

2015S

Fountain\*, Crain

2015S1

2016S1

2015S2

2019S3

2015S3

2018S2 2019S2

2015S4

2018S4 2019S1

Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_i + \beta_2x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$ ,  $i = 1,..,n$ , with the restriction that  $\beta_1 - \beta_0 = 0$ . Find the least-squares estimators of the regression coefficients.

Let  $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_0x_i - \beta_2x_i^2)^2$

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_0} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_0x_i - \beta_2x_i^2)(-1 - x_i) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)y_i - \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)x_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_0x_i - \beta_2x_i^2)(-x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0;$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i = \hat{\beta}_0 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i) + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)y_i - \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)x_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i) + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4$$
$$\hat{\beta}_2 [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 - [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i)]^2] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 - [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i)]^2$$

2015F

2015F1

2016S1 [566-HW2-6] [8.3 The One-Quarter Fraction of the 2k Design p.344]

You must design an experiment to test six factors, each having two levels. Your budget will only allow sixteen runs. Furthermore, due to time constraints, only eight runs can be done on a given day, so you will have to conduct the experiment in 2 blocks. You may assume that 4-way and higher interactions are not important. Show all of the following:

- all of your generators (make sure that your resolution is at least III)  
2<sup>6-2</sup><sub>IV</sub> E=ABC, F=BCD; I=ABCE=BCDF=ADEF  
- the 16 runs to conduct  
-----  
A B C D E F Run Block  
-----  
(1) 1  
+ - - - - ae 1  
- + - - - bef 2  
+ + - - - abf 2  
- - + - - cef 2  
+ - - - - acf 2  
- + - - - bc 1  
+ + - - - abce 1  
- - - - - df 1  
+ - - + - adef 1  
- + - + - bde 2  
+ - - - - abd 2

2015F2

2017F1 [Example 8.2 The Tool Life Data]

A company is comparing two different methods of processing their raw material. They begin with 8 batches of material, which are randomly assigned to one of the two processes. The quality of the final product is measured on a 50-point scale. There is some concern that the outside temperature on each day might have an effect on the product (and the effect might be different for the two processes), and so it is recorded so that it can be taken into account. The following table shows an ordered pair for each batch, consisting of the quality measurement and the temperature.

Process 1 (45,81)(40,68)(41,77)(41,61)  
Process 2 (42,59)(37,62)(41,83)(35,70)  
a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above. Hint: there are 8 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.  
Process 1:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_i + \varepsilon_i$ ; Process 2:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \gamma_0 + (\beta_1 + \gamma_1)x_i + \varepsilon_i$ ; Let  $w_i = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq i \leq 4 \\ 1 & 5 \leq i \leq 8 \end{cases}$ , overall  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_i + w_i\gamma_0 + w_i\gamma_1x_i + \varepsilon_i$   
b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 45 \\ 40 \\ 41 \\ 41 \\ 42 \\ 37 \\ 41 \\ 35 \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 81 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 68 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 77 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 61 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 59 & 1 & 59 \\ 1 & 62 & 1 & 62 \\ 1 & 83 & 1 & 83 \\ 1 & 70 & 1 & 70 \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 4} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 1}$$

2015F3

2016S3 2017F2

a) Explain the difference between fixed and random effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation.  
b) Explain the difference between crossed and nested effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation. Make sure to discuss which

2015F4

2019S1

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 - [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i)]^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 \hat{\beta}_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)y_i - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 - [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i)]^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)x_i^2}$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)y_i [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i)]^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 - [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i)]^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 - [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i)]^2}$$
$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)x_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^2 - [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 (1+x_i)]^2}$$

- - - + + - cde 2  
+ - + + - - acd 2  
- + + + - - bcd 1  
+ + + + + - abcdef 1  
E=ABC; F=BCD; Block=ABCDE F  
- the alias structure  
A=BCE=DEF; B=CDF=ACE; C=ABE=BDF; D=BCF=AEF; E=ABC=ADF;  
F=BCD=ADE;  
AB=CE; AC=BE; AD=EF; AE=BC=DF=ABCEDEF; AF=DE; BD=CF; BF=CD;  
ABD=CDE=ACF=BEF; ACD=BDE=ABF=CEF  
- the eight runs to be done on each day  
Day1: (1), abce, bcd, adef, ae, bc, df, abcd  
Day2: abd, cde, acf, bef, abf, cef, acd, bde  
- the effects to be confounded with blocks  
AE=BC=DF=ABCEDEF  
- the Source and DF columns of the ANOVA table  
-----  
A B C D E F AB AC AD AF BD BF ABD ABF Block  
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  
AB=CE, AC=BE, AD=EF, AE=BC=DF, AF=DE, BD=CF, BF=CD, ABD=CDE=ACF=BEF, ACD=BDE=ABF=CEF

c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the two slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?

To test the hypothesis that the two regression lines are identical ( $H_0 : \gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = 0$ ), To test the hypothesis that the two lines have different intercepts and a common slope ( $H_0 : \gamma_0 = 0$ ),  $H_0 : \gamma_1 = 0$ ,  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_i + w_i\gamma_0 + \varepsilon_i$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 45 \\ 40 \\ 41 \\ 41 \\ 42 \\ 37 \\ 41 \\ 35 \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 81 & 0 \\ 1 & 68 & 0 \\ 1 & 77 & 0 \\ 1 & 61 & 0 \\ 1 & 59 & 1 \\ 1 & 62 & 1 \\ 1 & 83 & 1 \\ 1 & 70 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 3} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \end{bmatrix}_{8 \times 1}$$

$$[0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1] \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix} = 0, r = 1$$
$$dfE_{Full} = n - (k + 1) = 8 - (3 + 1) = 4, dfE_{Reduced} = n - (k + 1) + r = 5$$
$$F = \frac{(SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full})/r}{SSE_{Full} / dfE_{Full}}, df_{nume} = 1, df_{deno} = 4$$

terms would be absent from the model, and the resulting effect on sums of squares and degrees of freedom in the ANOVA table.

Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i, i = 1, ..., n$  with the additional restrictions that  $\beta_1 = 0, \beta_0 = 2\beta_2$ . Find the least-squares estimators of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ .  
Let  $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - 2\beta_0 x_i^2)^2$

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_0} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - 2\beta_0 x_i^2)(-1 - x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4} \hat{\beta}_2 =$$

2016S

Fountain, Tableman\*

2016S1

2015S1 2017SD2 [7.6 Confounding the 2k Factorial Design in Four Blocks]

You must design an experiment to test six factors, each having two levels. Your budget will only allow sixteen runs. Furthermore, due to time constraints, only four runs can be done on a given day, so you will have to conduct the experiment in 4 blocks. You may assume that 4-way and higher interactions are not important. Show all of the following:  
- all of your generators (make sure that your resolution is at least III)  
 $2^{6-2}_{IV}$  E=ABC, F=BCD; I=ABCE=BCDF=ADEF  
- the 16 runs to conduct E=ABC; F=BCD; Block=ACD  
-----  
----- (1) 4  
-----  
---+--+--+ df 1  
---+--+--+ cef 2  
---+--+--+ cde 3  
---+--+--+ bef 3  
---+--+--+ bde 2  
---+--+--+ bc 1  
---+--+--+ bcd 1  
---+--+--+ acf 4  
---+--+--+ acd 4  
---+--+--+ acf 3  
---+--+--+ acd 2

++- - - + + - abf 2  
++- + - - - + abd 3  
++- + - + - - abce 4  
++- + - + + + abcdef 1  
- the alias structure  
A=BCF=DEF; B=CDF=ACE; C=ABE=BDF; D=BCF=AEF; E=ABC=ADF; F=BCD=ADE;  
AB=CE; AC=BE; AD=EF; AE=BC=DF; AF=DE; BD=CF; BF=CD;  
ABD=CDE=ACF=BEF; ACD=BDE=ABF=CEF  
- the four runs to be done on each day  
Day1: ae, bc, df, abcdef  
Day2: abf, acd, bde, cef  
Day3: abd, acf, bef, cde  
Day4: (1), abce, adef, bcdf  
- the effects to be confounded with blocks  
ACD=BDE=ABF=CEF; ABD=ACF=BEF=CDE; AE=BC=DF  
- the Source and DF columns of the ANOVA table  
-----  
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3  
A B C D E F AB AC AD AF BD BF Block  
AB=CE, AC=BE, AD=EF, AF=DE, BD=CF, BF=CD

2016S2

2017F3 2018S3  
The multiple linear regression model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3} + \beta_4 x_{i4} + \beta_5 x_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$  was fit to a data set of 75 observations. The regression SS's (SSR) were partitioned sequentially into the following:  
 $SSR(X_1) = 108; SSR(X_2|X_1) = 163; SSR(X_3|X_1 X_2) = 29; SSR(X_4|X_1 X_2 X_3) = 41; SSR(X_5|X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4) = 26$   
The model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_3 x_{i3} + \beta_5 x_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$  was also fit to the same data and the following ANOVA was calculated:

Source(df)	$SS_F$	$SS_{-3,-4,-5}$	$SS_{1,2}$	$SS_{-2,-4}$	$SS_{1,3,5}$
Regression	367(5)	-96(3)	271(2)	-153(2)	214(3)
Residual Error	336(69)	+96(3)	432(72)	+153(2)	489(71)
Total	703(74)				

The additional(extral) sum of squares F test (partial F test),  $SSE_{reduced} - SSE_{Full}$  is called the extra sum of squares due to  $j^{th}$  predictor given that all the other terms are in the model.  
 $SSR_{Full} - SSR_{Red} = SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full}$   
 $F = \frac{(SSE_{Red} - SSE_{Full}) / (dfE_{Red} - dfE_{Full})}{SSE_{Full} / dfE_{Full}}$   
Answer the following from the above information:  
(a) Calculate the F-statistic for testing the hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) that  $X_3, X_4$ , and  $X_5$  have no significant effect on the response  $Y$ .  
 $H_0 : \beta_3 = \beta_4 = \beta_5 = 0; r = 3; SST = 703;$   
 $SSR_{Full} = \sum_{i=1}^5 SSR_{X_i} = 367; SSE_{Full} = SST - SSR_{Full} = 703 - 367 = 336;$   
 $dfE_{Full} = n - (k + 1) = 75 - (5 + 1) = 69;$   
 $SSR_{Red} = \sum_{i=1}^2 SSR_{X_i} = 271; SSE_{Red} = SST - SSR_{Red} = 703 - 271 = 432;$   
 $dfE_{Red} = n - (k + 1) + r = 69 + 3 = 72$   
 $F = \frac{(432 - 336) / (72 - 69)}{336 / 69} = 6.571429; F_{p,3,69} = 6.571429; F_{0.05,3,50} = 2.79, F_{0.05,3,100} = 2.70;$   
 $\therefore p < 0.05$ , reject  $H_0$  at 0.05 level of significance

(b) Calculate  $R^2$  for the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_3 x_{i2} + \varepsilon_i$   
 $SSR = \sum_{i=1}^2 SSR_{X_i} = 271$   
 $R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST} = \frac{271}{703} = 0.3855$   
(c) Describe the meaning or interpretation of the statistic  $R^2$  calculated in part (b).  
 $R^2$  is the coefficient of determination, is the proportion of variation explained by the regressor x. Values of  $R^2$  that are close to 1 imply that most of the variability in y is explained by the regression model  
(d) Calculate the  $R^2_{adj}$  for the model in part (b).  
 $R^2_{adj} = 1 - \frac{SSE/dfE}{SST/dfT} = 1 - \frac{432/72}{703/74} = 0.3684211$   
(e) Calculate the F-statistic for testing  $H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_4 = 0$ .  
 $SSE_{Red} = 489; r = 2; dfE_{Red} = n - (k + 1) + r = 71,$   
 $F = \frac{(489 - 336) / (71 - 69)}{336 / 69} = 15.70982, F_{p,2,69} = 15.70982; F_{0.05,2,50} = 3.18, F_{0.05,2,100} = 3.09;$   
 $\therefore p < 0.05$ , reject  $H_0$  at 0.05 level of significance

2016S3

- 2017F2
- a) Explain the difference between fixed and random effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation.
  - b) Explain the difference between crossed and nested effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation. Make sure to discuss which terms would be absent from the model, and the resulting effect on sums of squares and degrees of freedom in the ANOVA table.

2016S4

2019S1  
Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i, i = 1, ..., n$  with the additional restrictions that  $\beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = \beta_0$ . Find the least-squares estimators of the coefficients.  
Let  $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - x_i - \beta_0 x_i^2)^2$   
 $\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i - \beta_0 - \beta_0 x_i^2)(-1 - x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\beta}_0 = \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i^2)(y_i-x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i^2)^2}$

2016S5

In the multiple regression model with  $p - 1$  independent variables  $X_j$ , let the  $n \times p$  matrix **X** denote the design matrix which contains the column of 1's to fit the intercept term and has full rank. Let **H** denote the hat matrix. Let  $h_{ii}$  denote the  $i_{th}$  diagonal element of **H**. Prove that  $0 \leq h_{ii} \leq 1$ .

2016F

Jong Sung Kim\*, Brad Crain

2016F1

The data for this question consist of 12 measurements on each of 2 quantitative regressor variables  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and on a dependent variable  $y$ . The data are displayed below:

----- Obs x1 x2 y m  $\hat{y}_i$  ( $y_{ij} - \hat{y}_i$ )<sup>2</sup>

1 3 1 5 1 5 0  
2 3 2 5 2 4 1  
3 3 3 5 3 4 1  
4 7 1 2 3 3 2 3 0

```
x1 <- c(3,3,3,7,7,12,12,12,12,12,19,19)
x2 <- c(1,2,2,1,3,1,1,2,3,3,2,3)
y <- c(5,6,3,23,19,75,81,67,51,47,135,121)
table <- data.frame(y,x1,x2)
model <- lm(y~x2,table)
summary(model)

## Call:
## lm(formula = y ~ x2, data = table)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -49.67 -40.54 -10.42  30.58  82.33
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)    39.17      35.54   1.102   0.296
##              x2       6.75      16.45   0.410   0.690
## Residual standard error: 46.53 on 10 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.01656,    Adjusted R-squared:  -0.08179
## F-statistic: 0.1684 on 1 and 10 DF,  p-value: 0.6902
```

```
anova(model)
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: y
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
##              x2      1    364.5      364.5    0.1684 0.6902
## Residuals    10 21650.2     2165.0
```

The model to be fit to the data is  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \varepsilon_i$ . What follows is partially incomplete SAS output. Although the output is incomplete, there is enough information given that you can answer the questions that follow with a minimal amount of calculation. Note that Type I SS is the same as Seq SS.

```
Information I: model: y = x1x2;
Analysis of Variance
----- Source Df SS MS
Model 2 21292.2369 10646.1185
Error 9 703.87576 78.2084
Total 11 21996
----- Root MSE 8.84355 R-Square 0.9680
Dependent Mean 52.66667 Adj R-Sq 0.9609
Coeff Var 16.79156
Parameter Estimates
----- Variable DF ParameterEstimate Type I SS
Intercept 1 -10.44655 33285
x1 1 8.15560 20647
x2 1 -9.56119 364.50000
Covariance of Estimates
----- Variable Intercept x1 x2
Intercept 54.81211821 -1.510773883 -16.5305567
x1 -1.510773883 0.2483463917 -0.496692783
x2 -16.5305567 -0.496692783 10.7694378
Information II: model:y = x2x1;
Analysis of Variance
----- Source SumofSquares
Model
Error 703.87576
Total
----- Root MSE 8.84355 R-Square 0.9680
Dependent Mean 52.66667 Adj R-Sq 0.9609
Coeff Var 16.79156
Parameter Estimates
----- Variable DF ParameterEstimate Type I SS
Intercept 1 -10.44655 33285
x2 1 -9.56119 364.50000
x1 1 8.15560 20946
(a) Do a hypothesis test, at the .01 level of significance, of  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$  vs.  $H_1$ :
At least one of  $\beta_1$  or  $\beta_2 \neq 0$ .
 $dfR = 2, dfT = 12 - 1, dfE = 11 - 9 = 2$ ;
 $SSE = 703.87576, MSE = \frac{703.87576}{9} = 8.84355^2 = 78.2084$ ;  $SSR = 364.5 + 20946 =$ 
```

2016F2

Data were collected on each of two quantitative regressor variables  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , a dichotomous categorical variable which we shall call “group”, and a dependent variable  $Y$ . The data are displayed below:

----- Obs y x1 x2 group

1 14 3.54 17 1  
2 23 4.86 15 1  
3 30 2.89 13 1  
4 28 3.03 20 2  
5 35 4.10 14 2  
6 38 3.37 18 2  
7 23 3.99 16 2  
8 40 2.02 13 2  
10 34 2.44 13 2

The model to be fit to the data is  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{2i}^2 + \beta_4 Z_i + \beta_5 X_{1i} Z_i + \beta_6 X_{2i} Z_i + \beta_7 X_{2i}^2 Z_i + \varepsilon_i$ , where  $Z_i = 1$ , if case  $i$  is in group 1, and  $Z_i = 0$ , otherwise.

(a) What are the first and last rows of the X-matrix (assuming that the data are entered

2016F3

The following is part of the SAS output from a simple linear regression model:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, 13$ , and  $y_i$  and  $x_i$  are the  $i$ th punter’s average punting distance and right leg strength, respectively. Each punter punted 10 times and the average distance was measured. In addition, measure of right leg strength (lb lifted) was taken via a weight lifting test.

----- Obs leg distance

5 7 3 19 4 19 0  
6 12 1 75 5 78 9  
7 12 1 81 5 78 9  
8 12 2 67 6 67 0  
9 12 3 51 7 49 4  
10 12 3 47 7 49 4  
11 19 2 135 8 135 0  
12 19 3 121 9 121 0

```
20647 + 663.8725; MSR = 21310.87 / 2 = 10655.43
F = \frac{MSR}{MSE} = \frac{10655.43}{78.2084} = \frac{(21996.1125 - 703.87576) / 2}{78.2084} = 136.2441 > F(0.01, 2, 9) = 8.02
(b) What is the value of  $R^2$ ?  $SST = 21310.87 + 703.87576 = 22014.75$   $R^2 = \frac{21310.87}{22014.75} = 0.9680$ .
(c) Do the following two hypothesis tests, each at the .05 level of significance:
i.  $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0$ 
 $F = \frac{20946 / 1}{78.2084} = 267.8228 > F(0.05, 1, 9) = 5.12$ 
ii.  $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \beta_2 \neq 0$ 
 $F = \frac{663.8725 / (10 - 9)}{78.2084} = 8.488504 > F(0.05, 1, 9) = 5.12$ 
(d) Obtain a 99
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-k-1} se(\hat{\beta}_1), se(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sqrt{MSE \cdot C_{22}}$ ;  $8.1556 \pm t(0.005, 9) \sqrt{8.84355 * 0.2483463917}$ 
 $8.1556 \pm 3.25 \times 4.407127; (-6.1653, 22.4765)$ 
(e) Give an unbiased estimate of the variance of  $\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2$ .
 $Var(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2) = Var(\hat{\beta}_1) + Var(\hat{\beta}_2) - Cov(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2) = 0.2483 + 10.7694 - 2(-0.49669) = 12.01108$ 
(f) Obtain MS(Pure Error). Hint: Pure Error can be found exactly the same way as we did for simple linear regression model. That is, group according to different combinations of levels from  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . First compute SS(Pure Error), and then divide it by degrees of freedom.
 $SS_{PE} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 = 28$ ;  $df_{PE} = n - m = 12 - 9 = 3$ ;  $MS_{PE} = 28 / 3$ 
(g) Perform a test for lack-of-fit at the .05 level of significance. Note: If you are unable to answer part (f), use MS(Pure Error) = 7.5. This is not the correct answer to (f), but if you use it in this part of the problem, you will receive full credit on this part, provided your answer is otherwise correct. [4.5]
 $SSE = SS_{LOF} + SS_{PE}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m n_i (y_{ij} - \hat{y}_i)^2$ 
 $H_0$ : There is no lack of fit, the model is appropriate;  $H_1$ : There is a lack of fit, the model is not appropriate;
 $SS_{LOF} = SSE - SS_{PE} = 703.87576 - 28 = 675.8758$ 
 $df_{LOF} = dfE - df_{PE} = m - 2 = 7$ 
 $F = \frac{SS_{LOF} / df_{LOF}}{MS_{PE}} = \frac{675.87576 / 7}{28 / 3} = 10.34504$ 
 $F(0.05, 6, 3) = 8.94$ . Reject  $H_0$  at the .05 level of significance.
```

in the same order in which they are displayed above)?  
First row: 1,  $X_{1i}$ ,  $X_{2i}$ ,  $X_{2i}^2$ , 1,  $X_{1i}$ ,  $X_{2i}$ ,  $X_{2i}^2$ , 1, 3.54, 17, 289, 1, 3.54, 17, 289  
Last row: 1,  $X_{1i}$ ,  $X_{2i}$ ,  $X_{2i}^2$ , 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 3.54, 17, 289, 0, 0, 0, 0  
(b) For each of the following objectives, give the appropriate null hypothesis.  
i. It is desired to know whether the slope coefficient on  $x_1$  is the same for both groups.  $\beta_5 = 0$   
ii. It is desired to know whether the entire regression models for the two groups are identical.  $\beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = \beta_7 = 0$   
iii. It is desired to know whether a quadratic term in  $x_2$  is needed by both groups.  $\beta_3 = \beta_7 = 0$   
iv. It is desired to know whether the slope coefficients on  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  for the first group are equal.  $\beta_1 + \beta_5 = \beta_2 + \beta_6$

1 170 162.50  
2 140 144.00  
3 180 147.50  
4 160 163.50  
5 170 127.00  
6 150 121.75  
7 170 162.00



Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  with the additional restrictions that  $\beta_1 = 1$  and  $\beta_2 = \beta_0/2$ . Find the least-squares estimators of  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ .  
Let

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - x_i - \frac{\beta_0}{2} x_i^2)^2$$

2017SD1

[Latin Square]

Given a educational material evaluation experiment where there are three possible blocking factors [R,C,G], each with six levels  $[R_{1..6}; C_{1..6}; G_{1..6}]$ :  
1. Write out the model equation of the Latin Square design if the blocking factors R and C are used, and G is disregarded.  
 $y_{ijk} = \mu + \tau_i + \alpha_j + \beta_k + \varepsilon_{ijk}$ ,  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6$ ; where  $\mu$  overall mean  
 $\tau_i$  is effect of  $i^{th}$  treatment;  $\alpha_j$  is effect of  $j^{th}$  block of factor R;  $\beta_k$  effect of  $k^{th}$  block of factor C;  
 $\varepsilon_{ijkl}$  is random error when  $i^{th}$  treatment is applied at  $j^{th}$  block of factor R and  $k^{th}$  block of factor C;  $y_{ijkl}$  is response ;  
Assumptions:  $\varepsilon_{ijk} \sim iidN(0, \sigma^2)$ . Further assumptions would be based on whether the treatment and blocking factors are random or fixed.  
2. Explain why all three blocking factors can not be used simultaneously without a modification  
The Latin-Square design can only use 2 blocking factors, as we distribute the levels of

2017SD2

[8.3 The One-Quarter Fraction of the 2k Design p.344] [7.7 table 7.9]

Given a Blocked  $2^{6-2}$  design with Factors [A,B,C,D,E,F],Generators E=ABC, F=BCD and Defining Contrasts AB, CD  
1. How many blocks are included in this design?  
4  
2. What is the Defining Relationship in this design?  
generating relations I=ABCE=BCDF=ADEF  
3. What is the Resolution of this Design?  
IV  
4. List the aliases of AE  
AE=BC=ABCDEF=DF  
5. Show the effect on two-way interactions that include A, if you augment by \*\*fold-

2017F

Robert Fountain\*, Daniel Taylor-Rodriguez

2017F1

2018S1 2019S3

A company has developed two specialized training workshops for their employees. Each of the 12 employees is randomly assigned to one of the two workshops. The company would like to develop a model that could be used to predict each employee's performance score (a number from 0 to 100) based on their attendance at the workshops. The previous year's performance score is also available for use as a predictor. The following table shows and ordered pair for each employee, consisting of the current performance score and the previous year's score.

(72,66)	(72,62)
WorkshopB (75,60)	(74,62) (72,60)(71,60) (73,61)(73,65)

2017F2

a) Explain the difference between fixed and random effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation.  
<https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/4700/what-is-the-difference-between-fixed-effect-random-effect-and-mixed-effect-mode>  
- Fixed effects are constant across individuals, and random effects vary. For example, in a growth study, a model with random intercepts ai and fixed slope b corresponds to parallel lines for different individuals i, or the model  $y_{it}=a_i+bt$ . Kreft and De Leeuw (1998) thus distinguish between fixed and random coefficients.  
- Effects are fixed if they are interesting in themselves or random if there is interest in the underlying population. Searle, Casella, and McCulloch (1992, Section 1.4) explore this distinction in depth.  
- "When a sample exhausts the population, the corresponding variable is fixed; when the sample is a small (i.e., negligible) part of the population the corresponding variable is random." (Green and Tukey, 1960)  
- "If an effect is assumed to be a realized value of a random variable, it is called a random effect." (LaMotte, 1983)  
- Fixed effects are estimated using least squares (or, more generally, maximum likelihood) and random effects are estimated with shrinkage ("linear unbiased prediction" in the terminology of Robinson, 1991). This definition is standard in the multilevel

2017F3

2018S3 2016S2

The multiple linear regression model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3} + \beta_4 x_{i4} + \beta_5 x_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$  was fit to a data set of 75 observations. The regression SS's (SSR) were partitioned sequentially into the following:  
 $SSR(X_1) = 108$   $SSR(\bar{X}_2|X_1) = 163$   $SSR(X_3|X_1 X_2) = 29$   $SSR(X_4|X_1 X_2 X_3) = 41$   $SSR(X_5|X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4) = 26$   
The model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_3 x_{i3} + \beta_5 x_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$  was also fit to the same data and the following ANOVA was calculated:  
Source SS Regression 214  
Residual Error 489

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_0} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - x_i - \frac{\hat{\beta}_0}{2} x_i^2) (1 + \frac{x_i^2}{2}) \stackrel{set}{=} 0$$
$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 + \frac{x_i^2}{2})}, \hat{\beta}_1 = 1, \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + \frac{x_i^2}{2})}$$

the treatment factor on a table with rows of one blocking factor (each row is fore one block) and columns of the other blocking factor (each column is one block)  
3. What is the modification required?  
You can test three blocking factors by turning the Latin-square design into a Graeco-Latin square design, which allows to add a Greek letter to each entry in the table, where each Greek letter stands for a block of the factor G.  
4. If the Relative Efficiency for the modified experiment was calculated to be 2.3, how many observations of heterogeneous experimental units in a CRD would be expected to obtain the same variance for the treatment mean as one replicate of the modified experiment.  
 $\frac{(df_{E(LS)}+1)(df_{E(CRD)}+3)}{(\overline{df_{E(LS)}+3})(\overline{df_{E(CRD)}+1})} = 2.3$   
 $df_{E(LS)} = (p-1)(p-2) = 20, df_{E(GS)} = (p-1)(p-3) = 15, df_{E(CRD)} = a(n-1)$

ing\*\* on A [8.5.2]  
I=-ABCE=BCDF=-ADEF  
AB=-CE=ACDF=-BDEF  
AC=-BE=ABDF=-CDEF  
AD=-BCDE=ABCF=-EF  
AE=-BC=ABCDEF=-DE  
AF=-BCEF=ABCD=-DE  
6. List the aliases of the defining contrasts [including the generalized interaction]?  
AB=CE=ACDF=BDEF  
CD=ABDE=BF=ACEF

a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above, allowing for different slopes and different intercepts for the two workshops. Hint: there are 12 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.  
b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.  
c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the two slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?

modeling literature (see, for example, Snijders and Bosker, 1999, Section 4.2) and in econometrics.  
b) Explain the difference between crossed and nested effects in an experimental design. Give an example to illustrate your explanation. Make sure to discuss which terms would be absent from the model, and the resulting effect on sums of squares and degrees of freedom in the ANOVA table.  
<https://www.theanalysisfactor.com/the-difference-between-crossed-and-nested-factors/>  
Two factors are crossed when every category of one factor co-occurs in the design with every category of the other factor. In other words, there is at least one observation in every combination of categories for the two factors.  
A factor is nested within another factor when each category of the first factor co-occurs with only one category of the other. In other words, an observation has to be within one category of Factor 2 in order to have a specific category of Factor 1. All combinations of categories are not represented.  
If two factors are crossed, you can calculate an interaction. If they are nested, you cannot because you do not have every combination of one factor along with every combination of the other.

Total 703  
Answer the following from the above information:  
(a) Calculate the F-statistic for testing the hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) that  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ , and  $X_5$  have no significant effect on the response Y.  
(b) Calculate  $R^2$  for the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_3 x_{i2} \varepsilon_i$   
(c) Calculate the  $R^2_{adj}$  for the model in part (b).  
(d) Calculate the F-statistic for testing  $H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_4 = 0$ .

2017F4

2019S1

Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i, i = 1, ..., n$  with the additional restrictions that  $\beta_0 = 1, \hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2 = 0$ . Find the least-squares estimators of the regression coefficients.  
Let  $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - 1 - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_1 x_i^2)^2$

2018S

Robert Fountain\*, Daniel Taylor-Rodriguez

2018S1

2019S3

A company has developed three specialized training workshops for their employees. Each of the 12 employees is randomly assigned to one of the three workshops. The company would like to develop a model that could be used to predict each employee's performance score (a number from 0 to 100) based on their attendance at the workshops. The previous year's performance score is also available for use as a predictor. The following table shows and ordered pair for each employee, consisting of the current performance score and the previous year's score.

WorkshopA (70,58)(70,62) (68,60)(72,65)

WorkshopB (75,60)(74,62) (72,60)(71,60)

WorkshopC (72,66)(72,62) (73,61)(73,65)

a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above, allowing for different slopes and different intercepts for the three workshops. Hint: there are 12 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.

Let  $w_{1i} = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq i \leq 4 \\ 1 & 5 \leq i \leq 8 \\ 0 & 9 \leq i \leq 12 \end{cases}, w_{2i} = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq i \leq 4 \\ 1 & 5 \leq i \leq 8 \\ 1 & 9 \leq i \leq 12 \end{cases}$

overall  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + w_{1i}(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x_i) + w_{2i}(\delta_0 + \delta_1 x_i) + \varepsilon_i$

WorkshopA:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4;$

WorkshopB:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \gamma_0 + (\beta_1 + \gamma_1) x_i + \varepsilon_i, 5 \leq i \leq 8;$

WorkshopC:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \delta_0 + (\beta_1 + \delta_1) x_i + \varepsilon_i, 9 \leq i \leq 12;$

b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ 70 \\ 68 \\ 75 \\ 75 \\ 74 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 73 \\ 73 \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 1} \begin{bmatrix} 58 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 62 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 60 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 65 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 60 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 62 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 60 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 60 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 66 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 62 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 61 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 65 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 6} \times \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \delta_0 \\ \delta_1 \end{bmatrix}_{6 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \\ \varepsilon_9 \\ \varepsilon_{10} \\ \varepsilon_{11} \\ \varepsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 1}$$

2018S2

2015S3 2019S2

A company that produces textiles is trying to determine if the final quality is determined by production site (A), machine operator (B), and thread type (C). The company operates 3 production sites, and all 3 will participate in the experiment. At each of the 3 sites, 5 machine operators will be randomly selected. The company uses two different types of thread. Each of the operators will produce 3 samples of cloth using each type of thread, yielding a total of  $3 \times 5 \times 2 \times 3 = 90$  observations.

Source SS df MS F pval<0.05

A	17.2	8.5	3.70	*
B	25.4	6.2	2.70	*
C	4.1	4.0	1.74	
AB	32.8	4.0	1.74	
AC	5.2	2.5	1.09	
BC	12.4	3.0	1.30	
ABC	12.8	1.5	0.65	
Error	138.60	2.3		
Total	245.89			

$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - 1 - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_1 x_i^2)(-x_i - x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\beta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1+x_i^2)(y_i-1)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i+x_i^2)^2}$

c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the three slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?

$H_0 : \gamma_1 = \delta_1 = 0, y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + w_{1i} \gamma_0 + w_{2i} \delta_0 + \varepsilon_i$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ 70 \\ 68 \\ 75 \\ 75 \\ 74 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 73 \\ 73 \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 1} \begin{bmatrix} 58 & 0 & 0 \\ 62 & 0 & 0 \\ 60 & 0 & 0 \\ 65 & 0 & 0 \\ 60 & 1 & 0 \\ 62 & 1 & 0 \\ 60 & 1 & 0 \\ 60 & 1 & 0 \\ 66 & 0 & 1 \\ 62 & 0 & 1 \\ 61 & 0 & 1 \\ 65 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 4} \times \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \delta_0 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \\ \varepsilon_9 \\ \varepsilon_{10} \\ \varepsilon_{11} \\ \varepsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}_{12 \times 1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \delta_0 \\ \delta_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}, Rank(T) = 2$$

$dfE_{Full} = n - (k + 1) = 12 - (5 + 1) = 6, dfE_{Reduced} = n - (k + 1) + r = 8$

$F = \frac{(SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full}) / (dfE_{Reduced} - dfE_{Full})}{SSE_{Full} / dfE_{Full}}, df_{nume} = 10 - 8 = 2, df_{deno} = 6$

a) State which effects are fixed at which effects are random.

b) State which effects are nested within others and which effects are crossed.

Site (A):  $\tau_i$  Fixed; Operator (B):  $\beta_{j(i)}$  Nested in A, Random; Thread Type (C):  $\gamma_k$  Crossed with B, Fixed; Replications: Random

Model:  $y_{ijkl} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_{j(i)} + \gamma_k + (\tau\gamma)_{ik} + (\beta\gamma)_{kj(i)} + \varepsilon_{(ijk)l}, i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, k = 1, 2, l = 1, 2, 3$

$\sum_{i=1}^a \tau_i = 0, \beta_{j(i)} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\beta}^2), (\gamma\beta)_{kj(i)} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\beta}^2), (\tau\gamma)_{ik} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2), \varepsilon_{(ijk)l} \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \sum_{k=1}^c \gamma_k = 0$

c) Create an abbreviated ANOVA table that has two columns: one column that lists the effects in the model (including the appropriate main effects, interactions, and nested effects), and a second column that gives the degrees of freedom for each item in the first column.

Source	SS	df	MS	F
A	17	2	8.5	8.5/4.75
B(A)	57	12	4.75	5.8/2.3
C	4	1	4.0	4.0/2.0
AC	5	2	2.5	2.5/2.0
CB(A)	24	12	2.0	2.0/2.3
Error	138	60	2.3	

term	i(f)	j(r)	k(f)	l(r)	df	EMS	F
$\tau_i f$	0	b	c	n	a-1	$\frac{bcn}{a-1} \sum_{i=1}^a \tau_i^2 + cn\sigma_{\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_A}{MS_{B(A)}}$
$\beta_{j(i)} r$	1	1	c	n	a(b-1)	$cn\sigma_{\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{B(A)}}{MS_E}$
$(\gamma)_k f$	a	b	0	n	c-1	$\frac{abn}{c-1} \sum_{k=1}^c \gamma_k^2 + bn\sigma_{\gamma}^2 + n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_C}{MS_{CB(A)}}$
$(\tau\gamma)_{ik} f$	0	b	0	n	(a-1)(c-1)	$\frac{bn}{(a-1)(c-1)} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{k=1}^c (\tau\gamma)_{ik}^2 + n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{AC}}{MS_{CB(A)}}$
$(\gamma\beta)_{kj(i)} r$	1	1	0	n	a(b-1)(c-1)	$n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{CB(A)}}{MS_E}$
$\varepsilon_{(ijk)l}$	1	1	1	1	abc(n-1)	$\sigma^2$	
Total					abcn-1		

2018S3

2017F3 2016S2



The multiple linear regression model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_{i1} + \beta_2X_{i2} + \beta_3X_{i3} + \beta_4X_{i4} + \beta_5X_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$  was fit to a data set of 75 observations. The regression SS's (SSR) were partitioned sequentially into the following:  
 $SSR(X_1) = 108$   $SSR(\bar{X}_2|X_1) = 163$   $SSR(X_3|X_1X_2) = 29$   $SSR(X_4|X_1X_2X_3) = 41$   $SSR(X_5|X_1X_2X_3X_4) = 26$   
The model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_{i1} + \beta_3X_{i3} + \beta_5X_{i5} + \varepsilon_i$  was also fit to the same data and the following ANOVA was calculated:

Source SS	Regression 214
Residual Error	489

2018S4  
2019S1

Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_i + \beta_2x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i, i = 1,..,n$  with the additional restrictions that  $\beta_1 = 0, \beta_0 = 2\beta_2$ . Find the least-squares estimators of  $\beta_0, \beta_1$ , and  $\beta_2$ .  
Let  $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1x_i - \beta_2x_i^2)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - 2\beta_2 - \beta_2x_i^2)^2$

2018F

Robert Fountain\*, Daniel Taylor-Rodriguez

2018F1

The weights ( $y_i$ , kilograms) and corresponding heights ( $x_i$ , centimeters) of 10 randomly sampled adolescents (i= 1,...,10) are recorded, and the following summary statistics are computed:  
 $\sum_{i=1}^{10} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = 472, \sum_{i=1}^{10} (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = 731, \sum_{i=1}^{10} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) = 274$   
You will perform a simple linear regression of weight on height, under the usual assumption of independent, identically distributed, normal errors.  
a) Compute the least squares estimates for the intercept and slope parameters.  
 $\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{274}{472} = 0.5805085;$   
 $\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1\bar{x} = \bar{y} - 0.5805085\bar{x}$   
b) Compute the usual unbiased estimate of the error variance.  
 $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{SSE}{n-2} = \frac{1}{8} (S_{yy} - \frac{S_{xy}^2}{S_{xx}}) = \frac{1}{8} (731 - \frac{274^2}{472}) = 71.49258$   
c) Compute unbiased estimates of the variances of the least squares estimates in part (a).

2018F2

[565-HW1]

City planners are evaluating the effectiveness of a new “intelligent” traffic control system in reducing the amount of time motorists must spend on city streets. A total of 24 simulations are run: 4 simulations for each of the 6 combinations of control system (old or new) and traffic intensity (light, moderate, or heavy). All simulations use different random seeds, the combinations are run in a completely random order, and the median travel time (minutes) is recorded for each simulation. For each combination, the following table gives the average and sample standard deviation of the median travel times from the 4 simulations assigned that combination:

	Old System	New System
Sample light	Moderate	Heavy
light	Moderate	Heavy
Mean	13	14
Standard Deviation	1.2	3.5
	2.5	2.5
	2	3
	5	8
	17	

a) Write a (univariate) linear model equation of the usual full form for data from this experiment, with median travel time as the response. Explain each term and specify any conditions it satisfies. What crucial assumption are you making about the error variances?

term	i(f)	j(f)	k(r)	df	SS	MS	EMS
A					$bn \sum^a (\bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{...})^2; \frac{\sum^a y_{i..}^2}{bn} - \frac{y_{...}^2}{abn}; \bar{y}_{1..} = 14; \bar{y}_{2..} = 12$		
$\tau_i$ f	0	b	n	a-1	$3 * 4 * [(14 - 12)^2 + (10 - 12)^2] = 96$	96	$\sigma^2 + \frac{b \sum \tau_i^2}{a-1}$
B					$an \sum^b (\bar{y}_{.j.} - \bar{y}_{...})^2; \frac{\sum^b y_{.j.}^2}{an} - \frac{y_{...}^2}{abn}; \bar{y}_{.1.} = 9; \bar{y}_{.2.} = 11; \bar{y}_{.3.} = 16$		
$\beta_j$ if	a	0	n	b-1	$2 * 4 * [(9 - 12)^2 + (11 - 12)^2 + (16 - 12)^2] = 208$	104	$\sigma^2 + \frac{a \sum \beta_j^2}{b-1}$
AB					$n \sum^a \sum^b (y_{ij.} - \bar{y}_{i..} - \bar{y}_{.j.} + \bar{y}_{...})^2; n \sum \sum y_{ij.}^2 - \frac{1}{abn} y_{...}^2 - SS_A - SS_B$		
$(\tau\beta)_{ij}$ f0	0	n	(a-1)(b-1)	4	$4 * [(13 - 14 - 9 + 12)^2 + 1^2 + (-3)^2 + (-2)^2 + (-1)^2 + 3^2]; 112$	56	$\sigma^2 + \frac{\sum \sum (\tau\beta)_{ij}}{(a-1)(b-1)}$
$E\varepsilon_{ijk}$ r	1	1	1	ab(n-1)	$SST - \sum SS; (n - 1) \sum^a \sum^b S_{ij.}^2; 126$	7	$\sigma^2$
Total				abn-1	$\bar{y}_{...} = 12; \sum \sum \sum (y_{ijk} - \bar{y}_{...})^2; \sum \sum \sum y_{ijk}^2 - \frac{y_{...}^2}{abn}; 542$		

```
bar_y... <- (13+14+15+5+8+17)/6; bar_y1.. <- (13+14+15)/3; bar_y2.. <- (5+8+17)/3; bar_y.y1. <- (13+5)/2; bar_y.y2. <- (14+8)/2; bar_y.y3. <- (15+5+8)/3; bar_y12. <- 13-14-9+12; bar_y12. <- 14-14-11+12; bar_y13. <- 15-14-16+12; bar_y21. <- 5-10-9+12; bar_y22. <- 8-10-11+12; bar_y23. <- 17-10-16+12; bar_y31. <- 13-14-9+12; bar_y32. <- 14-14-11+12; bar_y33. <- 15-14-16+12;
SS_a <- 3*4*((bar_y1..-bar_y...)^2+(bar_y2..-bar_y...)^2)
SS_b <- 2*4*((bar_y.y1.-bar_y...)^2+(bar_y.y2.-bar_y...)^2+(bar_y.y3.-bar_y...)^2)
SS_ab <- 4*((bar_y.y11.-2+bar_y.y12.-2+bar_y.y13.-2+bar_y.y21.-2+bar_y.y22.-2+bar_y.y23.-2)
SSE <- (4-1)*(1^2+2+2+3+5^2+2+2+2+2+2+3+5^2)
SS_a/1; SS_b/2; SS_ab/2; SSE/18; SS_a+SS_b+SS_ab+SSE

## [1] 96
## [1] 104
## [1] 56
## [1] 7
## [1] 542
```

c) Test whether your model in part (a) may be reduced to a model in which the effects of system and traffic intensity are purely additive. Remember to state the null and alternative hypotheses. Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .  
 $H_0 : (\tau\beta)_{ij} = 0 \forall i, j; F_{p,2,18} \frac{MS_{AB}}{MSE} = \frac{56}{7} = 8; F_{0.05,2,8} = 3.55$ . There is enough evidence to reject  $H_0$ . The model may not be reduced, as the interaction effects is significant at

Total 703  
Answer the following from the above information:  
(a) Calculate the F-statistic for testing the hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) that  $X_3, X_4$ , and  $X_5$  have no significant effect on the response  $Y$ .  
(b) Calculate  $R^2$  for the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_{i1} + \beta_2X_{i2}\varepsilon_i$   
(c) Calculate the  $R^2_{adj}$  for the model in part (b).  
(d) Calculate the F-statistic for testing  $H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_4 = 0$ .

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - 2\beta_2 - \beta_2x_i^2)(-2 - x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (2+x_i^2)y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (2+x_i^2)^2} \hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^n (2+x_i^2)y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (2+x_i^2)^2}$$

$Var(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{S_{xx}} = \frac{71.49258}{472} = 0.1514673$   
 $Var(\hat{\beta}_1) = \hat{\sigma}^2(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{x^2}{S_{xx}}) = 71.49258(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{x^2}{472})$   
d) Perform a two-sided test for whether or not height and weight are related (assuming the simple linear regression model holds). State the null and alternative hypotheses, and use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .  
 $H_0 : \hat{\beta}_1 = 0; H_1 : \hat{\beta}_1 \neq 0$   
 $t_0 = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - 0}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{\beta}_1)}} = \frac{0.5805085}{\sqrt{0.1514673}} = 1.491589 < t_{\frac{0.05}{2}, n-2} = 2.31$   
Fail to reject  $H_0$  at 0.05 level of significance.  
e) Compute 95  
 $\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{\frac{0.05}{k+1}, n-2} se(\hat{\beta}_1) = 0.5805085 \pm 2.31 \sqrt{0.1514673}, (-0.3185158, 1.479533)$

$y_{ijkl} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_j + (\tau\beta)_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}, i = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, 3; k = 1, 2, 3, 4; l = 1, 2, [a = 2, b = 3, n = 4]$  where  $\mu$  overall mean  
 $\tau_i$  is fixed main effect of  $i^{th}$  level of Factor A;  $\beta_j$  is fixed main effect of  $j^{th}$  level of Factor B;  
 $(\tau\beta)_{ij}$  is fixed interaction effect of  $i^{th}$  level of Factor A and  $j^{th}$  level of Factor B;  
 $\varepsilon_{ijkl}$  is random error for the  $k^{th}$  replicate EU when  $i^{th}$  level of Factor A and  $j^{th}$  level of Factor B are applied;  $y_{ijkl}$  is response for the;  
Assumptions:  $\varepsilon_{ijk} \sim iidN(0, \sigma^2)$  (constant variance, zero mean, independent);  
 $\sum_i^2 \tau_i = 0; \sum_j^3 \beta_j = 0; \sum_i^2 (\tau\beta)_{ij} = 0; \sum_j^3 (\tau\beta)_{ij} = 0$   
b) Produce an ANOVA table with all appropriate sources of variation, including the (corrected) total. Include sums of squares, degrees of freedom, and appropriate mean squares.

Consider the linear mixed model  $y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}, i = 1, \dots, a, j = 1, \dots, b, k = 1, \dots, n, \sum_{i=1}^a \alpha_i = 0, \beta_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_\beta^2), \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$  with all  $\beta_{ij}$ 's and  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ 's independent, where  $a \geq 2, b \geq 2$ , and  $n \geq 2$ . The parameters  $\mu, \alpha_i, \sigma_\beta^2$ , and  $\sigma_\varepsilon^2$  are assumed to be unknown. Adopt the following notation:

$\bar{y}_{ij.} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_{ijk}, \bar{y}_{i..} = \frac{1}{bn} \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^n y_{ijk}, \bar{y}_{..} = \frac{1}{abn} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^n y_{ijk}$

$Cor(y_{111}, y_{112}) = \frac{Cov(y_{111}, y_{112})}{se(y_{111})se(y_{112})} = \frac{MSE.C_{12}}{\sqrt{MSE.C_{11}MSE.C_{12}}}$

a) In terms of the parameters, find the correlations between (i)  $y_{111}$  and  $y_{112}$ , (ii)  $y_{111}$  and  $y_{121}$ , and (iii)  $y_{111}$  and  $y_{211}$ .

$Cov(y_{111}, y_{112}) = Cov(\beta_{11} + \varepsilon_{111}, \beta_{11} + \varepsilon_{112}) = Var(\beta_{11}) + Cov(\varepsilon_{111}, \varepsilon_{112}) = \sigma_\beta^2$

$Var(y_{111}) = \sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 = Var(y_{112}); Cor(y_{111}, y_{112}) = \frac{\sigma_\beta^2}{\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2}$

$Cov(y_{111}, y_{121}) = Cov(\beta_{11} + \varepsilon_{111}, \beta_{12} + \varepsilon_{121}) = Cov(\beta_{11}, \beta_{12}) + Cov(\varepsilon_{111}, \varepsilon_{121}) = 0$

$Cov(y_{111}, y_{211}) = Cov(\beta_{11} + \varepsilon_{111}, \beta_{21} + \varepsilon_{211}) = Cov(\beta_{11}, \beta_{21}) + Cov(\varepsilon_{111}, \varepsilon_{211}) = 0$

$Cor(y_{111}, y_{121}) = Cor(y_{111}, y_{211}) = 0$

b) For any given value of  $i$ , specify the \*\*joint\*\* distribution of  $\bar{y}_{i1.}, \dots, \bar{y}_{ib.}$  [3.4.3]

$\bar{y}_{ij.}$  is a linear combination of  $\mu, \alpha_i, \beta_{ij}, \varepsilon_{ijk}$

**\*\*A linear combination of normal distributed random variables and constants are normal distributed.\*\***

$E[\bar{y}_{ij.}] = E[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_{ijk}] = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n}{n} E[\mu + \alpha_i + \beta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}] = \mu + \alpha_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, a; j = 1, \dots, b$

$Var[\bar{y}_{ij.}] = Var[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_{ijk}] = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n}{n^2} Var[\mu + \alpha_i + \beta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}] = \frac{1}{n} (\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2), \forall i = 1, \dots, a; j = 1, \dots, b$

$f(\bar{y}_{i1.}, \dots, \bar{y}_{ib.}) = \prod_{j=1}^b f(\bar{y}_{ij.}) = (2\pi)^{\frac{b}{2}} \frac{\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2}{n}^{-\frac{b}{2}} \exp[\frac{-n}{2(\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2)} \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{y}_{ij.} - \mu - \alpha_i)^2]$

c) In terms of the data, write a formula for the usual unbiased estimator of  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ . What is the exact distribution of this estimator?

e) In terms of the data, write a formula for the usual unbiased (ANOVA) estimate of  $\sigma_\beta^2$ . (Define all new notation, if you use any)

term	i(f)	j(r)	k(r)	df	EMS	F
$\alpha_i$ f	0	b	n	a-1	$\frac{bn}{a-1} \sum_{i=1}^a \alpha_i + n\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2$	$\frac{MS_A}{MS_{AB}}$
$\beta_{ij}$ r	0	1	n	a(b-1)	$n\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2$	$\frac{MS_{AB}}{MS_E}$
$\varepsilon_{ijk}$ r	1	1	1	ab(n-1)	$\sigma_\varepsilon^2$	
Total				abn-1		

$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{MS_{AB}-MS_E}{n}; E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = \frac{1}{n}(n\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 - \sigma_\varepsilon^2) = \sigma_\beta^2$

2018F4

Consider a \*\*randomized complete block design\*\* with 12 blocks and a single treatment factor having 3 levels. Let  $Y_{ij}$  denote the response measured for an experimental unit in block  $j$  that receives treatment  $i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $j = 1, \dots, 12$ . Suppose there is also a covariate whose value  $X_{ij}$  is measured for each experimental unit. The following four models are fit to the data (using least squares), with the resulting residual (error) sums of squares as specified:

Let  $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^n (y_{ijk} - \mu - \alpha_i - \beta_{ij})^2$

$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \alpha_i} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^n (y_{ijk} - \mu - \alpha_i - \beta_{ij})(-1) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\alpha}_i = \frac{1}{bn} \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^n y_{ijk} - \mu - \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^b \beta_{ij} = \bar{y}_{i..} - \mu$

$\hat{\alpha}_1 - \hat{\alpha}_2 = \bar{y}_{1..} - \mu - (\bar{y}_{2..} - \mu) = \frac{1}{bn} \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^n (y_{1jk} - y_{2jk}) = \frac{1}{bn} \sum_{j=1}^b (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \beta_{1j} - \beta_{2j} + \varepsilon_{1jk} - \varepsilon_{2jk}) = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \bar{\beta}_{1.} - \bar{\beta}_{2.} + \bar{\varepsilon}_{1.} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{2.}$

$E[\hat{\alpha}_1 - \hat{\alpha}_2] = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$

$Var[\hat{\alpha}_1 - \hat{\alpha}_2] = Var[\bar{\beta}_{1.} - \bar{\beta}_{2.} + \bar{\varepsilon}_{1.} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{2.}] = Var[\bar{\beta}_{1.} - \bar{\beta}_{2.} + \bar{\varepsilon}_{1.} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{2.}] = Var[\bar{\beta}_{1.}] - 2Cov(\bar{\beta}_{1.}, \bar{\beta}_{2.}) + Var[\bar{\varepsilon}_{1.}] + Var[\bar{\varepsilon}_{2.}] = \frac{2}{b} \sigma_\beta^2 + \frac{2}{bn} \sigma_\varepsilon^2$

$\hat{\alpha}_1 - \hat{\alpha}_2$  is a combination of normal distributed r.v.  $\sim N(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \frac{2}{b} \sigma_\beta^2 + \frac{2}{bn} \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$

d) Show that  $E[\sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{y}_{ij.} - \bar{y}_{i..})^2] = a(b-1)(\sigma_\beta^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$  Justify all important steps. (Hint: Your answer to part (b) might be useful.)

$\bar{y}_{ij.} - \bar{y}_{i..} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij.} - (\mu + \alpha_i + \bar{\beta}_{i.} + \bar{\varepsilon}_{i..}) = \beta_{ij} - \bar{\beta}_{i.} + \varepsilon_{ij.} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i..}$

$E[\bar{y}_{ij.} - \bar{y}_{i..}] = E[\beta_{ij} - \bar{\beta}_{i.} + \varepsilon_{ij.} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i..}] = 0$

$Cov(\beta_{ij}, \bar{\beta}_{i.}) = \frac{1}{b} Cov(\beta_{ij}, \sum_{j=1}^b \beta_{ij}) = \frac{1}{b} [1 \cdot \sigma_\beta^2 + (b-1) \cdot 0]$

$Cov(\bar{\varepsilon}_{ij.}, \bar{\varepsilon}_{i..}) = Cov(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_{ijk}, \frac{1}{bn} \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_{ijk}) = \frac{1}{b} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n}{n^2} Cov(\varepsilon_{ijk}, \sum_{j=1}^b \varepsilon_{ijk}) = \frac{1}{bn} \sigma_\varepsilon^2$

$Var[\bar{y}_{ij.} - \bar{y}_{i..}] = Var[\beta_{ij} - \bar{\beta}_{i.} + \varepsilon_{ij.} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i..}] = Var[\beta_{ij} - \bar{\beta}_{i.}] + Var[\varepsilon_{ij.} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{i..}] = Var[\beta_{ij}] + Var[\bar{\beta}_{i.}] - 2Cov(\beta_{ij}, \bar{\beta}_{i.}) + Var[\varepsilon_{ij.}] + Var[\bar{\varepsilon}_{i..}] - 2Cov(\varepsilon_{ij.}, \bar{\varepsilon}_{i..}) = \sigma_\beta^2 + \frac{1}{b} \sigma_\beta^2 - \frac{2}{b} \sigma_\beta^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{bn} \sigma_\varepsilon^2 - \frac{2}{bn} \sigma_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{b-1}{b} (\sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$

$E[\sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{y}_{ij.} - \bar{y}_{i..})^2] = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b (Var[\bar{y}_{ij.} - \bar{y}_{i..}] + E[\bar{y}_{ij.} - \bar{y}_{i..}]^2)$

$\sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b [\frac{b-1}{b} (\sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sigma_\varepsilon^2) + 0] = a(b-1)(\sigma_\beta^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$

Model 1:  $Y_{ij} = \mu + \gamma_j + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad SS(Res) = 660$ ; Model 2:  $Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \gamma_j + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad SS(Res) = 550$ ; Model 3:  $Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \gamma_j + \beta x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad SS(Res) = 300$ ; Model 4:  $Y_{ij} = \mu + \gamma_j + \beta x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad SS(Res) = 420$

The treatment effects are  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)'$  and the block effects are  $\alpha = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{12})'$ . The corrected total sum of squares is 820.

	$SS_F$	$-\alpha$	$SS_{\beta\gamma}$	$-\beta$	$SS_{\alpha\gamma}$	$-\alpha - \beta$	$SS_\gamma$
R	360(2)	-120	240	-240	120	-360(2)	0
B	160(11)	0	160	-10	150	0	160
E	300(22)	+120	420	+250	550	+360(2)	660
T	820(35)						

a) Find the sequential sums of squares for  $\gamma_j, \alpha_i$ , and  $\beta$ , in that order.

$SS_\gamma = 160, SS_\alpha = 120$ , and  $SS_\beta = 240$

b) Form an ANOVA table for the randomized complete block design without the covariate  $X_{ij}$ , that is, based on Model 2. The table should include all appropriate sources of variation (including the corrected total), with degrees of freedom, sums of squares, and mean squares where appropriate. Then test whether or not there is any treatment effect based on this model. Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

$\frac{SS}{DF} \text{ MS } F \text{ P} - - - - - R \text{ } 110 \text{ } 2 \text{ } 55 \text{ } 2.2 > .05$

$\frac{B}{160 \text{ } 11} \frac{14.545 \text{ } 0.5818 > .05}$

$\frac{E}{300 \text{ } 22} \frac{13.636 > .05}$

$\frac{T}{820 \text{ } 35}$

$F_{0.05, 2, 22} = 3.44$

c) Test whether there is any treatment effect, after accounting for both blocking and the covariate. Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

$\frac{SS}{DF} \text{ MS } F \text{ P} - - - - - R \text{ } 110 \text{ } 2 \text{ } 55 \text{ } 4.0333 < .05$

$\frac{B}{160 \text{ } 11} \frac{14.545 \text{ } 1.0667 > .05}$

$\frac{E}{300 \text{ } 22} \frac{13.636 > .05}$

d) Suppose the (possibly incorrect) model  $Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$  is fit to the data. Compute the residual sum of squares for this model.

$SSE_\alpha = 820 - (420 - 300) = 700$

2019S

Robert Fountain\*, Daniel Taylor-Rodriguez

2019S1

2018S4

Assume the model  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \varepsilon_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , with the restriction that  $\beta_0 = 0$ . Find the least-squares estimators of the regression coefficients.

Let  $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)^2$

$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_1} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)(-x_i) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i - \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$

$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_2} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_1 x_i - \beta_2 x_i^2)(-x_i^2) \stackrel{set}{=} 0; \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i = \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i - \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 + \hat{\beta}_2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4$

2019S2

2015S3 2018S2[566-HW2-1] [566-HW5-2]

$\hat{\beta}_2 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$

$\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3)^2}$

$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3]}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^4 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3)^2]}$



A manufacturer wishes to know if operator (A), material (B), and heat (C) affect the outcome of the product being produced. All of the machines being used operate at the same four heat settings. Five operators are randomly chosen. There are fifteen varieties of material that can be used, and three of these are assigned to each of the five operators. For each operator, that means that there are 12 combinations of heat and material that can be used. The operator produces two replications of each of these, for a total of  $5 \times 3 \times 4 \times 2 = 120$  observations. Incorrectly treating all main effects as fixed and all combinations of factors as crossed, the statistician produces the ANOVA table shown below.

A	34	4	8.5	3.70 *
B	12	2	6.0	2.61
C	24	3	8.0	3.48 *
AB	32	8	4.0	1.74
AC	30	12	2.5	1.09
BC	18	6	3.0	1.30

Source SS df MS F pval<0.05

Source	SS	df	MS	F
A	34	4	8.5	8.5/4.4
B+AB	44	10	4.4	4.4/2.3
C	24	3	8.0	8/2.5
AC	30	12	2.5	2.5/1.8
BC+ABC	54	30	1.8	1.8/2.3
Error	138	60	2.3	

term	i(r)	j(r)	k(f)	l(r)	df	EMS	F
$\tau_i \mathbf{r}$	1	b	c	n	a-1	$bcn\sigma_\gamma^2 + cn\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_A}{MS_{B(A)}}$
$\beta_{j(i)} \mathbf{r}$	1	1	c	n	a(b-1)	$cn\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{B(A)}}{MS_E}$
$(\gamma)_k \mathbf{f}$	a	b	0	n	(c-1)	$\frac{abn}{c-1} \sum_{k=1}^c \gamma_k^2 + bn\sigma_\gamma^2 + n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_E}{MS_C}$
$(\tau\gamma)_{ik} \mathbf{r}$	1	b	0	n	a(c-1)	$bn\sigma_\gamma^2 + n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{(AC)}}{MS_{(AC)}}$
$(\gamma\beta)_{kj(i)} \mathbf{r}$	1	1	0	n	ab(c-1)	$n\sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2 + \sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_{BC(A)}}{MS_{BC(A)}}$
$\varepsilon_{(ijk)l}$	1	1	1	1	abc(n-1)	$\sigma^2$	$\frac{MS_E}{MS_E}$
Total					abcn-1		

2019S3

2015S2

A company has developed two possible manufacturing processes. They will produce 5 items with each process, in a completely random order. Then they will measure the quality (Y) of each item on a 100-point scale. They suspect that the relative humidity during production might affect the outcome, so they also record it (X). They would like to develop a model that could be used to predict the outcome quality based on the process, with the relative humidity as a covariate. The following table shows and ordered pair for each item, consisting of the quality score and the humidity measurement.

Process A (70,38)(70,55)(68,40)(72,45)(72,36)

Process B (75,30)(74,42)(72,30)(71,30)(73,41)

a) Write an appropriate model for the situation described above, allowing for different slopes and different intercepts for the two processes. Hint: there are 10 observations, and you will need to use at least one indicator variable.

Process A:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$ ; Process B:  $y_i = \beta_0 + \gamma_0 + (\beta_1 + \gamma_1) x_i + \varepsilon_i$ ; Let  $w_i = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq i \leq 5 \\ 1 & 6 \leq i \leq 10 \end{cases}$ , overall  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + w_i \gamma_0 + w_i \gamma_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$

b) Write the matrix form of the appropriate model. Show the contents and dimensions of all matrices.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ 70 \\ 68 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 75 \\ 74 \\ 72 \\ 71 \\ 73 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 38 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 55 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 40 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 45 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 36 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 & 30 \\ 1 & 42 & 1 & 42 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 & 30 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 & 30 \\ 1 & 41 & 1 & 41 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 4} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \\ \varepsilon_9 \\ \varepsilon_{10} \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 1}$$

ABC 36 24 1.5 0.65

Error 138 60 2.3

Total 324 119

Produce the corrected ANOVA table, including the expected mean squares and the correct F statistics. If an exact F test is not available, construct an approximate F statistic (for which you need not compute the degrees of freedom).

Operator (A): Random; Material (B): Nested in A, Random; Heat (C): Crossed with B, Fixed

Replications: Random

Model:  $y_{ijkl} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_{j(i)} + \gamma_k + (\tau\gamma)_{ik} + (\beta\gamma)_{kj(i)} + \varepsilon_{(ijk)l}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,  $l = 1, 2$

$\tau_i \sim N(0, \sigma_\tau^2)$ ,  $\beta_{j(i)} \sim N(0, \sigma_\beta^2)$ ,  $(\gamma\beta)_{kj(i)} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\gamma\beta}^2)$ ,  $(\tau\gamma)_{ik} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2)$ ,  $\varepsilon_{(ijk)l} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^c \gamma_k = 0$

$N(0, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^c \gamma_k = 0$

c) Suppose that you wish to test for equality of the two slopes. Write the matrix form of the reduced model. What will be the numerator and denominator degrees of freedom for the additional sum of squares F test?

$H_0 : \gamma_1 = 0$ ,  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + w_i \gamma_0 + \varepsilon_i$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 70 \\ 70 \\ 68 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 75 \\ 74 \\ 72 \\ 71 \\ 73 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 38 & 0 \\ 1 & 55 & 0 \\ 1 & 40 & 0 \\ 1 & 45 & 0 \\ 1 & 36 & 0 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 \\ 1 & 42 & 1 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 \\ 1 & 30 & 1 \\ 1 & 41 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 3} \times \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 1} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_5 \\ \varepsilon_6 \\ \varepsilon_7 \\ \varepsilon_8 \\ \varepsilon_9 \\ \varepsilon_{10} \end{bmatrix}_{10 \times 1}$$
$$[0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1]_{10 \times 4} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}_{4 \times 1} = 0, r = 1$$
$$dfE_{Full} = n - (k + 1) = 10 - (3 + 1) = 6, dfE_{Reduced} = n - (k + 1) + r = 7$$
$$F = \frac{(SSE_{Reduced} - SSE_{Full}) / (dfE_{Reduced} - dfE_{Full})}{SSE_{Full} / dfE_{Full}}, df_{num} = 7 - 6 = 1, df_{deno} = 6$$