

The Bellman-Ford algorithm

COMS20010 (Algorithms II)

John Lapinskas, University of Bristol


Shortest paths with negative-weight edges

The **length** of a path/walk $P = x_1 \dots x_t$ is the total weight $\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} w(x_i, x_{i+1})$ of P 's edges.

The **distance** from x to y is the shortest length of any path/walk from x to y , or ∞ if they are in different components.

We touched on negative-weight edges when we covered Dijkstra's algorithm in week 4, but now we can actually solve the problem.


We assume every cycle in the graph has non-negative total weight — this guarantees that a shortest walk from one vertex to another exists, and is a path. Otherwise, it often doesn't exist!



Here there is no shortest walk from v_1 to v_5 , since we can keep repeating the cycle $v_2v_3v_4$ to send the length of the walk off to $-\infty$...

What goes wrong with Dijkstra?

Dijkstra's algorithm relies on the assumption that the best route out of a set X of vertices is determined by the graph's structure in and near X . With negative weights, this fails.



Since (x, y) has lower weight than (x, z) , Dijkstra's algorithm run from x finalises $d(x, y) = 1$ as its first step even though $d(x, y) = -5$. It can't "see" the weight- (-7) edge when it's finalising the distance of y .


A dynamic programming approach

Step 1: Find a slow algorithm by reducing the problem to itself.

Original problem: Given a weighted digraph G with no negative-weight cycles and vertices $s, t \in V(G)$, find a shortest path from s to t .

Remember, when a solution is composed of lots of separate choices, a good way of going about this is often to consider the results of each choice.

Here, a good first choice is: which edge do we take out of s ?



Any shortest path must be an edge from s to some $v \in N^+(s)$, followed by a shortest path from v to t in $G - s$.

The slow recursive algorithm

Algorithm: BADPATH

Input : A weighted digraph $G = ((V, E), w)$ with no negative-weight cycles, and two vertices $s, t \in V(G)$.

Output : A shortest path from s to t in G , or None if none exists.

```
1 begin
2   if  $s = t$  then
3     | Return the empty path.
4   if  $d^+(s) = 0$  then
5     | Return None.
6   Write  $N^+(s) = \{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ , where  $d \geq 1$ .
7   Let  $P_i \leftarrow \text{BADPATH}(G - s, v_i, t)$  for all  $i \in [d]$ .
8   if  $P_i = \text{None}$  for all  $i \in [d]$  then
9     | Return None.
10  | Return whichever path is shortest in  $\{sv_iP_i : i \in [d], P_i \neq \text{None}\}$ .
```

How many possible calls are there to BADPATH? If the input graph is a clique, there are $\Theta(|V|2^{|V|})$ — G could be any of the $2^{|V|}$ induced subgraphs, and s could be any of the $|V|$ vertices!

So we can't just memoise this — we need to consolidate the calls.

The hard part: consolidating calls!

We can get around this by using two common tricks in dynamic programming: **reframing the problem** and **adding a parameter**.

Instead of asking for a shortest **path** from s to t in G , we will ask for a shortest **walk** from s to t in G **with at most** $|V(G)| - 1$ **edges**.

Remember, when there are no negative-weight cycles, the shortest walk will be a path, and all paths have length at most $|V(G)| - 1$! So we're still asking for the same thing.

But the new formulation gives a much better recursive algorithm.

Most of dynamic programming is “cookie-cutter”. It’s not easy to learn, but once you know how, it’s the same method for every problem. This is the part that can be arbitrarily difficult and only comes with practice.

A decent algorithm

Algorithm: GOODPATH

Input : A weighted digraph $G = ((V, E), w)$ with no negative-weight cycles, two vertices $s, t \in V(G)$, and an integer $k \geq 0$.

Output : A shortest walk from s to t in G with at most k edges, or None if none exists.

```
1 begin
2   if  $k = 0$  then
3     | Return the empty walk if  $s = t$ , and None otherwise.
4   Write  $N^+(s) = \{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ , where  $d \geq 1$ .
5   Let  $P_i \leftarrow \text{GOODPATH}(G, v_i, t, k - 1)$  for all  $i \in [d]$ .
6   if  $P_i = \text{None}$  for all  $i \in [d]$  then
7     | Return None.
8   Return whichever walk is shortest in  $\{s v_i P_i : i \in [d], P_i \neq \text{None}\}$ .
```

How many distinct calls are there in $\text{GOODPATH}(G, s, t, |V| - 1)$?

Only $|V|^2$! (One for each possible (k, s) pair, since G and t stay the same between calls.)

Each call takes $O(|V|)$ time, so if we memoise, the algorithm runs in total time $O(|V|^3)$. And as a bonus, we can get $d(v, t)$ for all $v \in V$ for free.