

1. If  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$ , then the distribution of the sum  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  has the  $\text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$  distribution. Show that with  $n$  fixed, the  $\text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$  distributions form a one-parameter exponential family.

When  $n$  fixed,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\theta(Z = z) &= \binom{n}{z} \theta^z (1 - \theta)^{n-z} \mathbf{1}_{\{z \in (0, 1, 2, \dots)\}} \\ &= \exp \left[ \underbrace{\ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)}_{\varphi(\theta)} \underbrace{z}_{t(z)} - n \underbrace{(-\ln(1-\theta))}_{\kappa(\theta)} \right] \underbrace{\binom{n}{z} \mathbf{1}_{\{z \in (0, 1, 2, \dots)\}}}_{h(z)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t(z) &= z \\ \varphi(\theta) &= \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right) \\ \kappa(\theta) &= -\ln(1-\theta) \\ h(z) &= \binom{n}{z} \mathbf{1}_{\{z \in (0, 1, 2, \dots)\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the  $\text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$  distributions form a one-parameter exponential family.

2. Consider the family of generating distributions  $\{\text{Poisson}(\theta) : \theta > 0\}$  for the random sample  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  together with the conjugate family of priors  $\{\text{Gamma}(a, b) : a, b > 0\}$  for  $\theta$ .
- Verify that the family of posteriors for  $\theta|X = x$ , can be expressed in the same form as Equation (3) of Section 3 in the *Exponential Families and Conjugacy* class notes.

$$\begin{aligned} P(y_{1:n}|\theta) &= \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e^{-n\theta} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y_i!} \mathbf{1}_{\{y_i \in (0, 1, 2, \dots)\}} \right) \\ &= \exp \left[ \underbrace{\ln(\theta)}_{\varphi(\theta)} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}_{t(y)} - n \underbrace{\theta}_{\kappa(\theta)} \right] \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y_i!} \mathbf{1}_{\{y_i \in (0, 1, 2, \dots)\}}}_{h(y)} \end{aligned}$$

Assuming the conjugate priors has pdf of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n_0, t_0}(\theta) &\propto \exp[n_0 t_0 \ln(\theta) - n_0 \theta] \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \Theta\}} \propto \theta^{n_0 t_0 + 1 - 1} e^{n_0 \theta} \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \Theta\}} \\ &\sim \text{Gamma}(n_0 t_0 + 1, n_0) \end{aligned}$$

where  $n_0 > 0$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  are values for which  $p_{n_0, t_0}(\theta)$  can be normalized.

The posteriors of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\theta|y) &\propto \exp[\ln(\theta) \sum_i^n y_i - n\theta] h(y) \cdot \exp[n_0 t_0 \ln(\theta) - n_0 \theta] \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \Theta\}} \\
&\propto \exp[(t(y) + n_0 t_0) \ln(\theta) - (n + n_0) \theta] \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \Theta\}} \\
&\propto \theta^{t(y) + n_0 t_0 + 1 - 1} e^{(n + n_0) \theta} \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \Theta\}} \\
&\sim \text{Gamma}(t(y) + n_0 t_0 + 1, n + n_0)
\end{aligned}$$

- Show that what is referred to as  $t^*$  in the class notes can be expressed as a convex combination of the “prior guess”  $t_0$  and the sufficient statistic  $t(y)$  that you obtain for this problem.

When we have  $n > 1$  observation,  $t(y) = \sum \tilde{t}(y_i)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\theta|y_{1:n}) &\propto \exp[(t^* n^* \ln(\theta) - n^* \theta] \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \Theta\}} \\
&\propto \theta^{t^* n^* + 1 - 1} e^{n^* \theta} \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta \in \Theta\}} \\
&\sim \text{Gamma}(t^* n^* + 1, n^*)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $n^* = n_0 + n$  and  $t^* = \frac{n_0}{n_0 + n} t_0 + \frac{n}{n_0 + n} \frac{1}{n} \sum \tilde{t}(y_i)$ ,

3. Show that for a certain choice of  $t(y)$  and  $h(y)$ , the  $\text{Gamma}(a, b)$  distributions are in natural form with natural parameter  $\theta = (a, b)^T$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
p(y|\theta) &= \frac{b^a}{\Gamma a} y^{a-1} e^{-by} = \exp[(a-1) \ln y - by \ln(\frac{\Gamma a}{b^a})] \mathbf{1}_{\{y \in (0, \infty)\}} \\
&= \exp[ \underbrace{a \ln y + b(-y)}_{\varphi(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}; t(y) = \begin{bmatrix} \ln(y) \\ -y \end{bmatrix}} - n \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \ln(\frac{\Gamma a}{b^a})}_{\kappa(\theta)} ] \underbrace{y^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{y \in (0, \infty)\}}}_{h(y)}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, letting  $\eta_1 = a$ ,  $\eta_2 = b$ , we can rewrite the expression above as in natural form as

$$\begin{aligned}
p(y|\theta) &= \exp[ \underbrace{\eta_1 \ln y + \eta_2(-y)}_{\eta = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{bmatrix}; t(y) = \begin{bmatrix} \ln(y) \\ -y \end{bmatrix}} - n \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \ln(\frac{\Gamma(\eta_1)}{\eta_2^{\eta_1}})}_{A(\eta)} ] \underbrace{y^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{y \in (0, \infty)\}}}_{h(y)}
\end{aligned}$$

Should we show that  $A(\eta) = \ln(\int_{\mathcal{X}} y^{-1} (\eta_1 \ln y + \eta_2(-y)) dy)$ ?

This is the natural form for the  $\text{Gamma}(a, b)$  distributions, where  $\eta$  corresponds to the canonical parameter.