

Rubrics for the evaluation of pre-applications for Asian University Machine Learning Camp at Jeju, 2018

The total point is 50.

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Originality / Quality	(0 point) There is no original technical point, and just a simple application of an existing method is planned	(5 points) An application of an existing method that has been proposed within two years (2016 – 2018) is planned with some tunings according to the proposed topic	(8 points) An application of an existing method that has been proposed within two years (2016 – 2018) is planned with making some small improvements or scope changes. Or a new method is proposed but the quality seems to be fair.	(10 points) A promising new method or improvements to a recent method is planned. Or an existing method is employed but a significantly good technical idea is included to apply it to a particular issue
Feasibility by the end of the camp	(0 point) It seems to be impossible	(5 points) It seems to be possible, but more than average efforts both before and during the camp is required	(8 points) It seems to be possible, but some changes in plan would be necessary	(10 points) It seems to be feasible
Experiences on DL and Python programming	(0 point) Not enough at all	(2 points) Not enough, but they may be able to catch up before the camp	(4 points) It seems to be all right	(5 points) It seems that the applicant has sufficient knowledge and skill for DL and Python programming
[Writing] English	(0 point) Based on their writing, their English skill is not enough for participation	(2 points) Based on their writing, their English skill is still limited but maybe OK for participation	(4 points) Based on their writing, their English skill is basically all right	(5 points) Based on their writing, their English skill is sufficient
[Writing] Introduction	(0 point) Poor	(2 points) The topic/ problem/ goal is stated and its backgrounds are also described, but more clarification is needed	(4 points) The topic/problem/ goal is stated clearly and its backgrounds are also described, but some brush-up is desirable	(5 points) The topic/ problem/ goal is stated clearly and its backgrounds are also described at a satisfactory level.
[Writing] Literature Review	(0 point) Poor	(2 points) Some important literature is missing	(4 points) It seems that the applicants referred to most of the related literature, but their evaluation of them is not enough.	(5 points) Good review including their own evaluation of the referred literature
[Writing] Research Methodology	(0 point) Poor	(5 points) It needs a substantial revision to some major parts	(8 points) It needs revision to some minor points, but the overview is clear and feasible	(10 points) Written at a satisfactory level to convince that this proposal is feasible at more-than-average level