3D Distribution of Synapses in Cortex

Kelly Chang, Andrew Cheng, San-He Wu

Mar 10, 2016

Significance

- The ultimate goal of systems neuroscience is to fully map the human connectome



- Traditional neuroscience techniques involve studying individual neurons in isolation. This cannot capture:
  - ***Spatial Distribution***
  - Connectivity
  - Information processing

Need/Gap

- Electron microscopy now affords the ability to map entire sections of cortex in detail
- It is now possible to assess the distribution of synapses in cortex
    - Also possible to assess Connectivity



- This project will focus on synaptic density across “layers” of cortex



Challenges

- Annotation of neural markers
  - Labeling of synapses
  - Labeling of neurons / cell types
  - Separating cortical layers



- Stratifying synapses
  - This project is focused on synaptic density across “layers”
  - Resolving different cortical layers from the EM data is non-trivial.
  - **We worked with the 11 different Z-layers instead of 6 cortical layers**

Formal Statement of Problem

$$N = \#\{\text{Synapses}\}$$

$$X_i = \text{X position} \qquad Y_i = \text{Y position}$$

$$X_i,\ Y_i,\ N \sim F := \{F_{X,Y,N}(.;\theta):\ \theta \in \Theta\}$$

$$W_i = \{High\ Density,\ Low\ Density\}$$

$$L = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(\hat{W} \neq W)$$

$$E[L] = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(\hat{W} \neq W)/N$$





- Z layers labeled (8 low, 3 high density)
- Classify grids by mean synapses per bin
- Get the expectation of loss function

Model Assumptions

- Grid means are independent of X, Y dimensions

$$X_i,\ Y_i \perp\!\!\!\perp U_i$$

- Grid means are i.i.d.

$$(u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_i) \sim F = \prod F_i,\ F_i = F_j,\ \forall\ i \neq j$$

Formal Statement of Algorithm

- Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)
- Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA)
- K-Nearest Neighbors (kNN)
- Support Vector Machines (SVM)
- Random Forest (RF)

Results





Algorithm	**Accuracy**
Nearest Neighbors	0.75 ± 0.01
Linear SVM	0.80 ± 0.01
Random Forest	0.80 ± 0.01
LDA	0.80 ± 0.01
QDA	0.80 ± 0.01

- All classifiers performed similarly, at around 80% (except for Nearest Neighbors)
- Grid means alone provide modest amount of information. More is needed for greater separability.

Model Checking

- Grid means independent across X, but not Y
  - Grid means are not i.i.d. within single Z layers





- Grid means not independent across Z
- Grid means not identically distributed across Z





Discussion / Future Work

- Preliminary results indicate that more information is needed for successful classification of Z layers
  - Larger grids
  - Alternative metric (instead of means)
  - Quantify spatial organization
    - Clustering
- Functional information
  - Cortical layers vs. Z layers