

# HPC4M assignment 3

Filippo Zanetti

## Exercise 2

I implemented the code such that:

- If `nproc` =  $N$ , every processor computes exactly one row of the result.
- If `nproc` >  $N$ , the last `nproc` −  $N$  processors are left without doing anything.
- If `nproc` <  $N$ , then each processor computes  $\text{int}(N/\text{nproc}) + 1$  rows of matrix  $A$ ; the result is then collected and only the first  $N$  rows of the result are considered.

The result, for  $N = 5$  and `nproc` = 3 is the following

```
Proc  0  . Rows:
      350      360      330      260      150
      900      920      840      660      380
Proc  2  . Rows:
      3750     3800     3450     2700     1550
      5100     5160     4680     3660     2100
Proc  1  . Rows:
      1650     1680     1530     1200      690
      2600     2640     2400     1880     1080
Time =  1.7626000000000000E-002
A * B =
      350      360      330      260      150
      900      920      840      660      380
      1650     1680     1530     1200      690
      2600     2640     2400     1880     1080
      3750     3800     3450     2700     1550
```

## Exercise 3

I implemented three codes for this exercise:

- A serial code, which does not use parallelization
- A parallel code that splits the domain into vertical strips; each strip considers  $\text{int}(N/\text{nproc})$  columns of the discretization, where  $N$  is the number of grid points per dimension.
- A parallel code that splits the domain into squares; I find the largest square smaller than  $\text{nproc}$ , i.e.  $\text{nsq}^2 = \text{int}(\sqrt{\text{nproc}})$ . The last  $\text{nproc} - \text{nsq}^2$  processors are left idle, while the first ones actively compute the integral, each one working on a square with  $\text{int}(N/\text{nsq})$  discretization points for each dimension.

I used the simple 2D trapezoidal rule: on each rectangular element of the discretization, the integral is approximated as  $\Delta x \Delta y / 4 \cdot (f(a) + f(b) + f(c) + f(d))$ , where  $a, b, c, d$  represent the four vertexes.

I used as parameters  $a = b = 20$ . Using the serial code, the results are the following

```

2 subdivisions , error: 0.546E+04
4 subdivisions , error: 0.567E+04
8 subdivisions , error: 0.575E+04
16 subdivisions , error: 0.126E+04
32 subdivisions , error: 0.659E+03
64 subdivisions , error: 0.445E+03
128 subdivisions , error: 0.623E+03
256 subdivisions , error: 0.104E+02
512 subdivisions , error: 0.224E+01
1024 subdivisions , error: 0.541E+00
2048 subdivisions , error: 0.134E+00
4096 subdivisions , error: 0.335E-01
8192 subdivisions , error: 0.837E-02
16384 subdivisions , error: 0.209E-02
32768 subdivisions , error: 0.523E-03
65536 subdivisions , error: 0.130E-03
131072 subdivisions , error: 0.331E-04
```

I chose to use  $N^* = 100000$  subdivisions per side, which ensures a sufficiently small error. Using the serial code and  $N^*$ , the computational time is 136.38 seconds.

Now, we can compare the computational times using  $N^*$  subdivisions and a variable number of processors. We also compare the approach using strips and squares.

Table 1: Computational time using a variable number of processors, in the case with strips and squares parallelization

nproc	Time(s)	
	strips	squares
2	71.47	-
4	36.06	35.04
8	17.98	-
9	15.97	15.79
12	11.99	-
16	9.27	8.92
25	6.75	6.74
36	3.99	3.94

Figure 1: Computational time against number of processors for the parallel code using strips

