

ID2: Retrospective

- "How do you feel the deliverable emerged overall?"
 - It was better than ID1, feel like we could have done more for dev work.
 - We did okay, gave us more structure to tackle next deliverable. Not much dev was done
 - The overall progress that we have achieved is good. We could do with better communication between Dev and QA leads to ensure everyone is on the same page.
- "What actions/practices helped our progress in producing a quality deliverable?"
 - Having our team leads guide the deliverable helped keep our meetings shorter, and kept us on track. And our shorter but more frequent meetings were very beneficial
 - Using git issues allowed communicate where we needed to focus our attention
 - Within sub-team communication was really strong
- "What actions/practices hindered our ability to produce a quality deliverable?"
 - Cross team communication was missing between Dev and QA teams
 - Delay in dev team to assign tasks to members
 - Lack of communication/documentation to the QA team so that they are able to begin working before getting the code
- "What process innovations or steps could we take that would help us the group do even better next time"? /What can we do better next time?
 - QA team and Dev team vice-versa give each other a tour of what they did
 - Frequent pull requests
 - One member from the QA team to review pull requests of the Dev team

- More communication of plans between leads, and from leads to teams.
Ensuring we stay on track with all of our best practices.