

1. If $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, then the distribution of the sum $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ has the $\text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$ distribution. Show that with n fixed, the $\text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$ distributions form a one-parameter exponential family.

When n fixed,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\theta(Z = z) &= \binom{n}{z} \theta^z (1 - \theta)^{n-z} \mathbf{1}_{\{z \in (0, 1, 2, \dots)\}} \\ &= \exp \left[\underbrace{\ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)}_{\varphi(\theta)} \underbrace{z}_{t(z)} - n \underbrace{(-\ln(1-\theta))}_{\kappa(\theta)} \right] \underbrace{\binom{n}{z} \mathbf{1}_{\{z \in (0, 1, 2, \dots)\}}}_{h(z)} \end{aligned}$$

$$t(z) = z$$

$$\varphi(\theta) = \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)$$

$$\kappa(\theta) = -\ln(1-\theta)$$

$$h(z) = \binom{n}{z} \mathbf{1}_{\{z \in (0, 1, 2, \dots)\}}.$$

Hence, the $\text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$ distributions form a one-parameter exponential family.

2. Consider the family of generating distributions $\{\text{Poisson}(\theta) : \theta > 0\}$ for the random sample Y_1, \dots, Y_n together with the conjugate family of priors $\{\text{Gamma}(a, b) : a, b > 0\}$ for θ .
- Verify that the family of posteriors for $\theta|X = x$, can be expressed in the same form as Equation (3) of Section 3 in the *Exponential Families and Conjugacy* class notes.

$$\begin{aligned} P(y_{1:n}|\theta) &= \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e^{-n\theta} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y_i!} \mathbf{1}_{\{y \in (0, 1, 2, \dots)\}} \right) \\ &= \exp \left[\underbrace{\ln(\theta)}_{\varphi(\theta)} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}_{t(y)} - n \underbrace{\theta}_{\kappa(\theta)} \right] \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y_i!} \mathbf{1}_{\{y \in (0, 1, 2, \dots)\}}}_{h(y)} \end{aligned}$$

Assuming the conjugate priors has pdf of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n_0, t_0}(\theta) &\propto \exp[n_0 t_0 \ln(\theta) - n_0 \theta] \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+\}} \propto \mathbb{R}^{+n_0 t_0 + 1 - 1} e^{n_0 \theta} \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+\}} \\ &\sim \text{Gamma}(n_0 t_0 + 1, n_0) \end{aligned}$$

where $n_0 > 0$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ are values for which $p_{n_0, t_0}(\theta)$ can be normalized.

The posteriors of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\theta|y) &\propto P(y_{1:n}|\theta) \cdot p_{n_0, t_0}(\theta) \\
&\propto \exp[\ln(\theta) \sum_i^n y_i - n\theta] h(y) \cdot \exp[n_0 t_0 \ln(\theta) - n_0 \theta] \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+\}} \\
&\propto \exp[(t(y) + n_0 t_0) \ln(\theta) - (n + n_0) \theta] \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+\}} \\
&\propto \theta^{t(y) + n_0 t_0 + 1 - 1} e^{-(n + n_0) \theta} \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+\}} \\
&\sim \text{Gamma}(t(y) + n_0 t_0 + 1, n + n_0)
\end{aligned}$$

- Show that what is referred to as t^* in the class notes can be expressed as a convex combination of the “prior guess” t_0 and the sufficient statistic $t(y)$ that you obtain for this problem.

When we have $n > 1$ observation, $t(y) = \sum \tilde{t}(y_i) = \sum y_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\theta|y_{1:n}) &\propto \exp[(t^* n^* \ln(\theta) - n^* \theta) \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+\}}] \\
&\propto \theta^{t^* n^* + 1 - 1} e^{-n^* \theta} \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^+\}} \\
&\sim \text{Gamma}(t^* n^* + 1, n^*)
\end{aligned}$$

where $n^* = n_0 + n$ and $t^* = \frac{n_0}{n_0 + n} t_0 + \frac{n}{n_0 + n} \frac{1}{n} \sum \tilde{t}(y_i)$ is a convex combination of the “prior guess” t_0 and the sufficient statistic $t(y)$

3. Show that for a certain choice of $t(y)$ and $h(y)$, the $\text{Gamma}(a, b)$ distributions are in natural form with natural parameter $\theta = (a, b)^T$.

$$\begin{aligned}
p(y|\theta) &= \frac{b^a}{\Gamma a} y^{a-1} e^{-by} = \exp[(a-1) \ln y - by \ln(\frac{\Gamma a}{b^a})] \mathbf{1}_{\{y \in (0, \infty)\}} \\
&= \exp[\underbrace{a \ln y + b(-y)}_{\varphi(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}; t(y) = \begin{bmatrix} \ln(y) \\ -y \end{bmatrix}} - n \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \ln(\frac{\Gamma a}{b^a})}_{\kappa(\theta)}] \underbrace{y^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{y \in (0, \infty)\}}}_{h(y)}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, letting $\eta_1 = a$, $\eta_2 = b$, we can rewrite the expression above as in natural form as

$$\begin{aligned}
p(y|\theta) &= \exp[\underbrace{\eta_1 \ln y + \eta_2(-y)}_{\eta = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{bmatrix}; t(y) = \begin{bmatrix} \ln(y) \\ -y \end{bmatrix}} - n \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \ln(\frac{\Gamma(\eta_1)}{\eta_2^{\eta_1}})}_{A(\eta)}] \underbrace{y^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{y \in (0, \infty)\}}}_{h(y)}
\end{aligned}$$

This is the natural form for the $\text{Gamma}(a, b)$ distributions, where η corresponds to the canonical parameter.