|              | Student information       | Date       | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|
|              | UO: 300047                | 03/02/2025 | 1                 |
| Algorithmics | Surname: Rodríguez Torres |            | Escuela de        |



Informática

## Activity 1. Factor 1: problem size

Name: Luisa Natalia

| Iterations | Time (milliseconds) |
|------------|---------------------|
| 10000      | 1532                |
| 20000      | 6170                |
| 40000      | 24940               |
| 80000      | 100428              |
| 16000      | ОоТ                 |
| 320000     | ОоТ                 |
| 640000     | ОоТ                 |

We can see that the Python A1 problem has O(n^2) complexity. This aligns with the results obtained. The time grows exponentially as the problem size.

## Activity 2. Factor 2: computer performance

Computer 1) CPU: 12<sup>th</sup> Gen Intel® Core™ i5-12400

RAM: 16,0 GB

Computer 2) 12th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-1235U 2.50 GHz

**RAM: 8,0 GB** 

| Iterations | Time C1 (milliseconds) | Time C2 (milliseconds) |
|------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 10000      | 1532                   | 2182                   |
| 20000      | 6170                   | 7318                   |
| 40000      | 24940                  | 2968                   |
| 80000      | 100428                 | ОоТ                    |

|              | Student information       | Date       | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|
|              | UO: 300047                | 03/02/2025 | 1                 |
| Algorithmics | Surname: Rodríguez Torres |            |                   |
|              | Name: Luisa Natalia       |            |                   |

| 16000  | ОоТ | ОоТ |
|--------|-----|-----|
| 320000 | ОоТ | ОоТ |
| 640000 | ОоТ | ОоТ |

We can see how there is a clear difference in time corresponding to the computers power. For example the second computer only has half the RAM of the first computer, and as such, it is slower.

## Activity 3. Factor 3: implementation environment

| Iterations | Time Java (milliseconds) | Time Python (milliseconds) |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| 10000      | 13                       | 1532                       |
| 20000      | 34                       | 6170                       |
| 40000      | 133                      | 24940                      |
| 80000      | 458                      | 100428                     |
| 16000      | 2111                     | ОоТ                        |
| 320000     | 6589                     | ОоТ                        |
| 640000     | 24663                    | ОоТ                        |

Java is much faster than Python, this is due to structural differences. For example, Java is a static program, which means the variables are known at compile time, while Python is a dynamic program, which means they are known at runtime.

## Activity 4. Factor 4: algorithm that is used

|  | Iterations | Time A1 (ms) | Time A2 (ms) | Time A3 (ms) |
|--|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|--|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|

|              | Student information       | Date       | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|
|              | UO: 300047                | 03/02/2025 | 1                 |
| Algorithmics | Surname: Rodríguez Torres |            |                   |
|              | Name: Luisa Natalia       |            |                   |

| 10000  | 1532   | 186   | 94    |
|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| 20000  | 6170   | 677   | 352   |
| 40000  | 24940  | 2532  | 1295  |
| 80000  | 100428 | 9511  | 4872  |
| 16000  | ОоТ    | 37467 | 18341 |
| 320000 | ОоТ    | ОоТ   | ОоТ   |
| 640000 | ОоТ    | ОоТ   | ОоТ   |

All of the algorithms present a different complexity. A1 is  $O(n^2)$ , A2 is  $O(n^*root(n))$ , and A3 is O(n\*log(n)). As we can see, each of these complexities is better than the last, so even if we have the same problem, the algorithm used can make times much faster.