and 23 that has no name, a temporary object.
      - Temporary objects disappear once you leave the "full expression" they are in.
        - Often used to create a temporary object in an expression or function call
        - Examples
          - `// new_location is (12, 23) translated by vector1.`
          - `Point new_location = Point(12, 23) + vector1;`

```
double distance(Point p1, Point p2); // calculates distance between two points
d = distance(Point(12, 23), Point(58, 14));
```

```
Point get_Point()
{
    /* get x and y values from the user */
    return Point(x, y); // temporary Point object to copy for return
}
```

- Example

```
string x = "hello,";
string y = "world";
string z = x + y;
```

- x + y creates a temporary object, used to hold the "hello,world" long enough to initialize z, then it is gone
- can be a performance issue with user-defined types, but rarely for built-in types
- Unnamed objects are very commonly used in some contexts.

- **objects and lvalues (4.9.6)**

- *an "object" is a piece of memory; an "lvalue" is an expression that designates a piece of memory*
  - roughly the l in lvalue is for left hand side of an assignment
  - but some lvalues can't be used there, and some lvalues refer to a constant

- **advice 4.10.**

- *consistent naming style - mixed case, lower with underscores, start class or type names with a capital letter.*

- **S 5 Pointers, Arrays,**
  - **Structures: pointers and zero as a pointer value (5.1)**
    - *zero takes on a type depending on its context*
    - *use zero instead of NULL*
  - **references (5.5)**
    - *must be initialized at point of definition*
    - *can't be changed to refer to something else - can't be "reseated"*
    - *two ways to think of them*
      - *another name for a object*
      - *constant pointers where the compiler sticks in the & and \*'s for you*
    - *main use is function parameters & return types*
    - *can be used otherwise, but rare*
    - *tricky because you never operate on a reference, always on the thing it refers to - it really is just another name ...*
    - *S's advice is to avoid reference arguments as returned values unless function name makes it obvious that it is going to happen.*
    - *returning a reference is a way to let the caller know where to put something - e.g. subscript operator ...*
    - *returning a reference can avoid object copying*
  - **const (5.4)**
    - *if const, has to be initialized at the point of definition, can't be changed later*
    - *specifies how the variable can be used, not how or where it is stored*
    - *with pointers, can have a pointer to const, but can still modify it in some other way - example p. 95*
    - *with pointers, const can appear in two places:*
      - *read right to left for clarity*
      - *char \* const p; constant pointer to characters - can't change contents of p, but can change things where it points*
      - *char const \* p; same as below*
      - *const char \* p; usual form - p is a changable pointer to characters that can't be changed - can't use p to change them, but can change p.*
        - *Can also change the characters through another pointer to the same place!*
      - *const char \* const p; constant pointer to constant chars*
        - *Can also change the characters through another pointer to the same place!*
    - *const as a promise or statement of policy not to modify*
      - *compiler enforces this - won't let you put something that is supposed to be const into something that doesn't keep the same promise*
    - *const in parameter lists*
      - *normally not done for call by value, built-in types*
        - *might see:*
        - *void foo (const int i)*
        - *as a way of saying i is read-only for this function.*
        - *but void foo(int i) allows i to be modified, but won't affect caller's variable, right? It's a copy!*

- commonly done for read-only class objects called by reference to avoid constructor overhead - some objects are big and complex to create and initialize - why do it unnecessarily
  - `void foo (const Big_object_type& x);`
    - VERY common convention: means I don't want to waste time copying the object, because it is read-only, so let's just refer to the caller's object
  - `void foo (Big_object_type& x);`
    - This means that the caller's argument will be modified! Use only when that is true!
  - `void foo(const Big_object_type x);`
    - This means that x will be a copy of the caller's argument will be used in x, but we won't change it. Why use this? Waste time copying it for no good reason?
  - `void foo(Big_object_type x);`
    - This means that x will be a copy of the caller's argument, and we made the copy because we intend to change it for convenience inside foo.
      - otherwise, we would have to explicitly copy it as in:
 

```
void foo(const Big_object_type& x_in)
{
    Big_object_type x(x_in); // use copy constructor
    x.modify();
    ....
}
```
- CONST CORRECTNESS**
  - specify const everywhere it is logically meaningful to do so
  - gives extra protection on programming errors
  - BUT: Don't make things const that by design, have to be changable!!!
  - write it that way from the beginning.
  - if existing code is made const correct, tends to be viral - "const" spreads through the program.
- void \* (5.6)**
  - should only show up in C++ code at down & dirty low-levels; bad idea otherwise*
  - note `static_cast<double *>(pv)` example - deliberately ugly*
- issues with struct names (p. 103-4)**
  - forward declaration (p. 103 center example), incomplete type*
  - name of a type becomes known immediately after it has been encountered and before the declaration is complete - can use it as long as the name of a member is not involved nor the size*
    - `class S;`
    - `S f();` // function declaration
    - `void g(S);` // function declaration
    - `S* h(S *);`
  - in C++, using "struct" or "class" outside of a declaration is not done*
  - can use explicit "struct" and "class" for rare cases when need to disambiguate things that have the same name, but these are best avoided.*
- 5.8. advice**

- **S 6 Expressions and Statements:**

- **Skim the extended example in 6.1, because he refers to it many places later.**
- **new and delete(6.2.6)**
  - *free store is more official word than "heap"*
  - *what does new/delete do compared with malloc/free?*
    - basically, malloc/free allocate/deallocate with blocks of raw memory, new/delete allocate/deallocate objects in memory
  - *malloc*
    - allocates a block of raw memory
    - is given how many bytes you want
      - you use sizeof to determine this
    - allocates a piece of memory at least that size and returns its address to you
    - if can't allocate memory, returns NULL (or zero)
  - *free*
    - deallocates a block of raw memory
    - is given an address originally supplied by malloc
    - returns that piece of memory to the pool of free memory, available for later reallocation
  - *new*
    - allocates an object
    - figures out how many bytes are needed based on the type you supply
      - does the sizeof itself
    - allocates a peice of memory at least that size
    - if the type you supplied is a class-type that has a constructor, it runs the constructor on that piece of memory with the arguments you supplied (if any)
      - result is an initialized, ready-to-go object living in that piece of memory
    - returns the address of the object (piece of memory) to you.
    - if can't allocate memory, throws a Standard exception, std::bad\_alloc
      - If uncaught, program is terminated
  - *delete*
    - deallocates an object
    - is given an address originally supplied by new
    - if the supplied pointer is a pointer to a class-type that has a destructor function, it runs the destructor on that piece of memory to "de initialize" or destroy the object
    - returns that piece of memory to the free memory pool
  - *new[]*
    - allocates an array of objects
    - figures out how much memory is needed by the number of cells you supply and the sizeof of the type of object you specify for each cell
    - allocates a piece of memory at least that size
    - if the cells contain a class-type object, then it runs the default constructor on each cell to initialize it.
      - no syntax for specifying a non-default constructor, unfortunately

- returns the address of the first cell to you
- if can't allocate memory, throws a Standard exception, `std::bad_alloc`
  - If uncaught, program is terminated
- `delete[]`
  - deallocates an array of objects
  - is given an address originally supplied by `new[]`
  - if the pointer is a pointer to a class-type that has a destructor, it runs the destructor on each cell of the array
  - returns the whole array to the pool of free memory
- **casts 6.2.7**
  - *static\_cast* converts between related types (e.g. kinds of numbers or pointers in the same hierarchy)
  - *reinterpret\_cast* will convert unrelated pointer types
  - *const\_cast* used when it is necessary to change something that unfortunately was declared *const*
  - *dynamic\_cast* uses run-time information for conversion between types -
  - C-style casts are available but should not be used in modern C++ code -- too dangerous and hard to spot, intentions are not clear
    - does anything that *static\_cast*, *reinterpret\_cast*, and *const\_cast* will do.
- **constructor notation 6.2.8**
  - *function-style casts*
    - can write `Type(value)`, as in

```
double d;
int i = int(d);
```
    - for built in types, `T(v)` is same as `static_cast<T>(v)`
    - good usage: for simple numeric type conversions
      - `double x = double(my_int_var);`
  - *But same notation is also used to initialize objects with constructor functions.*
    - There is a nice consistency here
    - `double(a_value)` means define an unnamed double variable initialized with `a_value`, which can then be used for something else.
  - *T()* means the default value for type *T* - if user type, constructs an object of type *T*, using default constructor, built in type, the default value
    - `int i = int();` // gives value of zero
    - an UNNAMED OBJECT WITH DEFAULT CONSTRUCTION
  - *\*\*\* Note also that*
    - `int i(5);` is the same as `int i = 5;`
- **where declarations can appear(6.3.1, 6.3.2.1, 6.3.3.1),**
  - *declarations are statements, and get executed - initialization happens when control goes through*
    - static variables are the exception - initialized only once
    - doing it this way allows delaying declaration until variable can be initialized, avoid errors or possible inefficiencies
  - *declarations in conditions of if*
    - scope extends from point of declaration until end of statement that condition controls - includes the else

- only a single variable allowed
- *declarations in for statements*
  - from point of declaration until end of statement
  - cf. MSVC++ error in earlier versions - allowed declarations in for, but had the wrong scope.
- 6.4
  - his advice on comments makes sense
- 6.5 *advice*
  - - ignore #13 - very advanced topic

- **S 7 Functions:**

- **Introduction to 7.2**

- *arguments are passed using initialization semantics, not assignment semantics*
    - *meaning copy constructors are used, not assignment*
      - what's the difference? assignment has to assume that there is already a value in the variable - if is of class type, might have to be destructed!
    - *note use of const & to save copying*
    - *can't pass in a constant or literal or must-be-converted type in as a reference, only as a const reference or value*
      - prevents assigning back to a temporary
    - *in-line functions*
      - if you ask (by inline declaration), compiler can, at its option, replace a call to the function with an appropriately edited version of the functions code.
        - compiler writers get to decide how much and what they will inline - can get pretty tricky
          - e.g. can't inline a recursive function!
        - can produce considerable speedup if the function is called a gazillion times!
      - note that definition must be available to the compiler!
        - compiler has to have seen not just the prototype, but the actual code.
      - But don't specify inline without good reason - drawbacks:
        - can lead to greater code coupling - tinker with the definition, everybody using it has to recompile
        - can lead to "code bloat" - a long function body gets copied in wherever it appears

- **Overloaded functions (7.4)**

- *allow use of sensible names instead of having to make up different ones all the time*
    - *can be extremely valuable e.g. in overloaded operators, constructors, etc*
    - *see p. 149 for the rules on matching calls to functions*
    - *if ambiguous - more than one at the same level or rule, error*
    - *overloading can actually help prevent errors p. 150-151.*
    - *overloading can improve efficiency*
    - *return types are not considered in resolution*
    - *overloading does not cross scope boundaries - only functions in same scope are considered.*
      - this can be tricky if you've defined your own namespaces - been there
    - **HOW DOES OVERLOADING WORK?**
      - name mangling - compiler creates names for functions that include type information about the arguments in a special gobbledegook which you normally don't see - though sometimes you are forced to look at it.
      - result is that every overloaded function ends up with a unique name, so the linker can just do its thing as it did before - using only the function name!
      - "type safe linkage" - avoids silent errors familiar in C world

- **default arguments (7.5)**

- *only one declaration of default arguments - can't repeat*
      - not allowed to make compiler worry about which default value is the "right" one.
      - If compiler sees two default values, it objects, even if they are the same!



- often means the default value goes in the function prototype (often in a header file) and not in the function definition
- **7.9 advice**

- **S 9 Source Files and Programs:**

- **The one-definition rule (9.2.3)**

- *A class, enumeration, template, etc must be defined exactly once in a program*
- *more exactly:*
  - two definitions are accepted as the same unique definition iff
    - they appear in different translation units
    - they are token-for-token identical
    - the meanings of the tokens are the same
- *the ODR is difficult for a compiler to enforce - if violated, get subtle errors - see examples p. 204*
- *proper header file discipline (see handouts) will help avoid problems.*
- *linker will disallow duplicate functions of same signature*

- **program startup and termination (9.4)**

- *Non local variables - statics, globals, class statics, are initialized before main is started. In each translation unit, in the order defined. If no initial value, then initialized to the default value for its type, e.g. 0 for int*
  - built-in type initialized before class types
- *no guaranteed order of initialization between translation units.*
- *termination: return from main, exit(), abort(), throwing uncaught exception*
- *abort gives no chance of cleanup, exit does some. Throwing uncaught exception usually does better clean up and allows somebody else to handle the problem*

- **9.5. advice**