



Unlockd Finance – Protocol V2

Smart Contract Security
Assessment

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: October 26th, 2023 – December 11th, 2023

Visit: Halborn.com

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	5
CONTACTS	5
1 EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	6
1.1 INTRODUCTION	7
1.2 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY	8
1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	9
2 RISK METHODOLOGY	10
2.1 EXPLOITABILITY	11
2.2 IMPACT	12
2.3 SEVERITY COEFFICIENT	14
2.4 SCOPE	16
3 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	19
4 FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	20
4.1 (HAL-01) IMPROPER PARAMETER VALIDATION LEADS TO UNAUTHORIZED ACTIONS - CRITICAL(10)	22
Description	22
Code Location	22
Proof of Concept	25
BVSS	26
Recommendation	26
Remediation Plan	27
4.2 (HAL-02) IMPROPER COLLATERAL HEALTH CHECK LEADS TO BORROW FUNDS WITHOUT ENOUGH COLLATERAL - CRITICAL(10)	28
Description	28
Code Location	28

Proof of Concept	30
BVSS	31
Recommendation	31
Remediation Plan	31
4.3 (HAL-03) MISSING AUTHORIZATION CHECK IN CANCELCLAIM - CRITICAL(10)	32
Description	32
Code Location	32
Proof of Concept	33
BVSS	33
Recommendation	34
Remediation Plan	34
4.4 (HAL-04) LOAN IS DELETED INSTEAD OF ACTIVATION - CRITICAL(10)	35
Description	35
Code Location	35
Proof of Concept	36
BVSS	36
Recommendation	36
Remediation Plan	37
4.5 (HAL-05) LOANID IS NOT UPDATED PROPERLY DURING AUCTION FINALIZATION - CRITICAL(10)	38
Description	38
Code Location	38
Proof of Concept	39
BVSS	39
Recommendation	39

Remediation Plan	39
4.6 (HAL-06) LACK OF ORACLE DATA FEED VALIDATION - MEDIUM(5.0)	40
Description	40
Code Location	40
Proof of Concept	41
BVSS	41
Recommendation	41
Remediation Plan	41
4.7 (HAL-07) IMPROPER RESERVOIR WITHDRAWERC20 IMPLEMENTATION - MEDIUM(5.0)	42
Description	42
Code Location	42
BVSS	42
Recommendation	43
Remediation Plan	43
4.8 (HAL-08) RESERVE STATE IS NOT UPDATED IN CREATE - LOW(2.5)	44
Description	44
Code Location	44
BVSS	45
Recommendation	45
Remediation Plan	45
4.9 (HAL-09) DANGEROUS REENTRANT PATTERNS - LOW(2.5)	46
Description	46
Code Location	46
BVSS	48
Recommendation	48

Remediation Plan	48
4.10 (HAL-10) IMPROPER WITHDRAW LIQUIDITY CHECK - LOW(2.5)	49
Description	49
Code Location	49
BVSS	49
Recommendation	50
Remediation Plan	50
4.11 (HAL-11) MISSING ZERO ADDRESS CHECKS - INFORMATIONAL(0.8)	51
Description	51
Code Location	51
BVSS	51
Recommendation	51
Remediation Plan	52
4.12 (HAL-12) MISSING EVENTS FOR CONTRACT OPERATIONS - INFORMATIONAL(0.8)	53
Description	53
BVSS	53
Recommendation	53
Remediation Plan	53
5 AUTOMATED TESTING	54
5.1 STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT	55
Description	55
Results	55
Results Summary	81

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	MODIFICATION	DATE
0.1	Document Creation	11/20/2023
0.2	Document Update	12/13/2023
0.3	Draft Review	12/13/2023
0.4	Draft Review	12/13/2023
1.0	Remediation Plan	03/04/2024
1.1	Remediation Plan Review	03/04/2024
1.2	Remediation Plan Review	03/04/2024

CONTACTS

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL
Rob Behnke	Halborn	Rob.Behnke@halborn.com
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com



EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW



1.1 INTRODUCTION

Unlockd Finance is a NFT lending protocol where users can participate as depositors or borrowers.

Unlockd Finance engaged **Halborn** to conduct a security assessment on their smart contracts beginning on October 26th, 2023 and ending on December 11th, 2023. The security assessment was scoped to the smart contracts provided in the [UnlockdFinance/unlockd-v2](#) GitHub repository. Commit hashes and further details can be found in the Scope section of this report.

1.2 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Halborn was provided 5 weeks for the engagement and assigned one full-time security engineer to verify the security of the smart contracts in scope. The engineer is a blockchain and smart contract security expert with advanced penetration testing and smart contract hacking skills, and deep knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of the assessment is to:

- Identify potential security issues within the smart contracts.
- Ensure that smart contract functionality operates as intended.

In summary, Halborn identified some security risks, that were successfully addressed by Unlockd Finance. The main ones were the following:

- Review the functions of the modules and move all parameters that should not be user-controlled inside the Unlockd signed data structure parameter.
- Fix the loan health calculation to return the actual value based on the user's collateral.
- Review the functions of the modules and ensure that only authorized users can call them. If the function is to be called by arbitrary users, the information should be included in the function documentation.
- Fix the `finalize` and `forceSell` functions to only delete the loans that have no more assets remaining in them.
- Update the loan ID correctly in the `finalize()` function.

1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and accuracy in regard to the scope of this assessment. While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of the code and can quickly identify items that do not follow the security best practices. The following phases and associated tools were used during the assessment:

- Research into architecture and purpose.
- Smart contract manual code review and walk-through.
- Graphing out functionality and contract logic/connectivity/functions ([solgraph](#)).
- Manual assessment of use and safety for the critical Solidity variables and functions in scope to identify any arithmetic related vulnerability classes.
- Manual testing by custom scripts.
- Static Analysis of security for scoped contract, and imported functions ([Slither](#)).
- Testnet deployment ([Foundry](#), [Brownie](#)).

2. RISK METHODOLOGY

Every vulnerability and issue observed by Halborn is ranked based on **two sets of Metrics** and a **Severity Coefficient**. This system is inspired by the industry standard Common Vulnerability Scoring System.

The two **Metric sets** are: **Exploitability** and **Impact**. **Exploitability** captures the ease and technical means by which vulnerabilities can be exploited and **Impact** describes the consequences of a successful exploit.

The **Severity Coefficients** is designed to further refine the accuracy of the ranking with two factors: **Reversibility** and **Scope**. These capture the impact of the vulnerability on the environment as well as the number of users and smart contracts affected.

The final score is a value between 0-10 rounded up to 1 decimal place and 10 corresponding to the highest security risk. This provides an objective and accurate rating of the severity of security vulnerabilities in smart contracts.

The system is designed to assist in identifying and prioritizing vulnerabilities based on their level of risk to address the most critical issues in a timely manner.

2.1 EXPLOITABILITY

Attack Origin (AO):

Captures whether the attack requires compromising a specific account.

Attack Cost (AC):

Captures the cost of exploiting the vulnerability incurred by the attacker relative to sending a single transaction on the relevant blockchain. Includes but is not limited to financial and computational cost.

Attack Complexity (AX):

Describes the conditions beyond the attacker's control that must exist in order to exploit the vulnerability. Includes but is not limited to macro situation, available third-party liquidity and regulatory challenges.

Metrics:

Exploitability Metric (m_E)	Metric Value	Numerical Value
Attack Origin (AO)	Arbitrary (AO:A)	1
	Specific (AO:S)	0.2
Attack Cost (AC)	Low (AC:L)	1
	Medium (AC:M)	0.67
	High (AC:H)	0.33
Attack Complexity (AX)	Low (AX:L)	1
	Medium (AX:M)	0.67
	High (AX:H)	0.33

Exploitability E is calculated using the following formula:

$$E = \prod m_e$$

2.2 IMPACT

Confidentiality (C):

Measures the impact to the confidentiality of the information resources managed by the contract due to a successfully exploited vulnerability. Confidentiality refers to limiting access to authorized users only.

Integrity (I):

Measures the impact to integrity of a successfully exploited vulnerability. Integrity refers to the trustworthiness and veracity of data stored and/or processed on-chain. Integrity impact directly affecting Deposit or Yield records is excluded.

Availability (A):

Measures the impact to the availability of the impacted component resulting from a successfully exploited vulnerability. This metric refers to smart contract features and functionality, not state. Availability impact directly affecting Deposit or Yield is excluded.

Deposit (D):

Measures the impact to the deposits made to the contract by either users or owners.

Yield (Y):

Measures the impact to the yield generated by the contract for either users or owners.

Metrics:

Impact Metric (m_I)	Metric Value	Numerical Value
Confidentiality (C)	None (I:N)	0
	Low (I:L)	0.25
	Medium (I:M)	0.5
	High (I:H)	0.75
	Critical (I:C)	1
Integrity (I)	None (I:N)	0
	Low (I:L)	0.25
	Medium (I:M)	0.5
	High (I:H)	0.75
	Critical (I:C)	1
Availability (A)	None (A:N)	0
	Low (A:L)	0.25
	Medium (A:M)	0.5
	High (A:H)	0.75
	Critical	1
Deposit (D)	None (D:N)	0
	Low (D:L)	0.25
	Medium (D:M)	0.5
	High (D:H)	0.75
	Critical (D:C)	1
Yield (Y)	None (Y:N)	0
	Low (Y:L)	0.25
	Medium: (Y:M)	0.5
	High: (Y:H)	0.75
	Critical (Y:H)	1

Impact I is calculated using the following formula:

$$I = \max(m_I) + \frac{\sum m_I - \max(m_I)}{4}$$

2.3 SEVERITY COEFFICIENT

Reversibility (R):

Describes the share of the exploited vulnerability effects that can be reversed. For upgradeable contracts, assume the contract private key is available.

Scope (S):

Captures whether a vulnerability in one vulnerable contract impacts resources in other contracts.

Coefficient (C)	Coefficient Value	Numerical Value
Reversibility (r)	None (R:N)	1
	Partial (R:P)	0.5
	Full (R:F)	0.25
Scope (s)	Changed (S:C)	1.25
	Unchanged (S:U)	1

Severity Coefficient C is obtained by the following product:

$$C = rs$$

The Vulnerability Severity Score S is obtained by:

$$S = \min(10, EIC * 10)$$

The score is rounded up to 1 decimal places.

Severity	Score Value Range
Critical	9 - 10
High	7 - 8.9
Medium	4.5 - 6.9
Low	2 - 4.4
Informational	0 - 1.9

2.4 SCOPE

Code repositories:

1. Unlockd Finance Protocol V2:

- Repository: [UnlockdFinance/unlockd-v2](#)
- Initial commit ID: [781ad1be131ae9c311e03f49b4e064315c18b39b](#)
- Contract updates commit ID: [3c71181748383b4c58286a19cf943995295b0bc3](#)
- Smart contracts in scope:
 - `src/utils/BlockContext.sol`
 - `src/protocol/DebtToken.sol`
 - `src/protocol/UToken.sol`
 - `src/protocol/Unlockd.sol`
 - `src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol`
 - `src/protocol/modules/Market.sol`
 - `src/protocol/modules/BuyNow.sol`
 - `src/protocol/modules/Installer.sol`
 - `src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol`
 - `src/protocol/modules/SellNow.sol`
 - `src/protocol/modules/Action.sol`
 - `src/protocol/modules/Manager.sol`
 - `src/libraries/utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol`
 - `src/libraries/utils/EIP712.sol`
 - `src/libraries/utils/tokens/ERC20Upgradeable.sol`
 - `src/libraries/signatures/ActionSign.sol`
 - `src/libraries/signatures/BuyNowSign.sol`
 - `src/libraries/signatures/MarketSign.sol`
 - `src/libraries/signatures/AuctionSign.sol`
 - `src/libraries/signatures/SellNowSign.sol`
 - `src/libraries/oracles/ReserveOracle.sol`
 - `src/libraries/helpers/Errors.sol`
 - `src/libraries/helpers/Constants.sol`
 - `src/libraries/math/PercentageMath.sol`
 - `src/libraries/math/MathUtils.sol`
 - `src/libraries/math/WadRayMath.sol`

- `src/libraries/proxy/UnlockdProxyAdmin.sol`
- `src/libraries/proxy/UnlockdMinimalProxy.sol`
- `src/libraries/proxy/UnlockdUpgradeableProxy.sol`
- `src/libraries/logic/GenericLogic.sol`
- `src/libraries/logic/OrderLogic.sol`
- `src/libraries/logic/SellNowLogic.sol`
- `src/libraries/logic/LoanLogic.sol`
- `src/libraries/logic/AssetLogic.sol`
- `src/libraries/logic/BuyNowLogic.sol`
- `src/libraries/logic/ValidationLogic.sol`
- `src/libraries/logic/ReserveLogic.sol`
- `src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol`
- `src/libraries/storage/UTokenStorage.sol`
- `src/libraries/base/BaseSignature.sol`
- `src/libraries/base/InterestRate.sol`
- `src/libraries/base/BaseERC20.sol`
- `src/libraries/base/BaseCoreModule.sol`
- `src/libraries/base/BaseCore.sol`
- `src/libraries/configuration/ACLManager.sol`
- `src/types/DataTypes.sol`
- `src/deployer/DeployProtocol.sol`
- `src/deployer/DeployPeriphery.sol`
- `src/deployer/DeployUToken.sol`
- `src/deployer/DeployUTokenConfig.sol`

Remediation Commit ID :

- [1e76e618](#)

Out-of-scope :

- Third-party libraries and dependencies.
 - Economic attacks.
 - New features/implementations after/within the [3c71181](#) & [1e76e618](#) commit IDs.
-

3. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
5	0	2	3	2

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDIATION DATE
(HAL-01) IMPROPER PARAMETER VALIDATION LEADS TO UNAUTHORIZED ACTIONS	Critical (10)	SOLVED - 01/25/2024
(HAL-02) IMPROPER COLLATERAL HEALTH CHECK LEADS TO BORROW FUNDS WITHOUT ENOUGH COLLATERAL	Critical (10)	SOLVED - 01/27/2024
(HAL-03) MISSING AUTHORIZATION CHECK IN CANCELCLAIM	Critical (10)	SOLVED - 01/25/2024
(HAL-04) LOAN IS DELETED INSTEAD OF ACTIVATION	Critical (10)	SOLVED - 12/19/2023
(HAL-05) LOANID IS NOT UPDATED PROPERLY DURING AUCTION FINALIZATION	Critical (10)	SOLVED - 03/04/2024
(HAL-06) LACK OF ORACLE DATA FEED VALIDATION	Medium (5.0)	SOLVED - 11/24/2023
(HAL-07) IMPROPER RESERVOIR WITHDRAWERC20 IMPLEMENTATION	Medium (5.0)	SOLVED - 12/19/2023
(HAL-08) RESERVE STATE IS NOT UPDATED IN CREATE	Low (2.5)	SOLVED - 01/19/2024
(HAL-09) DANGEROUS REENTRANT PATTERNS	Low (2.5)	SOLVED - 11/28/2023
(HAL-10) IMPROPER WITHDRAW LIQUIDITY CHECK	Low (2.5)	SOLVED - 12/19/2023
(HAL-11) MISSING ZERO ADDRESS CHECKS	Informational (0.8)	SOLVED - 11/28/2023
(HAL-12) MISSING EVENTS FOR CONTRACT OPERATIONS	Informational (0.8)	SOLVED - 01/16/2024



FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS



4.1 (HAL-01) IMPROPER PARAMETER VALIDATION LEADS TO UNAUTHORIZED ACTIONS - CRITICAL(10)

Description:

In the protocol, when calling functions, important configuration parameters (e.g., IDs and prices) are provided by Unlockd using a data structure verified by the `sig` signature parameter. However, it was identified that some important parameters are not included in this verified data structure and can be controlled by the caller.

For example, in the `Action` module, it has been identified that when a user takes out a loan, regardless of the `SignAction` loan configuration assigned to them, they can specify other assets to be locked instead of the ones that were supposed to be used as collateral. By exploiting this vulnerability, the user can borrow a loan and lock assets having significantly less value than the supposed collateral.

It was identified that this type of error occurs frequently in the contracts, and other modules are also affected. For example, the `cancelClaim` function in the `Market` module does not check whether the user-controlled `orderId` parameter matches the `SignMarket` data.

Code Location:

The `uToken`, `amount` and `assets` parameters of the `borrow()` function can be changed by the user:

Listing 1: `src/protocol/modules/Action.sol` (Lines 65-67)

```
64  function borrow(  
65      address uToken,  
66      uint256 amount,  
67      DataTypes.Asset[] calldata assets,  
68      DataTypes.SignAction calldata signAction,  
69      DataTypes.EIP712Signature calldata sig
```

```

70 ) external isUTokenAllowed(uToken) {
71 ...

```

Listing 2: src/types/DataTypes.sol

```

124 struct Asset {
125     address collection;
126     uint256 tokenId;
127 }

```

However, the loan configuration is passed using the Unlocked controlled `SignAction` parameter:

Listing 3: src/types/DataTypes.sol

```

194 struct SignAction {
195     SignLoanConfig loan;
196     bytes32[] assets;
197     uint256 nonce;
198     uint256 deadline;
199 }

```

Listing 4: src/types/DataTypes.sol

```

140 struct SignLoanConfig {
141     bytes32 loanId;
142     uint256 aggLoanPrice;
143     uint256 aggLtv;
144     uint256 aggLiquidationThreshold;
145     uint88 totalAssets;
146     uint256 nonce;
147     uint256 deadline;
148 }

```

Note that both the user-controlled and the signed parameters contain asset IDs in different formats.

The `borrow()` function, when taking a loan, only checks if the length of the user-controlled `assets` parameter is equal to `totalAssets` and use the token IDs from there:

Listing 5: `src/protocol/modules/Action.sol` (Lines 67,77,89-91)

```

64  function borrow(
65      address uToken,
66      uint256 amount,
67      DataTypes.Asset[] calldata assets,
68      DataTypes.SignAction calldata signAction,
69      DataTypes.EIP712Signature calldata sig
70  ) external isUTokenAllowed(uToken) {
71      address msgSender = unpackTrailingParamMsgSender();
72      _checkHasUnlockdWallet(msgSender);
73
74      // We validate the signature
75      _validateSignature(msgSender, signAction, sig);
76
77      uint256 cachedAssets = assets.length;
78
79      DataTypes.ReserveData memory reserve = IUToken(uToken).
↳ getReserve();
80
81      // Generate the loanID
82      // Check if exist
83      DataTypes.Loan memory loan;
84      // New Loan
85      if (signAction.loan.loanId == 0) {
86          if (cachedAssets == 0) {
87              revert Errors.InvalidAssetAmount();
88          }
89          if (cachedAssets != signAction.loan.totalAssets) {
90              revert Errors.InvalidArrayLength();
91          }
92
93          // Create a new one
94          bytes32 loanId = LoanLogic.generateId(
95              msgSender,
96              signAction.loan.nonce,
97              signAction.loan.deadline
98          );
99          _loans[loanId].createLoan(
100              LoanLogic.ParamsCreateLoan({
101                  msgSender: msgSender,

```

```
102         uToken: uToken,  
103         underlyingAsset: reserve.underlyingAsset,  
104         // We added only when we lock the assets  
105         totalAssets: 0,  
106         loanId: loanId  
107     })  
108 );  
109 ...  
110 }
```

Note that it was identified that the unit tests of the protocol generated the parameters of the functions using the same data source, making it difficult to build test cases where the token IDs provided by the user and Unlocked did not match. This increases the likelihood of not identifying similar vulnerabilities, as unit tests do not cover such cases.

Proof of Concept:

1. Create a `signAction` parameter for the user.
2. Call the borrow function using different assets as a parameter. The number of assets must be the same, and the user needs to be their owner.
3. Verify that the NFTs passed in the user-controlled parameter are locked instead of the ones passed in the `signAction` parameter.

The following image displays the used parameters and assets' statuses during the above borrowing process:

```

----- User-controlled parameters -----
UToken: WETH
Amount to borrow: 1000000000000000000
asset token IDs:
- 2
- 3
----- SignAction parameters -----
Loan ID: 0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
asset token IDs (numeric representation):
- 0
- 1
amountToBorrow 1000000000000000000
aggloanPrice 2000000000000000000
aggltv 6000
agglLiquidationThreshold 6000
totalAssets 2
----- Borrow function call -----
*** success ***
----- Assets' statuses after the borrow -----
- 0 - not locked
- 1 - not locked
- 2 - locked
- 3 - locked

```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:C/Y:N/R:N/S:U (10)

Recommendation:

The functions of the modules should be reviewed, and all parameters that should not be user-controlled should be moved inside the `Unlockd` signed data structure parameters. This includes identifiers and other important parameters that determine the values inside the `Unlockd` signed data structure (e.g., leverage value depends on the used asset IDs).

It is also recommended to extend the unit tests with more customization options. This includes testing scenarios where the user-controlled parameters are generated independently of the signed parameters. For example, if the user is allowed to control the underlying asset of the loan, borrowing other underlying assets that `WETH` should be added to the tests.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Unlockd Finance team` solved the issue in commits `3f6e82a`, `38cc3ef` `4bcb910` by removing the `uToken` parameters and adding additional validation to the functions.

4.2 (HAL-02) IMPROPER COLLATERAL HEALTH CHECK LEADS TO BORROW FUNDS WITHOUT ENOUGH COLLATERAL - CRITICAL(10)

Description:

It was identified that the `borrow()` function in the `Action` module employs an inappropriate health factor check, and therefore, it is possible to borrow funds regardless of the collateral used for the loan.

Code Location:

The borrow `amount` is passed by the user:

Listing 6: `src/protocol/modules/Action.sol`

```

64  function borrow(
65      address uToken,
66      uint256 amount,
67      DataTypes.Asset[] calldata assets,
68      DataTypes.SignAction calldata signAction,
69      DataTypes.EIP712Signature calldata sig
70  ) external isUTokenAllowed(uToken) {
71      address msgSender = unpackTrailingParamMsgSender();
72      _checkHasUnlockdWallet(msgSender);

```

The `validateFutureLoanState` function is used to validate the state of the loan in the `borrow()` function:

Listing 7: `src/protocol/modules/Action.sol`

```

153      // If the amount is 0 we don't need to borrow more
154      if (amount != 0) {
155          // We validate if the user can borrow
156          ValidationLogic.validateFutureLoanState(
157              ValidationLogic.ValidateLoanStateParams({

```

```

158         user: msgSender,
159         amount: amount,
160         price: 0,
161         reserveOracle: _reserveOracle,
162         reserve: reserve,
163         loanConfig: signAction.loan
164     })
165 );

```

The `healthFactor` calculation in the `ValidationLogic` library returns an invalid data, resulting in always passing the health check:

Listing 8: `src/libraries/logic/ValidationLogic.sol`

```

153     (uint256 userCollateralBalance, uint256 userTotalDebt, uint256
↳ healthFactor) = GenericLogic
154         .calculateFutureLoanData(
155             params.loanConfig.loanId,
156             params.amount,
157             params.price,
158             params.user,
159             params.reserveOracle,
160             params.reserve,
161             params.loanConfig
162         );

```

The `healthFactor` is calculated based on the `updatedDebt` value.

Listing 9: `src/libraries/logic/GenericLogic.sol`

```

153     // If the total assets are 0, then we need to calculate the
↳ collateral with the current value
154     uint256 collateral = loanConfig.totalAssets == 0 ? price :
↳ loanConfig.aggLoanPrice;
155     vars.totalCollateralInReserve = collateral.mulDiv(vars.
↳ reserveUnit, vars.reserveUnitPrice);
156
157     uint256 updatedDebt = vars.totalDebtInReserve > amount ? vars.
↳ totalDebtInReserve - amount : 0;
158     // Calculate the HF
159     vars.healthFactor = calculateHealthFactorFromBalances(
160         vars.totalCollateralInReserve,

```

```

161         updatedDebt,
162         loanConfig.aggLiquidationThreshold
163     );

```

The functions return the maximum uint256 value as the `totalDebt` (`updatedDebt`) is 0:

Listing 10: `src/libraries/logic/GenericLogic.sol`

```

153     function calculateHealthFactorFromBalances(
154         uint256 totalCollateral,
155         uint256 totalDebt,
156         uint256 liquidationThreshold
157     ) internal pure returns (uint256 healthFactor) {
158
159         healthFactor = totalDebt == 0
160             ? type(uint256).max
161             : (totalCollateral.percentMul(liquidationThreshold)).wadDiv(
162                 ↳ totalDebt);
163     }

```

Proof of Concept:

1. Create a `signAction` parameter for the user.
2. Call the borrow function using a higher amount than the collateral.
3. Verify that the borrow action was successful.

The following image displays the state variables during the above borrowing process:

```

----- TEST BORROW FUNCTION -----
0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
amountToBorrow 500000000000000000
aggLoanPrice 200000000000000000
aggLtv 6000
aggLiquidationThreshold 6000
totalAssets 1
|-calculateFutureLoanData ----- <
reserveUnitPrice      : 1000000000000000000
reserveUnit           : 1000000000000000000
totalDebtInReserve    : 0
updatedDebt           : 0
aggLiquidationThreshold : 6000
-----
|--validateFutureLoanState ----- <
Total Collateral Balance : 2000000000000000000
userTotalDebt            : 0
HF                        : 115792089237316195423570985008687907853269984665640564039457584007913129639935
LTV                      : 6000
LIQUIDATION              : 1000000000000000000
AMOUNT REPAY             : 5000000000000000000

```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:C/Y:N/R:N/S:U (10)

Recommendation:

The loan health calculation should be fixed to return the actual value based on the user's collateral.

The unit tests of the modules should be extended with tests verifying that the health check is returning the expected value in every use case.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Unlocked Finance team` solved the issue in commit `92e8b46` by fixing the health factor calculation.

4.3 (HAL-03) MISSING AUTHORIZATION CHECK IN CANCELCLAIM – CRITICAL(10)

Description:

It was identified that the `cancelClaim()` function in the Market contract can be executed by anyone, not by just the order's winner. A user can get a `signMarket` data and then use it to cancel other users' claim by passing their `orderId` when calling the `cancelClaim` function. Canceling the claim will prevent the winner from getting their assets once an auction is ended.

Code Location:

The `cancelClaim()` function lacks authorization checks. The `msgSender` is only used to verify the nonce in the `_validateSignature()` function. If the `msgSender` has the same nonce, it will pass the verification check.

Listing 11: `src/protocol/modules/Market.sol`

```

526 function cancelClaim(
527     bool claimOnUWallet,
528     bytes32 orderId,
529     DataTypes.SignMarket calldata signMarket,
530     DataTypes.EIP712Signature calldata sig
531 ) external {
532     address msgSender = unpackTrailingParamMsgSender();
533     _validateSignature(msgSender, signMarket, sig);
534     DataTypes.Order memory order = _orders[orderId];
535
536     // Get the loan assigned to the Order
537     DataTypes.Loan storage loan = _loans[order.offer.loanId];
538
539     {
540         // Avoid stack too deep
541         uint88 loanTotalAssets = loan.totalAssets;
542         DataTypes.LoanState loanState = loan.state;
543         // Validate if the order is ended
544         ValidationLogic.validateOrderClaim(

```


Recommendation:

The functions of modules should be reviewed to ensure that only authorized users can call them. If the function is to be called by arbitrary users, the information should be included in the function documentation.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The **Unlockd Finance team** solved the issue in commit, [4bcb910](#) by adding additional validation to the **cancelClaim** function.

4.4 (HAL-04) LOAN IS DELETED INSTEAD OF ACTIVATION - CRITICAL(10)

Description:

It was identified that the `finalize()` function in the `Auction` module improperly deleted the still active offer loan instead of activating it because of an improper conditional check applied in the function.

It was also identified that the `forceSell()` function in the `SellNow` module has a similar issue, deleting the whole loan when selling only one asset.

Assets remaining in the deleted loans will be stuck, and their owners will not be able to retrieve them.

Code Location:

The `finalize()` and `forceSell()` functions improperly handle the usecase when the `totalAssets` of the loan is 1:

Listing 12: `src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol`

```
467     if (signAuction.loan.totalAssets > 1) {
468         // Activate loan
469         loan.activate();
470         loan.totalAssets = signAuction.loan.totalAssets;
471     } else {
472         // If there is only one we can remove the loan
473         delete _loans[offerLoanId];
474     }
```

Listing 13: `src/protocol/modules/SellNow.sol`

```
125     if (signSellNow.loan.totalAssets > 1) {
126         // Activate loan
127         _loans[loan.loanId].activate();
128         _loans[signSellNow.loan.loanId].totalAssets = signSellNow.
    ↪ loan.totalAssets;
129     } else {
```

```

130         // If there is only one we can remove the loan
131         delete _loans[loan.loanId];
132     }

```

Proof of Concept:

1. Finalize a liquidation auction having two total assets.
2. Verify that instead of activation, the loan is deleted during finalization.

The following image displays the loan's state change during the above auction finalization process:

```

Offer Loan Data
Loan ID: 0x613435c0076b5b5f77423d72c25a27423a1d25b7d7ca24850cfaee3bddfe3270
Loan Total Assets: 2
-----
*** auction finalize success ***
-----
Offer Loan Data
Loan ID: 0x0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 (deleted)
Loan Total Assets: 0

```

Note that after auctioning 1 NFT, the loan with the remaining 1 asset was deleted.

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:C/Y:N/R:N/S:U (10)

Recommendation:

The conditions should be fixed so that the loans are only deleted when no more assets remain in them.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Unlockd Finance team` solved the issue in commits `ce7b94f` and `76a0374`.

4.5 (HAL-05) LOANID IS NOT UPDATED PROPERLY DURING AUCTION FINALIZATION - CRITICAL(10)

Description:

It was identified that in the `finalize()` function in the `Auction` module, if the bidder has a loan with the new asset, the `loanId` is set to the offer's `loanId` instead of the bidder's `loanId`.

If the bidder has no loan, the `loanId` is not set to zero. Therefore, the assets won in the auction remained locked in their wallets.

Code Location:

The `finalize()` function is setting the `loanId` to `loan.loanId` instead of `order.bid.loanId`:

Listing 14: `src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol` (Line 441)

```

430     // If the bidder has a loan with the new asset
431     // we need to activate the loan and change the ownership to
    ↳ this new loan
432     if (order.bid.loanId != 0) {
433         (address walletBuyer, address protocolOwnerBuyer) =
    ↳ GenericLogic.getMainWallet(
434             _walletRegistry,
435             buyer
436         );
437
438         // Change the address of the buyer to the UnlockdWallet
439         buyer = walletBuyer;
440         // Block the asset
441         IProtocolOwner(protocolOwnerBuyer).setLoanId(signAuction.
    ↳ assetId, loan.loanId);
442         // Activate the loan from the bidder
443         _loans[order.bid.loanId].activate();
444     }

```

Proof of Concept:

1. Finalize a liquidation auction.
2. Verify that the loan ID is not updated properly.

The following image displays the asset's state change during the above auction finalization process:

```
Asset owner: 0xD554847189167Cbb4fFe9430040bD10E0E395516
Asset loan ID: 0x613435c0076b5b5f77423d72c25a27423a1d25b7d7ca24850cfaee3bddfe3270
-----
*** auction finalize success ***
-----
Asset owner: 0xf7f2F5c97eA304a20B34b3aee4771ED7255e7cbD
Asset loan ID: 0x613435c0076b5b5f77423d72c25a27423a1d25b7d7ca24850cfaee3bddfe3270
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:C/Y:N/R:N/S:U (10)

Recommendation:

The loan ID of the asset should be correctly updated in the finalize function to reflect the ownership and state changes.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The [Unlockd Finance team](#) solved the issue in commit [1e76e61](#).

4.6 (HAL-06) LACK OF ORACLE DATA FEED VALIDATION – MEDIUM (5.0)

Description:

It was identified that the `AggregatorV3Interface` data source is not sufficiently validated, as there is no check for stale price in the `getAssetPrice()` function of the `ReserveOracle` contract. The lack of validation might result in receiving outdated data in the time of big price movements.

Code Location:

The price data returned from the aggregator is not validated:

Listing 15: `src/libraries/oracles/ReserveOracle.sol` (Line 95)

```

85  function getAssetPrice(address priceFeedKey) external view
    ↳ override returns (uint256) {
86      if (priceFeedKey == address(0)) revert Errors.
    ↳ InvalidPriceFeedKey();
87      AggregatorV3Interface aggregator = _priceFeedMap[priceFeedKey
    ↳ ];
88
89      if (priceFeedKey == BASE_CURRENCY) {
90          return BASE_CURRENCY_UNIT;
91      }
92
93      if (address(aggregator) == address(0)) revert Errors.
    ↳ InvalidAggregator();
94
95      (, int256 _price, , , ) = aggregator.latestRoundData();
96
97      return uint256(_price);
98  }

```

The aggregator returns additional data that can be used for price validation:

Listing 16: AggregatorV3Interface.sol

```

40  function latestRoundData()
41      external
42      view
43      returns (
44          uint80 roundId,
45          int256 answer,
46          uint256 startedAt,
47          uint256 updatedAt,
48          uint80 answeredInRound
49      );
50  }

```

Proof of Concept:

1. The user tries to get a loan without enough collateral.
2. During the future loan state validation, the reserve oracle returns a stale price.
3. This results in invalid health factor data because it is calculated based on the outdated reserve unit price.
4. Because of the inaccurate health factor, the future loan state health check is passed when they should not.

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:M/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (5.0)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to validate the `updatedAt` parameter of the received price data to avoid stale prices.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Unlockd Finance team` solved the issue in commit [764c362](#).

4.7 (HAL-07) IMPROPER RESERVOIR WITHDRAWERC20 IMPLEMENTATION – MEDIUM (5.0)

Description:

It was identified that the `withdrawERC20` function in the `ReservoirAdapter` has improper implementation as it withdraws the Ether from the contract. Therefore, it is not possible to withdraw the `ERC20` tokens from the contract.

Note that the `ReservoirAdapter` contract is not `upgradeable`. And therefore, the received tokens will be stuck in the contracts indefinitely.

Also note that, only the `Protocol` can use these function, and not the `Protocol Admin`.

Code Location:

The `withdrawERC20` uses the same implementation as the `withdraw` function:

Listing 17: `src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol` (Lines 180–186)

```
112 function withdraw(address payable _to) external onlyProtocol {
113     (bool sent, ) = _to.call{value: address(this).balance}('');
114     if (sent == false) revert Errors.UnsuccessfulExecution();
115 }
116
117 function withdrawERC20(address payable _to) external
118     ↳ onlyProtocol {
119     (bool sent, ) = _to.call{value: address(this).balance}('');
120     if (sent == false) revert Errors.UnsuccessfulExecution();
121 }
```

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:M/Y:N/R:N/S:U (5.0)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to fix the `withdrawERC20` function to enable the protocol to withdraw the `ERC20` tokens from the `ReservoirAdapter` contract.

It is also recommended to review if the authorization of the functions is aligned with their business requirements.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Unlockd Finance` team solved the issue in commit [3f6e82a](#).

4.8 (HAL-08) RESERVE STATE IS NOT UPDATED IN CREATE – LOW (2.5)

Description:

It was identified that the reserve state is not updated in the `create()` function of the `Market` module. If the auction is created with an NFT that is in a loan, a health check is executed to check the loan's state. Without updating to the latest borrow index, the data might be outdated, and the health check might be passed even without enough collateral.

Code Location:

If the auction is created with an NFT that is in a loan, the reserve state should be updated to reflect the latest state during the loan state validation:

Listing 18: `src/protocol/modules/Market.sol` (Lines 161-169)

```

148     } else {
149         if (loan.uToken != uToken) {
150             revert Errors.InvalidUToken();
151         }
152
153         if (loan.owner != msgSender) {
154             revert Errors.InvalidLoanOwner();
155         }
156
157         if (signMarket.loan.totalAssets == loan.totalAssets) {
158             revert Errors.LoanNotUpdated();
159         }
160
161         ValidationLogic.validateFutureLoanState(
162             ValidationLogic.ValidateLoanStateParams({
163                 user: msgSender,
164                 amount: config.startAmount,
165                 price: signMarket.assetPrice,
166                 reserveOracle: _reserveOracle,
167                 reserve: IUToken(loan.uToken).getReserve(),
168                 loanConfig: signMarket.loan

```

```
169         })
170     );
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:L/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (2.5)

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the reserve state be updated to reflect the latest borrow index during the health check in the `create()` function.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Unlockd Finance team` solved the issue in commit `3aaad20`.

4.9 (HAL-09) DANGEROUS REENTRANT PATTERNS – LOW (2.5)

Description:

It's been identified several operations that involve the transference of `ERC721` tokens, these tokens when are transferred to other accounts, in case these accounts contain smart contracts on them, it is necessary to implement specific functions such as `onERC1155Received` and `onERC1155BatchReceived` in order to accept the received tokens without reverting the transactions.

This kind of execution pattern generates reentrancy opportunities that can be exploited in case of critical parts of the storage are not updated properly before the reentrant code is executed, which could create inconsistencies between an amount of assets associated to a smart contract and a value stored in its internal storage, for instance.

Code Location:

The `Checks-Effects-Interactions` pattern is violated in the `finalize()` function:

Listing 19: `src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol` (Lines 462-467)

```

462     IProtocolOwner(protocolOwner).changeOwner(
463         signAuction.collection,
464         signAuction.tokenId,
465         // We send the asset to
466         buyer
467     );
468
469     // The start amount it was payed as a debt
470     uint256 amount = order.bid.amountOfDebt + order.bid.
↳ amountToPay - order.offer.startAmount;
471     loan.underlyingAsset.safeTransfer(order.owner, amount);
472     // Remove the order
473     delete _orders[orderId];
474

```

```

475     // Check the messe it's correct
476     if (_loans[loan.loanId].totalAssets != signAuction.loan.
↳ totalAssets + 1) {
477         revert Errors.TokenAssetsMismatch();
478     }
479     if (signAuction.loan.totalAssets == 0) {
480         // If there is only one we can remove the loan
481         delete _loans[offerLoanId];
482     } else {
483         // Activate loan
484         loan.activate();
485         loan.totalAssets = signAuction.loan.totalAssets;
486     }

```

The Checks-Effects-Interactions pattern is violated in the `claim()` function:

Listing 20: `src/protocol/modules/Market.sol` (Lines 517-521)

```

517     IProtocolOwner(delegationOwnerOwner).changeOwner(
518         signMarket.collection,
519         signMarket.tokenId,
520         buyer
521     );
522
523     delete _orders[order.orderId];

```

The Checks-Effects-Interactions pattern is violated in the `buyNow()` function:

Listing 21: `src/protocol/modules/Market.sol` (Line 770)

```

770     IProtocolOwner(protocolOwner).changeOwner(signMarket.
↳ collection, signMarket.tokenId, buyer);
771
772     // We remove the current order asociated to this asset
773     delete _orders[orderId];
774
775     if (_loans[loan.loanId].totalAssets != signMarket.loan.
↳ totalAssets + 1) {
776         revert Errors.TokenAssetsMismatch();
777     }

```



```
778     // We check the status
779     if (signMarket.loan.totalAssets == 0) {
780         // Remove the loan because doesn't have more assets
781         delete _loans[loan.loanId];
782     } else {
783         // We update the counter
784         _loans[loan.loanId].totalAssets = signMarket.loan.
    ↪ totalAssets;
785         _loans[loan.loanId].activate();
786     }
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:L/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (2.5)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to always follow the **Checks-Effects-Interactions** pattern, which involves performing all necessary checks and updating internal state before interacting with any external contracts.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The **Unlockd Finance team** solved the issue in commit [118ab97](#).

4.10 (HAL-10) IMPROPER WITHDRAW LIQUIDITY CHECK - LOW (2.5)

Description:

It was identified that the `withdraw()` function in the `UToken` contract employed an improper available liquidity check. If the `type(uint256).max` value is used as the `amount` parameter, the function interprets it to withdraw the user's entire balance. However, it was identified that, in this case, the function tries to compare the available liquidity to the parameter value and not to the user's balance.

Code Location:

Listing 22: `src/protocol/UToken.sol` (Lines 180-186)

```
112 function withdraw(uint256 amount, address to) external
    ↳ nonReentrant isActive returns (uint256) {
113     Errors.verifyNotZero(to);
114     Errors.verifyNotZero(amount);
115     uint256 userBalance = this.balanceOf(_msgSender());
116     if (amount > userBalance) {
117         revert Errors.AmountExceedsBalance();
118     }
119     uint256 amountToWithdraw = amount;
120
121     if (amount == type(uint256).max) {
122         amountToWithdraw = userBalance;
123     }
124     uint256 availableLiquidity = super.totalSupply();
125     if (amount > availableLiquidity) {
126         revert Errors.NotEnoughLiquidity();
127     }
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:L/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (2.5)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to alter the function to compare the available liquidity to the `amountToWithdraw` variable.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Unlockd Finance team` solved the issue in commit `3f6e82a` by reworking the `UToken` contract.

4.11 (HAL-11) MISSING ZERO ADDRESS CHECKS - INFORMATIONAL (0.8)

Description:

It was identified that the several parameters in the contracts lack zero address validation.

Code Location:

`src/protocol/DebtToken.sol`

- Line 37: `setUToken` is missing zero address checks for `uToken`.

`src/protocol/UToken.sol`

- Line 37: `initialize` is missing zero address checks for `treasury`.

`src/deployer/DeployUTokenConfig.sol`

- Line 28: `constructor` is missing zero address checks for `admin`, `adminUpdater` and `aclManager`.

`src/deployer/DeployUToken.sol`

- Line 27: `constructor` is missing zero address checks for `admin`, `aclManager`.

`src/deployer/DeployPeriphery.sol`

- Line 28: `constructor` is missing zero address checks for `adminUpdater`, `aclManager`.

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:H/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:L/Y:N/R:N/S:U (0.8)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to add zero address validation for the listed parameters.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Unlockd Finance team` solved the issue in commit `3f6e82a`. The `UToken`, `DebtToken` contracts were reworked.

4.12 (HAL-12) MISSING EVENTS FOR CONTRACT OPERATIONS – INFORMATIONAL (0.8)

Description:

It was identified that several admin functions from the `ReserveOracle` and `Manager` contracts do not emit any events. As a result, blockchain monitoring systems might not be able to timely detect suspicious behaviors related to these functions.

`src/libraries/oracles/ReserveOracle.sol`

- Line 67: `removeAggregator`

`src/protocol/modules/Manager.sol`

- Line 118: `emergencyFreezeLoan`
- Line 127: `emergencyActiveLoan`
- Line 137: `emergencyUpdateEndTimeAuction`

BVSS:

AO:A/AC:L/AX:H/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:L/Y:N/R:N/S:U (0.8)

Recommendation:

Consider adding events for all important operations to help monitor the contracts and detect suspicious behavior. A monitoring system that tracks relevant events would allow the timely detection of compromised system components.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Unlockd Finance team` solved the issue in commit `dcff6b7` by reviewing the management functions and adding events where it deemed advantageous.



AUTOMATED TESTING



5.1 STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT

Description:

Halborn used automated testing techniques to enhance the coverage of certain areas of the smart contracts in scope. Among the tools used was Slither, a Solidity static analysis framework. After Halborn verified the smart contracts in the repository and was able to compile them correctly into their ABIs and binary format, Slither was run against the contracts. This tool can statically verify mathematical relationships between Solidity variables to detect invalid or inconsistent usage of the contracts' APIs across the entire code-base.

The security team assessed all findings identified by the Slither software, however, findings with severity **Information** and **Optimization** are not included in the below results for the sake of report readability.

Results:

`src/utils/BlockContext.sol`

Slither did not identify any vulnerabilities in the contract.

`src/protocol/DebtToken.sol`

Slither results for DebtToken.sol	
Finding	Impact
DebtToken.setUToken(address).uToken (src/protocol/DebtToken.sol#54) lacks a zero-check on : - _uToken = uToken (src/protocol/DebtToken.sol#55)	Low
End of table for DebtToken.sol	

src/protocol/UToken.sol

Slither results for UToken.sol	
Finding	Impact
UToken.repayOnBehalf(bytes32,uint256,address,address) (src/protocol/UToken.sol#186-216) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: IERC20(_reserve.underlyingAsset).safeTransferFrom(fro m,address(this),amount) (src/protocol/UToken.sol#196)	High
UToken._decimals (src/protocol/UToken.sol#31) is never initialized. It is used in: - UToken.decimals() (src/protocol/UToken.sol#329-331)	High
UToken.updateStateReserve() (src/protocol/UToken.sol#308-310) ignores return value by _reserve.updateState() (src/protocol/UToken.sol#309)	Medium
UToken.borrowOnBehalf(bytes32,uint256,address,address) (src/protocol/UToken.sol#148-184) ignores return value by IDebtToke n(_reserve.debtTokenAddress).mint(loanId,onBehalfOf,amount,_reserve .variableBorrowIndex) (src/protocol/UToken.sol#165-170)	Medium
UToken.setTreasuryAddress(address).treasury (src/protocol/UToken.sol#324) lacks a zero-check on : - _treasury = treasury (src/protocol/UToken.sol#326)	Low
UToken.initialize(address,address,address,address,address,uint8,uin t16,string,string).treasury (src/protocol/UToken.sol#48) lacks a zero-check on : - _treasury = treasury (src/protocol/UToken.sol#58)	Low
UToken.setTreasury(address).treasury (src/protocol/UToken.sol#223) lacks a zero-check on : - _treasury = treasury (src/protocol/UToken.sol#225)	Low
End of table for UToken.sol	

src/protocol/Unlockd.sol

Slither results for Unlockd.sol	
Finding	Impact
Unlockd.constructor(address,address).aclManager (src/protocol/Unlockd.sol#11) lacks a zero-check on : - _aclManager = aclManager (src/protocol/Unlockd.sol#17)	Low
End of table for Unlockd.sol	

src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol

Slither results for ReservoirAdapter.sol	
Finding	Impact
ReservoirAdapter.sell(IMarketAdapter.SellParams) (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#54-67) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: IERC20(params.underlyingAsset).safeTransferFrom(params.wallet,msg.sender,params.marketPrice) (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#66)	High
ReservoirAdapter._rawExec(address,uint256,bytes) (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#99-104) sends eth to arbitrary user Dangerous calls: - (sent) = address(to).call{value: value}(data) (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#102)	High
ReservoirAdapter.withdrawERC20(address) (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#94-97) sends eth to arbitrary user Dangerous calls: - (sent) = _to.call{value: address(this).balance}() (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#95)	High
ReservoirAdapter.withdraw(address) (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#89-92) sends eth to arbitrary user Dangerous calls: - (sent) = _to.call{value: address(this).balance}() (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#90)	High
ReservoirAdapter.withdrawERC20(address) (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#94-97) uses a dangerous strict equality: - sent == false (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#96)	Medium

Finding	Impact
ReservoirAdapter.withdraw(address) (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#89-92) uses a dangerous strict equality: - sent == false (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#91)	Medium
ReservoirAdapter.buy(IMarketAdapter.BuyParams) (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#73-87) ignores return value by IERC20(params.underlyingAsset).approve(params.marketApproval,params.marketPrice) (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#77)	Medium
ReservoirAdapter.sell(IMarketAdapter.SellParams) (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#54-67) ignores return value by IProtocolOwner(params.protocolOwner).execTransaction(params.to,params.value,params.data,0,0,0,address(0),address(0)) (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#55-64)	Medium
ReservoirAdapter.withdraw(address)._to (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#89) lacks a zero-check on : - (sent) = _to.call{value: address(this).balance}() (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#90)	Low
ReservoirAdapter.constructor(address,address,address).reservoir (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#37) lacks a zero-check on : - RESERVOIR = reservoir (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#38)	Low
ReservoirAdapter.constructor(address,address,address).aclManager (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#37) lacks a zero-check on : - _aclManager = aclManager (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#40)	Low
ReservoirAdapter.constructor(address,address,address).eth (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#37) lacks a zero-check on : - ETH_RESERVOIR = eth (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#39)	Low

Finding	Impact
ReservoirAdapter.withdrawERC20(address)._to (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#94) lacks a zero-check on : - (sent) = _to.call{value: address(this).balance}() (src/protocol/adapters/ReservoirAdapter.sol#95)	Low
End of table for ReservoirAdapter.sol	

src/protocol/modules/Market.sol

Slither results for Market.sol	
Finding	Impact
Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: IERC20(loan.underlyingAsset).safeTransferFrom(msgSender,address(this),amountToPay) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#324)	High
Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: IERC20(underlyingAsset).safeTransferFrom(msgSender,address(this),amountToPay) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#670)	High

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(uToken).updateStateReserve() <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#650)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IERC20(underlyingAsset).safeTransferFrom(msgSender,address(this),amountToPay) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#670) - OrderLogic.borrowByBidder(OrderLogic.BorrowByBidderParams(newLoanId,msgSender,uToken,amountOfDebt,signMarket.assetPrice,signMarket.assetLtv)) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#693-702) - IProtocolOwner(delegationOwnerBuyer).setLoanId(order.offer.assetId,newLoanId) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#715) - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId,order.bid.buyer,_reserveOracle,uToken,underlyingAsset,order.bid.amountOfDebt,order.bid.amountToPay,reserve)) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#722-733) - totalAmount = OrderLogic.repayDebtToSell(order,OrderLogic.RepayDebtToSellParams(_reserveOracle,underlyingAsset,uToken,totalAmount,signMarket.loan.aggLoanPrice,signMarket.loan.aggLtv),reserve) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#742-753) - IERC20(underlyingAsset).safeTransfer(order.owner,totalAmount) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#757) - IProtocolOwner(delegationOwner_scope_0).changeOwner(signMarket.collection,signMarket.tokenId,buyer) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#763) State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - delete _loans[loan.loanId] (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#774) <p>CoreStorage._loans (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#55) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393) - Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789) - Market.cancel(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266) - Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608) - Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524) - Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#107-210) - _loans[loan.loanId].totalAssets = signMarket.loan.totalAssets (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#777) <p>CoreStorage._loans (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#55) can be used in cross</p>	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Market.cancel(bytes32)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(loanUToken).updateStateReserve() <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#243)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(bid.loanId, bid.buyer, _reserveOracle, loanUToken, loan.underlyingAsset, bid.amountOfDebt, bid.amountToPay, reserve)) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#245-256) State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - delete _loans[bid.loanId] (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#259) <p>CoreStorage._loans (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#55) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393) - Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789) - Market.cancel(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266) - Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608) - Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524) - Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#107-210) - delete _orders[orderId] (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#263) <p>CoreStorage._orders (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#57) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393) - Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789) - Market.cancel(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266) - Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608) - Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524) - Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#107-210) - Market.getBuyNowPrice(bytes32,address,uint256,uint256) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#80-96) - Market.getMinBidPrice(bytes32,address,uint256,uint256) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#58-71) - Market.getOrder(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#47-49) 	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(uToken).updateStateReserve() <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#650)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IERC20(underlyingAsset).safeTransferFrom(msgSender,address(this),amountToPay) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#670) - OrderLogic.borrowByBidder(OrderLogic.BorrowByBidderParams(newLoanId,msgSender,uToken,amountOfDebt,signMarket.assetPrice,signMarket.assetLtv)) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#693-702) - IProtocolOwner(delegationOwnerBuyer).setLoanId(order.offer.assetId,newLoanId) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#715) - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId,order.bid.buyer,_reserveOracle,uToken,underlyingAsset,order.bid.amountOfDebt,order.bid.amountToPay,reserve)) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#722-733) State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - delete _loans[order.bid.loanId] <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#737) CoreStorage._loans (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#55) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393) - Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789) - Market.cancel(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266) - Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608) - Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524) - Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#107-210)</p>	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(uToken).updateStateReserve() <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#557)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId,order.bid.buyer,_reserveOracle,uToken,loan.underlyingAsset,order.bid.amountOfDebt,order.bid.amountToPay,reserve)) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#579-590) State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - delete _loans[order.bid.loanId] <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#594) CoreStorage._loans (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#55) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393) - Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789) - Market.cancel(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266) - Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608) - Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524) - Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#107-210) - delete _orders[order.orderId] <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#599) CoreStorage._orders (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#57) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393) - Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789) - Market.cancel(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266) - Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608) - Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524) - Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#107-210) - Market.getBuyNowPrice(bytes32,address,uint256,uint256) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#80-96) 	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(uToken).updateStateReserve() <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#306)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IERC20(loan.underlyingAsset).safeTransferFrom(msgSender,address(this),amountToPay) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#324) - OrderLogic.borrowByBidder(OrderLogic.BorrowByBidderParams(loanId,msgSender,uToken,amountOfDebt,signMarket.assetPrice,signMarket.assetLtv)) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#335-344) - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId,order.bid.buyer,_reserveOracle,uToken,loan.underlyingAsset,order.bid.amountOfDebt,order.bid.amountToPay,reserve)) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#362-373) State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - delete _loans[order.bid.loanId] <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#377) CoreStorage._loans (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#55) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393) - Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789) - Market.cancel(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266) - Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608) - Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524) - Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#107-210) - order.countBids ++ (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#381) <p>CoreStorage._orders (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#57) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393) - Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789) - Market.cancel(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266) - Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608) - Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524) - Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#107-210) 	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524):</p> <p>External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(uToken).updateStateReserve() (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#433) - totalAmount = OrderLogic.repayDebtToSell(order,OrderLogic.RepayDebtToSellParams(_reserveOracle,underlyingAsset,uToken,totalAmount,signMarket.loan.aggLoanPrice,signMarket.loan.aggLtv),reserve) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#453-464) - IERC20(underlyingAsset).safeTransfer(order.owner,totalAmount) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#467) - IProtocolOwner(buyerDelegationOwner).setLoanId(order.offer.assetId,order.bid.loanId) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#486) - IProtocolOwner(delegationOwnerOwner).changeOwner(signMarket.collection,signMarket.tokenId,buyer) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#514-518) State variables written after the call(s): - delete _orders[order.orderId] (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#520) CoreStorage._orders (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#57) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393) - Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789) - Market.cancel(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266) - Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608) - Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524) - Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#107-210) - Market.getBuyNowPrice(bytes32,address,uint256,uint256) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#80-96) - Market.getMinBidPrice(bytes32,address,uint256,uint256) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#58-71) - Market.getOrder(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#47-49) 	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in <code>Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524</code>):</p> <p>External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <code>IUToken(uToken).updateStateReserve()</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#433</code>) - <code>totalAmount = OrderLogic.repayDebtToSell(order,OrderLogic.RepayDebtToSellParams(_reserveOracle,underlyingAsset,uToken,totalAmount,signMarket.loan.aggLoanPrice,signMarket.loan.aggLtv),reserve)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#453-464</code>) - <code>IERC20(underlyingAsset).safeTransfer(order.owner,totalAmount)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#467</code>) - <code>IProtocolOwner(buyerDelegationOwner).setLoanId(order.offer.assetId,order.bid.loanId)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#486</code>) <p>State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <code>delete _loans[loanId]</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#500</code>) <p><code>CoreStorage._loans</code> (<code>src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#55</code>) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <code>Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393</code>) - <code>Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789</code>) - <code>Market.cancel(bytes32)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266</code>) - <code>Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608</code>) - <code>Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524</code>) - <code>Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#107-210</code>) - <code>_loans[loanId].totalAssets = signMarket.loan.totalAssets</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#503</code>) <p><code>CoreStorage._loans</code> (<code>src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#55</code>) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <code>Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393</code>) - <code>Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789</code>) - <code>Market.cancel(bytes32)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266</code>) - <code>Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608</code>) - <code>Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524</code>) - <code>Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</code> 	Medium

Finding	Impact
Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature).delegationOwner_scope_0 (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#759) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature).buyerDelegationOwner (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#471) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature).delegationOwnerBuyer (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#674) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524) ignores return value by ValidationLogic.validateFutureLoanState(ValidationLogic.ValidateLoanStateParams(order.owner,totalAmount,signMarket.assetPrice,_reserveOracle,IUToken(loan.uToken).getReserve(),signMarket.loan)) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#441-450)	Medium
Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#107-210) ignores return value by ValidationLogic.validateFutureLoanState(ValidationLogic.ValidateLoanStateParams(msgSender,config.startAmount,signMarket.assetPrice,_reserveOracle,IUToken(loan.uToken).getReserve(),signMarket.loan)) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#161-170)	Medium
Market.cancel(bytes32).bid (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#225) shadows: - Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393) (function)	Low

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Market.cancelClaim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#526-608): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(uToken).updateStateReserve() <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#557)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId,order.bid.buyer,_reserveOracle,uToken,loan.underlyingAsset,order.bid.amountOfDebt,order.bid.amountToPay,reserve)) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#579-590) Event emitted after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - MarketCancelBid(order.offer.loanId,order.orderId,signMarket.assetId,totalAmount,order.owner) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#601-607)</p>	Low
<p>Reentrancy in Market.bid(bytes32,uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#276-393): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(uToken).updateStateReserve() <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#306)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IERC20(loan.underlyingAsset).safeTransferFrom(msgSender,address(this),amountToPay) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#324) - OrderLogic.borrowByBidder(OrderLogic.BorrowByBidderParams(loanId,msgSender,uToken,amountOfDebt,signMarket.assetPrice,signMarket.assetLtv)) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#335-344) - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId,order.bid.buyer,_reserveOracle,uToken,loan.underlyingAsset,order.bid.amountOfDebt,order.bid.amountToPay,reserve)) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#362-373) Event emitted after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - MarketBid(loanId,order.orderId,order.offer.assetId,totalAmount,msgSender) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#392) 	Low
<p>Reentrancy in Market.create(address,DataTypes.OrderType,IMarketModule.CreateOrderInput,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#107-210): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IProtocolOwner(delegationOwner).setLoanId(signMarket.assetId,loan.loanId) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#147) Event emitted after the call(s): - MarketCreated(signMarket.loan.loanId,orderId,signMarket.assetId,signMarket.collection,signMarket.tokenId) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#203-209)</p>	Low

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in <code>Market.claim(bool,bytes32,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#402-524</code>):</p> <p>External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <code>IUToken(uToken).updateStateReserve()</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#433</code>) - <code>totalAmount = OrderLogic.repayDebtToSell(order,OrderLogic.RepayDebtToSellParams(_reserveOracle,underlyingAsset,uToken,totalAmount,signMarket.loan.aggLoanPrice,signMarket.loan.aggLtv),reserve)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#453-464</code>) - <code>IERC20(underlyingAsset).safeTransfer(order.owner,totalAmount)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#467</code>) - <code>IProtocolOwner(buyerDelegationOwner).setLoanId(order.offer.assetId,order.bid.loanId)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#486</code>) - <code>IProtocolOwner(delegationOwnerOwner).changeOwner(signMarket.collection,signMarket.tokenId,buyer)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#514-518</code>) Event emitted after the call(s): - <code>MarketClaim(loanId,order.orderId,signMarket.assetId,totalAmount,msgSender)</code> (<code>src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#522</code>) 	Low

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Market.buyNow(bool,bytes32,uint256,uint256,DataTypes.SignMarket,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#619-789): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(uToken).updateStateReserve() <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#650)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IERC20(underlyingAsset).safeTransferFrom(msgSender,address(this),amountToPay) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#670) - OrderLogic.borrowByBidder(OrderLogic.BorrowByBidderParams(newLoanId,msgSender,uToken,amountOfDebt,signMarket.assetPrice,signMarket.assetLtv)) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#693-702) - IProtocolOwner(delegationOwnerBuyer).setLoanId(order.offer.assetId,newLoanId) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#715) - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId,order.bid.buyer,_reserveOracle,uToken,underlyingAsset,order.bid.amountOfDebt,order.bid.amountToPay,reserve)) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#722-733) - totalAmount = OrderLogic.repayDebtToSell(order,OrderLogic.RepayDebtToSellParams(_reserveOracle,underlyingAsset,uToken,totalAmount,signMarket.loan.aggLoanPrice,signMarket.loan.aggLtv),reserve) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#742-753) - IERC20(underlyingAsset).safeTransfer(order.owner,totalAmount) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#757) - IProtocolOwner(delegationOwner_scope_0).changeOwner(signMarket.collection,signMarket.tokenId,buyer) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#763) Event emitted after the call(s): - MarketBuyNow(signMarket.loan.loanId,orderId,signMarket.assetId,totalAmount,msgSender) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#781-787) 	Low
<p>Reentrancy in Market.cancel(bytes32)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#216-266): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(loanUToken).updateStateReserve() <p>(src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#243)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(bid.loanId,bid.buyer,_reserveOracle,loanUToken,loan.underlyingAsset,bid.amountOfDebt,bid.amountToPay,reserve)) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#245-256) Event emitted after the call(s): - MarketCancelAuction(loan.loanId,orderId,order.owner) (src/protocol/modules/Market.sol#265) 	Low

Finding	Impact
End of table for Market.sol	

src/protocol/modules/BuyNow.sol

Slither results for BuyNow.sol	
Finding	Impact
BuyNow.buy(address,address,uint256,DataTypes.SignBuyNow,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/BuyNow.sol#68-136) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: reserve.underlyingAsset.safeTransferFrom(msgSender,marketAdapter,amount) (src/protocol/modules/BuyNow.sol#91)	High
BuyNow.buy(address,address,uint256,DataTypes.SignBuyNow,DataTypes.EIP712Signature).vars (src/protocol/modules/BuyNow.sol#80) is a local variable never initialized	Medium
Reentrancy in BuyNow.buy(address,address,uint256,DataTypes.SignBuyNow,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/BuyNow.sol#68-136): External calls: - IUToken(uToken).updateStateReserve() (src/protocol/modules/BuyNow.sol#87) - vars.loanId = _borrowLoan(msgSender,marketAdapter,amount,uToken,protocolOwner,reserve.underlyingAsset,signBuyMarket) (src/protocol/modules/BuyNow.sol#95-103) - IUToken(uToken).borrowOnBehalf(loanId,amountNeeded,marketAdapter,msgSender) (src/protocol/modules/BuyNow.sol#167) - IProtocolOwner(protocolOwner).setLoanId(signBuyMarket.asset.assetId,loanId) (src/protocol/modules/BuyNow.sol#169) - vars.realCost = IMarketAdapter(marketAdapter).buy(IMarketAdapter.BuyParams(wallet,signBuyMarket.underlyingAsset,signBuyMarket.marketPrice,signBuyMarket.marketApproval,signBuyMarket.to,signBuyMarket.value,signBuyMarket.data)) (src/protocol/modules/BuyNow.sol#110-120) Event emitted after the call(s): - BuyNowPayLater(vars.loanId,signBuyMarket.asset.collection,signBuyMarket.asset.tokenId,vars.realCost,amount) (src/protocol/modules/BuyNow.sol#129-135)	Low
End of table for BuyNow.sol	

src/protocol/modules/Installer.sol

Slither results for Installer.sol	
Finding	Impact
Installer.installModules(address[]) (src/protocol/modules/Installer.sol#18-42) has external calls inside a loop: newModuleId = BaseCoreModule(moduleAddr).moduleId() (src/protocol/modules/Installer.sol#22)	Low
Installer.installModules(address[]) (src/protocol/modules/Installer.sol#18-42) has external calls inside a loop: moduleVersion = BaseCoreModule(moduleAddr).moduleVersion() (src/protocol/modules/Installer.sol#23)	Low
End of table for Installer.sol	

src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol

Slither results for Auction.sol	
Finding	Impact
Auction.redeem(bytes32,uint256,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#326-398) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: underlyingAsset.safeTransferFrom(msgSender,address(this),amount) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#368)	High
Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317) uses arbitrary from in transferFrom: loan.underlyingAsset.safeTransferFrom(msgSender,address(this),amountToPay) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#219)	High

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Auction.finalize(bytes32,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#406-485):</p> <p>External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IProtocolOwner(protocolOwnerBuyer).setLoanId(signAuction.assetId, loan.loanId) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#441) - IProtocolOwner(protocolOwner).changeOwner(signAuction.collection, signAuction.tokenId,buyer) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#450-455) <p>State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - loan.totalAssets = signAuction.loan.totalAssets (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#470) <p>CoreStorage._loans (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#55) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317) - Auction.finalize(bytes32,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#406-485) - Auction.redeem(bytes32,uint256,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#326-398) - delete _loans[offerLoanId] (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#473) <p>CoreStorage._loans (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#55) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317) - Auction.finalize(bytes32,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#406-485) - Auction.redeem(bytes32,uint256,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#326-398) - delete _orders[orderId] (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#461) <p>CoreStorage._orders (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#57) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317) - Auction.finalize(bytes32,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#406-485) - Auction.getMinBidPriceAuction(bytes32,address,uint256,uint256) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#90-103) - Auction.getOrderAuction(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#109-111) - Auction.redeem(bytes32,uint256,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#326-398) 	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317):</p> <p>External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - utoken.updateStateReserve() (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#134) - OrderLogic.borrowByBidder(OrderLogic.BorrowByBidderParams(loanId,msgSender,address(utoken),amountOfDebt,signAuction.assetPrice,signAuction.assetLtv)) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#231-240) - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId,order.bid.buyer,_reserveOracle,address(utoken),loan.underlyingAsset,order.bid.amountOfDebt,amountToPayBuyer,reserve)) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#284-295) State variables written after the call(s): - delete _loans[loanId_] (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#301) <p>CoreStorage._loans (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#55) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317) - Auction.finalize(bytes32,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#406-485) - Auction.redeem(bytes32,uint256,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#326-398) 	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Auction.redeem(bytes32,uint256,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#326-398): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(utoken).updateStateReserve() <p>(src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#349)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId,order.bid.buyer,_reserveOracle,utoken,underlyingAsset,order.bid.amountOfDebt,order.offer.startAmount + bidderBonus,reserve)) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#371-382) State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - delete _loans[order.bid.loanId] <p>(src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#386) CoreStorage._loans (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#55) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317) - Auction.finalize(bytes32,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#406-485) - Auction.redeem(bytes32,uint256,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#326-398) 	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317):</p> <p>External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - utoken.updateStateReserve() (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#134) - OrderLogic.borrowByBidder(OrderLogic.BorrowByBidderParams(loanId, msgSender, address(utoken), amountOfDebt, signAuction.assetPrice, signAuction.assetLtv)) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#231-240) - OrderLogic.repayOwnerDebt(OrderLogic.RepayOwnerDebtParams(loanId, order.owner, address(utoken), loan.underlyingAsset, minBid)) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#261-269) - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId, order.bid.buyer, _reserveOracle, address(utoken), loan.underlyingAsset, order.bid.amountOfDebt, amountToPayBuyer, reserve)) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#284-295) <p>State variables written after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - order.countBids ++ (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#306) <p>CoreStorage._orders (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#57) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317) - Auction.finalize(bytes32,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#406-485) - Auction.getMinBidPriceAuction(bytes32,address,uint256,uint256) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#90-103) - Auction.getOrderAuction(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#109-111) - Auction.redeem(bytes32,uint256,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#326-398) - order.bid = DataTypes.Bid(loanId,amountToPay,amountOfDebt,msgSender) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#309-314) <p>CoreStorage._orders (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#57) can be used in cross function reentrancies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317) - Auction.finalize(bytes32,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#406-485) - Auction.getMinBidPriceAuction(bytes32,address,uint256,uint256) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#90-103) - Auction.getOrderAuction(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#109-111) - Auction.redeem(bytes32,uint256,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#326-398) 	Medium

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Auction.redeem(bytes32,uint256,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#326-398): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(utoken).updateStateReserve() <p>(src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#349)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId,order.bid.buyer,_reserveOracle,utoken,underlyingAsset,order.bid.amountOfDebt,order.offer.startAmount + bidderBonus,reserve)) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#371-382)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(utoken).repayOnBehalf(order.offer.loanId,minDebt,address(this),msgSender) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#391) State variables written after the call(s): - delete _orders[orderId] (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#394)CoreStorage._orders (src/libraries/storage/CoreStorage.sol#57) can be used in cross function reentrancies: - Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317) - Auction.finalize(bytes32,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#406-485) - Auction.getMinBidPriceAuction(bytes32,address,uint256,uint256) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#90-103) - Auction.getOrderAuction(bytes32) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#109-111) - Auction.redeem(bytes32,uint256,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#326-398) 	Medium
<p>Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature).loanId (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#220) is a local variable never initialized</p>	Medium
<p>Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317) ignores return value by ValidationLogic.validateFutureHasUnhealthyLoanState(ValidationLogic.ValidateLoanStateParams(loan.owner,0,signAuction.assetPrice,_reserveOracle,reserve,signAuction.loan)) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#161-170)</p>	Medium

Finding	Impact
Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317) ignores return value by ValidationLogic.validateFutureHasUnhealthyLoanState(ValidationLogic.ValidateLoanStateParams(order.owner,order.bid.amountOfDebt + order.bid.amountToPay,signAuction.assetPrice,_reserveOracle,reserve,signAuction.loan)) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#201-210)	Medium
<p>Reentrancy in Auction.redeem(bytes32,uint256,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature)</p> <p>(src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#326-398): External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(utoken).updateStateReserve() <p>(src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#349)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId,order.bid.buyer,_reserveOracle,utoken,underlyingAsset,order.bid.amountOfDebt,order.offer.startAmount + bidderBonus,reserve)) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#371-382)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IUToken(utoken).repayOnBehalf(order.offer.loanId,minDebt,address(this),msgSender) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#391) Event emitted after the call(s): - AuctionRedeem(order.offer.loanId,orderId,order.offer.assetId,totalAmount,msgSender) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#397) 	Low
<p>Reentrancy in Auction.finalize(bytes32,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#406-485):</p> <p>External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IProtocolOwner(protocolOwnerBuyer).setLoanId(signAuction.assetId,loan.loanId) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#441) - IProtocolOwner(protocolOwner).changeOwner(signAuction.collection,signAuction.tokenId,buyer) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#450-455) Event emitted after the call(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - AuctionFinalize(offerLoanId,orderId,signAuction.assetId,order.offer.startAmount,amount,order.bid.buyer,order.owner) <p>(src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#476-484)</p>	Low

Finding	Impact
<p>Reentrancy in Auction.bid(uint128,uint128,DataTypes.SignAuction,DataTypes.EIP712Signature) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#120-317):</p> <p>External calls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - utoken.updateStateReserve() (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#134) - OrderLogic.borrowByBidder(OrderLogic.BorrowByBidderParams(loanId, msgSender, address(utoken), amountOfDebt, signAuction.assetPrice, signAuction.assetLtv)) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#231-240) - OrderLogic.repayOwnerDebt(OrderLogic.RepayOwnerDebtParams(loanId, order.owner, address(utoken), loan.underlyingAsset, minBid)) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#261-269) - OrderLogic.refundBidder(OrderLogic.RefundBidderParams(order.bid.loanId, order.bid.buyer, _reserveOracle, address(utoken), loan.underlyingAsset, order.bid.amountOfDebt, amountToPayBuyer, reserve)) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#284-295) Event emitted after the call(s): - AuctionBid(loanId, order.orderId, order.offer.assetId, totalAmount, msgSender) (src/protocol/modules/Auction.sol#316) 	Low
End of table for Auction.sol	

src/protocol/modules/SellNow.sol

Slither did not identify any vulnerabilities in the contract.

src/protocol/modules/Action.sol

Slither did not identify any vulnerabilities in the contract.

src/protocol/modules/Manager.sol

Slither did not identify any vulnerabilities in the contract.

src/deployer/DeployProtocol.sol

Slither did not identify any vulnerabilities in the contract.

src/deployer/DeployPeriphery.sol

Slither results for DeployPeriphery.sol	
Finding	Impact
DeployPeriphery.constructor(address,address).adminUpdater (src/deployer/DeployPeriphery.sol#28) lacks a zero-check on : - _adminUpdater = adminUpdater (src/deployer/DeployPeriphery.sol#29)	Low
DeployPeriphery.constructor(address,address).aclManager (src/deployer/DeployPeriphery.sol#28) lacks a zero-check on : - _aclManager = aclManager (src/deployer/DeployPeriphery.sol#30)	Low
End of table for DeployPeriphery.sol	

src/deployer/DeployUToken.sol

Slither results for DeployUToken.sol	
Finding	Impact
DeployUToken.constructor(address,address).admin (src/deployer/DeployUToken.sol#27) lacks a zero-check on : - _admin = admin (src/deployer/DeployUToken.sol#28)	Low
DeployUToken.constructor(address,address).aclManager (src/deployer/DeployUToken.sol#27) lacks a zero-check on : - _aclManager = aclManager (src/deployer/DeployUToken.sol#29)	Low
End of table for DeployUToken.sol	

src/deployer/DeployUTokenConfig.sol

Slither results for DeployUTokenConfig.sol	
Finding	Impact
DeployUTokenConfig.constructor(address,address,address).adminUpdater (src/deployer/DeployUTokenConfig.sol#28) lacks a zero-check on : - _adminUpdater = adminUpdater (src/deployer/DeployUTokenConfig.sol#30)	Low
DeployUTokenConfig.constructor(address,address,address).admin (src/deployer/DeployUTokenConfig.sol#28) lacks a zero-check on : - _admin = admin (src/deployer/DeployUTokenConfig.sol#29)	Low

Finding	Impact
DeployUTokenConfig.constructor(address,address,address).aclManager (src/deployer/DeployUTokenConfig.sol#28) lacks a zero-check on : - _aclManager = aclManager (src/deployer/DeployUTokenConfig.sol#31)	Low
End of table for DeployUTokenConfig.sol	

Results Summary:

The findings obtained as a result of the Slither scan were reviewed:

- The lack of zero-check on, should emit an event findings were added to the report.
- The uses timestamp for comparisons and has external calls inside loop informational findings were manually reviewed and determined false-positives.
- The uses arbitrary from in transferFrom, never initialized, reentrancy, sends eth to arbitrary user, uses a dangerous strict equality and ignores return value vulnerabilities were manually reviewed and determined false-positives.



THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

// HALBORN

