

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

A Documentary History of the Nock Combinator Calculus

N. E. Davis ~lagrev-nocfep
Zorp Corp

Abstract

Nock is a family of computational languages derived from the `SKI` combinator calculus. It serves as the ISA specification layer for the Urbit and NockApp systems. This article outlines the extant historical versions of the Nock combinator calculus and reconstructs the motivation for the changes made at each kelvin decrement. It begins with an exposition of Nock as a tool of computation, outlines the history of Nock’s decrements, and speculates on motivations for possible future developments.

Contents

1	Introduction	154
2	Nock as a Combinator Calculus	154
3	Nock’s Decrement	158
3.1	U	159
3.2	Nock 13K	166
3.3	Nock 12K	168
3.4	Nock 11K	170
3.5	Nock 10K	172

25	3.6	Nock 9K	174
26	3.7	Nock 8K	176
27	3.8	Nock 7K	178
28	3.9	Nock 6K	179
29	3.10	Nock 5K	181
30	3.11	Nock 4K	182
31	4	The Future of Nock	184
32	5	Conclusion	185

1 Introduction

Nock is a combinator calculus which serves as the computational specification layer for the Urbit and Nockchain/Nock-App systems. It is a hyper-RISC instruction set architecture (ISA) intended for execution by a virtual machine (but see **Mopfel2025** (**Mopfel2025**), pp. XX–XX herein). Nock’s simplicity and unity of expression make it amenable to proof-based reasoning and guarantees of correctness. Its Lisp-like nature surfaces the ability to introspect on the code itself, a property which higher-level languages compiling to it can exploit. Yet for all this, Nock was not born from a purely mathematical approach, but found its roots in practical systems engineering.

Nock permits itself a finite number of specification changes, called “decrements” or “kelvins”, which allow it to converge on a balance of expressiveness and efficacy. This article outlines the extant historical versions of the Nock combinator calculus and reconstructs the motivation for the changes made at each kelvin decrement. It begins with an exposition of Nock as a tool of computation, outlines the history of Nock’s decrements, and speculates on motivations for possible future developments.

2 Nock as a Combinator Calculus

Fundamental computer science research has identified a family of universal computers which may be instantiated in a variety of ways, such as the Turing machine, the lambda calculus,

and the combinator calculus. Equivalence theorems such as the Church–Turing thesis show that these systems are equivalent in their computational power, and that they can be used to compute any computable function. The combinator calculus is a family of systems which use a small set of combinators to express computation. The most well-known member of this family is the `SKI` combinator calculus, which uses only three combinators: `S`, `K`, and `I`. Other members of this family include the `BCKW` combinator calculus and the `H` combinator calculus. These systems are all equivalent in their computational power, but they differ in their syntax and semantics. The Nock combinator calculus is an extension of the `SKI` combinator calculus which adds a few axiomatic rules to navigate and manipulate binary trees, carry out a very primitive arithmetic, and provide for side effects.

Perhaps better put, Nock is a family of combinator calculi that sequentially converge on an “optimal” expressiveness for certain design desiderata. This includes an economy of expression (thus several macro opcodes) and consideration of how a higher-level language would invoke stored procedural expressions. Furthermore an opcode exists which produces and then ignores a computation, intended to signal to a runtime layer that a side effect may be desired by the caller.¹

Nock bears the following characteristics:

- Turing-complete. Put formally, Turing completeness (and thus the ability to evaluate anything we would call a computation) is exemplified by the μ -recursive functions. In practice, these amount to operations for constant, increment, variable access, program concatenation, and looping (Reitzig, 2012). Nock supports these directly through its primitive opcodes.
- Functional (as in language). Nock is a pure function of its arguments. In practice, the Urbit operating system provides a simulated global scope for userspace applications, but this virtualized environment reduces to

¹An able if dated document from January 2010, `5-whynock.txt`, further expounds desiderata for Nock in the context of Urbit as operating function.

garden-variety Nock. (See **Davis2025b (Davis2025b)**, pp. XX–XX in this volume, for details of a Nock virtualized interpreter.)

- Subject-oriented. Nock evaluation consists of a formula as a noun to be evaluated against a subject as a noun. Taken together, these constitute the entire set of inputs to a pure function.

Some Nock opcodes alter the subject (for instance a variable declaration) by producing a new subject which is utilized for subsequent axis lookups.

- Homoiconic. Nock unifies code and data under a single representation. A Nock atom is a natural number, and a Nock cell is a pair of nouns. Every Nock noun is acyclic, and every Nock expression is a binary tree. For example, Nock expressions intended to be evaluated as code are often pinned as data by the constant opcode until they are retrieved by evaluating the constant opcode at that axis.

- Untyped. Nock is untyped, meaning that it does not impose any type system on the expressions it evaluates. Nock “knows” about the natural numbers in two senses: such are used for addressing axes in the binary tree of a noun, and such are manipulated and compared using the increment and equality opcodes.

- Solid-state. A Nock interpreter is a solid-state machine, meaning that it operates from a state to a new state strictly according to inputs as a pure lifecycle function. The Nock interpreter must commit the results of a successful computation as the new state before subsequent computations, or events, can be evaluated. Transient evaluations (uncompleted events) and crashes (invalid evaluations) may be lost without consequence, and the Nock interpreter layer persists the underlying state of the machine.

We have asserted without demonstration thus far that Nock is a combinator calculus. We now show that this is the case,

128 with reference to Nock 4K, the latest specification. The sim-
129 plest combinator calculus consists of only three combinators:
130 s, κ , and ι (Wolfram, 2021). These combinators are:

- 131 1. s substitution. $xyz = xz(yz)$, returns the first argu-
132 ment applied to the third, then applies this to the re-
133 sult of the second argument applied to the third. This
134 corresponds to Nock 4K’s opcode 2, which substitutes
135 the second argument into the first argument at the third
136 argument’s axis. (There are some subtle differences to
137 Nock’s expression of s as opcode 2 that we will elide as
138 being fundamentally similar, but perhaps worthy of its
139 own monograph.)
- 140 2. κ constant. $\kappa xy = x$, consumes its argument and returns
141 a constant in all cases. This corresponds to Nock 4K’s
142 opcode 1, which yields its argument as a constant noun.
- 143 3. ι identity. $\iota x = x$, returns its argument. This corre-
144 sponds to a special case of Nock 4K’s opcode 0, a gener-
145 alized axis lookup operator, which can trivially retrieve
146 the current subject or expression as well as any children.

147 While Nock introduces a few more primitive operations as a
148 practicality, the above identities establish its bona fides as a
149 combinator calculus capable of general computation. Similar
150 to Haskell Curry’s BCKW system, which can be written in forms
151 isomorphic to SKI , Nock provides a set of primitive rules and
152 a set of economic extended rules for convenience in writing a
153 compiler.²

154 In an early document, Yarvin explained two of his design
155 criteria in producing Nock as a practical ISA target (*~sorreg-*
156 *namtyv*, 2010):

- 157 1. Natural conversion of source to code without other in-
158 puts.

²See Galebach2025 (Galebach2025), pp. 1–45 in this volume, for exposi-
tion on how to evaluate a Nock expression by hand or by interpreter.

2. Metacircularity without deep stacks; i.e., the ability to extend Nock semantics without altering the underlying substrate.

This latter idea he particularly connected to the concept of what came to be called a “scry namespace”: “dereferencing Urbit paths is as natural (and stateless) a function as increment or equals” (ibid.). Indeed, Urbit’s current userspace utilizes such an affordance to replicate a global scope environment for accessing system and remote resources. (See **Davis2025b** (**Davis2025b**), pp. XX–XX in this volume, for a discussion of the Nock virtualized interpreter.)

3 Nock's Decrements

The Nock family survives in a trail of breadcrumbs, with each version of the specification being a decrement of the previous version.³ Early versions were produced exclusively by Curtis Yarvin, eventually involving the input of other developers after the 2013 founding of Tlon Corporation. In this section, we present each extant version of the Nock specification and comment on the changes and their motivations. Only the layouts have been changed for print. Dates for Nock specifications were derived from dated public posts (U, 9K), internal dating (13K, 12K, 11K, 10K), or from Git commit history data (8K, 7K, 6K, 5K).⁴ No version of 14K survives publicly, nor does any primordial version prior to U (15K) appear to exist.

Yarvin’s background as a systems engineer with systems like Xaos Tools (for SGI Irix), Geoworks (on DoCoMo’s iMode), and Unwired Planet (on the Wireless Application Protocol, WAP) inclined him towards a formal break with Unix-era computing (~sorreg-namtyv, 2025). He sought to produce a system enabling server-like behavior rather than a network of clients dependent on centralized servers for a functional Internet. This

³This system, called “kelvin decrementing”, draws on analogy with absolute zero as the lowest possible temperature—and thus most stable state.

⁴In at least one case (7K), Yarvin claims to have finished the proposal a month earlier but to not have posted it until this date.

required a deep first-principles rederivation of computing; the foundational layer was a combinator calculus which became Nock. Nock was intended from the beginning to become less provisional over time, encoding a kelvin decrement which forced the specification to converge on a sufficiently good set of op-codes. Many downstream consequences of Urbit and NockApp as systems derive directly from the affordances encoded into Nock.

3.1 U

I have not really worked with combinator models, but my general impression is that it takes essentially an infinite amount of syntactic sugar to turn them into a programming language. U certainly takes some sweetener, but not, I think, as much. (~sorreg-namtyv⁵, 2006)

The earliest extant Nock is U, a proto-Nock posted to the *Lambda the Ultimate* blog in 2006 (~sorreg-namtyv (2006); ~sorreg-namtyv (2006)).⁶ The draft is versioned 0.15; subsequent evidence indicates that this is a downward-counting kelvin-versioned document already. The full specification is reproduced in Listing 1.

Extensive commentary on the operators is provided. Rightwards grouping of tuple expressions has already been introduced. Extension of the language is summarily ruled out.⁷ Data are conceived of as Unix-like byte streams; details of parsing and lexing are considered. Terms (the ancestor of nouns) include a NULL-like “foo” type ~ distinguishable by value rather than structure. ASCII is built in as numeric codes, similar to Gödel numbering.

As commenter Mario B. pointed out, the U specification permits SKI operators with the simple expressions,

⁵*Avant la lettre.*

⁶Curtis Yarvin was consulted for elements of this history. Unfortunately many elements of the original prehistory of Nock appear to be lost to the sands of time on unrecoverable hard drives.

⁷Compare Ax and Conk, pp. XX–XX herein.

221	[name]	[pattern]	[definition]
222	(I)	(I \$a)	\$a
223	(K)	(K \$a \$b)	\$b
224	(S)	(S \$a \$b \$c)	(\$a \$c (\$b \$c))

225 While early work (1940s–50s) had been carried out on
226 “minimal instruction set computers” (MISCs), it is more likely
227 that Yarvin was influenced by contemporaneous work on “re-
228 duced instruction set computers” (RISCs) in the 1980s and
229 90s. Language proposals like that of Madore’s Unlambda and
230 Burger’s Pico Lisp may have influenced Yarvin’s design choices
231 throughout this era.

232 The U specification is in some ways the single most inter-
233 esting historical document of our series. Yarvin particularly
234 identified a desire to avoid baking abstractions like variables
235 and functions into the U cake, and an emphasis on client–server
236 semantics. The scry namespace appears *avant la lettre* as a ref-
237 erentially transparent immutable distributed namespace. U ex-
238 presses a very ambitious hyper-Turing operator, acknowledg-
239 ing that its own instantiation from the specification is impos-
240 sible and approximate. Yarvin grapples in U with the halting
241 problem (via his follow operator) and with the tension between
242 a specification and an implementation (a gulf he highlighted as
243 a human problem in his 2025 LambdaConf keynote address).
244 Furthermore, asides on issues like the memory arena prefigure
245 implementation details of Vere as a runtime.

Listing 1: U, 31 January 2006. The earliest extant patriarch of
the Nock family.

```
246 U: Definition
247
248
249 1 Purpose
250   This document defines the U function and its data
251   model.
252
253 2 License
254   U is in the public domain.
255
256 3 Status
257   This text is a DRAFT (version 0.15).
258
```


4 Data

A value in U is called a "term." There are three kinds of term: "number," "pair," and "foo."

A number is any natural number (ie, nonnegative integer).

A pair is an ordered pair of any two terms.

There is only one foo.

5 Syntax

U is a computational model, not a programming language.

But a trivial ASCII syntax for terms is useful.

5.1 Trivial syntax: briefly

Numbers are in decimal. Pairs are in parentheses that nest to the right. Foo is "~".

Whitespace is space or newline. Line comments use "#".

5.2 Trivial syntax: exactly

```
term      : number
           | 40 ?white pair ?white 41
           | foo

number    : 48
           | [49-57] *[48-57]

pair      : term white term
           | term white pair

foo       : 126

white     : *(32 | 10 | (35 *[32-126] 10))
```

6 Semantics

U is a pure function from term to term.

301 This document completely defines U. There is no
 302 compatible way to extend or revise U.

303

304 6.1 Rules

305	[name]	[pattern]	[definition]
306			
307	(a)	(\$a 0 \$b)	\$b
308	(b)	(\$a 1 \$b \$c)	1
309	(c)	(\$a 1 \$b)	0
310	(d)	(\$a 2 0 \$b \$c)	\$b
311	(e)	(\$a 2 %n \$b \$c)	\$c
312	(f)	(\$a 3 \$b \$c)	=(\$b \$c)
313	(g)	(\$a 4 %n)	+%n
314			
315	(h)	(\$a 5 (~ ~ \$b) \$c)	\$b
316	(i)	(\$a 5 (~ \$b \$c) \$d)	*(\$a \$b \$c \$d)
317	(j)	(\$a 5 (~ ~) \$b)	~
318	(k)	(\$a 5 (~ \$b) \$c)	*(\$a \$b \$c)
319	(l)	(\$a 5 (\$b \$c) \$d)	*(\$a \$b \$d) *(\$a \$c \$d)
320			
321	(m)	(\$a 5 \$b \$c)	\$b
322			
323	(n)	(\$a 6 \$b \$c)	*(\$a *(\$a 5 \$b \$c))
324	(o)	(\$a 7 \$b)	*(\$a 5 \$a \$a \$b)
325	(p)	(\$a 8 \$b \$c \$d)	>(\$b \$c \$d)
326			
327	(q)	(\$a \$b \$c)	*(\$a 5 *(\$a 7 \$b) \$c)
328	(r)	(\$a \$b)	*(\$a \$b)
329	(s)	\$a	*\$a

330

331 The rule notation is a pseudocode, only used in
 332 this file. Its definition follows.

333

334 6.2 Rule pseudocode: briefly

335 Each line is a pattern match. "%" means
 336 "number." Match in order. See operators below.

337

338 6.3 Rule pseudocode: exactly

339 Both pattern and definition use the same
 340 evaluation language, an extension of the trivial
 341 syntax.

342

343 An evaluation is a tree in which each node is a
344 term, a term-valued variable, or a unary
345 operation.

346

347 Variables are symbols marked with a constraint.
348 A variable "\$name" matches any term. "%name"
349 matches any number.

350

351 There are four unary prefix operators, each of
352 which is a pure function from term to term: "=",
353 "+", "*", and ">". Their semantics follow.

354

355 6.4 Evaluation semantics

356 For any term \$term, to compute U(\$term):

357

- 358 - find the first pattern, in order, that
- 359 matches \$term.
- 360 - substitute its variable matches into its
- 361 definition.
- 362 - compute the substituted definition.

363

364 Iff this sequence of steps terminates, U(\$term)
365 "completes." Otherwise it "chokes."

366

367 Evaluation is strict: incorrect completion is a
368 bug. Choking is U's only error or exception
369 mechanism.

370

371 6.5 Simple operators: equal, increment, evaluate

372 =(\$a \$b) is 0 if \$a and \$b are equal; 1 if they
373 are not.

374

375 +%n is %n plus 1.

376

377 *\$a is U(\$a).

378

379 6.6 The follow operator

380 >(\$a \$b \$c) is always 0. But it does not always
381 complete.

382

383 We say "\$c follows \$b in \$a" iff, for every \$term:

384

```

385         if *($a 5 $b $term) chokes:
386             *($a 5 $c $term) chokes.
387
388         if *($a 5 $b $term) completes:
389             either:
390                 *($a 5 $c $term) completes, and
391                 *($a 5 $c $term) equals
392                 *($a 5 $b $term)
393             or:
394                 *($a 5 $c $term) chokes.
395
396     If $c follows $b in $a, >($a $b $c) is 0.
397
398     If this statement cannot be shown (ie, if there
399     exists any $term that falsifies it, generates an
400     infinitely recursive series of follow tests, or is
401     inversely self-dependent, ie, exhibits Russell's
402     paradox), >($a $b $c) chokes.
403
404 7 Implementation issues
405     This section is not normative.
406
407 7.1 The follow operator
408     Of course, no algorithm can completely implement
409     the follow operator. So no program can completely
410     implement U.
411
412     But this does not stop us from stating the
413     correctness of a partial implementation - for
414     example, one that assumes a hardcoded set of
415     follow cases, and fails when it would otherwise
416     have to compute a follow case outside this set.
417
418     U calls this a "trust failure." One way to
419     standardize trust failures would be to standardize
420     a fixed set of follow cases as part of the
421     definition of U. However, this is equivalent to
422     standardizing a fixed trusted code base. The
423     problems with this approach are well-known.
424
425     A better design for U implementations is to
426     depend on a voluntary, unstandardized failure

```

mechanism. Because all computers have bounded memory, and it is impractical to standardize a fixed memory size and allocation strategy, every real computing environment has such a mechanism.

For example, packet loss in an unreliable packet protocol, such as UDP, is a voluntary failure mechanism.

If the packet transfer function of a stateful UDP server is defined in terms of U, failure to compute means dropping a packet. If the server has no other I/O, its semantics are completely defined by its initial state and packet function.

7.2 Other unstandardized implementation details

A practical implementation of U will detect and log common cases of choking. It will also need a timeout or some other unspecified mechanism to abort undetected infinite loops.

(Although trust failure, allocation failure or timeout, and choke detection all depend on what is presumably a single voluntary failure mechanism, they are orthogonal and should not be confused.)

Also, because U is so abstract, differences in implementation strategy can result in performance disparities which are almost arbitrarily extreme. The difficulty of standardizing performance is well-known.

No magic bullet can stop these unstandardized issues from becoming practical causes of lock-in and incompatibility. Systems which depend on U must manage them at every layer.

3.2 Nock 13K

At some point between January 2006 and March 2008, Nock acquired its cognomen.

The only compound opcode is opcode 6, the conditional branch opcode.

Axiomatic operator `* tar`⁸ is identified as a GOTO.⁹

Listing 2: Nock 13K, 8 March 2008.

```

Author: Curtis Yarvin (curtis.yarvin@gmail.com)
Date: 3/8/2008
Version: 0.13

```

1. Manifest

```

This file defines one Turing-complete function,
"nock."

```

```

nock is in the public domain. So far as I know,
it is neither patentable nor patented. Use it at
your own risk.

```

2. Data

```

Both the domain and range of nock are "nouns."

```

```

A "noun" is either an "atom" or a "cell." An
"atom" is an unsigned integer of any size. A
"cell" is an ordered pair of any two nouns, the
"head" and "tail."

```

3. Pseudocode

```

nock is defined in a pattern-matching pseudocode.

```

```

Match precedence is top-down. Operators are

```

⁸We refer to Nock axiomatic operators via their modern aural ASCII pronunciations. While these evolved over time (to wit, `^` “hat” became “ket”), to attempt to synchronize pronunciation with the era of a Nock release is a fool’s errand.

⁹One can see the influence of this version’s naming scheme on Atman’s Ax, pp. XX–XX herein.

542 4.2.5 Snip (/)

543

```
544         /(1 a)           -> a
545         /(2 a b)          -> a
546         /(3 a b)          -> b
547         /((a + a) b)       -> /(2 /(a b))
548         /((a + a + 1) b)   -> /(3 /(a b))
549         /(a)               -> /(a)
550
```

551 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2008)

552 3.3 Nock 12K

553 Opcodes were reordered slightly. Compound opcodes were in-
 554 troduced, such as a conditional branch and a static hint opcode.
 555 Autocons appeared explicitly.

Listing 3: Nock 12K, 2008.

556

557 Author: Curtis Yarvin (curtis.yarvin@gmail.com)

558

Date: 3/28/2008

559

Version: 0.12

560

561 1. Introduction

562

563 This file defines one function, "nock."

564

565 nock is in the public domain.

566

567 2. Data

568

569 A "noun" is either an "atom" or a "cell." An
 570 "atom" is an unsigned integer of any size. A
 571 "cell" is an ordered pair of any two nouns,
 572 the "head" and "tail."

573

574 3. Semantics

575

576 nock maps one noun to another. It doesn't
 577 always terminate.

578

579 4. Pseudocode

580


```

581      nock is defined in a pattern-matching
582      pseudocode, below.
583
584      Parentheses enclose cells. (a b c) is
585      (a (b c)).
586
587 5. Definition
588
589 5.1 Transformations
590
591      *(a (b c) d) => (*(a b c) *(a d))
592      *(a 0 b)      => /(b a)
593      *(a 1 b)      => (b)
594      *(a 2 b c)    => (*(a b) c)
595      *(a 3 b)      => ***(a b)
596      *(a 4 b)      => &*(a b)
597      *(a 5 b)      => ^*(a b)
598      *(a 6 b)      => =*(a b)
599
600      *(a 7 b c d) => *(a 3 (0 1) 3 (1 c d) (1 0)
601                        3 (1 2 3) (1 0) 5 5 b)
602      *(a 8 b c)    => *(a 2 (((1 0) b) c) 0 3)
603      *(a 9 b c)    => *(a c)
604
605      *(a)           => *(a)
606
607 5.2 Operators
608
609 5.2.1 Goto (*)
610
611      *(a)           -> nock(a)
612
613 5.2.2 Deep (&)
614
615      &(a b)          -> 0
616      &(a)            -> 1
617
618 5.2.4 Bump (^)
619
620      ^(a b)          -> ^(a b)
621      ^(a)            -> a + 1
622

```

623 5.2.5 Same (=)

624

```
625         = (a a)           -> 0
626         = (a b)           -> 1
627         = (a)              -> =(a)
```

628

629 5.2.6 Snip (/)

630

```
631         /(1 a)             -> a
632         /(2 a b)           -> a
633         /(3 a b)           -> b
634         /((a + a) b)       -> /(2 /(a b))
635         /((a + a + 1) b)   -> /(3 /(a b))
636         /(a)                -> /(a)
```

637

638 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2008)

639 3.4 Nock 11K

640 Opcodes were reordered slightly. The conditional branch was
641 moved to 2. Composition, formerly at 2, was removed.

642 The kelvin versioning system here became explicit (rather
643 than implicitly decreasing minor versions).

Listing 4: Nock 11K, 25 May 2008.

644

645 Author: Mencius Moldebug (moldebug@gmail.com)

646 Date: 5/25/2008

647 Version: 11K

648

649 1. Introduction

650

651 This file defines one function, "nock."

652

653 nock is in the public domain.

654

655 2. Data

656

657 A "noun" is either an "atom" or a "cell." An
658 "atom" is an unsigned integer of any size. A
659 "cell" is an ordered pair of any two nouns, the
660 "head" and "tail."

661

662 3. Semantics

663

664 nock maps one noun to another. It doesn't always
665 terminate.

666

667 4. Pseudocode

668

669 nock is defined in a pattern-matching pseudocode,
670 below.

671

672 Parentheses enclose cells. (a b c) is (a (b c)).

673

674 5. Definition

675

676 5.1 Transformations

677

678 *(a (b c) d) => (*(a b c) *(a d))

679 *(a 0 b) => /(b a)

680 *(a 1 b) => (b)

681 *(a 2 b c d) => *(a 3 (0 1) 3 (1 c d) (1 0)
682 3 (1 2 3) (1 0) 5 5 b)

683 *(a 3 b) => **(a b)

684 *(a 4 b) => &*(a b)

685 *(a 5 b) => ^*(a b)

686 *(a 6 b) => =*(a b)

687

688 *(a 7 b c) => *(a 3 (((1 0) b) c) 1 0 3)

689 *(a 8 b c) => *(a c)

690

691 *(a) => *(a)

692

693 5.2 Operators

694

695 5.2.1 Goto (*)

696

697 *(a) -> nock(a)

698

699 5.2.2 Deep (&)

700

701 &(a b) -> 0

702 &(a) -> 1

703

```

704 5.2.4 Bump (^)
705
706      ^ (a b)          -> ^ (a b)
707      ^ (a)            -> a + 1
708
709 5.2.5 Same (=)
710
711      = (a a)           -> 0
712      = (a b)           -> 1
713      = (a)             -> = (a)
714
715 5.2.6 Snip (/)
716
717      / (1 a)           -> a
718      / (2 a b)         -> a
719      / (3 a b)         -> b
720      / ((a + a) b)     -> / (2 / (a b))
721      / ((a + a + 1) b) -> / (3 / (a b))
722      / (a)             -> / (a)
723

```

724 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2008)

725 3.5 Nock 10K

726 Parentheses were replaced by brackets. Opcodes were re-
727 ordered slightly. Hint syntax was removed. Functionally, 11K
728 and 10K appear very similar, particularly if the Watt (proto-
729 Hoon) compiler is set up to produce variable declarations and
730 compositions as the compound opcodes had them.

Listing 5: Nock 10K, 15 September 2008.

```

731 Author: Mencius Moldebug [moldebug@gmail.com]
732 Date: 9/15/2008
733 Version: 10K
734
735
736 1. Introduction
737
738     This file defines one function, "nock."
739
740     nock is in the public domain.
741

```

742 2. Data

743

744 A "noun" is either an "atom" or a "cell." An
745 "atom" is an unsigned integer of any size. A
746 "cell" is an ordered pair of any two nouns, the
747 "head" and "tail."

748

749 3. Semantics

750

751 nock maps one noun to another. It doesn't always
752 terminate.

753

754 4. Pseudocode

755

756 nock is defined in a pattern-matching pseudocode,
757 below.

758

759 Brackets enclose cells. [a b c] is [a [b c]].

760

761 5. Definition

762

763 5.1 Transformations

764

765 * [a [b c] d] => [* [a b c] * [a d]]
766 * [a 0 b] => /[b a]
767 * [a 1 b] => [b]
768 * [a 2 b c d] => * [a 3 [0 1] 3 [1 c d]
769 [1 0] 3 [1 2 3] [1 0] 5 5 b]
770 * [a 3 b] => ** [a b]
771 * [a 4 b] => &* [a b]
772 * [a 5 b] => ^* [a b]
773 * [a 6 b] => =* [a b]
774 * [a] => * [a]

775

776 5.2 Operators

777

778 5.2.1 Goto [*]

779

780 * [a] -> nock[a]

781

782 5.2.2 Deep [&]

783

```

784      &[a b]          -> 0
785      &[a]            -> 1
786
787 5.2.4 Bump [^]
788
789      ^[a b]          -> ^[a b]
790      ^[a]            -> (a + 1)
791
792 5.2.5 Like [=]
793
794      =[a a]          -> 0
795      =[a b]          -> 1
796      =[a]            -> =[a]
797
798 5.2.6 Snip [/]
799
800      /[1 a]          -> a
801      /[2 a b]        -> a
802      /[3 a b]        -> b
803      /[(a + a) b]    -> /[2 /[a b]]
804      /[(a + a + 1) b] -> /[3 /[a b]]
805      /[a]            -> /[a]

```

807 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2008)

808 3.6 Nock 9K

809 The cell detection axiomatic operator underlying opcode 4 (cell
810 detection) was changed from & pam to ? wut. Versus 10K, 9K
811 elides operator names in favor of definitions. Other differences
812 are likewise primarily terminological, such as the replacement
813 of Deep & pam with ? wut.

814 This version of Nock was published on the Moron Lab blog
815 in 2010 (~sorreg-namtyv, 2010) as “Maxwell’s equations of
816 software”. Yarvin emphasized that Nock was intended to serve
817 as “foundational system software rather than foundational
818 metamathematics” (ibid.). Yarvin also publicly expounded on
819 the practicality of building a higher-level language on top of
820 Nock at this point (ibid.):

821 To define a language with Nock, construct two

nouns, q and r , such that $*[q\ r]$ equals r , and $*[s\ *[p\ r]]$ is a useful functional language. In this description,

- p is the function source;
- q is your language definition, as source;
- r is your language definition, as data;
- s is the input data.

More concretely, Watt (the predecessor to Hoon) is defined as:

```
urbit-formula == Watt(urbit-source)
               == Nock(urbit-source watt-formula)
watt-formula  == Watt(watt-source)
               == Nock(watt-source watt-formula)
```

This remains the essential pattern followed to this day by higher-level languages targeting Nock as an ISA.

Yarvin had prepared to virtualize Nock interpretation to expose a broader namespace for interaction with values than the “strict” subject of a formula (~sorreg-namtyv, 2010).

Listing 6: Nock 9K, *terminus ad quem* 7 January 2010.

1 Context

This spec defines one function, Nock.

2 Structures

A noun is an atom or a cell. An atom is any unsigned integer. A cell is an ordered pair of any two nouns.

3 Pseudocode

Brackets enclose cells. $[a\ b\ c]$ is $[a\ [b\ c]]$.

$*a$ is $\text{Nock}(a)$. Reductions match top-down.

4 Reductions

```

860      ?[a b]          => 0
861      ?a              => 1
862
863      ^[a b]          => ^[a b]
864      ^a              => (a + 1)
865
866      =[a a]          => 0
867      =[a b]          => 1
868      =a              => =a
869
870      /[1 a]           => a
871      /[2 a b]         => a
872      /[3 a b]         => b
873      /[(a + a) b]     => /[2 /[a b]]
874      /[(a + a + 1) b] => /[3 /[a b]]
875      /a              => /a
876
877      *[a 0 b]         => /[b a]
878      *[a 1 b]         => b
879      *[a 2 b c d]     => *[a 3 [0 1] 3 [1 c d] [1 0]
880                        3 [1 2 3] [1 0] 5 5 b]
881      *[a 3 b]         => **[a b]
882      *[a 4 b]         => ?*[a b]
883      *[a 5 b]         => ^*[a b]
884      *[a 6 b]         => =*[a b]
885      *[a [b c] d]     => [*[a b c] *[a d]]
886      *a              => *a
887

```

888 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2010)

889 3.7 Nock 8K

890 The compound opcodes reappeared. Opcode 6 defined a con-
 891 ditional branch. Opcode 7 was described as a function compo-
 892 sition operator. Opcode 8 served to define variables. Opcode 9
 893 defined a calling convention. The remaining opcodes are hints,
 894 but each serving a different purpose:

- 895 11. consolidate for reference equality.
- 896 12. yield an arbitrary, unspecified hint.
- 897 13. label for acceleration (jet).

898 Nock 8K received an uncharacteristic amount of commen-
899 tary, given a preprint document prepared for presentation at
900 the 42nd ISCIE International Symposium on Stochastic Systems
901 Theory and Its Applications (sss'10) (~sorreg-namtyv, 2010).

902 Lambda was highlighted as a design pattern (a “gate”
903 or stored procedure call) enabled by the “core” convention.
904 Notably, `[[sample context] battery]` occurred in a different
905 order than has been conventional since 2013 (emphasizing that
906 the ubiquitous core pattern is a convention rather than a re-
907 quirement). Watt was revealed to have a different ASCII pro-
908 nunciation convention than Nock at this stage.

Listing 7: Nock 8K, 25 July 2010.

```
909 1 Structures
910
911     A noun is an atom or a cell. An atom is any
912     unsigned integer. A cell is an ordered pair of
913     nouns.
914
915 916 2 Pseudocode
917
918     [a b c] is [a [b c]]; *a is nock(a). Reductions
919     match top-down.
920
921 922 3 Reductions
923
924     ?[a b]           0
925     ?a               1
926     ^a               (a + 1)
927     =[a a]           0
928     =[a b]           1
929
930     /[1 a]            a
931     /[2 a b]          a
932     /[3 a b]          b
933     /[(a + a) b]      /[2 /[a b]]
934     /[(a + a + 1) b]  /[3 /[a b]]
935
936     *[a [b c] d]      [*[a b c] *[a d]]
937     *[a 0 b]          /[b a]
938     *[a 1 b]          b
```

```

938      *[a 2 b c]          **[*[a b] *[a c]]
939      *[a 3 b]            ?*[*[a b]
940      *[a 4 b]            ^*[*[a b]
941      *[a 5 b]            =*[*[a b]
942
943      *[a 6 b c d]        *[a 2 [0 1] 2 [1 c d] [1 0]
944                          2 [1 2 3] [1 0] 4 4 b]
945      *[a 7 b c]          *[a 2 b 1 c]
946      *[a 8 b c]          *[a 7 [7 b [0 1]] c]
947      *[a 9 b c]          *[a 8 b 2 [[7 [0 3] d] [0 5]]
948                          0 5]
949      *[a 10 b c]         *[a 8 b 8 [7 [0 3] c] 0 2]
950      *[a 11 b c]         *[a 8 b 7 [0 3] c]
951      *[a 12 b c]         *[a [1 0] 1 c]
952
953      ^[a b]              ^[a b]
954      = a                  = a
955      / a                  / a
956      * a                  * a
957

```

958 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2010)

959 3.8 Nock 7K

960 During this era, substantial development took place on the
961 early Urbit operating system. Nock began to be battle-tested
962 in a way it had not previously been stressed. Several decre-
963 ments occurred in short order.

964 The three hint opcodes were refactored into two, a static
965 and a dynamic hint, both at 10.

Listing 8: Nock 7K, *terminus ad quem* 14 November 2010.

966 1 Structures

967
968
969 A noun is an atom or a cell. An atom is any
970 natural number. A cell is any ordered pair of
971 nouns.

972 2 Pseudocode

973
974
975 [a b c] [a [b c]]

```

976  nock(a)          *a
977
978  ?[a b]           0
979  ?a               1
980  ^a               1 + a
981  =[a a]           0
982  =[a b]           1
983
984  /[1 a]            a
985  /[2 a b]          a
986  /[3 a b]          b
987  /[(a + a) b]      /[2 /[a b]]
988  /[(a + a + 1) b]  /[3 /[a b]]
989
990  *[a [b c] d]       *[a b c] *[a d]]
991
992  *[a 0 b]           /[b a]
993  *[a 1 b]           b
994  *[a 2 b c]         **[a b] *[a c]]
995  *[a 3 b]           ?*[a b]
996  *[a 4 b]           ^*[a b]
997  *[a 5 b]           =*[a b]
998
999  *[a 6 b c d]        *[a 2 [0 1] 2 [1 c d] [1 0]
1000                      2 [1 2 3] [1 0] 4 4 b]
1001
1002  *[a 7 b c]          *[a 2 b 1 c]
1003  *[a 8 b c]          *[a 7 [[7 [0 1] b] 0 1] c]
1004  *[a 9 b c]          *[a 7 c 0 b]
1005  *[a 10 b c]         *[a c]
1006  *[a 10 [b c] d]     *[a 8 c 7 [0 3] d]
1007
1008  ^[a b]             ^[a b]
1009  =a                 =a
1010  /a                 /a
1011  *a                 *a

```

Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2010)

3.9 Nock 6K

The axiomatic operator for increment was changed from `^` ket to `+` lus. Compound opcode syntax was reworked slightly.

Listing 9: Nock 6K, 6 July 2011.

```

1016
1017 1 Structures
1018
1019   A noun is an atom or a cell.  An atom is any
1020   natural number.  A cell is an ordered pair of
1021   nouns.
1022
1023 2 Reductions
1024
1025   nock(a)          *a
1026   [a b c]          [a [b c]]
1027
1028   ?[a b]           0
1029   ?a               1
1030   +a               1 + a
1031   =[a a]           0
1032   =[a b]           1
1033
1034   /[1 a]           a
1035   /[2 a b]          a
1036   /[3 a b]          b
1037   /[(a + a) b]      /[2 /[a b]]
1038   /[(a + a + 1) b]  /[3 /[a b]]
1039
1040   *[a [b c] d]      [*[a b c] *[a d]]
1041
1042   *[a 0 b]          /[b a]
1043   *[a 1 b]          b
1044   *[a 2 b c]         *[*[a b] *[a c]]
1045   *[a 3 b]           ?*[a b]
1046   *[a 4 b]           +*[a b]
1047   *[a 5 b]           ==*[a b]
1048
1049   *[a 6 b c d]       *[a 2 [0 1] 2 [1 c d] [1 0]
1050                      2 [1 2 3] [1 0] 4 4 b]
1051   *[a 7 b c]         *[a 2 b 1 c]
1052   *[a 8 b c]         *[a 7 [[0 1] b] c]
1053   *[a 9 b c]         *[a 7 c 0 b]
1054   *[a 10 b c]        *[a c]
1055   *[a 10 [b c] d]    *[a 8 c 7 [0 2] d]
1056
1057   +[a b]             +[a b]

```

1058	= a	= a
1059	/ a	/ a
1060	* a	* a

1062 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2011)

1063 3.10 Nock 5K

1064 Compound opcode syntax was reworked slightly. All trivial
1065 reductions of axiomatic operators were removed to the preface
1066 of the specification.

1067 (For instance, a trivial “cosmetic” change was made to 5K’s
1068 specification after it was publicly posted in order to synchro-
1069 nize it with the VM’s behavior (dd779c1).)

Listing 10: Nock 5K, 24 September 2012.

1070 1 Structures

1071
1072
1073 A noun is an atom or a cell. An atom is any natural
1074 number. A cell is an ordered pair of nouns.

1075 2 Reductions

1076		
1077		
1078	nock(a)	* a
1079	[a b c]	[a [b c]]
1080		
1081	?[a b]	0
1082	?a	1
1083	+ [a b]	+ [a b]
1084	+ a	1 + a
1085	= [a a]	0
1086	= [a b]	1
1087	= a	= a
1088		
1089	/[1 a]	a
1090	/[2 a b]	a
1091	/[3 a b]	b
1092	/[(a + a) b]	/[2 /[a b]]
1093	/[(a + a + 1) b]	/[3 /[a b]]
1094	/a	/a
1095		

```

1096  *a [b c] d]      [*a b c] *a d]]
1097
1098  *a 0 b]          /[b a]
1099  *a 1 b]          b
1100  *a 2 b c]        *[*a b] *a c]]
1101  *a 3 b]          ?*a b]
1102  *a 4 b]          +*a b]
1103  *a 5 b]          =*a b]
1104
1105  *a 6 b c d]      *a 2 [0 1] 2 [1 c d] [1 0] 2
1106                                     [1 2 3] [1 0] 4 4 b]
1107  *a 7 b c]        *a 2 b 1 c]
1108  *a 8 b c]        *a 7 [[7 [0 1] b] 0 1] c]
1109  *a 9 b c]        *a 7 c 2 [0 1] 0 b]
1110  *a 10 [b c] d]   *a 8 c 7 [0 3] d]
1111  *a 10 b c]       *a c]
1112
1113  *a               *a
1114

```

Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2012)

3.11 Nock 4K

The primary change motivating 5K to 4K was the introduction of an edit operator # hax, which ameliorated the proliferation of cells in the Nock runtime's memory.¹⁰ The edit operator is an optimization which makes modifications to a Nock data structure more efficient. It's a notable example of a change motivated by the pragmatics of the runtime rather than theoretical or higher-level language concerns.¹¹

Opcode 5 (equality) was rewritten to more explicit with application of the cell distribution rule. Opcodes 6–9 were rewritten to utilize the * tar operator rather than routing via opcode 2. Opcode 11 (formerly opcode 10) was likewise massaged. In general, preferring to express rules using * tar proved to be slightly more terse than utilizing opcode 2.

¹⁰The date must be earlier than 27 September 2018; cf. `urbit/urbit` #1027.

¹¹See ~niblyx-malnus, pp. XX–XX, this volume, for a verbose derivation of the edit operator and opcode 10 from the primitive opcodes.

Listing 11: Nock 4K, *terminus ad quem* 27 September 2018.

```

1130
1131 Nock 4K
1132
1133 A noun is an atom or a cell. An atom is a natural
1134 number. A cell is an ordered pair of nouns.
1135
1136 Reduce by the first matching pattern; variables match
1137 any noun.
1138
1139 nock(a)          *a
1140 [a b c]          [a [b c]]
1141
1142 ?[a b]           0
1143 ?a               1
1144 +[a b]           +[a b]
1145 +a               1 + a
1146 =[a a]           0
1147 =[a b]           1
1148
1149 /[1 a]           a
1150 /[2 a b]          a
1151 /[3 a b]          b
1152 /[(a + a) b]      /[2 /[a b]]
1153 /[(a + a + 1) b]  /[3 /[a b]]
1154 /a               /a
1155
1156 #[1 a b]          a
1157 #[(a + a) b c]    #[a [b /[(a + a + 1) c]] c]
1158 #[(a + a + 1) b c] #[a [/[(a + a) c] b] c]
1159 #a                #a
1160
1161 *[a [b c] d]      [*[a b c] *[a d]]
1162
1163 *[a 0 b]          /[b a]
1164 *[a 1 b]          b
1165 *[a 2 b c]         *[*[a b] *[a c]]
1166 *[a 3 b]           ?*[a b]
1167 *[a 4 b]           +*[a b]
1168 *[a 5 b c]         =[*[a b] *[a c]]
1169
1170 *[a 6 b c d]       *[a *[[c d] 0 *[[2 3] 0 *[a 4 4 b]]]]
1171 *[a 7 b c]         *[*[a b] c]

```

```

1172 * [a 8 b c]          * [[* [a b] a] c]
1173 * [a 9 b c]          * [* [a c] 2 [0 1] 0 b]
1174 * [a 10 [b c] d]     # [b * [a c] * [a d]]
1175
1176 * [a 11 [b c] d]      * [[* [a c] * [a d]] 0 3]
1177 * [a 11 b c]          * [a c]
1178
1179 * a                    * a
1180

```

1181 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2018-09-27)

1182 4 The Future of Nock

1183 While deviations from the trunk line of the Nock family have
1184 been proposed at various points,¹² Nock itself has remained
1185 the definitional substrate of Urbit since its inception. It has
1186 also been adopted as the primary ISA of Nockchain and the
1187 NockApp ecosystem.

1188 Why, then, do we contemplate further changes? The *skew*
1189 proposal by ~siprel and ~littl-ponnys argued that Nock 4K
1190 represented an undesirable saddle point in the design space of
1191 possible Nocks, itself a “ball of mud” (~siprel and ~littl-
1192 ponnys, 2020). While *skew* itself was not adopted, it inspired
1193 the development of *Plunder* and *PLAN* as a solid-state comput-
1194 ing architecture sharing some ambitions with Urbit and Nock
1195 (~siprel and ~littl-ponnys, 2023). A rigorously æsthetic
1196 argument can thus be sustained that Nock is not yet “close
1197 enough” to its final, diamond-perfect form to be a viable can-
1198 didate.

1199 While some have found this argument compelling, Urbit’s
1200 core developers have elected to maintain work in the “main
1201 line” of traditional Nock as the system’s target ISA. The Nock
1202 4K specification is a good candidate, in this sense, for a “final”
1203 version of Nock, as it has been successfully used in produc-
1204 tion for several years. It seems more likely that subsequent
1205 changes to Nock will derive not from alternative representa-
1206 tions but from either dramatically more elegant expressions

¹²Notably, *Ax* (see pp. XX–XX, this volume), *skew*, and *PLAN* (see pp. XX–XX, this volume).

1207 (e.g., of opcode 6 or a combinator refactor) or from an implicit
1208 underspecification in the current Nock 4K which should be
1209 made explicit.

1210 5 Conclusion

1211 A13: If you don't completely understand your
1212 code and the semantics of all the code it depends
1213 on, your code is wrong.

1214 A21: Prefer mechanical simplicity to mathemat-
1215 ical simplicity. Often mechanical simplicity and
1216 mathematical simplicity go together.

1217 F1: If it's not deterministic, it isn't real.

1218 (~wicdev-wisryt, Urbit Precepts (2020))

1219 Nock began life as a hyper-Turing machine language, a
1220 theoretical construct for the purpose of defining higher-level
1221 programming languages with appropriate affordances and se-
1222 mantics. While its opcodes and syntax have gradually evolved
1223 over the course of two decades, the ambition to uproot the Unix
1224 “ball of mud” and replace it with a simple operating function
1225 amenable to reason has remained the north star of Urbit and
1226 Nock. The history of Nock serves as an index of refinement
1227 as Yarvin and contributors sought to balance conciseness, effi-
1228 ciency, and practicality.

1229 The most recent version, Nock 4K, appears to provide all
1230 of the opcodes necessary for correct and efficient¹³ evaluation.
1231 It is likely that future versions of Nock will be based genet-
1232 ically on Nock 4K, but with some changes to improve its per-
1233 formance and usability. The road to zero kelvin is likely very
1234 long still, given an abundance of caution, but it also appears to
1235 be straight.

¹³Modulo the vagaries of the von Neumann architecture, etc.

References

1236

- 1237 Burger, Alexander (2006) “Pico Lisp: A Radical Approach to
1238 Application Development”. URL:
1239 <https://software-lab.de/radical.pdf> (visited on
1240 ~2025.5.19).
- 1241 Madore, David (2003) “The Unlambda Programming
1242 Language”. URL:
1243 <http://www.madore.org/~david/programs/unlambda/>
1244 (visited on ~2025.5.19).
- 1245 Reitzig, Raphael (2012) “Are there minimum criteria for a
1246 programming language being Turing complete?” URL:
1247 [https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/991/are-](https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/991/are-there-minimum-criteria-for-a-programming-language-being-turing-complete)
1248 [there-minimum-criteria-for-a-programming-](https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/991/are-there-minimum-criteria-for-a-programming-language-being-turing-complete)
1249 [language-being-turing-complete](https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/991/are-there-minimum-criteria-for-a-programming-language-being-turing-complete) (visited on
1250 ~2025.5.19).
- 1251 ~siprel, Benjamin and Elliot Glaysher ~littel-ponnys
1252 (2020) “SKEW”. URL: [https://github.com/urbit/urbit/blob/skew/pkg/hs/urbit-](https://github.com/urbit/urbit/blob/skew/pkg/hs/urbit-skew/skew.md)
1253 [skew/skew.md](https://github.com/urbit/urbit/blob/skew/pkg/hs/urbit-skew/skew.md) (visited on ~2025.5.19).
- 1254 — (2023) “What is Plunder?” URL: <https://plunder.tech/>
1255 (visited on ~2025.7.6).
- 1257 ~sorreg-namtyv, Curtis Yarvin (2006a) “U, a small model”.
1258 URL: <http://lambda-the-ultimate.org/node/1269>
1259 (visited on ~2024.2.20).
- 1260 — (2006b) “U, a small model”. URL:
1261 <http://urbit.sourceforge.net/u.txt> (visited on
1262 ~2024.2.20).
- 1263 — (2008a) “Nock 10K”. URL:
1264 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/10.txt)
1265 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/10.txt)
1266 [nock/10.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/10.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).
- 1267 — (2008b) “Nock 11K”. URL:
1268 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/11.txt)
1269 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/11.txt)
1270 [nock/11.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/11.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).
- 1271 — (2008c) “Nock 12K”. URL:
1272 <https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/>

1273 0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/
1274 nock/12.txt (visited on ~2024.2.20).

1275 — (2008d) “Nock 13K”. URL:
1276 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/13.txt)
1277 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/13.txt)
1278 [nock/13.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/13.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).

1279 — (2010a) “Nock 7K”. URL:
1280 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/7.txt)
1281 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/7.txt)
1282 [nock/7.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/7.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).

1283 — (2010b) “Nock 8K”. URL:
1284 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/8.txt)
1285 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/8.txt)
1286 [nock/8.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/8.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).

1287 — (2010c) “Nock 9K”. URL:
1288 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/9.txt)
1289 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/9.txt)
1290 [nock/9.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/9.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).

1291 — (2010d) “Nock: Maxwell’s equations of software”. URL:
1292 [http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/nock-](http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/nock-maxwells-equations-of-software.html)
1293 [maxwells-equations-of-software.html](http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/nock-maxwells-equations-of-software.html) (visited on
1294 ~2024.1.25).

1295 — (2010e) “Urbit: functional programming from scratch”.
1296 URL: [http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/urbit-](http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/urbit-functional-programming-from.html)
1297 [functional-programming-from.html](http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/urbit-functional-programming-from.html) (visited on
1298 ~2024.1.25).

1299 — (2010f) “Why Nock?” URL:
1300 [https://github.com/cgyarvin/urbit/blob/gh-](https://github.com/cgyarvin/urbit/blob/gh-pages/Spec/urbit/5-whynock.txt)
1301 [pages/Spec/urbit/5-whynock.txt](https://github.com/cgyarvin/urbit/blob/gh-pages/Spec/urbit/5-whynock.txt) (visited on
1302 ~2025.5.19).

1303 — (2011) “Nock 6K”. URL:
1304 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/6.txt)
1305 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/6.txt)
1306 [nock/6.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/6.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).

1307 — (2012) “Nock 5K”. URL:
1308 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/5.txt)
1309 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/5.txt)
1310 [nock/5.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/5.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).

- 1311 ~sorreg-namtyv, Curtis Yarvin (2025). “Urbit: Making the
1312 Future Real.” In: *LambdaConf 2025*. Accessed: 2025-05-19.
1313 Estes Park, Colorado: LambdaConf. URL:
1314 <https://www.lambdaconf.us/> (visited on ~2025.5.19).
1315 — (2018-09-27) “Nock 4K”. URL: [https://docs.urbit.org/](https://docs.urbit.org/language/nock/reference/definition)
1316 [language/nock/reference/definition](https://docs.urbit.org/language/nock/reference/definition) (visited on
1317 ~2024.2.20).
1318 Wolfram, Stephen (2021). *Combinators: A Centennial View*.
1319 Accessed: 2025-05-19. Champaign, Illinois: Wolfram
1320 Media. URL: <https://www.wolfram.com/combinators/>
1321 (visited on ~2025.5.19).