## Filtered Latent Dirichlet Allocation: Variational Bayes Algorithm


## Eric Proffitt

## November 9, 2016

Filtered latent Dirichlet allocation (fLDA) is a natural extension of latent Dirichlet allocation that organically captures and filters out corpus-specific stop words which are not otherwise caught by generic stop word lists.

## Probabilistic Graphical Model:

$\theta_d$	$\sim \text{Dirichlet}(\alpha)$		
$c_{d_n}$	$\sim \operatorname{Binomial}(\eta)$		
$z_{d_n}$	$\sim \text{Categorical}(\theta_d)$		
$w_{d_n}$	$\sim \operatorname{Categorical}(\kappa)$	if	$c_{d_n} = 0$
$w_{d_n}$	$\sim \text{Categorical}(\beta_{z_{d_n}})$	if	$c_{d_n} = 1$



The marginal log-likelihood for fLDA is:

$$\log p(w|\alpha,\beta,\kappa,\eta) = \sum_{d=1}^{M} \int \sum_{c_d} \sum_{z_d} p(z_d,c_d,\theta_d|w_d,\beta,\kappa,\alpha,\eta) \log \left[ \frac{p(w_d,z_d,c_d,\theta_d|\beta,\kappa,\alpha,\eta)}{p(z_d,c_d,\theta_d|w_d,\beta,\kappa,\alpha,\eta)} \right] d\theta$$

The mean-field approximation for the distribution over latent variables is:

$$\prod_{d=1}^{M} p(z_d, c_d, \theta_d | w_d, \beta, \kappa, \alpha, \eta) \approx \prod_{d=1}^{M} q(z_d | \phi_d) q(c_d | \tau_d) q(\theta_d | \gamma_d)$$

$$q(z_{d_n} | \phi_{d_n}) = \text{Categorical}(\phi_{d_n})$$

$$q(c_{d_n} | \tau_{d_n}) = \text{Binomial}(\tau_{d_n})$$

$$q(\theta_d | \gamma_d) = \text{Dirichlet}(\gamma_d)$$

Using the KL-Divergence and the mean-field approximation, the variational lower bound for the fLDA marginal log-likelihood, in expected value form, is:

$$\log p(w|\beta, \kappa, \alpha, \eta) \geq \sum_{d=1}^{M} \left[ \mathbb{E}_q[\log p(w_d, z_d, c_d, \theta_d | \beta, \kappa, \alpha, \eta)] - \mathbb{E}_q[\log q(z_d, c_d, \theta_d | \phi_d, \tau_d, \gamma_d)] \right]$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^{M} \left[ \operatorname{E}_{q}[\log p(w_{d}|z_{d}, c_{d}, \beta, \kappa)] + \operatorname{E}_{q}[\log p(z_{d}|\theta_{d})] + \operatorname{E}_{q}[\log p(c_{d}|\eta)] + \operatorname{E}_{q}[\log p(\theta_{d}|\alpha)] - \operatorname{E}_{q}[\log q(z_{d}|\phi_{d})] - \operatorname{E}_{q}[\log q(c_{d}|\tau_{d})] - \operatorname{E}_{q}[\log q(\theta_{d}|\gamma_{d})] \right]$$

In particular:

$$\begin{split} \bullet \quad & \sum_{d=1}^{M} \mathbf{E}_{q}[\log p(w_{d}|z_{d},c_{d},\beta,\kappa)] = \sum_{d=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{d}} \mathbf{E}_{q}[\log p(w_{d_{n}}|z_{d_{n}},c_{d_{n}},\beta,\kappa)] \\ & = \sum_{d=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{d}} \mathbf{E}_{q}[\log(\beta_{z_{d_{n}},w_{d_{n}}}^{c_{d_{n}}} \cdot \kappa_{w_{d_{n}}}^{1-c_{d_{n}}})] \\ & = \sum_{d=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{d}} \left[ \mathbf{E}_{q}[c_{d_{n}}] \cdot \mathbf{E}_{q}[\log \beta_{z_{d_{n}},w_{d_{n}}}] + \mathbf{E}_{q}[1-c_{d_{n}}] \log \kappa_{w_{d_{n}}} \right] \\ & = \sum_{d=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{d}} \left[ \tau_{d_{n}}(-\log \kappa_{w_{d_{n}}} + \sum_{i=1}^{K} \phi_{d_{in}} \log \beta_{iw_{d_{n}}}) + \log \kappa_{w_{d_{n}}} \right] \end{split}$$

• 
$$\sum_{d=1}^{M} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(c_{d}|\eta)] = \sum_{d=1}^{M} \left[ N_{d} \log(1-\eta) + \log(\frac{\eta}{1-\eta}) \sum_{n=1}^{N_{d}} \tau_{n} \right]$$

• 
$$\sum_{d=1}^{M} \mathbb{E}_{q}[\log q(c_{d}|\tau_{d})] = \sum_{d=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{d}} \left[ \tau_{d_{n}} \log \tau_{d_{n}} + (1 - \tau_{d_{n}}) \log(1 - \tau_{d_{n}}) \right]$$

The remaining expected values are identical to those found in Blei's paper, Latent Dirichlet Allocation (2003).

The update equations distinct from Blei's LDA paper are as follows:

$$\bullet \quad \eta = \frac{\sum_{d=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N_d} \tau_{d_n}}{\sum_{d=1}^{M} N_d}$$

• 
$$\kappa_j \propto \sum_{d=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^{N_d} (1 - \tau_{d_n}) w_{d_n}^j$$

$$\bullet \quad \tau_{d_n} = \frac{\eta}{\eta + (1 - \eta)\kappa_{w_{d_n}} \prod_{i=1}^K \beta_{iw_{d_n}}^{-\phi_{d_{in}}}}$$

• 
$$\phi_{d_{in}} \propto \beta_{iw_{d_n}}^{\tau_{d_n}} \exp\left(\psi(\gamma_i) - \psi(\sum_{l=1}^K \gamma_l)\right)$$

$$\bullet \quad \beta_{ij} \propto \sum_{d=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N_d} \tau_{d_n} \phi_{d_{in}} w_{d_n}^j$$

The resulting probability vector  $\kappa$  is the probability distribution over the vocabulary used for drawing stop words in the associated generative process. Therefore those words with the highest probability in  $\kappa$  are those most likely to be corpus-specific stop words.