Fountain\*, Crain

#### 2015S4

2018S4 2019S1

Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$ , i = 1, ..., n, with the restriction that  $\beta_1 - \beta_0 = 0$ . Find the least-squares estimators of the regression coefficients.

Let 
$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_0 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_0} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_0 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)(-1 - x_i) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_i) y_i - \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_i) x_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_i)^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_{2}} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \beta_{0} - \beta_{0}x_{i} - \beta_{2}x_{i}^{2})(-1 - x_{i}^{2}) = 0, \beta_{0} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_{i})^{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_{2}} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \beta_{0} - \beta_{0}x_{i} - \beta_{2}x_{i}^{2})(-x_{i}^{2}) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0; \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}y_{i} = \hat{\beta}_{0}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}(1 + x_{i}) + \hat{\beta}_{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{4} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_{i})y_{i} - \hat{\beta}_{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_{i})x_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_{i})^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}(1 + x_{i}) + \hat{\beta}_{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{4}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i) \right]^2 \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i) y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} y_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1+x_{i})^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1+x_{i}) y_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} (1+x_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1+x_{i})^{2} + [\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} (1+x_{i})]^{2}}$$

#### 2015F

#### 2015F1

2016S1 [566-HW2-6] [8.3 The One-Quarter Fraction of the 2k Design p.344]

You must design an experiment to test six factors, each having two levels. Your budget will only allow sixteen runs. Furthermore, due to time constraints, only eight runs can be done on a given day, so you will have to conduct the experiment in 2 blocks. You may assume that 4-way and higher interactions are not important.

Show all of the following:

• all of your generators (make sure that your resolution is at least III)

 $2_{IV}^{6-2}$  E=ABC, F=BCD; I=ABCE=BCDF=ADEF

• the 16 runs to conduct

Λ	В	$\overline{C}$	D	Е	F	Run	Block
- -	- -	_	-		-	Run	1 DIOCK
+	_	_	_	+	_	ae	2
	+	_	_	÷	+	bet	ī
+	+	-	-	-	+	abf cef acf bc abce df	$\bar{2}$
-	-	+	-	+	+	ceţ	$\frac{2}{2}$
+	-	+	-	-	+	act	2
-	+	+	-	-	-	bc	ļ
+	+	+	_	+		abce df	7
+	_	_	+	+	+	adef	Í
	+	_	÷	÷		adef bde	2
+	+	-	+	-	-	abd	1
-	-	+	+	+	-	abd cdę	1
+	-	+	+	-	-	acd	2
-	+	+	+	-	+	bcdf abcdef	Ţ
+	+	+	+	+	+	abcaer	

E=ABC; F=BCD; Block=ACD

• the alias structure

A=BCE=DEF; B=CDF=ACE; C=ABE=BDF; D=BCF=AEF; E=ABC=ADF; F=BCD=ADE;

AB=CE; AC=BE; AD=EF; AE=BC=DF; AF=DE; BD=CF; BF=CD;

ABD=CDE=ACF=BEF; ACD=BDE=ABF=CEF

• the eight runs to be done on each day

Day1: abd, acf, bef, cde, abf, acd, bde, cef

Day2: (1), abce, adef, bcdf, ae, bc, df, abcdef

the effects to be confounded with blocks

ACD=BDE=ABF=CEF

• the Source and DF columns of the ANOVA table

A	В	C	D	E	F	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	BD	BF	ABC	Block
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

AB=CE, AC=BE, AD=EF, AE=BC=DF, AF=DE, BD=CF, BF=CD, ABC=CDE=ACF

## 2015F2

#### 2017F1 [Example 8.2 The Tool Life Data]

A company is comparing two different methods of processing their raw material. They begin with 8 batches of material, which are randomly assigned to one of the two processes. The quality of the final product is measured on a 50-point scale. There is some concern that the outside temperature on each day might have an effect on the product (and the effect might be different for the two processes), and

so it is recorded so that it can be taken into account. The following table shows and ordered pair for each batch, consisting of the quality measurement and the temperature.

Process 1	(45,81)(40,68)(41,77)(41,61)
Process 2	(42,59)(37,62)(41,83)(35,70)
Process 2	(42,59)(37,62)(41,83)(35,70)

a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above. Hint: there are 8 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.

Process 1:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$ ; Process 2:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \gamma_0 + (\beta_1 + \gamma_1) x_i + \varepsilon_i$ ; Let  $w_i = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \le i \le 4 \\ 1 & 5 \le i \le 8 \end{cases}$ , overall  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + w_i \gamma_0 + w_i$ 

b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{45}{40} \\ \frac{41}{41} \\ \frac{41}{42} \\ \frac{42}{37} \\ \frac{41}{35} \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1} & 81 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 68 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 61 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 69 & 1 & 59 \\ 1 & 62 & 1 & 62 \\ 1 & 83 & 1 & 83 \\ 1 & 70 & 1 & 70 \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 4} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 1}$$

c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the two slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?

To test the hypothesis that the two regression lines are identical  $(H_0: \gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = 0)$ , To test the hypothesis that the two lines have different intercepts and a common slope  $(H_0: \gamma_0 = 0)$ ,

$$H_0: \gamma_1 = 0, y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + w_i \gamma_0 + \varepsilon_i$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{45}{40} \\ \frac{41}{41} \\ \frac{41}{42} \\ \frac{37}{41} \\ \frac{45}{35} \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1} & 81 & 0 \\ 1 & 68 & 0 \\ 1 & 67 & 0 \\ 1 & 69 & 1 \\ 1 & 83 & 1 \\ 1 & 70 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 3} \times \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_8 \\ \varepsilon_8 \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix} = 0, Rank(T) = 1$$
 
$$dfE_{Full} = n - (k+1) = 8 - (3+1) = 4, dfE_{Reduced} = n - Rank(T) = 8 - 1 = 7$$
 
$$F = \frac{(SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full}) / (dfE_{Reduced} - dfE_{Full})}{SSE_{Full} / dfE_{Full}}, df_{nume} = 7 - 4 = 3, df_{deno} = 4$$

# 2015F3

- a) Explain the difference between fixed and random effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation.
- b) Explain the difference between crossed and nested effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation. Make sure to discuss which terms would be absent from the model, and the resulting effect on sums of squares and degrees of freedom in the ANOVA table.

## 2015F4

Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$ , i = 1,...,n with the additional restrictions that  $\beta_1 = 0$ ,  $\beta_0 = 2\beta_2$ . Find the least-squares estimators of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ .

Let 
$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - 2\beta_0 x_i^2)^2$$
  
 $\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_0} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - 2\beta_0 x_i^2) (-2x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^4} \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^4}$ 

## 2016S

Fountain, Tableman\*

## 2016S1

[7.6 Confouding the 2k Factorial Design in Four Blocks]

You must design an experiment to test six factors, each having two levels. Your budget will only allow sixteen runs. Furthermore, due to time constraints, only eight runs can be done on a given day, so you will have to conduct the experiment in 4 blocks. You may assume that 4-way and higher interactions are not important.

Show all of the following:

- all of your generators (make sure that your resolution is at least III)
- $2_{III}^{6-4}$  E=ABC, F=BCD; I=ABCE=BCDF=ADEF
  - the 16 runs to conduct E=ABC; F=BCD; Block=ACD

A	В	С	D	Е	F	ACD	ABD	Ŗun	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1)	4
-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	df,	1
-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	cet	2
-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	cdę	3
-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	bef	3
-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	bde	2
-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	bc	1
-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	bcdf	4
+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	ae adef	ļ
+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	adet	4
+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	acf_	3
+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	açd	2
+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	abf_	2
+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	abd	3
+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	abce .	4
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	abcdef	1

the alias structure

A=BCE=DEF; B=CDF=ACE; C=ABE=BDF; D=BCF=AEF; E=ABC=ADF; F=BCD=ADE;

AB=CE; AC=BE; AD=EF; AE=BC=DF; AF=DE; BD=CF; BF=CD;

ABD=CDE=ACF=BEF; ACD=BDE=ABF=CEF

the four runs to be done on each day

Day1: ae, bc, df, abcdef Day2: abf, acd, bde, cef Day3: abd, acf, bef, cde Day4: (1), abce, adef, bcdf

• the effects to be confounded with blocks ACD=BDE=ABF=CEF; ABD=ACF=BEF=CDE; AD=EF

• the Source and DF columns of the ANOVA table



AB=CE, AC=BE, AE=BC=DF, AF=DE, BD=CF, BF=CD

## 2016S2

2017F3 2018S3

The multiple linear regression model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \beta_4 X_{i4} + \beta_5 X_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$  was fit to a data set of 75 observations. The regression SS's (SSR) were partitioned sequentially into the following:

$$SSR(X_1) = 108$$
;  $SSR(X_2|X_1) = 163$ ;  $SSR(X_3|X_1X_2) = 29$ ;  $SSR(X_4|X_1X_2X_3) = 41$ ;  $SSR(X_5|X_1X_2X_3X_4) = 26$ 

The model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \beta_5 X_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$  was also fit to the same data and the following ANOVA was calculated:

Source	SS
Source Regression	214
Residual Error Total	489
Total	703

Answer the following from the above information:

(a) Calculate the F-statistic for testing the hypothesis  $(H_0)$  that  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ , and  $X_5$  have no significant effect on the response Y.

$$H_0: X_3 = X_4 = X_5 = 0$$
;  $Rank(T) = 3$ ;  $SST = 703$ ;

$$SSR_{Full} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} SSR = 367; SSE_{Full} = SST - SSR_{Full} = 703 - 367 = 336; dfE_{Full} = n - (k+1) = 75 - (5+1) = 69;$$

$$SSR_{Red} = SSR(X_3, X_4, X_5 | X_1, X_2) = \sum_{i=3}^{5} SSR = 29 + 41 + 26 = 96; SSE_{Red} = SST - SSR_{Red} = 703 - 96 = 607; dfE_{Reduced} = n - Rank(T) = 75 - 3 = 72$$

$$F = \frac{(SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full})/(dfE_{Reduced} - dfE_{Full})}{SSE_{Full}/dfE_{Full}} = \frac{(607 - 336)/(72 - 69)}{336/69}$$

$$F_{\nu_1=3,\nu_2=69}(18.5506), F_{\nu_1=3,\nu_2=50}(2.70) = 0.05, F_{\nu_1=3,\nu_2=100}(2.61) = 0.05$$

(b) Calculate  $R^2$  for the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_3 X_{i2} + \varepsilon_i$ 

$$SSR = SSR_{X_1} + SSR_{X_2} = SSR_{Full} - SSR_{Red} = SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full} = 271$$

$$R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST} = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST} = \frac{271}{703} = 0.3855$$

$$R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST} = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST} = \frac{271}{703} = 0.3855$$

(c) Describe the meaning or interpretation of the statistic  $R^2$  calculated in part (b).

 $R^2$  is the coefficient of determination, is the proportion of variation explained by the regressor x. Values of  $R^2$  that are close to 1 imply that most of the variability in y is explained by the regression model

(d) Calculate the  $R_{adi}^2$  for the model in part (b).

$$R_{adj}^2 = 1 - \frac{SSE/(n-p)}{SST/(n-1)} = 1 - \frac{(703-271)/72}{703/74} = 0.3684211$$

(e) Calculate the F-statistic for testing  $H_0: \beta_2 = \beta_4 = 0$ .

$$SSE_{Red} = 489$$
;  $Rank(T) = 2$ ;  $dfE_{Reduced} = n - Rank(T) = 75 - 2 = 73$ ,

$$F = \frac{(SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full})/(dfE_{Reduced} - dfE_{Full})}{SSE_{Full}/dfE_{Full}} = \frac{(489 - 336)/(73 - 69)}{336/69}$$

$$F_{\nu_1=4,\nu_2=69}(7.8549), F_{\nu_1=4,\nu_2=60}(2.53) = 0.05, F_{\nu_1=4,\nu_2=120}(2.45) = 0.05$$

2017F2

- a) Explain the difference between fixed and random effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation.
- b) Explain the difference between crossed and nested effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation. Make sure to discuss which terms would be absent from the model, and the resulting effect on sums of squares and degrees of freedom in the ANOVA table.

#### 2016S4

2019S1

Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$ , i = 1, ..., n with the additional restrictions that  $\beta_1 = 1$ ,  $\beta_2 = \beta_0$ . Find the least-squares estimators of the coefficients. Let  $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - x_i - \beta_0 x_i^2)^2$ 

Let 
$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - x_i - \beta_0 x_i^2)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_2} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i - \beta_0 - \beta_0 x_i^2)(-1 - x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\beta}_0 = \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_i^2)(y_i - x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_i^2)^2}$$

#### 2016S5

In the multiple regression model with p-1 independent variables  $X_i$ , let the  $n \times p$  matrix **X** denote the design matrix which contains the column of 1's to fit the intercept term and has full rank. Let **H** denote the hat matrix. Let  $h_{ii}$  denote the  $i_{th}$  diagonal element of **H**. Prove that  $0 \le h_{ii} \le 1$ .

#### 2016F

Jong Sung Kim\*, Brad Crain

## 2016F1

The data for this question consist of 12 measurements on each of 2 quantitative regressor variables  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and on a dependent variable y. The data are displayed below:

Obs 123455678	x1 3 3 7 7 12 12	x2 1 2 1 3 1 2	y 5532337517867	m 12234556	<i>ÿ<sub>i</sub></i> 54 4 23 178 788 67	$(y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2$ 0 1 0 0 9 0
9 10 11 12	1 <u>2</u> 1 <u>2</u> 19 19	323	51 47 135 121	Ž 7 8 9	49 49 135 121	4 4 0 0

The model to be fit to the data is  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \varepsilon_i$ . What follows is partially incomplete SAS output. Although the output is incomplete, there is enough information given that you can answer the questions that follow with a minimal amount of calculation. Note that Type I SS is the same as Seq SS.

Information I: model:  $y = x_1x_2$ ;

Analysis of Variance

Source df SS MS				
Model 2 21292-2369 10646.11	Model	df SS	MS	85
Error 9 703.87576 78.2084	Error	2 21292.2	2369 10646.118	
Total 11 21996	Total	9 703.875	576 78.2084	

Root MSE	8.84355	R-Square	0.9680	
Dependent Mean	52.66667	Adj R-Sq	0.9609	
Coeff Var	16.79156	, 1		

## Parameter Estimates

Variable Intercept	DF 1 1	ParameterEstimate -10.44655 8.15560	Type I SS 33285 20647
$\hat{x}^1$	1	-9.56119	663.87250

## Covariance of Estimates

Variable	Intercept	x1	x2
Intercept	54.81211821	-1.510773883	-16.5305567
x1 x2	-1.51 <u>077388</u> 3	0.2483463917	-0.496692783
<u>x2</u>	-16.5305567	-0.496692783	10.7694378

Information II: model: $y = x_2x_1$ ; Analysis of Variance

Source	SumofSquares	
Model Error Total	703.87576	

Root MSE Dependent Mean Coeff Var	8.84355 52.66667 16.79156	R-Square Adj R-Sq	0.9680 0.9609	
---	---------------------------------	----------------------	------------------	--

#### Parameter Estimates

Variable Intercept	DF 1	ParameterEstimate -10.44655	Type I SS 33285 364 50000
x2	1	-9.56119	364.50000
x1		8.15560	20946

(a) Do a hypothesis test, at the .01 level of significance, of  $H_0$ :  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$  vs.  $H_1$ : At least one of  $\beta_1$  or  $\beta_2 \neq 0$ .

$$dfR = 2, dfT = 12 - 1, dfE = 11 - 9 = 2;$$

$$SSE = 703.87576$$
,  $MSE = \frac{703.87576}{9} = 8.84355^2 = 78.2084$ ;

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST} = 0.9680, SST = \frac{703.87576}{1 - 0.9680} = 21996.1125$$

$$F = \frac{MSR}{MSE} = \frac{(SST - SSE)/dfR}{MSR} = \frac{(21996.1125 - 703.87576)/2}{78.2084} = 136.125$$

$$F = \frac{MSE}{MSE} = \frac{MSR}{MSR} = \frac{78.2084}{78.2084}$$
  
 $F(0.01, 2, 9) = 8.02$ 

(b) What is the value of  $R^2$ ?

0.9680

(c) Do the following two hypothesis tests, each at the .05 level of significance:

i. 
$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \text{ vs. } H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

$$F = \frac{(20647 - 703.87576)/(10-9)}{70.20242} = 255$$

$$F = \frac{78.20842}{78.20842}$$
$$F(0.05, 1, 9) = 5.12$$

ii. 
$$H_0: \beta_2 = 0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \beta_2 \neq 0$ 

$$F = \frac{(663.8725 - 703.87576)/1}{78.20842} = -?$$

(d) Obtain a 99% confidence interval for  $\beta_1$ .

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2},n-k-1} se(\hat{\beta}_1), se(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sqrt{MSE \cdot C_{22}}$$

 $8.1556 - t(0.005, 9)8.84355\sqrt{0.2483463917}, 8.1556 + 3.25 \times 4.407127;$ 

(e) Give an unbiased estimate of the variance of  $\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2$ .

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2) = Var(\hat{\beta}_1) + Var(\hat{\beta}_2) - Cov(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2) = 0.2483 + 10.7694 - 2(-0.49669) = 12.01108$$

(f) Obtain MS(Pure Error). Hint: Pure Error can be found exactly the same way as we did for simple linear regression model. That is, group according to different combinations of levels from  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . First compute SS(Pure Error), and then divide it by degrees of

freedom.  

$$SS_{PE} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 = 28$$

$$df_{PE} = n - m = 12 - 9 = 3$$

$$MS_{PE} = 28/3$$

(g) Perform a test for lack-of-fit at the .05 level of significance. Note: If you are unable to answer part (f), use MS(Pure Error) = 7.5. This is not the correct answer to (f), but if you use it in this part of the problem, you will receive full credit on this part, provided your answer is otherwise correct. [4.5]

$$SSE = SS_{LOF} + SS_{PE}, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i (y_{ij} - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

 $H_0$ : There is no lack of fit, the model is appropriate;  $H_1$ : There is a lack of fit, the model is not appropriate;

$$SS_{LOF} = SSE - SS_{PE} = 703.87576 - 28 = 675.8758$$

$$df_{LOF} = dfE - df_{PE} = m - 3 = 6$$

$$F = \frac{SS_{LOF}/df_{LOF}}{MS_{PE}} = \frac{675.87576/6}{28/3} = 12.06921$$

F(0.05, 6, 3) = 8.94. Reject  $H_0$  at the .05 level of significance.

## 2016F2

Data were collected on each of two quantitative regressor variables  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , a dichotomous categorical variable which we shall call "group", and a dependent variable Y. The data are displayed below:

Obs	V	x1	x2	group
1	14	3.5 <u>4</u>	<u> 17</u>	Į '
$\frac{2}{3}$	23 31	4.87 2.89	15	1
$\frac{3}{4}$	28	<del>3</del> .03	10	1
5	<u>25</u>	4.10	21 18	$\frac{2}{5}$
7	Ĭ8	5.17	18	2

8	23	3 99	16	2	
ă	77 K	პ.სა	13	<del>5</del>	
<u>ک</u> ر	34	5.74	48	<del>5</del>	
10	34	<b>2.44</b>	13	2	

The model to be fit to the data is  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{2i}^2 + \beta_4 Z_i + \beta_5 X_{1i} Z_i + \beta_6 X_{2i} Z_i + \beta_7 X_{2i}^2 Z_i + \epsilon_i$ , where  $Z_i = 1$ , if case i is in group 1, and  $Z_i = 0$ , otherwise.

(a) What are the first and last rows of the X-matrix (assuming that the data are entered in the same order in which they are displayed above)?

First row:  $1, X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{2i}^2, 1, X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{2i}^2, 1, 3.54, 17, 289, 1, 3.54, 17, 289$ 

Last row:  $1, X_{1i}, X_{2i}, X_{2i}^2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 3.54, 17, 289, 0, 0, 0, 0$ 

- (b) For each of the following objectives, give the appropriate null hypothesis.
- i. It is desired to know whether the slope coefficient on  $x_1$  is the same for both groups. $\beta_5 = 0$
- ii. It is desired to know whether the entire regression models for the two groups are identical.  $\beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = \beta_7 = 0$
- iii. It is desired to know whether a quadratic term in  $x_2$  is needed by both groups. $\beta_3 = \beta_7 = 0$
- iv. It is desired to know whether the slope coefficients on  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  for the first group are equal  $\beta_1 + \beta_5 = \beta_2 + \beta_6$

### 2016F3

The following is part of the SAS output from a simple linear regression model:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i$ , where i = 1, ..., 13, and  $y_i$  and  $x_i$  are the ith punter's average punting distance and right leg strength, respectively. Each punter punted 10 times and the average distance was measured. In addition, measure of right leg strength (lb lifted) was taken via a weight lifting test.

Obs	rleg	distance	
1,	170	162.50	
23456	180	147.50	
5	120 120	163.50 1 <u>22.00</u>	
<u>6</u>	150 170	171.75 162.00	
8	110	104.83	
<b>1</b> 0	130	103.67 117.58	
$\frac{11}{12}$	120 140	140.25 150.17	
13	Ī6Ŏ	165.17	

Dependent Variable: distance X'X Inverse, Parameter Estimates, and SSE

Variable	Intercept	rleg	distance
Intercept	3.5777 <i>7</i> 77778	-0.023703704	14.906962963
rleg distance	-0.023703704	0.0001604938	0.9026716049
distance	14.906962963	0.9026716049	3025.6604973

## Analysis of Variance

Root MSE	16.58493	R-Square	0.6266	
Dependent Mean	148.22462	Adj R-Sq	0.5926	
Coeff Var	11.18906	, 1		

#### Parameter Estimates

Variable	DF	ParameterEstimate	Pr> t
Intercept	1	14.90696	0.6439
rleg	1	0.90267	0.0013

#### **Output Statistics**

Obs	Dep Var distance 162 5000	Predicted Value	Std Error MeanPredict	95% CL Mean		95% CL Predict		Residual
2 3 4	144.0000 147.5000 163.5000	141.2810 177.3879 159.3344	4.8755 8.1998 5.2769	130.5501 159.3402 147.7201	152.0119 195.4355 170.9488	103.2332 136.6668 121.0281	179.3288 218.1089 197.6408	2.7190 -29.8879 4.1656
5 6 7	192.0000 171.7500 162.0000	150.3077	4.6253	140.1274	160.4880	112.4115	188.2039	21.4423
8 10 11	162.0000 104.8300 105.6700 117.5800 140.2500	114.2008 123.2276 132.2543 123.2276	9.1584 7.4170 5.9141 7.4170	94.0433 106.9028 119.2374 106.9028	134.3583 139.5523 145.2712 139.5523	72.5018 83.2403 93.4996 83.2403	155.8999 163.2148 171.0089 163.2148	-9.3708 -17.5576 -14.6743 17.0224

```
150.1700
165.1700
                                                                                                                          152.0119
170.9488
                                                                                                 130.5501
147.7201
```

```
x \leftarrow c(170, 140, 180, 160, 170, 150, 170, 110, 120, 130, 120, 140, 160)
y < c(162.50, 144.00, 147.50, 163.50, 192.00, 171.75, 162.00, 104.83, 105.67, 117.58, 140.25, 150.17, 165.17)
bar_x <- mean(x)</pre>
S_x < -var(x)*(13-1)
\overline{\text{sum}}((x-\text{mean}(x))^2)
## [1] 6230.769
hat_y <- 14.90696+0.90267*170
qt(0.025,11,lower.tail = F,log.p = F)
## [1] 2.200985
se_y_mean <- sqrt(275.06005*(1/13+(170-bar_x)^2/S_xx))
se_y_new \leftarrow sqrt(275.06005*(1+1/13+(170-bar_x)^2/S_xx))
```

- (a) Find the three residual values at x = 170.
- $\hat{y} = 14.90696 + 0.90267 * 170 = 168.3609; 162.5 168.3609 = -5.8609, 192 168.3609 = 23.6391, 162 168.3609 = -6.3609$
- (b) Compute a 95% confidence interval for the mean response at x = 170. Hint:Compute the variance of the estimate of the mean response at x = 170.

```
\bar{x} = 147.6923, S_{XX} = \sum_{i=1}^{13} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = 6230.769
se(y_0) = \sqrt{MSE(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{XX}})} = \sqrt{275.06005(\frac{1}{13} + \frac{(170 - 147.6923)^2}{6230.769})} = 6.567093
\hat{y} \pm t_{n-2,0.025} se(y_0) = 168.3609 \pm 2.200985 * 6.567093, (153.9068, 182.815)
```

(c) Compute a 95% prediction interval on a new response observation at x = 170. Hint: You can use part of the expression in (b).

$$se(y_0) = \sqrt{MSE(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{XX}})} = 17.83779$$
  
  $168.3609 \pm 2.200985 * 17.83779, (129.1002, 207.6216)$ 

#### 2016F4

Prior to 1985, Meily Lin had observed that some colors of birthday balloons seem to be harder to inflate than others. She ran this experiment to determine whether balloons of different colors are similar in terms of the time taken for inflation to a diameter of 7 inches. Four colors were selected from a single manufacturer. An assistant blew up the balloons and the experimenter recorded the times (to the nearest 1/10 second) with a stop watch. This experiment was replicated 4 times, and the data including the order are displayed in the SAS code below, where color 1 = pink, 2 = yellow, 3 = orange, and 4 = blue.

```
data balloon;
input runorder color inftime 00;
cards;
1 1 22.4 2 3 24.6 3 1 20.3 4 4 19.8 5 3 24.3 6 2 22.2 7 2 28.5 8 2 25.7 9 3 20.2 10 1 19.6 11 2 28.8 12 4 24.0 13 4 17.1 14 4 19.3 15 3 24.2 16 1 15.8 17 2 18.3 18 1 17.5 19 4 18.7 20 3 22.9 21 1 16.3 22 4 14.0 23 4 16.6 24 2 18.1 25 2 18.9 26 4 16.0 27 2 20.1 28 3 22.5 29 3 16.0 30 1 19.3 31 1 15.9 32 3 20.3
```

- a. Why or why not do we need to record the run order in the model?
- b. What kind of model would be appropriate for the above experiment?
- c. Read the following. If we had assumed that there is an equal slope linear relationship between the inflation time and the run order for each color, how can we test the assumption? How would you adjust the following program? Why?

```
<Program I>
proc glm data=balloon;
class color; /* color: 1 = pink, 2 = yellow, 3 = orange, 4 = blue */
model inftime = color runorder;
estimate 'pink vs. orange' colór -1 0 1 0;
lsmeans color/pdiff;
run;
```

d. Based on the following output from , one can apply a Bonferroni multiple comparison test with level .05. Which are significantly different and which are not?

The GLM Procedure; Least Squares Means

çolor	inftime <u>LSM</u> EAN	LSMEANNumber
1 2	18.3341795 22.3883782	$\frac{1}{2}$
3	<u> </u>	3
4	18.2141603	4

Least Squares Means for effect color Pr > |t| for H0: LSMean(i)=LSMean(j) Dependent Variable: inftime

i/j	1	2	3	4
1		0.0043	0.0076	0.9271
2	0.0043		0.007 <u>6</u> 0.8195	0.0034
3	0.00 <u>7</u> 6	0.8195		0.0060
4	0.9271	0.0034	0.0060	

Consider an experiment to compare 7 treatments in block of size 5. Taking all possible combinations of five treatments from seven gives a balanced incomplete block design with each treatment level occurring 15 times. Hint: Figure out p, t, k, r,  $\lambda$  and their relationships.

$$a=7$$
, $k=5$ , $r=15$ , replications of each pair  $\lambda=\frac{r(k-1)}{a-1}=10$ 

a. How many blocks does the design have?

$$b = \frac{ar}{k} = 21$$

b. Show that the number of times each treatment level occurs must be a multiple of five for a balanced incomplete block design with 7 treatments and blocks of size 5 to exist.

$$r = \frac{bk}{a} = \frac{5}{7}b$$

c. Show that the smallest balanced incomplete block design has 15 observations per treatment.

$$\lambda = \frac{(k-1)}{a-1}r = \frac{k(k-1)}{a(a-1)}b = \frac{10}{21}b$$

b = 21 is the smallest positive integer to make  $\lambda \in \mathbf{N}^+$ 

 $\lambda = \frac{10}{21} * 21 = \frac{4}{6}r$ , r = 15 is the smallest munber of observations per treatment for a BIBD with a = 7, k = 5.

## 2017S

Brad Crain, Jong Sung Kim\*

## 2017SR1

## 2018S1

A company is comparing two different methods of processing their raw material. They begin with 8 batches of material, which are randomly assigned to one of the two processes. The quality of the finalproduct is measured on a 50-point scale. There is some concern that the outside temperature on each day might have an effect on the product (and the effect might be different for the two processes), and so it is recorded so that it can be taken into account. The following table shows and orderedpair for each batch, consisting of the quality measurement and the temperature.

Process 1	(45,81)(40,68)	(41,77)(41,61)	
Process 2	(42,59)(37,62)	(41,83)(35,70)	
1 10Cess 2	(42,39)(37,02)	(41,03)(33,70)	

- a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above. Hint: there are 8 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.
- b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.
- c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the two slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?

#### 2017SR2

#### 2019S1

Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$ , i = 1, ..., n with the additional restrictions that  $\beta_1 = 1$  and  $\beta_2 = \beta_0/2$ . Find the least-squares estimators of  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ .

Let

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - x_i - \frac{\beta_0}{2} x_i^2)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_0} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - x_i - \frac{\hat{\beta}_0}{2} x_i^2) (1 + \frac{x_i^2}{2}) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \frac{x_i^2}{2})}, \hat{\beta}_1 = 1, \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i)}{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \frac{x_i^2}{2})}$$

## 2017SD1

Given a educational material evaluation experiment where there are three possible blocking factors [R,C,G], each with six levels [ $R_{1..6}$ ;  $C_{1..6}$ ;  $C_{1..6}$ ;  $C_{1..6}$ ]:

- 1. Write out the model equation of the Latin Square design if the blocking factors R and C are used, and G is disregarded.
- 2. Explain why all three blocking factors can not be used simultaneously without a modification

LT only 2 block

- 3. What is the modification required?
- add one dummy to form
- 4. If the Relative Efficiency for the modified experiment was calculated to be 2.3, how many observations of heterogeneous experimental units in a CRD would be expected to obtain the same variance for the treatment mean as one replicate of the modified experiment. 2-3

## 2017SD2

[8.3 The One-Quarter Fraction of the 2k Design p.344] [7.7 table 7.9]

Given a Blocked 2<sup>6-2</sup> design with Factors [A,B,C,D,E,F], Generators E=ABC, F=BCD and Defining Contrasts AB, CD

1. How many blocks are included in this design?

2. What is the Defining Relationship in this design? generating relations I=ABCE=BCDF=ADEF

3. What is the Resolution of this Design?

4. List the aliases of AE

AE=BC=ABCDEF=DF

5. Show the effect on two-way interactions that include A, if you augment by folding on A [8.5.2]

I=-ABCE=BCDF=-ADEF AB=-CE=ACDF=-BDEF AC=-BE=ABDF=-CDEF AD=-BCDE=ABCF=-EF AE=-BC=ABCDEF=-DF AF=-BCEF=ABCD=-DE

6. List the aliases of the defining contrasts [including the generalized interaction]?

AB=CE=ACDF=BDEF CD=ABDE=BF=ACEF

## 2017F

Robert Fountain\*, Daniel Taylor-Rodriguez

## 2017F1

#### 2018S1 2019S3

A company has developed two specialized training workshops for their employees. Each of the 12 employees is randomly assigned to one of the two workshops. The company would like to develop a model that could be used to predict each employee's performance score (a number from 0 to 100) based on their attendance at the workshops. The previous year's performance score is also available for use as a predictor. The following table shows and ordered pair for each employee, consisting of the current performance score and the previous year's score.

WorkshopA	(70,58)(70,62)	(68,60)(72,65)	(72,66)(72,62)
I			
WorkshopB	(75,60)(74,62)	(72,60)(71,60)	(73,61)(73,65)
Workshopb	(10,00)(11,02)	(12,00)(11,00)	(10,01)(10,00)

- a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above, allowing for different slopes and different intercepts for the two workshops. Hint: there are 12 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.
- b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.
- c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the two slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?

#### 2017F2

- a) Explain the difference between fixed and random effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation. https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/4700/what-is-the-difference-between-fixed-effect-random-effect-and-mixed-effect-mode
  - Fixed effects are constant across individuals, and random effects vary. For example, in a growth study, a model with random intercepts ai and fixed slope b corresponds to parallel lines for different individuals i, or the model yit=ai+bt. Kreft and De Leeuw (1998) thus distinguish between fixed and random coefficients.
  - Effects are fixed if they are interesting in themselves or random if there is interest in the underlying population. Searle, Casella, and McCulloch (1992, Section 1.4) explore this distinction in depth.
  - "When a sample exhausts the population, the corresponding variable is fixed; when the sample is a small (i.e., negligible) part of the population the corresponding variable is random." (Green and Tukey, 1960)
  - "If an effect is assumed to be a realized value of a random variable, it is called a random effect." (LaMotte, 1983)
  - Fixed effects are estimated using least squares (or, more generally, maximum likelihood) and random effects are estimated with shrinkage ("linear unbiased prediction" in the terminology of Robinson, 1991). This definition is standard in the multilevel modeling literature (see, for example, Snijders and Bosker, 1999, Section 4.2) and in econometrics.
  - b) Explain the difference between crossed and nested effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation. Make sure to discuss which terms would be absent from the model, and the resulting effect on sums of squares and degrees of freedom in the ANOVA table.

https://www.theanalysisfactor.com/the-difference-between-crossed-and-nested-factors/

Two factors are crossed when every category of one factor co-occurs in the design with every category of the other factor. In other words, there is at least one observation in every combination of categories for the two factors.

A factor is nested within another factor when each category of the first factor co-occurs with only one category of the other. In other words, an observation has to be within one category of Factor 2 in order to have a specific category of Factor 1. All combinations of categories are not represented.

If two factors are crossed, you can calculate an interaction. If they are nested, you cannot because you do not have every combination of one factor along with every combination of the other.

## 2017F3

## 2018S3 2016S2

The multiple linear regression model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \beta_4 X_{i4} + \beta_5 X_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$  was fit to a data set of 75 observations. The regression SS's (SSR) were partitioned sequentially into the following:

$$SSR(X_1) = 108$$
  
 $SSR(X_2|X_1) = 163$   
 $SSR(X_3|X_1X_2) = 29$   
 $SSR(X_4|X_1X_2X_3) = 41$   
 $SSR(X_5|X_1X_2X_3X_4) = 26$ 

The model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \beta_5 X_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$  was also fit to the same data and the following ANOVA was calculated:

Source .	SS
Regression	214
	400
Residual Error	402
iotai	703

Answer the following from the above information:

- (a) Calculate the F-statistic for testing the hypothesis  $(H_0)$  that  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ , and  $X_5$  have no significant effect on the response Y.
- (b) Calculate  $R^2$  for the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_3 X_{i2} \varepsilon_i$
- (c) Calculate the  $R_{adi}^2$  for the model in part (b).
- (d) Calculate the F-statistic for testing  $H_0: \beta_2 = \beta_4 = 0$ .

### 2017F4

## 2019S1

Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$ , i = 1, ..., n with the additional restrictions that  $\beta_0 = 1$ ,  $\beta_1 - \beta_2 = 0$ . Find the least-squares estimators of the regression coefficients.

Let 
$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - 1 - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_1 x_i^2)^2$$
  
 $\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - 1 - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_1 x_i^2) (-x_i - x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \ \hat{\beta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_i^2)(y_i - 1)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i + x_i^2)^2}$ 

## **2018S**

Robert Fountain\*, Daniel Taylor-Rodriguez

#### 2018S1

#### 2019S3

A company has developed three specialized training workshops for their employees. Each of the 12 employees is randomly assigned to one of the three workshops. The company would like to develop a model that could be used to predict each employee's performance score (a number from 0 to 100) based on their attendance at the workshops. The previous year's performance score is also available for use as a predictor. The following table shows and ordered pair for each employee, consisting of the current performance score and the previous year's score.

WorkshopA	(70,58)(70,62)	(68,60)(72,65)	
WorkshopB	(75,60)(74,62)	(72,60)(71,60)	
WorkshopC	(72,66)(72,62)	(73,61)(73,65)	

a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above, allowing for different slopes and different intercepts for the three workshops. Hint: there are 12 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.

Let 
$$w_{1i} = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \le i \le 4 \\ 1 & 5 \le i \le 8 \\ 0 & 9 \le i \le 12 \end{cases}$$
,  $w_{2i} = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le i \le 4 \\ 1 & 5 \le i \le 8 \\ 1 & 9 \le i \le 12 \end{cases}$  overall  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + w_{1i} (\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x_i) + w_{2i} (\delta_0 + \delta_1 x_i) + \varepsilon_i$  Workshop A:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le 4$ ; Workshop B:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \gamma_0 + (\beta_1 + \gamma_1) x_i + \varepsilon_i$ ,  $5 \le i \le 8$ ;

Workshop C:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \delta_0 + (\beta_1 + \delta_1)x_i + \varepsilon_i$ ,  $9 \le i \le 12$ ; b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ 68 \\ 72 \\ 75 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 73 \\ 12 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 58 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 66 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 66 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 65 \\ 1 & 65 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 65 \\ 1 & 65 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 65 \\ 1 & 65 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 65 \\ 1 & 65 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 65 \\ 1 & 12 \times 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the three slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?

$$H_0: \gamma_1 = \delta_1 = 0, y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + w_{1i} \gamma_0 + w_{2i} \delta_0 + \varepsilon_i$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ 70 \\ 68 \\ 75 \\ 74 \\ 72 \\ 71 \\ 72 \\ 73 \\ 73 \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 58 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 60 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 62 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 65 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 4} \times \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \delta_0 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \\ \varepsilon_{10} \\ \varepsilon_{11} \\ \varepsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 1}$$

$$mk(T) = 2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \rho_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \delta_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, Rank(T) = 2$$

$$dfE_{Full} = n - (k+1) = 12 - (5+1) = 6, dfE_{Reduced} = n - Rank(T) = 12 - 2 = 10$$

$$F = \frac{(SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full})/(dfE_{Reduced} - dfE_{Full})}{SSE_{Full}/dfE_{Full}}, df_{nume} = 10 - 6 = 4, df_{deno} = 6$$

A company that produces textiles is trying to determine if the final quality is determined by production site (A), machine operator (B), and thread type (C). The company operates 3 production sites, and all 3 will participate in the experiment. At each of the 3 sites, 5 machine operators will be randomly selected. The company uses two different types of thread. Each of the operators will produce 3 samples of cloth using each type of thread, yielding a total of  $3 \times 5 \times 2 \times 3 = 90$  observations.

Source	SS	df	MS	F	pval<0.05
A B	17 25	$\frac{2}{4}$	8.5 6.2	3.70 2.70	* *
Č AB	$\frac{\overline{4}}{32}$	1 8	$\frac{4.\overline{0}}{4.0}$	1:74 1:74	
AC BC	5- 12	$\frac{3}{4}$	2.5 3.0	1:09 1:30	
ĂBC Error	12 138	8 60	1.5	0.65	
Total	245	89	2.0		

- a) State which effects are fixed at which effects are random.
- b) State which effects are nested within others and which effects are crossed.

Site (A):  $\tau_i$  Fixed; Operator (B):  $\beta_{i(i)}$  Nested in A, Random; Thread Type (C):  $\gamma_k$  Crossed with B, Fixed; Replications: Random

Model: 
$$y_{ijkl} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_{j(i)} + \gamma_k + (\tau \gamma)_{ik} + (\beta \gamma)_{kj(i)} + \varepsilon_{(ijk)l}, i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, k = 1, 2, l = 1, 2, 3$$
  
$$\sum_{i=1}^{a} \tau_i = 0, \beta_{j(i)} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\beta}^2), (\gamma \beta)_{kj(i)} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2), (\tau \gamma)_{ik} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}^2), \varepsilon_{(ijk)l} \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \sum_{k=1}^{c} \gamma_k = 0$$

c) Create an abbreviated ANOVA table that has two columns: one column that lists the effects in the model (including the appropriate main effects, interactions, and nested effects), and a second column that gives the degrees of freedom for each item in the first column.

Source A	SS 17	df 2	MS 8.5	F 8.5/5.8
B(A)	57	12	5.8	5.8/2.3
Ç	4	1	$\frac{4.0}{2}$	$\frac{4.0}{2.5}$
AC BC(A)	5	12	2.5	2.5/2.0
Error	2 <del>4</del> 138	12	2.0	2.0/2.3
EHOL	130	60	2.3	

term	i(f)	j(r)	k(f)	l(r)	df	EMS	F
$\tau_i f$	0	b	С	n	a-1	$\frac{bcn}{a-1}\sum_{i=1}^{a} \tau_i^2 + cn\sigma_{\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_A}{MS_{B(A)}}$
$\beta_{j(i)}$ r	1	1	С	n	a(b-1)	$cn\sigma_{\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{B(A)}}{MS_{E}}$ $\frac{MS_{C)}}{MS_{(AC)}}$
$(\gamma)_k$ f	a	b	0	n	(c-1)	$\frac{abn}{c-1}\sum_{k=1}^{c}\gamma_{k}^{2}+an\sigma_{\gamma}^{2}+n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^{2}+\sigma^{2}$	$\frac{MS_{C)}}{MS_{(AC)}}$
$( au\gamma)_{ik}\mathrm{f}$	a	1	0	n	b(c-1)	$an\sigma_{\gamma}^2 + n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{(AC)}}{MS_{BC(A)}}$
$(\gamma\beta)_{kj(i)}$ r	1	1	0	n	ab(c-1)	$n\sigma_{\gammaeta}^2+\sigma^2 \ \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{BC(A)}}{MS_E}$
$arepsilon_{(ijk)l}$ Total	1	1	1	1	abc(n-1) abcn-1	$\sigma^{2}$	Г

## 2018S3

The multiple linear regression model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \beta_4 X_{i4} + \beta_5 X_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$  was fit to a data set of 75 observations. The regression SS's (SSR) were partitioned sequentially into the following:

 $SSR(X_1) = 108$ 

$$SSR(X_2|X_1) = 163$$
  
 $SSR(X_3|X_1X_2) = 29$   
 $SSR(X_4|X_1X_2X_3) = 41$   
 $SSR(X_5|X_1X_2X_3X_4) = 26$ 

The model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \beta_5 X_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$  was also fit to the same data and the following ANOVA was calculated:

Source	SS
Regression	214
Residual Error	180
Total	702
101a1	703

Answer the following from the above information:

- (a) Calculate the F-statistic for testing the hypothesis  $(H_0)$  that  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ , and  $X_5$  have no significant effect on the response Y.
- (b) Calculate  $R^2$  for the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} \varepsilon_i$
- (c) Calculate the  $R_{adj}^2$  for the model in part (b).
- (d) Calculate the F-statistic for testing  $H_0$ :  $\beta_2 = \beta_4 = 0$ .

## 2018S4

#### 2019S1

Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$ , i = 1,...,n with the additional restrictions that  $\beta_1 = 0$ ,  $\beta_0 = 2\beta_2$ . Find the least-squares estimators of  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $\beta_2$ .

Let 
$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - 2\beta_2 - \beta_2 x_i^2)^2$$
  
 $\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - 2\beta_2 - \beta_2 x_i^2)(-2 - x_i^2) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0; \ \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (2 + x_i^2) y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (2 + x_i^2)^2} \ \hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2 + x_i^2) y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (2 + x_i^2)^2}$ 

## 2018F

Robert Fountain\*, Daniel Taylor-Rodriguez

### 2018F1

The weights ( $y_i$ , kilograms) and corresponding heights ( $x_i$ , centimeters) of 10 randomlysampled adolescents (i= 1,..,10) are recorded, and the following summary statistics are computed:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = 472, \sum_{i=1}^{10} (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = 731, \sum_{i=1}^{10} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) = 274$$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{10}(x_i-\bar{x})^2=472, \sum_{i=1}^{10}(y_i-\bar{y})^2=731, \sum_{i=1}^{10}(x_i-\bar{x})(y_i-\bar{y})=274$ You will perform a simple linear regression of weight on height, under the usual assumption of independent, identically distributed, normal errors.

- a) Compute the least squares estimates for the intercept and slope parameters.
- b) Compute the usual unbiased estimate of the error variance.
- c) Compute unbiased estimates of the variances of the least squares estimates in part (a).
- d) Perform a two-sided test for whether or not height and weight are related (assuming the simple linear regression model holds). State the null and alternative hypotheses, and use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .
- e) Compute 95% simultaneous two-sided confidence intervals for the intercept and slope parameters, using the Bonferroni method.

## 2018F2

#### [565-HW1]

City planners are evaluating the effectiveness of a new "intelligent" traffic control system in reducing the amount of time motorists must spend on city streets. A total of 24 simulations are run: 4 simulations for each of the 6 combinations of control system (old or new) and traffic intensity (light, moderate, or heavy). All simulations use different random seeds, the combinations are run in a completely random order, and the median travel time (minutes) is recorded for each simulation. For each combination, the following table gives the average and sample standard deviation of the median travel times from the 4 simulations assigned that combination:

C 1	Old	System	T.T.	New	System		
Sample	light	Moderate	Heavy	light	Moderate	Heavy	
Mean Standard Deviation	13	14_	15_	<u></u>	8	17_	
Standard Deviation	1	2.5	3.5	2.5	2	3.5	

a) Write a (univariate) linear model equation of the usual full form for data from this experiment, with median travel time as the response. Explain each term and specify any conditions it satisfies. What crucial assumption are you making about the error variances?

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + \varepsilon_i, x_{1i} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = 1, 2, 3 \\ 0 & i = 4, 5, 6 \end{cases}, x_{2i} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = 2, 5 \\ 0 & i = 1, 3, 4, 6 \end{cases}, x_{3i} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = 3, 6 \\ 0 & i = 1, 2, 4, 5 \end{cases}$$

- 1. The relationship between the response y and the regressors is linear.
- 2. The error term  $\varepsilon$  has zero mean.
- 3. The error term  $\varepsilon$  has constant variance  $\sigma^2$ . 4. The errors are uncorrelated. 5. The errors are normally distribute.

- b) Produce an ANOVA table with all appropriate sources of variation, including the (corrected) total. Include sums of squares, degrees of freedom, and appropriate mean squares.

Source of Var	SS	df	MS
Model Error	416	3 20 23	138.6

$$SS_{Trt} = 4\sum_{1}^{6} (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..})^{2} = 4\sum_{1}^{6} (\bar{y}_{i.} - 12)^{2} = 416$$
 mean\_all <-  $(13+14+15+5+8+17)/6$  SS\_trt <-  $4*((13-mean_all)^2+(14-mean_all)^2+(15-mean_all)^2+(5-mean_all)^2+(8-mean_all)^2+(17-mean_all)^2)$ 

c) Test whether your model in part (a) may be reduced to a model in which the effects of system and traffic intensity are purely additive. Remember to state the null and alternative hypotheses. Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

$$H_0: \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$$

d) Form a two-sided 95% confidence interval for the difference in median travel time between the new system and the old system under moderate traffic conditions.

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + +\varepsilon_i, x_{1i} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = 2\\ 0 & i = 5 \end{cases}$$

#### 2018F3

## [564-HW3-3]

Consider the linear mixed model  $y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$ ,  $i = 1, ..., a \ j = 1, ..., b \ k = 1, ..., n$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{a} \alpha_i = 0$ ,  $\beta_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$ ,  $\varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$ with all  $\beta_{ij}$ 's and  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ 's independent, where  $a \ge 2$ ,  $b \ge 2$ , and  $n \ge 2$ . The parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\sigma_{\beta}^2$ , and  $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$  are assumed to be unknown. Adopt the following notation:  $\bar{y}_{ij.} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{ijk}$ ,  $\bar{y}_{i..} = \frac{1}{bn} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{ijk}$ ,  $\bar{y}_{...} = \frac{1}{abn} \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{ijk}$  a) In terms of the parameters, find the correlations between (i)  $y_{111}$  and  $y_{112}$ , (ii)  $y_{111}$  and  $y_{121}$ , and (iii)  $y_{111}$  and  $y_{211}$ .

$$Cor(y_{111}, y_{112}) = \frac{Cov(y_{111}, y_{112})}{se(y_{111})se(y_{112})} = \frac{MSE \cdot C_{12}}{\sqrt{MSE \cdot C_{11}MSE \cdot C_{12}}}$$

- $Cor(y_{111}, y_{112}) = \frac{Cov(y_{111}, y_{112})}{se(y_{111})se(y_{112})} = \frac{MSE \cdot C_{12}}{\sqrt{MSE \cdot C_{11}MSE \cdot C_{12}}}$ b) For any given value of *i*, specify the **joint** distribution of  $\bar{y}_{i1.}$ , .. $\bar{y}_{ib.}$ .
  - c) In terms of the data, write a formula for the usual unbiased estimator of  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2$ . What is the exact distribution of this estimator?
  - d) Show that  $E[\sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} (\bar{y}_{ij.} \bar{y}_{...})^2] = a(b-1)(\sigma_{\beta}^2 + \frac{1}{n}\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$

Justify all important steps. (Hint: Your answer to part (b) might be useful.)

e) In terms of the data, write a formula for the usual unbiased (ANOVA) estimate of  $\sigma_{\beta}^2$ . (Define all new notation, if you use any)

## 2018F4

Consider a randomized complete **block design** with 12 blocks and a single treatment factor having 3 levels. Let  $X_{ij}$  denote the response measured for an experimental unit in block j that receives treatment i for i = 1, 2, 3 and j = 1, ..., 12. Suppose there is also a covariate whose value  $X_{ij}$  is measured for each experimental unit.

The following four models are fit to the data (using least squares), with the resulting residual (error) sums of squares as specified:

Model 1: 
$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \gamma_j + \varepsilon_{ij} SS(Res) = 660$$

Model 2: 
$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \gamma_j + \varepsilon_{ij} SS(Res) = 550$$

Model 3: 
$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \gamma_j + \beta x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} SS(Res) = 300$$

Model 4: 
$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \gamma_j + \beta x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} SS(Res) = 420$$

The treatment effects are  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)'$  and the block effects are  $\alpha = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_{12})'$ . The corrected total sum of squares is 820.

- a) Find the sequential sums of squares for  $\gamma_j$ ,  $\alpha_i$ , and  $\beta$ , in that order.
- b) Form an ANOVA table for the randomized complete block design without the covariate  $X_{ij}$ , that is, based on Model 2. The table should include all appropriate sources of variation (including the corrected total), with degrees of freedom, sums of squares, and mean squares where appropriate. Then test whether or not there is any treatment effect based on this model. Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .
- c) Test whether there is any treatment effect, after accounting for both blocking and the covariate. Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .
- d) Suppose the (possibly incorrect) model  $Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$  is fit to the data. Compute the residual sum of squares for this model.

#### 2019S

Robert Fountain\*, Daniel Taylor-Rodriguez

#### 2019S1

## 2018S4

Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$ , i = 1,...,n, with the restriction that  $\beta_0 = 0$ . Find the least-squares estimators of the regression coefficients.

Let 
$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_1} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)(-x_i) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \, \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_2} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)(-x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 y_i = \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^3 + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^4 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^3 + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^4$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3)^2} \\ \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3]}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3)^2]} \end{array}$$

## [566-HW2-1] [566-HW5-2]

A manufacturer wishes to know if operator (A), material (B), and heat (C) affect the outcome of the product being produced. All of the machines being used operate at the same four heat settings. Five operators are randomly chosen. There are fifteen varieties of material that can be used, and three of these are assigned to each of the five operators. For each operator, that means that there are 12 combinations of heat and material that can be used. The operator produces two replications of each of these, for a total of  $5 \times 3 \times 4 \times 2 = 120$  observations. Incorrectly treating all main effects as fixed and all combinations of factors as crossed, the statistician produces the ANOVA table shown below.

Source	SS	df	MS	F	pval<0.05
A	34	4	8.5	3.70	*
Ç,	$\frac{12}{24}$	3	8.0 8.0	2.01 3. <u>4</u> 8	*
AB AC	3 <u>2</u> 30	8 12	$\frac{4.0}{2.5}$	1.74 1.09	
BC	18	64	<u>3</u> .0	1:30	
Error	138	$\frac{24}{60}$	2.3	0.03	
Total	324	119			

Produce the corrected ANOVA table, including the expected mean squares and the correct F statistics. If an exact F test is not available, construct an approximate F statistic (for which you need not compute the degrees of freedom).

Operator (A): Random; Material (B): Nested in A, Random; Heat (C): Crossed with B, Fixed

Replications: Random

Model: 
$$y_{ijkl} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_{j(i)} + \gamma_k + (\tau \gamma)_{ik} + (\beta \gamma)_{kj(i)} + \varepsilon_{(ijk)l}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, j = 1, 2, 3, k = 1, 2, 3, 4, l = 1, 2,$$

Şource	SS	фf	MS	F
A D. AD	34	4	8.5	0.3/4.4
B+AB	44	10	4.4	4.4/2.3
	24	3	8.0	8/2.5
AC	30	12	2.5	2.5/1.8
BC+ABC	54	30	1.8	1.8/2.3
Error	138	60	2.3	

term	i(r)	j(r)	k(f)	l(r)	df	EMS	F
$\overline{\tau_i \mathbf{r}}$	1	b	С	n	a-1	$bcn\sigma_{\gamma}^2 + cn\sigma_{\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_A}{MS_{B(A)}}$
$\beta_{j(i)}$ r	1	1	c	n	a(b-1)	$cn\sigma_{\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{B(A)}}{MS_E}$
$(\gamma)_k$ f	a	b	0	n	(c-1)	$\frac{abn}{c-1}\sum_{k=1}^{c}\gamma_k^2 + bn\sigma_{\gamma}^2 + n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{B(A)}}{MS_{E}}$ $\frac{MS_{C)}}{MS_{(AC)}}$ $\frac{MS_{(AC)}}{MS_{(AC)}}$
$( au\gamma)_{ik}\mathbf{r}$	1	b	0	n	a(c-1)	$bn\sigma_{\gamma}^2 + n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{(AC)}}{MS_{BC(A)}}$
$(\gamma \beta)_{kj(i)}$ r	1	1	0	n	ab(c-1)	$n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$ $\sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{BC(A)}}{MS_E}$
$\varepsilon_{(ijk)l}$ Total	1	1	1	1	abc(n-1) abcn-1	$\sigma^{2}$	L

## 2019S3

A company has developed two possible manufacturing processes. They will produce 5 items with each process, in a completely random order. Then they will measure the quality (Y) of each item on a 100-point scale. They suspect that the relative humidity during production might affect the outcome, so they also record it (X). They would like to develop a model that could be used to predict the outcome quality based on the process, with the relative humidity as a covariate. The following table shows and ordered pair for each item, consisting of the quality score and the humidity measurement.

Process A	(70,38)(70,55)(68,40)(72,45)(72,36)
Process B	(75,30)(74,42)(72,30)(71,30)(73,41)

a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above, allowing for different slopes and different intercepts for the two processes. Hint: there are 10 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.

Process A:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$ ; Process B:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \gamma_0 + (\beta_1 + \gamma_1)x_i + \varepsilon_i$ ; Let  $w_i = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \le i \le 6 \\ 1 & 6 \le i \le 10 \end{cases}$ , overall  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + w_i \gamma_0 + w_i \gamma_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$ 

b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ 70 \\ 68 \\ 72 \\ 75 \\ 74 \\ 77 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 38 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 55 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 45 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 36 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 36 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 & 30 \\ 1 & 42 & 1 & 42 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 & 30 \\ 1 & 41 & 1 & 41 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 4} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \\ \varepsilon_9 \\ \varepsilon_{10} \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 1}$$

c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the two slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?

 $H_0: \gamma_1 = 0, y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + w_i \gamma_0 + \varepsilon_i$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ 70 \\ 68 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 73 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 38 & 0 \\ 1 & 55 & 0 \\ 1 & 40 & 0 \\ 1 & 45 & 0 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 \\ 1 & 41 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 3} \times \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \\ \varepsilon_{10} \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 4} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 1} = 0, Rank(T) = 1$$

$$[0 \quad 0 \quad 1]_{10\times 4} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}_{4\times 1} = 0, Rank(T) = 1$$
 
$$dfE_{Full} = n - (k+1) = 10 - (3+1) = 6, dfE_{Reduced} = n - Rank(T) = 10 - 1 = 9$$
 
$$F = \frac{(SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full})/(dfE_{Reduced} - dfE_{Full})}{SSE_{Full}/dfE_{Full}}, df_{nume} = 9 - 6 = 3, df_{deno} = 6$$