# Sampling: Ethics

\$ echo "Data Science Institute"

### **Key Texts**

- Peter Bacchetti, Leslie E. Wolf, Mark R. Segal, Charles E. McCulloch, Ethics and Sample Size, American Journal of Epidemiology, Volume 161, Issue 2, 15 January 2005, Pages 105–110, https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwi014
- Patrick Dattalo, Ethical Dilemmas in Sampling, Journal of Social Work Values and Ethics, Volume 7, Issue 1, 2010, https://jswve.org/download/2010-1/2dattalo-Ethicaldilemmas-in-sampling.pdf
- Bornstein, M. H., Jager, J., & Putnick, D. L. (2013). Sampling in Developmental Science: Situations, Shortcomings, Solutions, and Standards. *Developmental review: DR*, 33(4), 357–370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2013.08.003

# Respondent Burden

#### Respondent Burden

- Respondent burden refers to any risk, inconvenience, or discomfort respondents endure as a result of their participation in a study or survey
  - Low respondent burden: filling out a survey that takes 15 minutes of a participant's free time
  - High respondent burden: taking an experimental medication with potential negative side effects
- Net burden occurs when respondent burden outweighs the personal benefit received for a given respondent
- Total participant burden = Net burden per participant · Number of participants

# Impacts of High Respondent Burden on Data Quality

- Unit or item non-response
  - Respondent may avoid parts of a survey that they feel are most involved, or may avoid responding entirely
- Measurement error
  - Respondents providing rushed or inaccurate answers as a result of feeling overly burdened
- Sustainability
  - Inability to recruit new or repeat respondents once potential participants become aware of the burden involved

# **Study Value**

- Study value refers to the projected societal or clinical benefits of the results of a given study
  - Low study value: a survey measuring university students' opinions on local coffee shops
  - High study value: a clinical trial of a new cancer treatment
- Value can also include benefit to individual participants in the study (i.e. compensation or insight/knowledge)
- Value is sometimes measured in terms of the probability of generating statistically significant results (also known as **statistical power**)

# Respondent Burden and Sample Size

- If there is no net burden for each participant, ethical considerations do not need to constrain sample size
- If there is a net burden for each participant, the total burden increases as sample size increases
- However, a larger sample may increase the probability of achieving statistically significant or reliable results, which would increase the study value

A sample size could be considered ethically acceptable if the projected value of the study is greater than the total participant burden generated by the sample size



# **External Validity**

# **Validity**

- Internal validity refers to the validity of results within a particular study
  - Were all relevant variables measured? Were measurements accurate? Is the analysis appropriate for the study design?
- External validity describes the extent to which the results of study can be validly applied *outside* of the context of that specific study
  - Are the study participants representative of the target population? Do the conditions of the study mimic real-world conditions?
  - A study must be internally valid in order to be externally valid

# Sampling and External Validity

- How can we make sure samples maximize external validity?
  - Reduce selection, coverage, and non-response bias
  - Ensure sample size is sufficiently large
  - Use probability samples
    - SRS, stratified samples, cluster samples, etc.
    - Each sampling unit has a known selection probability
    - Representative and minimally biased

# **Issues with Probability Sampling**

- Resource intensive, expensive, and time consuming
- Sample size may be too small to be representative
- Incomplete randomization
  - Sampling units may be randomized, but still all drawn from one location or over a short time frame (i.e. time and place are not random, which impacts selection prob)
- Presence of other errors
  - Measurement error
  - Coverage error (incomplete sampling frame)
  - Low response rate or high non-response bias

# **Non-probability Sampling**

- Becoming more common in social and scientific research
- Generally easier and cheaper than probability sampling
- More difficult to analyze, but more robust analysis and estimation techniques are being developed
- Examples
  - Convenience sampling: selecting individuals from accessible populations or allowing individuals to volunteer without explicit selection
  - Deliberate or purposive sampling: choosing individuals with specific characteristics (either for diversity or homogeneity)

#### **External Validity and Ethical Concerns**

#### Representation

- Convenience sampling: Who is the sample convenient for? Is the sample systematically biased towards some populations and away from others?
- Deliberate sampling: How were individuals with the desired characteristics identified and selected?

#### Estimation and generalizations from non-representative samples

- Demographic variation and covariation may go undetected
- Potential inaccurate results for population-level estimates
- Potential negative outcomes on populations that were not represented in the sample

# Working with Non-Representative Samples

- Consider impacts of bias
  - What are the potential consequences if inference from a non-representative sample is inaccurate?
- Restrict estimates to a subpopulation
  - If your sample only contains people under 30, do not generalize results to people of all age groups
- Weighting methods
  - Post-stratification
  - Raking
- Modelling
  - Multi-level models to extract information from underrepresented sub-populations

### **Next**

Estimation and survey quality