

[0 0 0 1] [beta_0; beta_1; beta_2; gamma_0] = 0, r = 1

2015F3

2016S3 2017F2

- a) Explain the difference between fixed and random effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation.
- b) Explain the difference between crossed and nested effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation. Make sure to discuss which

2015F4

2019S1

Assume the model y_i = beta_0 + beta_1 x_i + beta_2 x_i^2 + epsilon_i, i = 1,..,n with the additional restrictions that beta_1 = 0, beta_0 = 2 beta_2. Find the least-squares estimators of beta_0 and beta_1. Let SSE = sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y)^2 = sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - beta_0 - beta_1 x_i - beta_2 x_i^2)^2 = sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - beta_0 - 2 beta_0 x_i^2)^2

2016S

Fountain, Tableman*

2016S1

2015S1 2017SD2 [7.6 Confounding the 2k Factorial Design in Four Blocks]

You must design an experiment to test six factors, each having two levels. Your budget will only allow sixteen runs. Furthermore, due to time constraints, only four runs can be done on a given day, so you will have to conduct the experiment in 4 blocks. You may assume that 4-way and higher interactions are not important.

Show all of the following:

- all of your generators (make sure that your resolution is at least III)

2^{6-2}_{III} E=ABC, F=BCD; I=ABCE=BCDF=ADEF

- the 16 runs to conduct E=ABC; F=BCD; Block=ABD

ABCD	E	F	ACD	ABD	Run
-	-	-	-	-	(1)
-	-	-	+	+	df
-	-	-	+	+	cef
-	-	-	+	+	cde
-	-	-	+	+	bef
-	-	-	+	+	bde
-	-	-	+	+	bc
-	-	-	+	+	bcdf
-	-	-	+	+	ae
-	-	-	+	+	adef
-	-	-	+	+	acf
-	-	-	+	+	acd
-	-	-	+	+	abf
-	-	-	+	+	abd
-	-	-	+	+	abce
-	-	-	+	+	abcdef

2016S2

2017F3 2018S3

The multiple linear regression model y_i = beta_0 + beta_1 x_{i1} + beta_2 X_{i2} + beta_3 X_{i3} + beta_4 X_{i4} + beta_5 X_{i5} + epsilon_i was fit to a data set of 75 observations. The regression SS's (SSR) were partitioned sequentially into the following:

SSR(X_1) = 108; SSR(X_2|X_1) = 163; SSR(X_3|X_1 X_2) = 29; SSR(X_4|X_1 X_2 X_3) = 41; SSR(X_5|X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4) = 26

The model y_i = beta_0 + beta_1 x_{i1} + beta_3 X_{i3} + beta_5 X_{i5} + epsilon_i was also fit to the same data and the following ANOVA was calculated:

Source(df)	SS_F	SS_{-3,-4,-5}	SS_{1,2}	SS_{-2,-4}	SS_{1,3,5}
Regression	367(5)	-96(3)	271(2)	-153(2)	214(3)
Residual Error	336(69)	+96(3)	432(72)	+153(2)	489(71)
Total	703(74)				

The additional(extral) sum of squares F test (partial F test), SSE_{reduced} - SSE_{Full} is called the extra sum of squares due to j^{th} predictor given that all the other terms are in the model.

SSR_{Full} - SSR_{Red} = SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full}

F = ((SSE_{Red} - SSE_{Full}) / (dfE_{Red} - dfE_{Full})) / (SSE_{Full} / dfE_{Full})

Answer the following from the above information:

(a) Calculate the F-statistic for testing the hypothesis (H_0) that X_3, X_4, and X_5 have no significant effect on the response Y.

H_0 : beta_3 = beta_4 = beta_5 = 0; r = 3; SST = 703;

SSR_{Full} = sum_{i=1}^5 SSR_{X_i} = 367; SSE_{Full} = SST - SSR_{Full} = 703 - 367 = 336;

dfE_{Full} = n - (k + 1) = 75 - (5 + 1) = 69;

SSR_{Red} = sum_{i=1}^2 SSR_{X_i} = 271; SSE_{Red} = SST - SSR_{Red} = 703 - 271 = 432;

dfE_{Red} = n - (k + 1) + r = 69 + 3 = 72

F = ((432 - 336) / (72 - 69)) / (336 / 69) = 6.571429; F_{p,3,69} = 6.571429; F_{0.05,3,50} = 2.79, F_{0.05,3,100} = 2.70; .: p < 0.05, reject H_0 at 0.05 level of significance

2016S3

2017F2

- a) Explain the difference between fixed and random effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation.
- b) Explain the difference between crossed and nested effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation. Make sure to discuss which terms would be absent from the model, and the resulting effect on sums of squares and degrees of freedom in the ANOVA table.

2016S4

2019S1

Assume the model y_i = beta_0 + beta_1 x_i + beta_2 x_i^2 + epsilon_i, i = 1,..,n with the additional restrictions that beta_1 = 1, beta_2 = beta_0. Find the least-squares estimators of the coefficients.

Let SSE = sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y-hat)^2 = sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - beta_0 - x_i - beta_0 x_i^2)^2

partial SSE / partial beta_2 = 2 sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i - beta_0 - beta_0 x_i^2) (-1 - x_i^2) set{=} 0; beta_0 = beta_2 = (sum_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i^2)(y_i - x_i)) / sum_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i^2)^2

dfE_{Full} = n - (k + 1) = 8 - (3 + 1) = 4, dfE_{Reduced} = n - (k + 1) + r = 5
F = ((SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full}) / r) / (SSE_{Full} / dfE_{Full}), df_{nume} = 1, df_{deno} = 4

terms would be absent from the model, and the resulting effect on sums of squares and degrees of freedom in the ANOVA table.

partial SSE / partial beta_0 = 2 sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - beta_0 - 2 beta_0 x_i^2) (-1 - 2 x_i^2) set{=} 0;

beta_0 = (sum_{i=1}^n y_i (1 + 2 x_i^2)) / sum_{i=1}^n (1 + 2 x_i^2)^2; beta_2 = (2 sum_{i=1}^n y_i (1 + 2 x_i^2)) / sum_{i=1}^n (1 + 2 x_i^2)^2

- the alias structure

A=BCE=DEF; B=CDF=ACE; C=ABE=BDF; D=BCF=AEF; E=ABC=ADF;

F=BCD=ADE;

AB=CE; AC=BE; AD=EF; AE=BC=DF; AF=DE; BD=CF; BF=CD;

ABD=CDE=ACF=BEF; ACD=BDE=ABF=CEF

- the four runs to be done on each day

Day1: ae, bc, df, abcd

Day2: abf, acd, bde, cef

Day3: abd, acf, bef, cde

Day4: (1), abce, adef, bcdf

- the effects to be confounded with blocks

ACD=BDE=ABF=CEF; ABD=ACF=BEF=CDE; AE=BC=DF

- the Source and DF columns of the ANOVA table

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 A B C D E F AB AC AD AF BD BF Block

AB=CE, AC=BE, AD=EF, AF=DE, BD=CF, BF=CD

In the multiple regression model with $p - 1$ independent variables X_j , let the $n \times p$ matrix \mathbf{X} denote the design matrix which contains the column of 1's to fit the intercept term and has full rank. Let \mathbf{H} denote the hat matrix. Let h_{ii} denote the i_{th} diagonal element of \mathbf{H} . Prove that $0 \leq h_{ii} \leq 1$.

First row: $1, X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{2i}^2, 1, X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{2i}^2, 1, 3.54, 17, 289, 1, 3.54, 17, 289$

Last row: 1, X_{1i} , X_{2i} , X_{2i}^2 , 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 3.54, 17, 289, 0, 0, 0, 0

(b) For each of the following objectives, give the appropriate null hypothesis.

i. It is desired to know whether the slope coefficient on x_1 is the same for both groups. $\beta_5 = 0$

ii. It is desired to know whether the entire regression models for the two groups are identical. $\beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = \beta_7 = 0$

2016F3

The following is part of the SAS output from a simple linear regression model: $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i$, where $i = 1, \dots, 13$, and y_i and x_i are the i th punter's average punting distance and right leg strength, respectively. Each punter punted 10 times and the average distance was measured. In addition, measure of right leg strength (lb lifted) was taken via a weight lifting test.

	Obs	rleg	distance
1	170	162	50
2	140	144	00
3	180	147	50
4	160	163	50
5	150	171	75
6	150	172	00
7	110	104	83
8	110	104	83
9	120	105	67
10	130	117	58
11	120	140	25
12	140	150	17
13	160	165	17

Dependent Variable: distance

	X'X	Inverse	Parameter Estimates	and SSE
Intercept	3.577777777	-0.023703704	14.906962963	
rleg	-0.023703704	0.0001604938	0.9026716049	
distance	14.906962963	0.9026716049	3025.6604973	

Analysis of Variance

	Source	DF	SumofSquares	MeanSquare	FValue
Pr>F					

```
x <- c(170,140,180,160,170,150,170,110,120,130,120,140,160)
y <- c(162.50,144.00,147.50,163.50,192.00,171.75,162.00,104.83,105.67,117.58,140.25,150.17,165.17)
bar_x <- mean(x)
S_xx <- var(x)*(13-1)
sum((x-mean(x))^2)

## [1] 6230.769
```

```
hat_y <- 14.90696+0.90267*170
qt(0.025,11,lower.tail = F,log.p = F)
```

```
## [1] 2.200985
```

```
se_y_mean <- sqrt(275.06005*(1/13+(170-bar_x)^2/S_xx))
se_y_new <- sqrt(275.06005*(1+1/13+(170-bar_x)^2/S_xx))
```

(a) Find the three residual values at $x = 170$.
 $\hat{y} = 14.90696 + 0.90267 * 170 = 168.3609; 162.5 - 168.3609 = -5.8609, 192 - 168.3609 = 23.6391, 162 - 168.3609 = -6.3609$

(b) Compute a 95% CI for μ at $x = 170$.
 $\bar{x} = 147.6923, S_{XX} = \sum_{i=1}^{13} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = 6230.769$

$se(y_0) = \sqrt{MSE(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{XX}})} = \sqrt{275.06005(\frac{1}{13} + \frac{(170 - 147.6923)^2}{6230.769})} = 6.567093$

2016F4

Prior to 1985, Meily Lin had observed that some colors of birthday balloons seem to be harder to inflate than others. She ran this experiment to determine whether balloons of different colors are similar in terms of the time taken for inflation to a diameter of 7 inches. Four colors were selected from a single manufacturer. An assistant blew up the balloons and the experimenter recorded the times (to the nearest 1/10 second) with a stop watch. This experiment was replicated 4 times, and the data including the order are displayed in the SAS code below, where color 1 = pink, 2 = yellow, 3 = orange, and 4 = blue.

“r data balloon; input runorder color infltime @@; cards; 1 1 22.4 2 3 24.6 3 1 20.3 4 4 19.8 5 3 24.3 6 2 22.2 7 2 28.5 8 2 25.7 9 3 20.2 10 1 19.6 11 2 28.8 12 4 24.0 13 4 17.1 14 4 19.3 15 3 24.2 16 1 15.8 17 2 18.3 18 1 17.5 19 4 18.7 20 3 22.9 21 1 16.3 22 4 14.0 23 4 16.6 24 2 18.1 25 2 18.9 26 4 16.0 27 2 20.1 28 3 22.5 29 3 16.0 30 1 19.3 31 1 15.9 32 3 20.3 33 4 18.2 34 2 18.1

a. Why or why not do we need to record the run order in the model?
b. What kind of model would be appropriate for the above experiment?
c. Read the following <Program I>. If we had assumed that there is an equal slope linear relationship between the inflation time and the run order for each color, how can we test the assumption? How would you adjust the following program? Why?

2016F5

[BIBD]

Consider an experiment to compare 7 treatments in block of size 5. Taking all possible combinations of five treatments from seven gives a balanced incomplete block design with each treatment level occurring 15 times. Hint: Figure out p, t, k, r, λ and their relationships.

$a = 7, k = 5, r = 15, ar = bk$, replications of each pair $\lambda = \frac{(k-1)}{a-1} r = \frac{k(k-1)}{a(a-1)} b = 10$

a. How many blocks does the design have?
 $b = \frac{ar}{k} = 21$

b. Show that the number of times each treatment level occurs must be a multiple of

2017S

Brad Crain, Jong Sung Kim*

2017SR1

2018S1

iii. It is desired to know whether a quadratic term in x_2 is needed by both groups. $\beta_3 = \beta_7 = 0$

iv. It is desired to know whether the slope coefficients on x_1 and x_2 for the first group are equal. $\beta_1 + \beta_5 = \beta_2 + \beta_6$

Model 1	5076.93063	5076.93063	18.46	0.0013
Error 11	3025.66050	275.06005		
Total 12	8102.59112			
Root MSE 16.58493 R-Square 0.6266				
Dependent Mean 148.22462 Adj R-Sq 0.5926				
Coeff Var 11.18906				
Parameter Estimates				
Intercept	1	14.90696	0.6439	
rleg	1	0.90267	0.0013	
Output Statistics				
Dep Var Predicted Std Error				
Obs	distance	Value	Mean	Predict Mean Predict Residual
1	162.5000			
2	144.0000	141.2810	4.8755	130.5501 152.0119 103.2332 179.3288 2.7190
3	147.5000	177.3879	8.1998	159.3402 195.4355 136.6668 218.1089 -29.8879
4	163.5000	159.3344	5.2769	147.7201 170.9488 121.0281 197.6408 4.1656
5	192.0000			
6	171.7500	150.3077	4.6253	140.1274 160.4880 112.4115 188.2039 21.4423
7	162.0000			
8	104.8300	114.2008	9.1584	94.0433 134.3583 72.5018 155.8999 -9.3708
9	105.6700	123.2276	7.4170	106.9028 139.5523 83.2403 163.2148 -17.5576
10	117.5800	132.2543	5.9141	119.2374 143.2712 93.4996 171.0089 -14.6743
11	140.2500	123.2276	7.4170	106.9028 139.5523 83.2403 163.2148 17.0224
12	150.1700	141.2810	4.8755	130.5501 152.0119 103.2332 179.3288 8.8890
13	165.1700	159.3344	5.2769	147.7201 170.9488 121.0281 197.6408 5.8356

$\hat{y} \pm t_{n-2, 0.025} se(y_0) = 168.3609 \pm 2.200985 * 6.567093, (153.9068, 182.815)$

(c) Compute a 95% CI for μ at $x = 170$.
 $se(y_0) = \sqrt{MSE(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{XX}})} = 17.83779$

$168.3609 \pm 2.200985 * 17.83779, (129.1002, 207.6216)$

```
proc glm data=balloon; class color; /* color: 1 = pink, 2 = yellow,
3 = orange, 4 = blue */ model infltime = color runorder; estimate 'pink vs. orange'
color -1 0 1 0; lsmeans color/pdiff; run;
d. Based on the following output from <Program I>, one can apply a Bonferroni
multiple comparison test with level .05. Which are significantly different and which
are not?
The GLM Procedure; Least Squares Means
_____ color infltimeLSMEAN LSMEANNumber
1 18.3341795 1
2 22.3883782 3
3 22.0882820 3
4 18.2141603 4
Least Squares Means for effect color Pr > |t| for H0: LSMean(i)=LSMean(j) Depen-
dent Variable: infltime
_____ i/j 1 2 3 4
1 0.0043 0.0076 0.9271
2 0.0043 0.8195 0.0034
3 0.0026 0.8195 0.0060
4 0.9271 0.0034 0.0060
```

five for a balanced incomplete block design with 7 treatments and blocks of size 5 to exist.

$r = \frac{bk}{a} = \frac{5}{7}b$

c. Show that the smallest balanced incomplete block design has 15 observations per treatment.

$\lambda = \frac{(k-1)}{a-1} r = \frac{2}{3} r \in \mathbf{N}^+$

r is a multiple of 3 and 5 (in b.), $r = 15$ is the smallest number of observations per treatment for a BIBD with $a = 7, k = 5$.

A company is comparing two different methods of processing their raw material. They begin with 8 batches of material, which are randomly assigned to one of the two processes. The quality of the final product is measured on a 50-point scale. There is some concern that the outside temperature on each day might have an effect on the product (and the effect might be different for the two processes), and so it is recorded so that it can be taken into account. The following table shows and ordered pair for each batch, consisting of the quality measurement and the temperature.

Process 1 (45,81)(40,68) (41,77)(41,61)

2017SR2

2019S1

Assume the model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ with the additional restrictions that $\beta_1 = 1$ and $\beta_2 = \beta_0/2$. Find the least-squares estimators of $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$.
Let

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - x_i - \frac{\beta_0}{2} x_i^2)^2$$

2017SD1

[Latin Square]

Given an educational material evaluation experiment where there are three possible blocking factors [R,C,G], each with six levels $[R_{1..6}; C_{1..6}; G_{1..6}]$:
1. Write out the model equation of the Latin Square design if the blocking factors R and C are used, and G is disregarded.
 $y_{ijk} = \mu + \tau_i + \alpha_j + \beta_k + \varepsilon_{ijk}, i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6$; where μ overall mean
 τ_i is effect of i^{th} treatment; α_j is effect of j^{th} block of factor R; β_k effect of k^{th} block of factor C;
 ε_{ijkl} is random error when i^{th} treatment is applied at j^{th} block of factor R and k^{th} block of factor C; y_{ijkl} is response ;
Assumptions: $\varepsilon_{ijk} \sim iidN(0, \sigma^2)$. Further assumptions would be based on whether the treatment and blocking factors are random or fixed.
2. Explain why all three blocking factors can not be used simultaneously without a modification
The Latin-Square design can only use 2 blocking factors, as we distribute the levels

2017SD2

[8.3 The One-Quarter Fraction of the 2k Design p.344] [7.7 table 7.9]

Given a Blocked 2^{6-2} design with Factors [A,B,C,D,E,F],Generators E=ABC, F=BCD and Defining Contrasts AB, CD
1. How many blocks are included in this design?
2. What is the Defining Relationship in this design?
generating relations I=ABCE=BCDF=ADEF
3. What is the Resolution of this Design?
IV
4. List the aliases of AE
AE=BC=ABCDEF=DF
5. Show the effect on two-way interactions that include A, if you augment by **fold-

2017F

Robert Fountain*, Daniel Taylor-Rodriguez

2017F1

2018S1 2019S3

A company has developed two specialized training workshops for their employees. Each of the 12 employees is randomly assigned to one of the two workshops. The company would like to develop a model that could be used to predict each employee’s performance score (a number from 0 to 100) based on their attendance at the workshops. The previous year’s performance score is also available for use as a predictor. The following table shows and ordered pair for each employee, consisting of the current performance score and the previous year’s score.

(72,66)(72,62) WorkshopA (70,58)(70,62) (68,60)(72,65)
WorkshopB (75,60)(74,62) (72,60)(71,60) (73,61)(73,65)

2017F2

a) Explain the difference between fixed and random effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation.
<https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/4700/what-is-the-difference-between-fixed-effect-random-effect-and-mixed-effect-mode>
- Fixed effects are constant across individuals, and random effects vary. For example, in a growth study, a model with random intercepts a_i and fixed slope b corresponds to parallel lines for different individuals i , or the model $y_i = a_i + b \cdot t$. Kreft and De Leeuw (1998) thus distinguish between fixed and random coefficients.
- Effects are fixed if they are interesting in themselves or random if there is interest in the underlying population. Searle, Casella, and McCulloch (1992, Section 1.4) explore this distinction in depth.
- “When a sample exhausts the population, the corresponding variable is fixed; when the sample is a small (i.e., negligible) part of the population the corresponding variable is random.” (Green and Tukey, 1960)
- “If an effect is assumed to be a realized value of a random variable, it is called a random effect.” (LaMotte, 1983)
- Fixed effects are estimated using least squares (or, more generally, maximum likelihood) and random effects are estimated with shrinkage (“linear unbiased prediction” in the terminology of Robinson, 1991). This definition is standard in the mul-

Process 2 (42,59)(37,62) (41,83)(35,70) _____
a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above. Hint: there are 8 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.
b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.
c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the two slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_0} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - x_i - \frac{\hat{\beta}_0}{2} x_i^2) (1 + \frac{x_i^2}{2}) \stackrel{set}{=} 0$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) (1 + \frac{x_i^2}{2})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 + \frac{x_i^2}{2})^2}, \hat{\beta}_1 = 1, \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) (1 + \frac{x_i^2}{2})}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + \frac{x_i^2}{2})^2}$$

of the treatment factor on a table with rows of one blocking factor (each row is fore one block) and columns of the other blocking factor (each column is one block)
3. What is the modification required?
You can test three blocking factors by turning the Latin-square design into a Graeco-Latin square design, which allows to add a Greek letter to each entry in the table, where each Greek letter stands for a block of the factor G.
4. If the Relative Efficiency for the modified experiment was calculated to be 2.3, how many observations of heterogeneous experimental units in a CRD would be expected to obtain the same variance for the treatment mean as one replicate of the modified experiment.
 $\frac{(df_{E(LS)}+1)(df_{E(CRD)}+3)MS_{CRD}}{(df_{E(LS)}+3)(df_{E(CRD)}+1)MS_{LS}} = 2.3$
 $df_{E(LS)} = (p-1)(p-2) = 20, (df_{E(GS)} = (p-1)(p-3) = 15, df_{E(CRD)} = a(n-1) = 7.2, 32.5$

ing** on A [8.5.2]
I=ABCE=BCDF=ADEF
AB=CE=ACDF=BDEF
AC=BE=ABDE=CDEF
AD=BCDF=ABCF=EF
AE=BC=ABCDEF=DE
AF=BCEF=ABCD=DE
6. List the aliases of the defining contrasts [including the generalized interaction]
AB=CE=ACDF=BDEF
CD=ABDE=BF=ACEF

a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above, allowing for different slopes and different intercepts for the two workshops. Hint: there are 12 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.
b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.
c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the two slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?

tilevel modeling literature (see, for example, Snijders and Bosker, 1999, Section 4.2) and in econometrics.
b) Explain the difference between crossed and nested effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation. Make sure to discuss which terms would be absent from the model, and the resulting effect on sums of squares and degrees of freedom in the ANOVA table.
<https://www.theanalysisfactor.com/the-difference-between-crossed-and-nested-factors/>
Two factors are crossed when every category of one factor co-occurs in the design with every category of the other factor. In other words, there is at least one observation in every combination of categories for the two factors.
A factor is nested within another factor when each category of the first factor co-occurs with only one category of the other. In other words, an observation has to be within one category of Factor 2 in order to have a specific category of Factor 1. All combinations of categories are not represented.
If two factors are crossed, you can calculate an interaction. If they are nested, you cannot because you do not have every combination of one factor along with every combination of the other.

2017F3

2018S3 2016S2

The multiple linear regression model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_{i1} + \beta_2X_{i2} + \beta_3X_{i3} + \beta_4X_{i4} + \beta_5X_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$ was fit to a data set of 75 observations. The regression SS's (SSR) were partitioned sequentially into the following:
 $SSR(X_1) = 108$ $SSR(\bar{X}_2|X_1) = 163$ $SSR(X_3|X_1X_2) = 29$ $SSR(X_4|X_1X_2X_3) = 41$ $SSR(X_5|X_1X_2X_3X_4) = 26$
The model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_{i1} + \beta_3X_{i3} + \beta_5X_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$ was also fit to the same data and the following ANOVA was calculated:

Source	SS	df	MS	F	pval
Regression	214				
Residual Error	489				

2017F4

2019S1

Assume the model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_i + \beta_2x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i, i = 1, ..., n$ with the additional restrictions that $\beta_0 = 1, \hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_2 = 0$. Find the least-squares estimators of the regression coefficients.
Let $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - 1 - \beta_1x_i - \beta_1x_i^2)^2$

2018S

Robert Fountain*, Daniel Taylor-Rodriguez

2018S1

2019S3

A company has developed three specialized training workshops for their employees. Each of the 12 employees is randomly assigned to one of the three workshops. The company would like to develop a model that could be used to predict each employee's performance score (a number from 0 to 100) based on their attendance at the workshops. The previous year's performance score is also available for use as a predictor. The following table shows and ordered pair for each employee, consisting of the current performance score and the previous year's score.

	WorkshopA (70,58)	(70,62)	(68,60)	(72,65)
WorkshopB	(75,60)	(74,62)	(72,60)	(71,60)
WorkshopC	(72,66)	(72,62)	(73,61)	(73,65)

a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above, allowing for different slopes and different intercepts for the three workshops. Hint: there are 12 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.

Let $w_{1i} = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq i \leq 4 \\ 1 & 5 \leq i \leq 8 \\ 0 & 9 \leq i \leq 12 \end{cases}, w_{2i} = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq i \leq 4 \\ 0 & 5 \leq i \leq 8 \\ 1 & 9 \leq i \leq 12 \end{cases}$

overall $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_i + w_{1i}(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1x_i) + w_{2i}(\delta_0 + \delta_1x_i) + \varepsilon_i$
WorkshopA: $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_i + \varepsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$;
WorkshopB: $y_i = \beta_0 + \gamma_0 + (\beta_1 + \gamma_1)x_i + \varepsilon_i, 5 \leq i \leq 8$;
WorkshopC: $y_i = \beta_0 + \delta_0 + (\beta_1 + \delta_1)x_i + \varepsilon_i, 9 \leq i \leq 12$;

b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ 70 \\ 68 \\ 72 \\ 75 \\ 74 \\ 72 \\ 71 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 73 \\ 73 \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 58 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 65 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 62 & 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 66 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 66 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 62 \\ 1 & 61 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 61 \\ 1 & 65 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 65 \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 6} \times \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \delta_0 \\ \delta_1 \end{bmatrix}_{6 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \\ \varepsilon_9 \\ \varepsilon_{10} \\ \varepsilon_{11} \\ \varepsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 1}$$

2018S2

2015S3 2019S2

A company that produces textiles is trying to determine if the final quality is determined by production site (A), machine operator (B), and thread type (C). The company operates 3 production sites, and all 3 will participate in the experiment. At each of the 3 sites, 5 machine operators will be randomly selected. The company uses two different types of thread. Each of the operators will produce 3 samples of cloth using each type of thread, yielding a total of $3 \times 5 \times 2 \times 3 = 90$ observations.

Source	SS	df	MS	F	pval
A	17.2	8.5	3.70*		
B	25.4	6.2	2.70*		
C	4.1	4.0	1.74		
AB	32.8	4.0	1.74		
AC	5.2	2.5	1.09		
BC	12.4	3.0	1.30		
ABC	12.8	1.5	0.65		
Error	138.6	2.3			
Total	245.8				

Total 703. Answer the following from the above information:
(a) Calculate the F-statistic for testing the hypothesis (H_0) that X_3, X_4 , and X_5 have no significant effect on the response Y.
(b) Calculate R^2 for the model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_{i1} + \beta_3X_{i2}\varepsilon_i$
(c) Calculate the R^2_{adj} for the model in part (b).
(d) Calculate the F-statistic for testing $H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_4 = 0$.

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - 1 - \beta_1x_i - \beta_1x_i^2)(-x_i - x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\beta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + x_i^2)(y_i - 1)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + x_i^2)^2}$$

c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the three slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?
 $H_0 : \gamma_1 = \delta_1 = 0, y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_i + w_{1i}\gamma_0 + w_{2i}\delta_0 + \varepsilon_i$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ 70 \\ 68 \\ 72 \\ 75 \\ 74 \\ 72 \\ 71 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 73 \\ 73 \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 58 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 65 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 62 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 66 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 61 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 65 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 4} \times \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \delta_0 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \\ \varepsilon_9 \\ \varepsilon_{10} \\ \varepsilon_{11} \\ \varepsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 1}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \delta_0 \\ \delta_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}, Rank(T) = 2$$

$$dfE_{Full} = n - (k + 1) = 12 - (5 + 1) = 6, dfE_{Reduced} = n - (k + 1) + r = 8$$
$$F = \frac{(SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full}) / (dfE_{Reduced} - dfE_{Full})}{SSE_{Full} / dfE_{Full}}, df_{num} = 10 - 8 = 2, df_{deno} = 6$$

a) State which effects are fixed at which effects are random.
b) State which effects are nested within others and which effects are crossed.
Site (A): τ_i Fixed; Operator (B): $\beta_{j(i)}$ Nested in A, Random; Thread Type (C): γ_k Crossed with B, Fixed; Replications: Random
Model: $y_{ijkl} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_{j(i)} + \gamma_k + (\tau\gamma)_{ik} + (\beta\gamma)_{kj(i)} + \varepsilon_{(ijk)l}, i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, k = 1, 2, l = 1, 2, 3$
 $\sum_{i=1}^a \tau_i = 0, \beta_{j(i)} \sim N(0, \sigma^2_{\beta}), (\gamma\beta)_{kj(i)} \sim N(0, \sigma^2_{\beta\gamma}), (\tau\gamma)_{ik} \sim N(0, \sigma^2_{\tau\gamma}), \varepsilon_{(ijk)l} \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \sum_{k=1}^c \gamma_k = 0$
c) Create an abbreviated ANOVA table that has two columns: one column that lists the effects in the model (including the appropriate main effects, interactions, and nested effects), and a second column that gives the degrees of freedom for each item in the first column.

Source	SS	df	MS	F
A	17	2	8.5	8.5/4.75
B(A)	57	12	4.75	5.8/2.3
C	4	1	4.0	4.0/2.0
AC	5	2	2.5	2.5/2.0
CB(A)	24	12	2.0	2.0/2.3
Error	138	60	2.3	

term	i(f)	j(r)	k(f)	l(r)	df	EMS	F
τ_i f	0	b	c	n	a-1	$\frac{bcn}{a-1} \sum_{i=1}^a \tau_i^2 + cn\sigma_{\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_A}{MS_{B(A)}}$
$\beta_{j(i)}$ r	1	1	c	n	a(b-1)	$cn\sigma_{\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{B(A)}}{MS_E}$
$(\gamma)_k$ f	a	b	0	n	c-1	$\frac{abn}{c-1} \sum_{k=1}^c \gamma_k^2 + bn\sigma_{\gamma}^2 + n\sigma_{\beta\gamma}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_C}{MS_{CB(A)}}$

term	i(f)	j(r)	k(f)	l(r)	df	EMS	F
$(\tau\gamma)_{ik}f$	0	b	0	n	(a-1)(c-1)	$\frac{bn}{(a-1)(c-1)} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{k=1}^c (\tau\gamma)_{ik}^2 + n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{AC}}{MS_{CB(A)}}$
$(\gamma\beta)_{kj(i)r}$	1	1	0	n	a(b-1)(c-1)	$n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{CB(A)}}{MS_E}$
$\varepsilon_{(ijk)l}$	1	1	1	1	abc(n-1)	σ^2	
Total					abcn-1		

2018S3

2017F3 2016S2

The multiple linear regression model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_{i1} + \beta_2X_{i2} + \beta_3X_{i3} + \beta_4X_{i4} + \beta_5X_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$ was fit to a data set of 75 observations. The regression SS's (SSR) were partitioned sequentially into the following:

$SSR(X_1) = 108$ $SSR(X_2|X_1) = 163$ $SSR(X_3|X_1X_2) = 29$ $SSR(X_4|X_1X_2X_3) = 41$ $SSR(X_5|X_1X_2X_3X_4) = 26$

The model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_{i1} + \beta_3X_{i3} + \beta_5X_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$ was also fit to the same data and the following ANOVA was calculated:

Source SS ———— Regression 214

Residual Error 489

2018S4

2019S1

Assume the model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_i + \beta_2x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$, $i = 1,..,n$ with the additional restrictions that $\beta_1 = 0$, $\beta_0 = 2\beta_2$. Find the least-squares estimators of β_0 , β_1 , and β_2 .

Let $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1x_i - \beta_2x_i^2)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - 2\beta_2 - \beta_2x_i^2)^2$

2018F

Robert Fountain*, Daniel Taylor-Rodriguez

2018F1

The weights (y_i , kilograms) and corresponding heights (x_i , centimeters) of 10 randomlysampled adolescents (i= 1,...,10) are recorded, and the following summary statistics are computed:

$\sum_{i=1}^{10} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = 472$, $\sum_{i=1}^{10} (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = 731$, $\sum_{i=1}^{10} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) = 274$

You will perform a simple linear regression of weight on height, under the usual assumption of independent, identically distributed, normal errors.

a) Compute the least squares estimates for the intercept and slope parameters.

$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} = \frac{274}{472} = 0.5805085$;

$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1\bar{x} = \bar{y} - 0.5805085\bar{x}$

b) Compute the usual unbiased estimate of the error variance.

$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{SSE}{n-2} = \frac{1}{8} (S_{yy} - \frac{s_{xy}^2}{s_{xx}}) = \frac{1}{8} (731 - \frac{274^2}{472}) = 71.49258$

c) Compute unbiased estimates of the variances of the least squares estimates in part (a).

2018F2

[565-HW1]

City planners are evaluating the effectiveness of a new “intelligent” traffic control system in reducing the amount of time motorists must spend on city streets. A total of 24 simulations are run; 4 simulations for each of the 6 combinations of control system (old or new) and traffic intensity (light, moderate, or heavy). All simulations use different random seeds, the combinations are run in a completely random order, and the median travel time (minutes) is recorded for each simulation. For each combination, the following table gives the average and sample standard deviation of the median travel times from the 4 simulations assigned that combination:

Old System New System

Sample light Moderate Heavy light Moderate Heavy

Mean 13 14 15 5 8 17

Standard Deviation 1 2.5 3.5 2.5 2 3.5

a) Write a (univariate) linear model equation of the usual full form for data from this experiment, with median travel time as the response. Explain each term and specify any conditions it satisfies. What crucial assumption are you making about the error variances?

term	i(f)	j(f)	k(r)	df	SS	MS	EMS
A					$bn \sum^a (\bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{...})^2; \sum_{bn}^a \frac{y_{i..}^2}{bn} - \frac{y_{..}^2}{abn}; \bar{y}_{1..} = 14; \bar{y}_{2..} = 12$		
$\tau_i f$	0	b	n	a-1	$3 * 4 * [(14 - 12)^2 + (10 - 12)^2] = 96$	96	$\sigma^2 + \frac{b \sum \tau_i^2}{a-1}$
B					$an \sum^b (\bar{y}_{.j.} - \bar{y}_{...})^2; \sum_{an}^b \frac{y_{.j.}^2}{an} - \frac{y_{..}^2}{abn}; \bar{y}_{.1.} = 9; \bar{y}_{.2.} = 11; \bar{y}_{.3.} = 16$		
$\beta_{ij} f$	a	0	n	b-1	$2 * 4 * [(9 - 12)^2 + (11 - 12)^2 + (16 - 12)^2] = 208$	104	$\sigma^2 + \frac{a \sum \beta_{ij}^2}{b-1}$
AB					$n \sum^a \sum^b (y_{ij.} - \bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{.j.} + \bar{y}_{...})^2; n \sum \sum y_{ij.}^2 - \frac{1}{abn} y_{...}^2 - SS_A - SS_B$		
$(\tau\beta)_{ij} f$	0	0	n	(a-1)(b-1)	$4 * [(13 - 14 - 9 + 12)^2 + 1^2 + (-3)^2 + (-2)^2 + (-1)^2 + 3^2]; 112$	56	$\sigma^2 + \frac{\sum \sum (\tau\beta)_{ij}}{(a-1)(b-1)}$
$E\varepsilon_{ijk} r$	1	1	1	ab(n-1)	$SST - \sum SS; (n - 1) \sum^a \sum^b S_{ij.}^2; 126$	7	σ^2
Total				abn-1	$\bar{y}_{...} = 12; \sum \sum \sum (y_{ijk} - \bar{y}_{...})^2; \sum \sum \sum y_{ijk}^2 - \frac{y_{...}^2}{abn}; 542$		

```

bar_y... <- (13+14+15+5+8+17)/6; bar_y1.. <- (13+14+15)/3; bar_y2.. <- (5+8+17)/3; bar_y.1. <- (13+5)/2; bar_y.2. <- (14+8)/2; bar_y.3. <- (15+17)/2; bar_y11. <- 13-14-9+12; bar_y12. <- 14-14-11+12; bar_y13. <- 15-14-16+12; bar_y21. <- 5-10-9+12; bar_y22. <- 8-10-11+12; bar_y23. <- 17-10-16+12;
SS_a <- 3*4*((bar_y1..-bar_y...)^2+(bar_y2..-bar_y...)^2)
SS_b <- 2*4*((bar_y.1.-bar_y...)^2+(bar_y.2.-bar_y...)^2+(bar_y.3.-bar_y...)^2)
SS_ab <- 4*((bar_y11.-2+bar_y12.-2+bar_y13.-2+bar_y21.-2+bar_y22.-2+bar_y23.-2)
SSE <- (4-1)*(1^2+2+5^2+3+5^2+2+5^2+2+2^2+3+5^2)
SS_a/1; SS_b/2; SS_ab/2; SSE/18; SS_a+SS_b+SS_ab+SSE

```

Total 703 —————

Answer the following from the above information:

(a) Calculate the F-statistic for testing the hypothesis (H_0) that X_3 , X_4 , and X_5 have no significant effect on the response Y .

(b) Calculate R^2 for the model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_{i1} + \beta_2X_{i2}\varepsilon_i$

(c) Calculate the R_{adj}^2 for the model in part (b).

(d) Calculate the F-statistic for testing $H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_4 = 0$.

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - 2\beta_2 - \beta_2x_i^2)(-2 - x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (2+x_i^2)y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (2+x_i^2)^2} \quad \hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n (2+x_i^2)y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (2+x_i^2)^2}$$

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{s_{xx}} = \frac{71.49258}{472} = 0.1514673$$

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_1) = \hat{\sigma}^2(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{x^2}{s_{xx}}) = 71.49258(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{x^2}{472})$$

d) Perform a two-sided test for whether or not height and weight are related (assuming the simple linear regression model holds). State the null and alternative hypotheses, and use $\alpha = 0.05$.

$H_0 : \hat{\beta}_1 = 0; H_1 : \hat{\beta}_1 \neq 0$

$$t_0 = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1-0}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{\beta}_1)}} = \frac{0.5805085}{\sqrt{0.1514673}} = 1.491589 < t_{\frac{0.05}{2}, n-2} = 2.31$$

Fail to reject H_0 at 0.05 level of significance.

e) Compute 95

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{\frac{0.05}{k+1}, n-2} se(\hat{\beta}_1) = 0.5805085 \pm 2.31\sqrt{0.1514673}, (-0.3185158, 1.479533)$$

Assume the model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i, i = 1, ..., n$, with the restriction that $\beta_0 = 0$. Find the least-squares estimators of the regression coefficients.

Let $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)^2$

$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_1} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)(-x_i) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i - \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$

$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)(-x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i = \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i - \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4$

2019S2

2015S3 2018S2[566-HW2-1] [566-HW5-2]

A manufacturer wishes to know if operator (A), material (B), and heat (C) affect the outcome of the product being produced. All of the machines being used operate at the same four heat settings. Five operators are randomly chosen. There are fifteen varieties of material that can be used, and three of these are assigned to each of the five operators. For each operator, that means that there are 12 combinations of heat and material that can be used. The operator produces two replications of each of these, for a total of $5 \times 3 \times 4 \times 2 = 120$ observations. Incorrectly treating all main effects as fixed and all combinations of factors as crossed, the statistician produces the ANOVA table shown below.

Source SS df MS F pval<0.05

A 34.4 8 5.5 8.5/2.3=3.696 *

B 12.2 6 2.0 4.4/2.3=1.913

C 24.3 8 3.0 8/2.5=3.2 *

AB 32.8 4 8.2

AC 30.1 2 15.0

BC 18.6 3 6.2

ABC 36.2 4 9.0

$\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$

$\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}}$

$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3]}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3)^2]}$

$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3)^2}$

Error 138 60 2.3

Total 324 119

Produce the corrected ANOVA table, including the expected mean squares and the correct F statistics. If an exact F test is not available, construct an approximate F statistic (for which you need not compute the degrees of freedom).

Operator (A): Random; Material (B): Nested in A, Random; Heat (C): Crossed with B, Fixed

Replications: Random

Model: $y_{ijkl} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_{j(i)} + \gamma_k + (\tau\gamma)_{ik} + (\beta\gamma)_{kj(i)} + \varepsilon_{(ijk)l}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, j = 1, 2, 3, k = 1, 2, 3, 4, l = 1, 2$

$\tau_i \sim N(0, \sigma_\tau^2), \beta_{j(i)} \sim N(0, \sigma_\beta^2), \sum_{k=1}^c \gamma_k = 0, (\tau\gamma)_{ik} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2), (\beta\gamma)_{kj(i)} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\beta\gamma}^2), \varepsilon_{(ijk)l} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

From Fountain's note, in the j column, treat j as fixed. in the EMS column, treat j(i) and jk(i) as random.

Source	SS	df	MS	F
A	34	4	8.5	8.5/2.3=3.696
B+AB	44	10	4.4	4.4/2.3=1.913
C	24	3	8.0	8/2.5=3.2
AC	30	12	2.5	2.5/2.3=1.087
BC+ABC	54	30	1.8	1.8/2.3=0.7826
Error	138	60	2.3	

term	i(r)	j(f)	k(f)	l(r)	df	EMS	F
$\tau_i \mathbf{r}$	1	b	c	n	a-1	$bcn\sigma_\tau^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{A}{E}$
$\beta_{j(i)} \mathbf{r}$	1	0	c	n	a(b-1)	$cn\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{B(A)}{E}$
$(\gamma)_k \mathbf{f}$	a	b	0	n	(c-1)	$\frac{abn}{c-1} \sum_{k=1}^c \gamma_k^2 + bn\sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{C}{AC}$
$(\tau\gamma)_{ik} \mathbf{r}$	1	b	0	n	(a-1)(c-1)	$bn\sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{AC}{E}$
$(\gamma\beta)_{kj(i)} \mathbf{r}$	1	0	0	n	a(b-1)(c-1)	$n\sigma_{\beta\gamma}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{BC(A)}{E}$
$\varepsilon_{(ijk)l}$	1	1	1	1	abc(n-1)	σ^2	
Total					abcn-1		

If B(A) is random $F_C = 8 / (2.5 + 1.8 - 2.3) = 4, df_2 = \frac{(AC+BC(A)-E)^2}{\frac{AC^2}{df_{AC}} + \frac{BC(A)^2}{df_{BC(A)}} + \frac{E^2}{df_E}} = \frac{2^2}{\frac{2^2}{12} + \frac{18^2}{30} + \frac{2.3^2}{60}} = 5.579 \approx 6$ P-value=0.07

term	i(r)	j(f)	k(f)	l(r)	df	EMS	F
$\tau_i \mathbf{r}$	1	b	c	n	a-1	$bcn\sigma_\tau^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{A}{E}$
$\beta_{j(i)} \mathbf{r}$	1	0	c	n	a(b-1)	$cn\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{B(A)}{E}$
$(\gamma)_k \mathbf{f}$	a	b	0	n	(c-1)	$\frac{abn}{c-1} \sum_{k=1}^c \gamma_k^2 + bn\sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2 + n\sigma_{\beta\gamma}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{C}{AC+BC(A)-E}$
$(\tau\gamma)_{ik} \mathbf{r}$	1	b	0	n	(a-1)(c-1)	$bn\sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{AC}{E}$
$(\gamma\beta)_{kj(i)} \mathbf{r}$	1	0	0	n	a(b-1)(c-1)	$n\sigma_{\beta\gamma}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{BC(A)}{E}$
$\varepsilon_{(ijk)l}$	1	1	1	1	abc(n-1)	σ^2	

If B(A) is fixed $F_C = 8/2.5 = 3.2$ P-value=0.06

term	i(r)	j(f)	k(f)	l(r)	df	EMS	F
$\tau_i \mathbf{r}$	1	b	c	n	a-1	$bcn\sigma_\tau^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{A}{E}$
$\beta_{j(i)} \mathbf{f}$	1	0	c	n	a(b-1)	$\frac{cn}{a(b-1)} \sum \beta^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{B(A)}{E}$
$(\gamma)_k \mathbf{f}$	a	b	0	n	(c-1)	$\frac{abn}{c-1} \sum_{k=1}^c \gamma_k^2 + bn\sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{C}{AC}$
$(\tau\gamma)_{ik} \mathbf{r}$	1	b	0	n	(a-1)(c-1)	$bn\sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{AC}{E}$
$(\gamma\beta)_{kj(i)} \mathbf{f}$	1	0	0	n	a(b-1)(c-1)	$\frac{n}{a(b-1)(c-1)} \sum (\beta\gamma)^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{BC(A)}{E}$
$\varepsilon_{(ijk)l}$	1	1	1	1	abc(n-1)	σ^2	

2019S3

2015S2

A company has developed two possible manufacturing processes. They will produce 5 items with each process, in a completely random order. Then they will measure the quality (Y) of each item on a 100-point scale. They suspect that the relative humidity during production might affect the outcome, so they also record it (X). They would like to develop a model that could be used to predict the outcome quality based on the process, with the relative humidity as a covariate. The following table shows and ordered pair for each item, consisting of the quality score and the

humidity measurement.

Process A (70,38)(70,55)(68,40)(72,45)(72,36)

Process B (75,30)(74,42)(72,30)(71,30)(73,41)

a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above, allowing for different slopes and different intercepts for the two processes. Hint: there are 10 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.

Process A: $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$; Process B: $y_i = \beta_0 + \gamma_0 + (\beta_1 + \gamma_1)x_i + \varepsilon_i$; Let

$$w_i = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq i \leq 5 \\ 1 & 6 \leq i \leq 10 \end{cases}, \text{ overall } y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + w_i \gamma_0 + w_i \gamma_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$$

b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ 70 \\ 68 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 75 \\ 74 \\ 72 \\ 71 \\ 73 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 38 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 55 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 40 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 45 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 36 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 & 30 \\ 1 & 42 & 1 & 42 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 & 30 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 & 30 \\ 1 & 41 & 1 & 41 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 4} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \\ \varepsilon_9 \\ \varepsilon_{10} \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 1}$$

c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the two slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?

$$H_0 : \gamma_1 = 0, y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + w_i \gamma_0 + \varepsilon_i$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ 70 \\ 68 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 75 \\ 74 \\ 72 \\ 71 \\ 73 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 38 & 0 \\ 1 & 55 & 0 \\ 1 & 40 & 0 \\ 1 & 45 & 0 \\ 1 & 36 & 0 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 \\ 1 & 42 & 1 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 \\ 1 & 41 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 3} \times \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \\ \varepsilon_9 \\ \varepsilon_{10} \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 4} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 1} = 0, r = 1$$

$$dfE_{Full} = n - (k + 1) = 10 - (3 + 1) = 6, dfE_{Reduced} = n - (k + 1) + r = 7$$

$$F = \frac{(SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full}) / (dfE_{Reduced} - dfE_{Full})}{SSE_{Full} / dfE_{Full}}, df_{nume} = 7 - 6 = 1, df_{deno} = 6$$