1 Kernels

1. let $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$, where $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; x \geq 0\}$, the "french positive" real numbers.

(a) Verify that
$$\min(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_{t \le x} \mathbb{I}_{t \le y} dt$$
 where $\mathbb{I}_A = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if A is true} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
When $x \le y$,

$$\int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_{t \le x} \mathbb{I}_{t \le y} dt = \int_0^x \mathbb{I}_{t \le x} \mathbb{I}_{t \le y} dt + \int_x^y \mathbb{I}_{t \le x} \mathbb{I}_{t \le y} dt + \int_y^\infty \mathbb{I}_{t \le x} \mathbb{I}_{t \le y} dt$$
$$= \int_0^x 1 \cdot 1 dt + \int_x^y 0 \cdot 1 dt + \int_y^\infty 0 \cdot 0 dt = x$$

By the same way, when $y \leq x$, $\int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_{t \leq x} \mathbb{I}_{t \leq y} dt = y$. Therefore, $\min(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_{t \leq x} \mathbb{I}_{t \leq y} dt$

(b) Use the previous question to show that $K(x,y) = \min(x,y)$ is a pd kernel over \mathbb{R}^+

$$K(x,y) = \min(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_{t \le x} \mathbb{I}_{t \le y} dt = \min(y,x) = K(y,x)$$
 symmetric

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j \min(x, y) = \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbb{I}_{t \le x} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j \mathbb{I}_{t \le y} dt = \int_0^{\infty} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbb{I}_{t \le x})^2 dt \ge 0$$

(c) Show that $\max(x, y)$ is not a pd kernel over \mathbb{R}^+ .

Let
$$x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2, \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = -1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 k(x_1, x_2) = \alpha_1 \alpha_1 \max(1, 1) + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \max(1, 2) + \alpha_2 \alpha_1 \max(2, 1) + \alpha_2 \alpha_2 \max(2, 2) = 1 - 2 - 2 + 2 = -1 < 0$$

Therefore, $\max(x, y)$ is not a p.d. kernel over \mathbb{R}^+

- 2. Consider a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P)
 - (a) Define for any two events A and B, $K_1(A, B) = P(A \cap B)$ where $A \cap B$ is the intersection between the events A and B Verify that K_1 is positive definite. Hint: $P(A) = E[\mathbb{I}_A]$

$$K_1(A, B) = P(A \cap B) = P(B \cap A) = K_1(B, A)$$
 symmetric $P(A) = E[\mathbb{I}_A]; P(B) = E[\mathbb{I}_B]; P(A \cap B) = E[\mathbb{I}_A\mathbb{I}_B]$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} E[\mathbb{I}_{A_{i}} \mathbb{I}_{A_{j}}] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \mathbb{I}_{A_{i}} \mathbb{I}_{A_{j}}] = E[(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \mathbb{I}_{A_{i}})^{2}] \ge 0$$

(b) Define for any two events A and B, $K_2(A, B) = P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)$ Verify that K_2 is positive definite.

$$K_2(A,B) = P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B) = E[\mathbb{I}_A \mathbb{I}_B] - E[\mathbb{I}_A]E[\mathbb{I}_B] = Cov[\mathbb{I}_A, \mathbb{I}_B]$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j Cov[\mathbb{I}_{A_i}, \mathbb{I}_{A_j}] = Cov[\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbb{I}_{A_i}, \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \mathbb{I}_{A_j}] = Var[\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbb{I}_{A_i}] \ge 0$$

2 Kernels and RKHS

- 1. Define the RKHS over \mathbb{R}^d $K(x,y) = x^T y + c$ where c > 0.
 - (a) What is the RKHS associated with the kernel K? no proof is required.

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ f : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R} : f_{w,w_0}(x) = w^T x + w_0; \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^d, w_0 \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

(b) What is the inner product in this RKHS? no proof required.

$$\langle f_{v,v_0}, f_{w,w_0} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = v^T w + \frac{1}{c} v_0 w_0 \Rightarrow \langle f_{v,v_0}, f_{v,v_0} \rangle = \|f_{v,v_0}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|v\|^2 + \frac{v_0^2}{c}$$

(c) Verify the reproducing property

 \mathcal{H} contains all the functions $k(\cdot,x):t\mapsto k(t,x)=t^Tx+c=f_t(x)$

$$\langle f_{w,w_0}, k(\cdot, x) \rangle = \langle f_{w,w_0}, f_{x,c} \rangle = x^T w + \frac{1}{c} c w_0 = w^T x + w_0 = f_w(x)$$

$$\therefore \langle f, k(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = f(x) \text{ for each } f \in \mathcal{H}, x \in \mathcal{X}$$

- 2. Define the RKHS over \mathbb{R}^d $K(x,y) = (x^Ty)^2$ The RKHS associated with the kernel K is $\{f_S; f_S(x) = x^TSx\}$ where S is a symmetric (d,d) matrix. The inner product is $\langle f_{S_1}, f_{S_2} \rangle = \langle S_1, S_2 \rangle_F$
 - (a) Verify the reproducing property.

 \mathcal{H} contains all the functions $k(\cdot,x):t\mapsto k(t,x)=(t^Tx)(t^Tx)=x^T\cdot(tt^T)\cdot x=f_t(x)$

$$\langle f_S, k(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f_S, f_{xx^T} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle S, xx^T \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \operatorname{trace}[Sxx^T] = \operatorname{trace}[x^T Sx] = x^T Sx = f_S(x)$$

$$\therefore \langle f_S, k(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = f_S(x) \text{ for each } f \in \mathcal{H}, x \in \mathcal{X}$$

(b) Why do we require that S is symmetric?

 $k(x,y) = (x^Ty)^2$ is a p.d. kernel. $\langle f_S, k(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = f_S(x) = x^TSx$ is a quadratic form over \mathbb{R}^d , where x is the column vector and S must be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix by the definition of quadratic form.

- 3. Define the RKHS over \mathbb{R}^d $K(x,y) = (x^Ty + c)^2$ where c > 0.
 - (a) What is the RKHS associated with the kernel K? no proof is required.

$$\{f_{S,s,s_0}: f_{S,s,s_0}(x) = x^T S x + 2s_0 s^T x + s_0^2; \quad S \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, s \in \mathbb{R}^d, s_0 \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

(b) What is the inner product in this RKHS? no proof required.

$$\langle f_{S_1,s_1,s_{10}}, f_{S_2,s_2,s_{20}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle S_1, S_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} + \frac{2s_{10}s_{20}}{c} s_1^T s_2 + (\frac{s_{10}s_{20}}{c})^2$$

(c) Verify the reproducing property

 \mathcal{H} contains all the functions $k(\cdot, x_i): t \mapsto k(t, x) = (t^Tx + c)^2 = x^T \cdot (tt^T) \cdot x + 2ct^Tx + c^2 = f_t(x)$

$$\langle f_{S,s,s_0}, k(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle f_{S,s,s_0}, f_{xx^T,x,c} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle S, xx^T \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} + \frac{2s_0c}{c} s^T x + (\frac{s_0c}{c})^2$$

= $x^T S x + 2s_0 s^T x + s_0^2 = f_{S,s,s_0}(x)$

$$\therefore \langle f_{S,s,s_0}, k(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = f_{S,s,s_0}(x) \text{ for each } f \in \mathcal{H}, x \in \mathcal{X}$$

3 Fisher kernel

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ be a parameter and let p_{θ} be a probabilistic model (i.e a point mass function or a density) over a set \mathcal{X} indexed by θ . Let $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be a specific value for θ . Let us define the Fisher score at $x \in \mathcal{X}$ as $\phi(x,\theta_0) = \frac{\delta}{\delta\theta} \ln p_{\theta}(x)$ evaluated at $\theta = \theta_0$ assuming that this quantity exists. Define $I(\theta)$, the Fisher information associated with the parameter θ , i.e., $I(\theta) = E[\phi^2(X,\theta)]$ where E stands for expectation and E is a random variable with distribution E0. The Fisher kernel is then E1 is then E2 in E3 where

- 1. Verify that k(.,.) is a positive definite kernel over \mathcal{X} $k(x,x') = \frac{\phi(x,\theta_0)\phi(x',\theta_0)}{I(\theta_0)} = \frac{\phi(x',\theta_0)\phi(x,\theta_0)}{I(\theta_0)} = k(x',x) \text{ symmetric.}$ For $I(\theta) = E[\phi^2(X,\theta)] \geq 0$, $k(x,x') = \frac{1}{I(\theta_0)} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \phi(x_i,\theta_0) \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \phi(x_j,\theta_0) = \frac{1}{I(\theta_0)} [\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \phi(x_i,\theta_0)]^2 \geq 0$ $\therefore k(.,.) \text{ is a positive definite kernel over } \mathcal{X}$
- 2. Consider the following model: $x \in \{0,1\}$, $X \sim Bernoulli(\theta)$, $0 < \theta < 1$, that is $p_{\theta}(x) = \theta^{x}(1-\theta)^{(1-x)}$ We recall that in this case $E[X] = \theta$ and $Var[X] = E[(X-\theta)^{2}] = \theta(1-\theta)$ Compute k(x,x')

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \theta^{x}(1-\theta)^{(1-x)}$$

$$\ln p_{\theta}(x) = x \ln \theta + (1-x) \ln(1-\theta)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln p_{\theta}(x) = \frac{x}{\theta} + \frac{1-x}{1-\theta} = \frac{x-\theta}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

$$I(\theta) = E[\phi^{2}(X,\theta)] = E[(\frac{X-\theta}{\theta(1-\theta)})^{2}] = \frac{E[(X-\theta)^{2}]}{\theta^{2}(1-\theta)^{2}} = \frac{V[X]}{\theta^{2}(1-\theta)^{2}} = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{\theta^{2}(1-\theta)^{2}} = \frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

$$k(x,x') = \frac{\phi(x,\theta_{0})\phi(x',\theta_{0})}{I(\theta_{0})} = \frac{(x-\theta_{0})(x'-\theta_{0})}{\theta_{0}^{2}(1-\theta_{0})^{2}} \theta_{0}(1-\theta_{0}) = \frac{(x-\theta_{0})(x'-\theta_{0})}{\theta_{0}(1-\theta_{0})} \quad \Box$$

3. Assume now $x = (x_1, x_2)$ with $x_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ and $x_2 \in \{0, 1\}$. We consider the following model where $X = (X_1, X_2)$, X_1 and X_2 are independent with the same $Bernoulli(\theta)$ distribution. Compute k(x, x').

$$p_{\theta}(\vec{x}) = p_{\theta}(x_1)p_{\theta}(x_2) = \theta^{x_1 + x_2}(1 - \theta)^{2 - x_1 - x_2}$$

$$\ln p_{\theta}(x) = (x_1 + x_2) \ln \theta + (2 - x_1 - x_2) \ln(1 - \theta)$$

$$\phi(\vec{x}, \theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln p_{\theta}(x) = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{\theta} + \frac{2 - x_1 - x_2}{1 - \theta} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 - 2\theta}{\theta(1 - \theta)}$$

$$I(\theta) = E[\phi^2(\vec{X}, \theta)] = \frac{E[(X_1 + X_2 - 2\theta)^2]}{\theta^2(1 - \theta)^2}$$

$$= \frac{E[(X_1 - \theta)^2] + E[(X_2 - \theta)^2] + 2(E[X_1] - \theta)(E[X_2] - \theta)}{\theta^2(1 - \theta)^2}$$

$$= \frac{V[X_1] + V[X_2] - 0}{\theta^2(1 - \theta)^2} = \frac{2\theta(1 - \theta)}{\theta^2(1 - \theta)^2} = \frac{2}{\theta(1 - \theta)}$$

$$k(x, x') = \frac{\phi(\vec{x}, \theta_0)\phi(\vec{x}', \theta_0)}{I(\theta_0)} = \frac{(x_1 + x_2 - 2\theta_0)(x_1' + x_2' - 2\theta_0)}{\theta_0^2(1 - \theta_0)^2} \frac{\theta_0(1 - \theta_0)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{(x_1 + x_2 - 2\theta_0)(x_1' + x_2' - 2\theta_0)}{2\theta_0(1 - \theta_0)}$$