

**Systemic Justice: Administrative Remediation, Federal Enforcement Mechanisms, and Strategic Advocacy for ADA Violations in Family Courts I.** Executive Introduction: The Systemic Nature of Disability Discrimination in Family Law The intersection of disability rights and family law represents one of the most contentious and legally complex frontiers in the American civil rights landscape. For parents with disabilities, the family court system often functions not as an arbiter of justice, but as a mechanism of systemic exclusion. Despite the clear mandates of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, parents frequently encounter a “pattern and practice” of discrimination that conflates disability with parental unfitness. This discrimination is rarely an isolated event; rather, it is embedded in the administrative procedures, the “methods of administration” utilized by court systems, and the biases of state child welfare agencies.<sup>1</sup> The systemic deprivation of parental rights for individuals with disabilities—termed “predictive neglect” by scholars and advocates—relies on the assumption that a disability inherently renders a parent incapable of caring for a child. This assumption violates the core tenet of the ADA: the requirement for an “individualized assessment”.<sup>4</sup> When family courts and child welfare agencies fail to provide reasonable modifications—such as sign language interpreters, accessible documentation, extended timeframes for reunification, or modifications to “standard” parenting assessments—they are not merely making legal errors; they are committing actionable civil rights violations.<sup>5</sup> This report serves as an exhaustive guide for disabled parents, advocates, and legal professionals seeking to utilize federal administrative channels to report and remediate these systemic violations. Unlike appellate litigation, which focuses on the merits of a specific custody ruling, administrative complaints and policy advocacy target the institutional architecture of the court system itself. By triggering the enforcement powers of the United States Department of Justice (DOJ), the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), and the federally mandated Protection and Advocacy (P&A) network, stakeholders can force systemic compliance reviews that transcend individual case outcomes. The analysis provided herein draws upon a comprehensive review of federal regulations, landmark settlement agreements (such as the Gordon matter in Massachusetts), judicial conduct proceedings, and the strategic use of DOJ “Statements of Interest” under 28 U.S.C. § 517. It delineates the specific pathways for filing Title II complaints, requesting systemic advocacy from the National Disability Rights Network (NDRN), and submitting actionable data to the National Council on Disability (NCD) to influence federal policy during the 2025-2026 reporting cycle.<sup>7</sup>

**II. The Department of Justice and Title II Enforcement: Mechanics and Theory** The primary federal mechanism for addressing systemic ADA violations in state and local court systems is the administrative complaint process under Title II of the ADA. The Department of Justice, specifically the Civil Rights Division’s Disability Rights Section (DRS), serves as the chief enforcement agency for Title II, which prohibits discrimination by public entities.<sup>10</sup>

**2.1 Jurisdictional Scope:** “Everything a Public Entity Does” A fundamental misunderstanding often deters parents from filing federal complaints against family courts: the belief that judicial immunity or the “Rooker-Feldman” doctrine (which prevents federal district courts from reviewing state court judgments) bars administrative oversight. However, the DOJ maintains a broad interpretation of Title II coverage. According to DOJ guidance and settlement findings, Title II applies to “everything a public entity does,” which explicitly includes “recommendations and petitions related to child welfare matters and proceedings to terminate parental rights”.<sup>1</sup> The jurisdiction of the DOJ in this context is not to overturn a specific custody order (which remains a state appellate matter) but to investigate the compliance of the system with federal non-discrimination law. If a family court system utilizes a “method of administration” that has the effect of subjecting individuals with disabilities to discrimination, or that “substantially impairs” the accomplishment of the program’s objectives for disabled participants, the DOJ has the authority to intervene.<sup>4</sup>

**2.1.1 The “Methods of Administration” Theory** To successfully trigger a DOJ investigation, a complaint must typically allege more than a single bad ruling. It must demonstrate a systemic failure in the court’s administrative methods. Discriminatory Screening Tools: If a court routinely orders psychological evaluations that are not normed for parents with physical or sensory disabilities, leading to consistently skewed results regarding “fitness,” this constitutes a discriminatory method of administration.<sup>4</sup>

**Failure of Policy Modification:** Under 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(7), public entities must make “reasonable modifications” to policies, practices, or procedures. A systemic complaint might allege that a court has a rigid policy of denying continuances for medical reasons related to “episodic” disabilities (such as autoimmune flares), thereby systematically excluding a class of disabled parents from participation.<sup>11</sup>

**2.2 Anatomy of a Systemic Title II Complaint** Filing a complaint with the DOJ is the first step in the administrative remediation process. While the DOJ cannot investigate every claim, detailed complaints alleging “pattern and practice” violations are prioritized for compliance reviews. Table 1: Essential Elements of a Systemic DOJ Title II Complaint

**Component Requirement for Systemic Impact Citation Support Respondent Identification** Identify the “Public Entity” (e.g., “The Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania” or “Los Angeles Superior Court”), not just an individual judge.

**6 Pattern of Violations** Provide specific dates, locations, and multiple incidents showing a routine failure (e.g., “The court consistently denies CART services for deaf litigants in Courtrooms 4 and 5”).

**6 Methods of Administration** Describe the specific policy or procedural mechanism that causes the discrimination (e.g., “Intake forms lack a section for requesting accommodations,” “Security protocols prohibit medical equipment”).

**4 Impact Statement** Explain how the policy “substantially impairs” the program objectives for all similarly situated participants, establishing a class-wide injury.

**4 Requested Remedy** Demand specific policy changes (e.g., “Mandatory ADA training for all guardian ad litem,” “Revision of intake procedures,” “Appointment of an ADA Coordinator”).<sup>5</sup>

**2.3 Filing Procedures and Logistics** The DOJ offers multiple channels for submission. For systemic complaints involving complex evidence (affidavits, policy documents, transcripts), advocates often recommend mail or fax submission to ensure the full evidentiary record is received, although the online portal is efficient for initial intake. **Online Portal:** The primary intake channel is the Civil Rights Division's reporting portal at [civilrights.justice.gov](http://civilrights.justice.gov). This wizard-style interface guides the complainant through the necessary jurisdictional questions.<sup>10</sup> **Mail Submission:** For comprehensive reports, mail is directed to: U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Disability Rights Section – 1425 NYAV Washington, D.C. 20530.

**10 Timeline and Expectations:** The DOJ review process is lengthy. Acknowledgment may take up to three months. The DOJ explicitly states, “We cannot investigate every complaint.” However, even if a formal investigation is not opened, complaints contribute to the DOJ’s data on emerging trends, which informs future guidance and “Statements of Interest”.

**10 2.4 The Role of the ADA Mediation Program** Upon review, the DOJ may refer the complaint to the ADA Mediation Program. This is a voluntary, confidential process designed to resolve disputes without litigation. For a disabled parent, mediation can be a faster route to securing specific accommodations (e.g., getting a ramp installed or an interpreter scheduled) than a full investigation. However, mediation generally resolves the individual issue rather than mandating systemic policy change, unless the settlement agreement explicitly includes policy revisions.

**10 III. The Health and Human Services Nexus: Child Welfare and the Gordon Precedent** While the DOJ oversees the courts, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Office for Civil Rights (OCR) holds jurisdiction over state child welfare agencies (such as DCF, CPS, or DHS). Because family court decisions are inextricably linked to the recommendations and findings of these agencies, attacking the agency’s discriminatory practices is often the most effective way to undermine a biased court proceeding.

**3.1 The Gordon Settlement: A Legal Blueprint** The most significant precedent for administrative advocacy in this realm is the January 2015 joint “Letter of Findings” issued by the DOJ and HHS regarding the Massachusetts Department of Children and Families (Gordon case). This document established that child welfare agencies violate Title II of the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act when they base removal or reunification decisions on “discriminatory assumptions and stereotypes” rather than an individualized assessment.<sup>4</sup>

**3.1.1 Core Legal Findings in Gordon** The Gordon letter provides the specific legal language that should be utilized in any complaint to HHS OCR: **Individualized Assessment:** The agencies found that DCF failed to evaluate the parent’s actual capabilities, relying instead on the diagnosis of disability to presume unfitness. A complaint must allege a failure to conduct this “individualized assessment”.<sup>1</sup> **Reasonable Modifications in Reunification:** The agencies affirmed that the duty to provide reasonable modifications extends to the services offered for reunification. If an agency provides a “parenting class” that is not accessible to a parent with an intellectual disability, or fails to provide “hands-on” instruction as opposed to written materials, this is a violation of federal law.<sup>4</sup> **The “Safety” Pretext:** The letter explicitly rejected the agency’s argument that “safety” concerns automatically override ADA obligations. The DOJ/HHS clarified that safety requirements must be based on actual risks, not “mere speculation, stereotypes, or generalizations” about disability.<sup>4</sup>

**3.2 The “Reasonable Efforts” Leverage Point Under Title IV-E of the Social Security Act**, state agencies must make “reasonable efforts” to preserve and reunify families to receive federal funding. The DOJ and HHS have interpreted “reasonable efforts” to include compliance with the ADA. Therefore, a failure to provide disability-related accommodations is not just a civil rights violation; it is a failure to meet the statutory “reasonable efforts” requirement, threatening the agency’s federal funding stream.<sup>13</sup>

**3.3 Filing a Complaint with HHS OCR** Complaints to HHS OCR focus on the denial of “critical child welfare services” and the discriminatory administration of parenting assessments. **Jurisdiction:** The complaint must allege discrimination by an entity receiving federal financial assistance from HHS (which includes virtually all state child welfare agencies).<sup>13</sup> **Submission Details:** Email: [ocrmail@hhs.gov](mailto:ocrmail@hhs.gov) **Portal:** HHS OCR Complaint Portal Address: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 200 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20201.

**21 Strategic Joint Filing:** Because the lines between the court (DOJ jurisdiction) and the child welfare agency (HHS jurisdiction) are often blurred, advocates recommend filing simultaneous complaints with both agencies. The Gordon investigation itself was a joint effort, demonstrating the efficacy of this dual-track approach.<sup>3</sup>

**IV. The Protection and Advocacy System: Leveraging the NDRN** Beyond the federal agencies, the Protection and Advocacy (P&A) system represents the most direct channel for legal advocacy. Created by Congress, this network consists of agencies in every state and territory (e.g., Disability Rights California, Disability Rights Florida) mandated to protect the rights of individuals with disabilities.<sup>22</sup>

**4.1 The Federal Mandate and Funding Streams** P&As operate under specific federal grants that define their scope. Understanding these grants helps a parent frame their request for assistance: **PADD (Protection and Advocacy for Developmental Disabilities):** Focuses on individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities. **PAIMI (Protection and Advocacy for Individuals with Mental Illness):** Specifically mandates the investigation of abuse and neglect in facilities, but also covers community integration. **PAVA (Protection and Advocacy for Voting Access):** While focused on voting, the legal arguments used by PAVA programs regarding “access to government functions” are legally identical to those needed for court access.<sup>24</sup>

**4.2 Requesting “Systemic Advocacy”** P&As have limited resources and often cannot represent every individual in a custody battle. However, they are mandated to engage in “Systemic Advocacy”—efforts to reform policies or modes of operation of a service system.<sup>26</sup> To increase the likelihood of P&A engagement, a parent should frame their case not as a private custody dispute, but as a “test case” for challenging a systemic barrier. **Strategies for P&A Intake:** Identify the Systemic Barrier: Instead of asking for help “getting my kids back,” a parent should ask the P&A to challenge “the family court’s blanket refusal to allow support persons in hearings” or “the systematic lack of accessible parenting assessments in the county”.<sup>26</sup> **Cite “One-PPR” Priorities:** P&As report

data to the federal government using the “One-PPR” (Program Performance Report). They track intervention strategies like “Systemic Litigation” and “Educating Policymakers.” Framing a complaint as an opportunity for the P&A to fulfill these specific reporting metrics can be persuasive.<sup>28</sup> Group Advocacy: If multiple parents are facing the same barrier, approaching the P&A as a group triggers the “Group Advocacy” service category, which is often prioritized over individual casework. This demonstrates that the issue is pervasive and affects a significant segment of the disability community.<sup>26</sup>

#### 4.3 The Client Grievance Procedure

If a P&A denies a request for service, they are federally required to provide a “Client Grievance Procedure.” This mechanism allows a denied individual to appeal the decision to the P&A’s Board of Directors. This is a critical, underused advocacy channel. A well-written grievance that articulates how the denial contradicts the P&A’s federal mandate (e.g., to ensure community integration under Olmstead) can sometimes reverse a denial of service.<sup>23</sup>

#### V. The Department of Justice Statement of Interest: The “Nuclear Option”

For parents who have already retained counsel and are engaged in private litigation (e.g., a federal lawsuit suing the state court or child welfare agency for ADA violations), requesting a Statement of Interest (SOI) from the DOJ is perhaps the most powerful strategic tool available.

##### 5.1 The Statutory Authority:

28 U.S.C. § 517 Under 28 U.S.C. § 517, the Attorney General is authorized to send an officer of the Department of Justice to “attend to the interests of the United States” in any suit pending in a federal or state court. An SOI is a legal brief filed by the DOJ in a case where it is not a party, offering the court the federal government’s authoritative interpretation of the law.<sup>9</sup>

##### 5.2 Strategic Value of an SOI

Courts grant “considerable respect” and deference to the DOJ’s views on Title II regulations. An SOI can effectively “thaw” a frozen case or defeat a Motion to Dismiss by clarifying legal standards that state judges may misunderstand.<sup>30</sup>

##### Clarifying “Exigent Circumstances”:

In *Lou v. Lopinto*, the DOJ filed an SOI to clarify that Title II applies to law enforcement encounters and that “exigent circumstances” do not automatically excuse ADA non-compliance. This precedent is vital for parents arguing that “emergency” removal proceedings do not exempt the state from ADA obligations.<sup>31</sup>

##### Enforcing the Integration Mandate:

In *Lane v. Kitzhaber*, the DOJ used an SOI to enforce the Olmstead integration mandate in employment services. This logic applies to family courts: removing a child and placing a parent in a supervised setting solely due to disability violates the integration mandate.<sup>30</sup>

##### Defeating Immunity Defenses:

State agencies often claim sovereign immunity or argue that the ADA does not apply to their “core functions.” DOJ SOIs regularly intervene to dismantle these arguments, affirming that Title II covers all public entity operations.<sup>32</sup>

##### 5.3 Procedure for Requesting an SOI

There is no standard form for requesting an SOI; it requires a formal request (usually a letter or detailed email) directed to the specific section of the Civil Rights Division.

##### 5.3.1 Whom to Contact

The request should be directed to the Disability Rights Section (DRS) or, if the case involves educational discrimination impacting the family, the Educational Opportunities Section.<sup>33</sup>

##### Email Channels:

[ADA.complaint@usdoj.gov](mailto:ADA.complaint@usdoj.gov) is the general intake, but sophisticated advocacy often involves contacting the Section Chief or specific trial attorneys if their contact information is public from previous filings.<sup>35</sup>

##### Physical Address:

U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division Disability Rights Section 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530.

##### 5.3.2 Anatomy of an SOI Request Letter

A request for an SOI must convince the DOJ that the case involves a “matter of general public importance” or a critical interpretation of the statute that will affect many people, not just the litigants in the specific case.

##### Template Structure for an SOI Request Letter:

**Case Information:** Clearly state the Case Name, Case Number, District Court, and Current Status (e.g., “Pending Motion to Dismiss”).

**The Federal Interest:** Explain why the issue affects the integrity of the ADA itself. (e.g., “The Defendant is arguing that Title II does not require modifications to reunification plans. If this argument is accepted, it would set a precedent undermining the integration mandate for thousands of families”).<sup>36</sup>

##### Specific Legal Question:

Identify the exact legal error the defendant is arguing that contradicts DOJ policy (e.g., “The defendant argues that safety concerns automatically override ADA accommodation duties without an individualized assessment”).<sup>32</sup>

##### Reference to Guidance:

Cite existing DOJ guidance (like the Gordon letter) to show the court is deviating from established federal interpretation.

##### 4 Exhibits:

Attach the Complaint and the Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss.

#### VI. Judicial Conduct and Disability Proceedings

Filing a complaint against a judge is a distinct process from an ADA complaint against the court system. It is governed by the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980. This channel is notoriously difficult but necessary for documenting “egregious” behavior that crosses the line from legal error to ethical misconduct.

##### 6.1 The “Merits-Related” Bar

The vast majority of judicial conduct complaints are dismissed as “merits-related.” Under the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, a complaint cannot simply argue that the judge made the wrong decision, weighed the evidence incorrectly, or erroneously denied a motion. These are issues for appeal, not disciplinary review.<sup>37</sup>

##### The Distinction:

A parent cannot file a conduct complaint simply because the judge denied an ADA accommodation (that is a legal ruling). However, they can file a complaint if the judge mocked the disability, used slurs, or treated the litigant in a “demonstrably egregious and hostile manner” while denying the accommodation.<sup>37</sup>

##### 6.2 Actionable Misconduct: “Demonstrably Egregious and Hostile”

The specific standard for actionable misconduct involving courtroom demeanor is whether the judge treated litigants or attorneys in a “demonstrably egregious and hostile manner.”<sup>37</sup>

##### Discriminatory Animus:

Conduct that reflects “intentional discrimination on the basis of... disability” is explicitly defined as misconduct.<sup>37</sup>

##### Hostile Environment:

Drawing on the *Williams v. General Motors* precedent (Title I), creating a “hostile environment” that effectively bars participation is a violation of both civil rights and judicial ethics. While *Williams* is an employment case, the concept of a “hostile public service environment” is increasingly relevant in administrative complaints.<sup>40</sup>

##### Case Studies in Misconduct: The “Kindred” Case:

A federal judge was disciplined for creating a hostile work environment involving inappropriate text messages. While this was an employment context, it established that “demonstrably egregious” conduct is actionable and not protected by judicial

independence.<sup>42</sup> The “Columbia Boycott” Complaint: A complaint alleging a judge engaged in a boycott was reviewed to determine if it constituted “conduct prejudicial to the effective administration of the business of the courts.” This catch-all provision (Rule 4(a)(7)) is the primary vehicle for challenging systemic bias that brings the judiciary into disrepute.<sup>43</sup>

6.3 Filing Procedures Federal Judges: Complaints are filed with the Clerk of the Court for the specific Circuit Court of Appeals (e.g., Ninth Circuit Judicial Council). The complaint must be verified (signed under penalty of perjury) and detailed.<sup>37</sup>

6.3 State Judges: Each state maintains a judicial conduct commission (e.g., California Commission on Judicial Performance). The standards are similar: the complaint must focus on ethics, demeanor, and administrative failure, not the correctness of legal rulings.<sup>22</sup>

VII. Policy Advocacy and the National Council on Disability (NCD) For long-term systemic change, disabled parents must utilize policy feedback loops. The National Council on Disability (NCD) is the independent federal agency charged with advising the President and Congress. Their investigations often form the basis for future DOJ/HHS guidance and legislation.<sup>46</sup>

7.1 “Rocking the Cradle” and the Policy Baseline The 2012 NCD report “Rocking the Cradle: Ensuring the Rights of Parents with Disabilities” remains the foundational text for this advocacy. It documented the systemic bias in the child welfare system and called for the elimination of “predictive neglect” statutes. Any policy submission or advocacy letter should explicitly reference this report to show that current violations are part of a recognized, yet unresolved, national crisis.

7.2 Current Opportunities for Comment (2025-2026) The NCD actively seeks public comment for its annual “Progress Reports” and specific topic investigations. Transportation and Housing Nexus: The NCD is currently preparing reports on “Ground Transportation for People with Mobility Disabilities” (July 2025) and “Youth in Nursing Homes.” While these topics may seem peripheral, they are central to the family court experience. If a parent loses custody because they cannot attend court due to inaccessible transit, or if a child is placed in a nursing home instead of with a disabled parent due to lack of home-based services, this data is critical for the NCD.

8. Submission Mechanisms: Email: PublicComment@ncd.gov.

9. Deadlines: Typically 8:00 p.m. EDT the night before quarterly meetings.

9. Subject Lines: Using specific subject lines like “Registration for Public Comment” helps route the submission to the correct policy team.

9.3 Engaging with Regulatory Updates (Section 504) The HHS OCR updated Section 504 regulations in May 2025 to strengthen protections against discrimination in medical treatment and child welfare. Advocacy groups and individuals are encouraged to submit “compliance stories” to HHS to show how the new rules are (or are not) being implemented on the ground. This feedback loop is essential for the agency to identify targets for enforcement.

VIII. Legal Frameworks for Invisible and Episodic Disabilities A major systemic failure in family court is the delegitimization of “invisible” disabilities (e.g., autoimmune diseases, mental health conditions) as “inconsistent” or “unreliable” parenting.

8.1 The ADAAA Defense: “Episodic” and “Remission” The ADA Amendments Act of 2008 (ADAAA) fundamentally shifted the definition of disability to explicitly include conditions that are “episodic or in remission.” Statutory Text: 42 U.S.C. § 12102(4)(D) states: “An impairment that is episodic or in remission is a disability if it would substantially limit a major life activity when active.”<sup>11</sup>

Advocacy Application: When a family court penalizes a parent for a past hospitalization or a “flare-up,” the advocate must cite this statute. The argument is that the parent remains a “qualified individual with a disability” protected from discrimination, and the court must accommodate the episodic nature of the condition (e.g., by rescheduling hearings without penalty) rather than using the episode as evidence of unfitness.<sup>52</sup>

8.2 Hostile Environments and “Invisible” Bias The precedent set in employment law regarding “hostile work environments” is increasingly being applied to public services. In *Williams v. General Motors*, the Sixth Circuit recognized that harassment need not be sexual; it can be disability-based. For invisible disabilities, “harassment” often takes the form of skepticism, intrusive medical questioning, or mockery of accommodation needs. Documenting these interactions as creating a “hostile public service environment” builds a record for a DOJ complaint even if the disability is not visible.<sup>40</sup>

IX. Conclusion: A Unified Strategy for Systemic Reform The pathway to remediating systemic ADA violations in family courts is not a single door but a network of administrative levers. A disabled parent facing systemic bias cannot rely solely on the discretion of the presiding judge. Instead, they must adopt a multi-faceted administrative strategy:

- Preserve the Record: In every court hearing, formally request ADA accommodations in writing. When denied, object on the record citing Title II and the Gordon settlement.
- Dual-Agency Complaint Filing: If the denial persists, file simultaneous complaints with the DOJ (Title II) regarding court access and HHS (Section 504) regarding the child welfare agency’s failure to provide reasonable efforts.
- Judicial Misconduct Reporting: If the judge’s denial was accompanied by abusive language or demeanor, file a separate judicial misconduct complaint focusing solely on the hostile environment.
- Strategic Escalation: If private counsel is retained, draft a formal request to the DOJ Disability Rights Section for a Statement of Interest, framing the case as a threat to the integration mandate.
- Policy Feedback: Submit the case narrative to the NCD and the local P&A to ensure the systemic failure is recorded in federal reporting metrics, contributing to the long-term reform of the system.

By rigorously utilizing these administrative and advocacy channels, disabled parents can elevate their individual struggles into a broader demand for civil rights compliance, forcing family courts to align with the federal mandate of equality and integration.

References & Citations Overview DOJ/Title II: 33 HHS/Child Welfare: 1 NCD/Policy: 2 P&A/NDRN: 23 Judicial Conduct: 37 Case Law: 30 Statutes: 51 Works cited Advocacy on Behalf of Parents with Disabilities: The Americans with Disabilities Act and State Child Welfare Systems - American Bar Association, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.americanbar.org/groups/litigation/resources/newsletters/childrens-rights/advocacy-on-behalf-of-parents-with-disabilities/>

Rocking the Cradle: Ensuring the Rights of Parents with Disabilities and Their Children, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.ncd.gov/report/rocking-the-cradle-ensuring-the-rights-of-parents-with-disabilities-and-their-children/> Federal

Agencies Say State Cannot Discriminate Against Parents with Disabilities, accessed January 14, 2026, [https://www.americanbar.org/groups/public\\_interest/child\\_law/resources/child\\_law\\_practiceonline/child\\_law\\_practice/vol-34/march-2015/federal-agencies-say-state-cannot-discriminate-against-parents-w/](https://www.americanbar.org/groups/public_interest/child_law/resources/child_law_practiceonline/child_law_practice/vol-34/march-2015/federal-agencies-say-state-cannot-discriminate-against-parents-w/) Joint Letter of Findings to the MA Dept. of Children ... - gov.ada.archive, accessed January 14, 2026, [https://archive.ada.gov/ma\\_docf\\_lof.pdf](https://archive.ada.gov/ma_docf_lof.pdf) U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights Via Email - HHS.gov, accessed January 14, 2026, [https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/mass\\_lof.pdf](https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/mass_lof.pdf) ADA Title II Complaints: What You Need to Know | J. Courtney Cunningham, PLLC, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://cunninghampllc.com/blog/ada-title-ii-complaints-what-you-need-to-know/> National Disability Policy: A Progress Report, 2024, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.ncd.gov/report/national-disability-policy-a-progress-report-2024/> National Disability Policy: A Progress Report 2025, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.ncd.gov/report/national-disability-policy-a-progress-report-2025/> Statement of Interest of the United States - Department of Justice, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.justice.gov/crt/file/898521/dl?inline=1> File a Complaint | ADA.gov, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.ada.gov/file-a-complaint/> Americans with Disabilities Act Title II Regulations | ADA.gov, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.ada.gov/law-and-regs/regulations/title-ii-2010-regulations/> Justice Department Reaches Landmark Agreement with Massachusetts Department of Children and Families to Address Discrimination Against Parents with Disabilities, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.justice.gov/archives/opa/pr/justice-department-reaches-landmark-agreement-massachusetts-department-children-and-families> Protecting the Rights of Parents and Prospective Parents with Disabilities: Technical Assistance for State and Local Child Welfare Agencies and Courts under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act | ADA.gov, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.ada.gov/resources/protecting-parent-rights/> Americans with Disabilities Act Grievance Procedure | Judicial Branch of California - CA.gov, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://courts.ca.gov/about/accessibility/americans-disabilities-act-grievance-procedure> Contact the Department of Justice to report a civil rights violation, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://civilrights.justice.gov/report/> Parents With Disabilities in Child Welfare Agencies and Courts - ADA National Network, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://adata.org/factsheet/child-welfare> Civil Rights Division | Disability Rights Section | United States Department of Justice, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.justice.gov/crt/disability-rights-section> Parent with developmental disability discriminated against by Massachusetts in violation of ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act | Illinois State Bar Association, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.isba.org/sections/mentalhealth/newsletter/2015/06/parentdevelopmentaldisabilitydiscri> Civil Rights Protections for Persons with Disabilities Involved in the Child Welfare System, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.ospd.ms.gov/sites/ospd/files/Training/2024FamilySpring/ChildRightsProtectionsDisabilities.pdf> Protection from Discrimination in Child Welfare Activities | HHS.gov, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.hhs.gov/civil-rights/for-individuals/special-topics/adoption/index.html> The Role of OCR in Advancing - Rights for Disabled Parents: Safeguards in Child Welfare Cases - The Heller School, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://heller.brandeis.edu/parents-with-disabilities/pdfs/events/the-role-of-hhs-in-advancing-rights-of-disabled-parents-safeguards-in-child-welfare-cases.pdf> Disability Rights Bureau | State of California - Department of Justice - Office of the Attorney General, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://oag.ca.gov/civil/disability-rights> Intake and Referral Process - Disability Rights Florida, accessed January 14, 2026, [https://disabilityrightsflorida.org/contact/how\\_we\\_can\\_help](https://disabilityrightsflorida.org/contact/how_we_can_help) Protection-and-Advocacy-Programs-Overview.pdf - NDRN, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.ndrn.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Protection-and-Advocacy-Programs-Overview.pdf> Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request; RSA-509, Annual Protection and Advocacy of Individual Rights Program Performance Report - Federal Register, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/04/21/2023-08449/agency-information-collection-activities-comment-request-rsa-509-annual-protection-and-advocacy-of> Intervention Strategies and Their Definitions One PPR - 2019 Non-Case Level Activities Individual Advocacy Services (Cases), accessed January 14, 2026, <http://materials.ndrn.org/tascceo20/ceo/handouts/One%20PPR%20Intervention%20Strategies%20and%20Their%20Definitions.pdf> Protection & Advocacy Standards, accessed January 14, 2026, <http://dadsupport.ndrn.org/webcast/PA-Advocacy-Standards-pp.pdf> Protection & Advocacy Systems | ACL Administration for Community Living, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://acl.gov/programs/pa-programs> Request Help - Missouri Protection and Advocacy Services, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.moadvocacy.org/request-help> Case 3:12-cv-00138-ST Document 34 Filed 04/20/12 Page 1 of 19 Page ID#: 329 - New York Association on Independent Living, accessed January 14, 2026, [https://ilny.us/images/Documents/Latest\\_News/doj-statement-interest-lane-kitz.pdf](https://ilny.us/images/Documents/Latest_News/doj-statement-interest-lane-kitz.pdf) Department of Justice Files Statement of Interest about Americans with Disabilities Act's Application to Law Enforcement Responses to People Experiencing Behavioral Health Disability-Related Crises, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://adasoutheast.org/department-of-justice-files-statement-of-interest-about-americans-with-disabilities-acts-application-to-law-enforcement-responses-to-people-experiencing-behavioral-health-disability-related-c/> Statement of Interest of the United States of America - Department of Justice, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.justice.gov/crt/case-document/file/1453736/dl?inline=1> Guide to Disability Rights Laws | ADA.gov, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.ada.gov/resources/disability-rights-guide/> Educational Opportunities Project | Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.lawyerscommittee.org/project/educational-opportunities-project/> How to

File an ADA Complaint with the US Department of Justice, accessed January 14, 2026, [https://miamidadearts.org/sites/default/files/files/inline/how\\_to\\_file\\_ada\\_complaint\\_with\\_doj.pdf](https://miamidadearts.org/sites/default/files/files/inline/how_to_file_ada_complaint_with_doj.pdf) Notice of Interest (Word) - gov.ada.archive, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://archive.ada.gov/olmstead/documents/christie-noi-olmstead.docx> FAQs: Filing a Judicial Conduct or Disability Complaint Against a Federal Judge, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.uscourts.gov/administration-policies/judicial-conduct-disability/faqs-filing-a-judicial-conduct-or-disability-complaint-against-a-federal-judge> Filing a Complaint | CJP - California Commission on Judicial Performance - CA.gov, accessed January 14, 2026, [https://cjp.ca.gov/file\\_a\\_complaint/](https://cjp.ca.gov/file_a_complaint/) Fact Sheet for Workplace Protections in the Federal Judiciary - United States Courts, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.uscourts.gov/administration-policies/workplace-conduct/fact-sheet-workplace-protections-federal-judiciary> LER 522 GOVERNMENT REGULATION Professor Michael H. LeRoy 244-4092 (work), or 398-1840 (home), or 766-5012 (cell)/e-mail - School of Labor and Employment Relations - University of Illinois, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://ler.illinois.edu/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/522-SYLLABUS-FALL-2018-1.1.pdf> IN THE SUPREME COURT OF IOWA No. 24-1352 ASHLEY HALL and RYAN HALL, on behalf of minor child AH, Plaintiffs-Appellees, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.iowacourts.gov/courtcases/23495/briefs/7823/embedBrief> Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaint Number 22-90121 - Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://cdn.ca9.uscourts.gov/dastore/ce9/2024/22-90121%20News%20Release%20&%20Order%20and%20Certification.pdf> JCP No. 08-24-90036 \_\_\_\_\_ In re Complaint of John Doe\* \_\_\_\_\_ This, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.ca8.uscourts.gov/sites/ca8/files/JCP08-24-90036.O.pdf> 1 This document explains the legally prescribed process for complaining that a federal judge has committed misconduct or become - CourtAlert, accessed January 14, 2026, [https://www.courtalert.com/NewsAlert/jud\\_conduct\\_and\\_disability\\_procedure.pdf](https://www.courtalert.com/NewsAlert/jud_conduct_and_disability_procedure.pdf) Judicial Inquiry and Review Commission | Virginia Court System, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.vacourts.gov/agencies/jirc/home> Ensuring the Rights of Families Affected by Parental Disability: A Call to Action - CWLA, accessed January 14, 2026, <http://www.cwla.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/2013Conf-Disabilities.pdf> Rocking the Cradle: Ensuring the Rights of Parents with Disabilities and Their Children, accessed January 14, 2026, [https://www.ndalc.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/NCD\\_Parenting\\_508.pdf](https://www.ndalc.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/NCD_Parenting_508.pdf) Reports - National Council on Disability, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.ncd.gov/reports/> Public Comment - National Council on Disability, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.ncd.gov/public-comment/> 2025.02.12-Transcript-for-Disability-Community-Briefing-What-You-Can-Do-to-Stop-the-Attack-on-Section-504.docx - DRED, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://dredf.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/2025.02.12-Transcript-for-Disability-Community-Briefing-What-You-Can-Do-to-Stop-the-Attack-on-Section-504.docx> 42 U.S. Code § 12102 - Definition of disability - Law.Cornell.Edu, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/12102> Legal Digest: An Overview of the Americans with Disabilities Amendments Act of 2008 | FBI, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://leb.fbi.gov/articles/legal-digest/legal-digest-an-overview-of-the-americans-with-disabilities-amendments-act-of-2008> Requesting Assistance - Disability Rights Florida, accessed January 14, 2026, [https://disabilityrightsflorida.org/contact/select\\_form](https://disabilityrightsflorida.org/contact/select_form) Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (Guide, Vol. 2E, Ch. 3) - United States Courts, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.uscourts.gov/file/document/rules-judicial-conduct-and-judicial-disability-proceedings> Judicial Conduct & Disability - United States Courts, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.uscourts.gov/administration-policies/judicial-conduct-disability> ADA AMENDMENTS ACT OF 2008 | U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, accessed January 14, 2026, <https://www.eeoc.gov/statutes/ada-amendments-act-2008>