

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

---

# A Documentary History of the Nock Combinator Calculus

N. E. Davis ~lagrev-nocfep, Curtis Yarvin ~sorreg-namtyv  
Zorp Corp, Urbit Foundation

## Abstract

Nock is a family of computational languages derived from the `SKI` combinator calculus. It serves as the ISA specification layer for the Urbit and NockApp systems. This article outlines the extant historical versions of the Nock combinator calculus and reconstructs the motivation for the changes made at each kelvin decrement. It begins with an exposition of Nock as a tool of computation, outlines the history of Nock’s decrements, and speculates on motivations for possible future developments.

## Contents

|          |                                      |            |
|----------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                  | <b>154</b> |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Nock as a Combinator Calculus</b> | <b>154</b> |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Nock’s Decrement</b>              | <b>158</b> |
| 3.1      | U . . . . .                          | 159        |
| 3.2      | Nock 13K . . . . .                   | 166        |
| 3.3      | Nock 12K . . . . .                   | 168        |
| 3.4      | Nock 11K . . . . .                   | 170        |

|    |          |                           |            |
|----|----------|---------------------------|------------|
| 25 | 3.5      | Nock 10K . . . . .        | 172        |
| 26 | 3.6      | Nock 9K . . . . .         | 174        |
| 27 | 3.7      | Nock 8K . . . . .         | 176        |
| 28 | 3.8      | Nock 7K . . . . .         | 178        |
| 29 | 3.9      | Nock 6K . . . . .         | 179        |
| 30 | 3.10     | Nock 5K . . . . .         | 181        |
| 31 | 3.11     | Nock 4K . . . . .         | 182        |
| 32 | <b>4</b> | <b>The Future of Nock</b> | <b>184</b> |
| 33 | <b>5</b> | <b>Conclusion</b>         | <b>185</b> |

## 1 Introduction

Nock is a combinator calculus which serves as the computational specification layer for the Urbit and Nockchain/Nock-App systems. It is a hyper-RISC instruction set architecture (ISA) intended for execution by a virtual machine (but see **Mopfel2025** (**Mopfel2025**), pp. XX–XX herein). Nock’s simplicity and unity of expression make it amenable to proof-based reasoning and guarantees of correctness. Its Lisp-like nature surfaces the ability to introspect on the code itself, a property which higher-level languages compiling to it can exploit. Yet for all this, Nock was not born from a purely mathematical approach, but found its roots in practical systems engineering.

Nock permits itself a finite number of specification changes, called “decrements” or “kelvins”, which allow it to converge on a balance of expressiveness and efficacy. This article outlines the extant historical versions of the Nock combinator calculus and reconstructs the motivation for the changes made at each kelvin decrement. It begins with an exposition of Nock as a tool of computation, outlines the history of Nock’s decrements, and speculates on motivations for possible future developments.

## 2 Nock as a Combinator Calculus

Fundamental computer science research has identified a family of universal computers which may be instantiated in a vari-

ety of ways, such as the Turing machine, the lambda calculus, and the combinator calculus. Equivalence theorems such as the Church–Turing thesis show that these systems are equivalent in their computational power, and that they can be used to compute any computable function. The combinator calculus is a family of systems which use a small set of combinators to express computation. The most well-known member of this family is the `SKI` combinator calculus, which uses only three combinators: `s`, `k`, and `i`. Other members of this family include the `BCKW` combinator calculus and the `H` combinator calculus. These systems are all equivalent in their computational power, but they differ in their syntax and semantics. The Nock combinator calculus is an extension of the `SKI` combinator calculus which adds a few axiomatic rules to navigate and manipulate binary trees, carry out a very primitive arithmetic, and provide for side effects.

Perhaps better put, Nock is a family of combinator calculi that sequentially converge on an “optimal” expressiveness for certain design desiderata. This includes an economy of expression (thus several macro opcodes) and consideration of how a higher-level language would invoke stored procedural expressions. Furthermore an opcode exists which produces and then ignores a computation, intended to signal to a runtime layer that a side effect may be desired by the caller.<sup>1</sup>

Nock bears the following characteristics:

- Turing-complete. Put formally, Turing completeness (and thus the ability to evaluate anything we would call a computation) is exemplified by the  $\mu$ -recursive functions. In practice, these amount to operations for constant, increment, variable access, program concatenation, and looping (Reitzig, 2012). Nock supports these directly through its primitive opcodes.
- Functional (as in language). Nock is a pure function of its arguments. In practice, the Urbit operating system provides a simulated global scope for userspace ap-

---

<sup>1</sup>An able if dated document from January 2010, `5-whynock.txt`, further expounds desiderata for Nock in the context of Urbit as operating function.

plications, but this virtualized environment reduces to garden-variety Nock. (See **Davis2025b (Davis2025b)**, pp. XX–XX in this volume, for details of a Nock virtualized interpreter.)

- Subject-oriented. Nock evaluation consists of a formula as a noun to be evaluated against a subject as a noun. Taken together, these constitute the entire set of inputs to a pure function.

Some Nock opcodes alter the subject (for instance a variable declaration) by producing a new subject which is utilized for subsequent axis lookups.

- Homoiconic. Nock unifies code and data under a single representation. A Nock atom is a natural number, and a Nock cell is a pair of nouns. Every Nock noun is acyclic, and every Nock expression is a binary tree. For example, Nock expressions intended to be evaluated as code are often pinned as data by the constant opcode until they are retrieved by evaluating the constant opcode at that axis.

- Untyped. Nock is untyped, meaning that it does not impose any type system on the expressions it evaluates. Nock “knows” about the natural numbers in two senses: such are used for addressing axes in the binary tree of a noun, and such are manipulated and compared using the increment and equality opcodes.

- Solid-state. A Nock interpreter is a solid-state machine, meaning that it operates from a state to a new state strictly according to inputs as a pure lifecycle function. The Nock interpreter must commit the results of a successful computation as the new state before subsequent computations, or events, can be evaluated. Transient evaluations (uncompleted events) and crashes (invalid evaluations) may be lost without consequence, and the Nock interpreter layer persists the underlying state of the machine.

We have asserted without demonstration thus far that Nock is a combinator calculus. We now show that this is the case, with reference to Nock 4K, the latest specification. The simplest combinator calculus consists of only three combinators:  $s$ ,  $\kappa$ , and  $i$  (Wolfram, 2021). These combinators are:

1.  $s$  substitution.  $sxyz = xz(yz)$ , returns the first argument applied to the third, then applies this to the result of the second argument applied to the third. This corresponds to Nock 4K's opcode 2, which substitutes the second argument into the first argument at the third argument's axis. (There are some subtle differences to Nock's expression of  $s$  as opcode 2 that we will elide as being fundamentally similar, but perhaps worthy of its own monograph.)
2.  $\kappa$  constant.  $\kappa xy = x$ , consumes its argument and returns a constant in all cases. This corresponds to Nock 4K's opcode 1, which yields its argument as a constant noun.
3.  $i$  identity.  $ix = x$ , returns its argument. This corresponds to a special case of Nock 4K's opcode 0, a generalized axis lookup operator, which can trivially retrieve the current subject or expression as well as any children.

While Nock introduces a few more primitive operations as a practicality, the above identities establish its bona fides as a combinator calculus capable of general computation. Similar to Haskell Curry's BCKW system, which can be written in forms isomorphic to SKI, Nock provides a set of primitive rules and a set of economic extended rules for convenience in writing a compiler.<sup>2</sup>

In an early document, Yarvin explained two of his design criteria in producing Nock as a practical ISA target (~sorregnamtyv, 2010):

1. Natural conversion of source to code without other inputs.

---

<sup>2</sup>See Galebach2025 (Galebach2025), pp. 1–45 in this volume, for exposition on how to evaluate a Nock expression by hand or by interpreter.

2. Metacircularity without deep stacks; i.e., the ability to extend Nock semantics without altering the underlying substrate.

This latter idea he particularly connected to the concept of what came to be called a “scry namespace”: “dereferencing Urbit paths is as natural (and stateless) a function as increment or equals” (ibid.). Indeed, Urbit’s current userspace utilizes such an affordance to replicate a global scope environment for accessing system and remote resources. (See **Davis2025b** (**Davis2025b**), pp. XX–XX in this volume, for a discussion of the Nock virtualized interpreter.)

### 3 Nock's Decrements

The Nock family survives in a trail of breadcrumbs, with each version of the specification being a decrement of the previous version.<sup>3</sup> Early versions were produced exclusively by Curtis Yarvin, eventually involving the input of other developers after the 2013 founding of Tlon Corporation. In this section, we present each extant version of the Nock specification and comment on the changes and their motivations. Only the layouts have been changed for print. Dates for Nock specifications were derived from dated public posts (U, 9K), internal dating (13K, 12K, 11K, 10K), or from Git commit history data (8K, 7K, 6K, 5K).<sup>4</sup> No version of 14K survives publicly, nor does any primordial version prior to U (15K) appear to exist.

Yarvin’s background as a systems engineer with systems like Xaos Tools (for SGI Irix), Geoworks (on DoCoMo’s iMode), and Unwired Planet (on the Wireless Application Protocol, WAP) inclined him towards a formal break with Unix-era computing (~sorreg-namtyv, 2025). He sought to produce a system enabling server-like behavior rather than a network of clients dependent on centralized servers for a functional Internet. This

---

<sup>3</sup>This system, called “kelvin decrementing”, draws on analogy with absolute zero as the lowest possible temperature—and thus most stable state.

<sup>4</sup>In at least one case (7K), Yarvin claims to have finished the proposal a month earlier but to not have posted it until this date.

required a deep first-principles rederivation of computing; the foundational layer was a combinator calculus which became Nock. Nock was intended from the beginning to become less provisional over time, encoding a kelvin decrement which forced the specification to converge on a sufficiently good set of op-codes. Many downstream consequences of Urbit and NockApp as systems derive directly from the affordances encoded into Nock.

### 3.1 U

I have not really worked with combinator models, but my general impression is that it takes essentially an infinite amount of syntactic sugar to turn them into a programming language. U certainly takes some sweetener, but not, I think, as much. (~sorreg-namtyv<sup>5</sup>, 2006)

The earliest extant Nock is U, a proto-Nock posted to the *Lambda the Ultimate* blog in 2006 (~sorreg-namtyv (2006); ~sorreg-namtyv (2006)).<sup>6</sup> The draft is versioned 0.15; subsequent evidence indicates that this is a downward-counting kelvin-versioned document already. The full specification is reproduced in Listing 1.

Extensive commentary on the operators is provided. Rightwards grouping of tuple expressions has already been introduced. Extension of the language is summarily ruled out.<sup>7</sup> Data are conceived of as Unix-like byte streams; details of parsing and lexing are considered. Terms (the ancestor of nouns) include a NULL-like “foo” type ~ distinguishable by value rather than structure. ASCII is built in as numeric codes, similar to Gödel numbering.

As commenter Mario B. pointed out, the U specification permits SKI operators with the simple expressions,

---

<sup>5</sup>*Avant la lettre.*

<sup>6</sup>Curtis Yarvin was consulted for elements of this history. Unfortunately many elements of the original prehistory of Nock appear to be lost to the sands of time on unrecoverable hard drives.

<sup>7</sup>Compare Ax and Conk, pp. XX–XX herein.

|     |        |                 |                     |
|-----|--------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 222 | [name] | [pattern]       | [definition]        |
| 223 | (I)    | (I \$a)         | \$a                 |
| 224 | (K)    | (K \$a \$b)     | \$b                 |
| 225 | (S)    | (S \$a \$b \$c) | (\$a \$c (\$b \$c)) |

226 While early work (1940s–50s) had been carried out on  
227 “minimal instruction set computers” (MISCs), it is more likely  
228 that Yarvin was influenced by contemporaneous work on “re-  
229 duced instruction set computers” (RISCs) in the 1980s and  
230 90s. Language proposals like that of Madore’s Unlambda and  
231 Burger’s Pico Lisp may have influenced Yarvin’s design choices  
232 throughout this era.

233 The U specification is in some ways the single most inter-  
234 esting historical document of our series. Yarvin particularly  
235 identified a desire to avoid baking abstractions like variables  
236 and functions into the U cake, and an emphasis on client–server  
237 semantics. The scry namespace appears *avant la lettre* as a re-  
238 ferentially transparent immutable distributed namespace. U ex-  
239 presses a very ambitious hyper-Turing operator, acknowledg-  
240 ing that its own instantiation from the specification is impos-  
241 sible and approximate. Yarvin grapples in U with the halting  
242 problem (via his follow operator) and with the tension between  
243 a specification and an implementation (a gulf he highlighted as  
244 a human problem in his 2025 LambdaConf keynote address).  
245 Furthermore, asides on issues like the memory arena prefigure  
246 implementation details of Vere as a runtime.

Listing 1: U, 31 January 2006. The earliest extant patriarch of  
the Nock family.

---

```
247 U: Definition
248
249
250 1 Purpose
251   This document defines the U function and its data
252   model.
253
254 2 License
255   U is in the public domain.
256
257 3 Status
258   This text is a DRAFT (version 0.15).
259
```



#### 4 Data

A value in U is called a "term." There are three kinds of term: "number," "pair," and "foo."

A number is any natural number (ie, nonnegative integer).

A pair is an ordered pair of any two terms.

There is only one foo.

#### 5 Syntax

U is a computational model, not a programming language.

But a trivial ASCII syntax for terms is useful.

##### 5.1 Trivial syntax: briefly

Numbers are in decimal. Pairs are in parentheses that nest to the right. Foo is "~".

Whitespace is space or newline. Line comments use "#".

##### 5.2 Trivial syntax: exactly

```
term      : number
           | 40 ?white pair ?white 41
           | foo

number    : 48
           | [49-57] *[48-57]

pair      : term white term
           | term white pair

foo       : 126

white     : *(32 | 10 | (35 *[32-126] 10))
```

#### 6 Semantics

U is a pure function from term to term.

302 This document completely defines U. There is no  
 303 compatible way to extend or revise U.

304

## 305 6.1 Rules

| 306 | [name] | [pattern]               | [definition]                     |
|-----|--------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 307 |        |                         |                                  |
| 308 | (a)    | (\$a 0 \$b)             | \$b                              |
| 309 | (b)    | (\$a 1 \$b \$c)         | 1                                |
| 310 | (c)    | (\$a 1 \$b)             | 0                                |
| 311 | (d)    | (\$a 2 0 \$b \$c)       | \$b                              |
| 312 | (e)    | (\$a 2 %n \$b \$c)      | \$c                              |
| 313 | (f)    | (\$a 3 \$b \$c)         | =( \$b \$c)                      |
| 314 | (g)    | (\$a 4 %n)              | +%n                              |
| 315 |        |                         |                                  |
| 316 | (h)    | (\$a 5 (~ ~ \$b) \$c)   | \$b                              |
| 317 | (i)    | (\$a 5 (~ \$b \$c) \$d) | *( \$a \$b \$c \$d)              |
| 318 | (j)    | (\$a 5 (~ ~) \$b)       | ~                                |
| 319 | (k)    | (\$a 5 (~ \$b) \$c)     | *( \$a \$b \$c)                  |
| 320 | (l)    | (\$a 5 (\$b \$c) \$d)   |                                  |
| 321 |        |                         | *( \$a \$b \$d) *( \$a \$c \$d)) |
| 322 | (m)    | (\$a 5 \$b \$c)         | \$b                              |
| 323 |        |                         |                                  |
| 324 | (n)    | (\$a 6 \$b \$c)         | *( \$a *( \$a 5 \$b \$c))        |
| 325 | (o)    | (\$a 7 \$b)             | *( \$a 5 \$a \$a \$b)            |
| 326 | (p)    | (\$a 8 \$b \$c \$d)     | >(\$b \$c \$d)                   |
| 327 |        |                         |                                  |
| 328 | (q)    | (\$a \$b \$c)           | *( \$a 5 *( \$a 7 \$b) \$c)      |
| 329 | (r)    | (\$a \$b)               | *( \$a \$b)                      |
| 330 | (s)    | \$a                     | *\$a                             |

331

332 The rule notation is a pseudocode, only used in  
 333 this file. Its definition follows.

334

## 335 6.2 Rule pseudocode: briefly

336 Each line is a pattern match. "%" means  
 337 "number." Match in order. See operators below.

338

## 339 6.3 Rule pseudocode: exactly

340 Both pattern and definition use the same  
 341 evaluation language, an extension of the trivial  
 342 syntax.

343

344       An evaluation is a tree in which each node is a  
345       term, a term-valued variable, or a unary  
346       operation.

347

348       Variables are symbols marked with a constraint.  
349       A variable "\$name" matches any term. "%name"  
350       matches any number.

351

352       There are four unary prefix operators, each of  
353       which is a pure function from term to term: "=",  
354       "+", "\*", and ">". Their semantics follow.

355

#### 356   6.4 Evaluation semantics

357       For any term \$term, to compute U(\$term):

358

- 359       - find the first pattern, in order, that
- 360        matches \$term.
- 361       - substitute its variable matches into its
- 362        definition.
- 363       - compute the substituted definition.

364

365       Iff this sequence of steps terminates, U(\$term)  
366       "completes." Otherwise it "chokes."

367

368       Evaluation is strict: incorrect completion is a  
369       bug. Choking is U's only error or exception  
370       mechanism.

371

#### 372   6.5 Simple operators: equal, increment, evaluate

373       =(\$a \$b) is 0 if \$a and \$b are equal; 1 if they  
374       are not.

375

376       +%n is %n plus 1.

377

378       \*\$a is U(\$a).

379

#### 380   6.6 The follow operator

381       >(\$a \$b \$c) is always 0. But it does not always  
382       complete.

383

384       We say "\$c follows \$b in \$a" iff, for every \$term:

385

```

386         if *($a 5 $b $term) chokes:
387             *($a 5 $c $term) chokes.
388
389         if *($a 5 $b $term) completes:
390             either:
391                 *($a 5 $c $term) completes, and
392                 *($a 5 $c $term) equals
393                 *($a 5 $b $term)
394             or:
395                 *($a 5 $c $term) chokes.
396
397     If $c follows $b in $a, >($a $b $c) is 0.
398
399     If this statement cannot be shown (ie, if there
400     exists any $term that falsifies it, generates an
401     infinitely recursive series of follow tests, or is
402     inversely self-dependent, ie, exhibits Russell's
403     paradox), >($a $b $c) chokes.
404
405 7 Implementation issues
406     This section is not normative.
407
408 7.1 The follow operator
409     Of course, no algorithm can completely implement
410     the follow operator. So no program can completely
411     implement U.
412
413     But this does not stop us from stating the
414     correctness of a partial implementation - for
415     example, one that assumes a hardcoded set of
416     follow cases, and fails when it would otherwise
417     have to compute a follow case outside this set.
418
419     U calls this a "trust failure." One way to
420     standardize trust failures would be to standardize
421     a fixed set of follow cases as part of the
422     definition of U. However, this is equivalent to
423     standardizing a fixed trusted code base. The
424     problems with this approach are well-known.
425
426     A better design for U implementations is to
427     depend on a voluntary, unstandardized failure

```

mechanism. Because all computers have bounded memory, and it is impractical to standardize a fixed memory size and allocation strategy, every real computing environment has such a mechanism.

For example, packet loss in an unreliable packet protocol, such as UDP, is a voluntary failure mechanism.

If the packet transfer function of a stateful UDP server is defined in terms of U, failure to compute means dropping a packet. If the server has no other I/O, its semantics are completely defined by its initial state and packet function.

## 7.2 Other unstandardized implementation details

A practical implementation of U will detect and log common cases of choking. It will also need a timeout or some other unspecified mechanism to abort undetected infinite loops.

(Although trust failure, allocation failure or timeout, and choke detection all depend on what is presumably a single voluntary failure mechanism, they are orthogonal and should not be confused.)

Also, because U is so abstract, differences in implementation strategy can result in performance disparities which are almost arbitrarily extreme. The difficulty of standardizing performance is well-known.

No magic bullet can stop these unstandardized issues from becoming practical causes of lock-in and incompatibility. Systems which depend on U must manage them at every layer.

## 3.2 Nock 13K

At some point between January 2006 and March 2008, Nock acquired its cognomen.

The only compound opcode is opcode 6, the conditional branch opcode.

Axiomatic operator `* tar`<sup>8</sup> is identified as a GOTO.<sup>9</sup>

---

### Listing 2: Nock 13K, 8 March 2008.

---

```
Author: Curtis Yarvin (curtis.yarvin@gmail.com)
Date: 3/8/2008
Version: 0.13
```

#### 1. Manifest

This file defines one Turing-complete function,  
"nock."

nock is in the public domain. So far as I know,  
it is neither patentable nor patented. Use it at  
your own risk.

#### 2. Data

Both the domain and range of nock are "nouns."

A "noun" is either an "atom" or a "cell." An  
"atom" is an unsigned integer of any size. A  
"cell" is an ordered pair of any two nouns, the  
"head" and "tail."

#### 3. Pseudocode

nock is defined in a pattern-matching pseudocode.

Match precedence is top-down. Operators are

---

<sup>8</sup>We refer to Nock axiomatic operators via their modern aural ASCII pronunciations. While these evolved over time (to wit, `^` "hat" became "ket"), to attempt to synchronize pronunciation with the era of a Nock release is a fool's errand.

<sup>9</sup>One can see the influence of this version's naming scheme on Atman's Ax, pp. XX-XX herein.

501       prefix. Parens denote cells, and group right:  
502       (a b c) is (a (b c)).

503

#### 504   4. Definition

505

#### 506   4.1 Transformations

507

508       \*(a 0 b c)   => \*(\*(a b) c)  
509       \*(a 0 b)     => /(b a)  
510       \*(a 1 b)     => (b)  
511       \*(a 2 b)     => \*\*\*(a b)  
512       \*(a 3 b)     => &\*(a b)  
513       \*(a 4 b)     => ^\*(a b)  
514       \*(a 5 b)     => =\*(a b)  
515       \*(a 6 b c d) => \*(a 2 (0 1)  
516                               2 (1 c d) (1 0)  
517                               2 (1 2 3) (1 0) 4 4 b)  
518       \*(a b c)     => (\*(a b) \*(a c))  
519       \*(a)         => \*(a)

520

#### 521   4.2 Operators

522

#### 523   4.2.1 Goto (\*)

524

525       \*(a)               -> nock(a)

526

#### 527   4.2.2 Deep (&)

528

529       &(a b)           -> 0

530       &(a)             -> 1

531

#### 532   4.2.3 Bump (^)

533

534       ^(a b)           -> ^(a b)

535       ^(a)             -> a + 1

536

#### 537   4.2.4 Same (=)

538

539       =(a a)           -> 0

540       =(a b)           -> 1

541       =(a)             -> =(a)

542

#### 543 4.2.5 Snip (/)

544

```
545         /(1 a)           -> a
546         /(2 a b)         -> a
547         /(3 a b)         -> b
548         /((a + a) b)      -> /(2 /(a b))
549         /((a + a + 1) b) -> /(3 /(a b))
550         /(a)              -> /(a)
551
```

---

552 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2008)

### 553 3.3 Nock 12K

554 Opcodes were reordered slightly. Compound opcodes were in-  
 555 troduced, such as a conditional branch and a static hint opcode.  
 556 Autocons appeared explicitly.

#### Listing 3: Nock 12K, 2008.

---

557

558 Author: Curtis Yarvin (curtis.yarvin@gmail.com)

559

Date: 3/28/2008

560

Version: 0.12

561

#### 562 1. Introduction

563

564 This file defines one function, "nock."

565

566 nock is in the public domain.

567

#### 568 2. Data

569

570 A "noun" is either an "atom" or a "cell." An  
 571 "atom" is an unsigned integer of any size. A  
 572 "cell" is an ordered pair of any two nouns,  
 573 the "head" and "tail."

574

#### 575 3. Semantics

576

577 nock maps one noun to another. It doesn't  
 578 always terminate.

579

#### 580 4. Pseudocode

581



```
582      nock is defined in a pattern-matching
583      pseudocode, below.
584
585      Parentheses enclose cells.  (a b c) is
586      (a (b c)).
587
588 5. Definition
589
590 5.1 Transformations
591
592      *(a (b c) d) => (*(a b c) *(a d))
593      *(a 0 b)      => /(b a)
594      *(a 1 b)      => (b)
595      *(a 2 b c)    => (*(a b) c)
596      *(a 3 b)      => **(a b)
597      *(a 4 b)      => &*(a b)
598      *(a 5 b)      => ^*(a b)
599      *(a 6 b)      => =*(a b)
600
601      *(a 7 b c d) => *(a 3 (0 1) 3 (1 c d) (1 0)
602                      3 (1 2 3) (1 0) 5 5 b)
603      *(a 8 b c)    => *(a 2 (((1 0) b) c) 0 3)
604      *(a 9 b c)    => *(a c)
605
606      *(a)          => *(a)
607
608 5.2 Operators
609
610 5.2.1 Goto (*)
611
612      *(a)          -> nock(a)
613
614 5.2.2 Deep (&)
615
616      &(a b)         -> 0
617      &(a)           -> 1
618
619 5.2.4 Bump (^)
620
621      ^(a b)         -> ^(a b)
622      ^(a)           -> a + 1
623
```

624 5.2.5 Same (=)

625

```
626         = (a a)           -> 0
627         = (a b)           -> 1
628         = (a)              -> =(a)
```

629

630 5.2.6 Snip (/)

631

```
632         /(1 a)             -> a
633         /(2 a b)           -> a
634         /(3 a b)           -> b
635         /((a + a) b)        -> /(2 /(a b))
636         /((a + a + 1) b)    -> /(3 /(a b))
637         /(a)                -> /(a)
```

639 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2008)

640 3.4 Nock 11K

641 Opcodes were reordered slightly. The conditional branch was  
642 moved to 2. Composition, formerly at 2, was removed.

643 The kelvin versioning system here became explicit (rather  
644 than implicitly decreasing minor versions).

---

Listing 4: Nock 11K, 25 May 2008.

---

645

646 Author: Mencius Moldebug (moldebug@gmail.com)

647 Date: 5/25/2008

648 Version: 11K

649

650 1. Introduction

651

652 This file defines one function, "nock."

653

654 nock is in the public domain.

655

656 2. Data

657

```
658 A "noun" is either an "atom" or a "cell." An
659 "atom" is an unsigned integer of any size. A
660 "cell" is an ordered pair of any two nouns, the
661 "head" and "tail."
```

662

## 663 3. Semantics

664

665       nock maps one noun to another. It doesn't always  
666       terminate.

667

## 668 4. Pseudocode

669

670       nock is defined in a pattern-matching pseudocode,  
671       below.

672

673       Parentheses enclose cells. (a b c) is (a (b c)).

674

## 675 5. Definition

676

## 677 5.1 Transformations

678

679       \*(a (b c) d) => (\*(a b c) \*(a d))

680       \*(a 0 b)       => /(b a)

681       \*(a 1 b)       => (b)

682       \*(a 2 b c d) => \*(a 3 (0 1) 3 (1 c d) (1 0)  
683                               3 (1 2 3) (1 0) 5 5 b)

684       \*(a 3 b)       => \*\*\*(a b)

685       \*(a 4 b)       => &\*(a b)

686       \*(a 5 b)       => ^\*(a b)

687       \*(a 6 b)       => =\*(a b)

688

689       \*(a 7 b c)     => \*(a 3 (((1 0) b) c) 1 0 3)

690       \*(a 8 b c)     => \*(a c)

691

692       \*(a)           => \*(a)

693

## 694 5.2 Operators

695

## 696 5.2.1 Goto (\*)

697

698       \*(a)                   -> nock(a)

699

## 700 5.2.2 Deep (&amp;)

701

702       &(a b)               -> 0

703       &(a)                 -> 1

704

```

705 5.2.4 Bump (^)
706
707      ^ (a b)          -> ^ (a b)
708      ^ (a)            -> a + 1
709
710 5.2.5 Same (=)
711
712      = (a a)           -> 0
713      = (a b)           -> 1
714      = (a)             -> = (a)
715
716 5.2.6 Snip (/)
717
718      / (1 a)           -> a
719      / (2 a b)          -> a
720      / (3 a b)          -> b
721      / ((a + a) b)      -> / (2 / (a b))
722      / ((a + a + 1) b) -> / (3 / (a b))
723      / (a)              -> / (a)
724

```

---

725 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2008)

## 726 3.5 Nock 10K

727 Parentheses were replaced by brackets. Opcodes were re-  
728 ordered slightly. Hint syntax was removed. Functionally, 11K  
729 and 10K appear very similar, particularly if the Watt (proto-  
730 Hoon) compiler is set up to produce variable declarations and  
731 compositions as the compound opcodes had them.

### Listing 5: Nock 10K, 15 September 2008.

---

```

732 Author: Mencius Moldbug [moldbug@gmail.com]
733 Date: 9/15/2008
734 Version: 10K
735
736
737 1. Introduction
738
739     This file defines one function, "nock."
740
741     nock is in the public domain.
742

```

## 743 2. Data

744

745 A "noun" is either an "atom" or a "cell." An  
746 "atom" is an unsigned integer of any size. A  
747 "cell" is an ordered pair of any two nouns, the  
748 "head" and "tail."

749

## 750 3. Semantics

751

752 nock maps one noun to another. It doesn't always  
753 terminate.

754

## 755 4. Pseudocode

756

757 nock is defined in a pattern-matching pseudocode,  
758 below.

759

760 Brackets enclose cells. [a b c] is [a [b c]].

761

## 762 5. Definition

763

## 764 5.1 Transformations

765

766 \* [a [b c] d] => [\* [a b c] \* [a d]]  
767 \* [a 0 b] => /[b a]  
768 \* [a 1 b] => [b]  
769 \* [a 2 b c d] => \* [a 3 [0 1] 3 [1 c d]  
770 [1 0] 3 [1 2 3] [1 0] 5 5 b]  
771 \* [a 3 b] => \*\* [a b]  
772 \* [a 4 b] => &\* [a b]  
773 \* [a 5 b] => ^\* [a b]  
774 \* [a 6 b] => =\* [a b]  
775 \* [a] => \* [a]

776

## 777 5.2 Operators

778

## 779 5.2.1 Goto [\*]

780

781 \* [a] -> nock[a]

782

## 783 5.2.2 Deep [&amp;]

784

```

785      &[a b]          -> 0
786      &[a]            -> 1
787
788 5.2.4 Bump [^]
789
790      ^[a b]           -> ^[a b]
791      ^[a]             -> (a + 1)
792
793 5.2.5 Like [=]
794
795      =[a a]           -> 0
796      =[a b]           -> 1
797      =[a]             -> =[a]
798
799 5.2.6 Snip [/]
800
801      /[1 a]           -> a
802      /[2 a b]         -> a
803      /[3 a b]         -> b
804      /[(a + a) b]     -> /[2 /[a b]]
805      /[(a + a + 1) b] -> /[3 /[a b]]
806      /[a]             -> /[a]

```

---

808 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2008)

## 809 3.6 Nock 9K

810 The cell detection axiomatic operator underlying opcode 4 (cell  
811 detection) was changed from & pam to ? wut. Versus 10K, 9K  
812 elides operator names in favor of definitions. Other differences  
813 are likewise primarily terminological, such as the replacement  
814 of Deep & pam with ? wut.

815 This version of Nock was published on the Moron Lab blog  
816 in 2010 (~sorreg-namtyv, 2010) as “Maxwell’s equations of  
817 software”. Yarvin emphasized that Nock was intended to serve  
818 as “foundational system software rather than foundational  
819 metamathematics” (ibid.). Yarvin also publicly expounded on  
820 the practicality of building a higher-level language on top of  
821 Nock at this point (ibid.):

822 To define a language with Nock, construct two

nouns,  $q$  and  $r$ , such that  $*[q\ r]$  equals  $r$ , and  $*[s\ *[p\ r]]$  is a useful functional language. In this description,

- $p$  is the function source;
- $q$  is your language definition, as source;
- $r$  is your language definition, as data;
- $s$  is the input data.

More concretely, Watt (the predecessor to Hoon) is defined as:

---

```
urbit-formula == Watt(urbit-source)
               == Nock(urbit-source watt-formula)
watt-formula  == Watt(watt-source)
               == Nock(watt-source watt-formula)
```

---

This remains the essential pattern followed to this day by higher-level languages targeting Nock as an ISA.

Yarvin had prepared to virtualize Nock interpretation to expose a broader namespace for interaction with values than the “strict” subject of a formula (~sorreg-namtyv, 2010).

---

Listing 6: Nock 9K, *terminus ad quem* 7 January 2010.

---

1 Context

This spec defines one function, Nock.

2 Structures

A noun is an atom or a cell. An atom is any unsigned integer. A cell is an ordered pair of any two nouns.

3 Pseudocode

Brackets enclose cells.  $[a\ b\ c]$  is  $[a\ [b\ c]]$ .

$*a$  is  $\text{Nock}(a)$ . Reductions match top-down.

4 Reductions

```

861      ?[a b]          => 0
862      ?a              => 1
863
864      ^[a b]          => ^[a b]
865      ^a              => (a + 1)
866
867      =[a a]          => 0
868      =[a b]          => 1
869      =a              => =a
870
871      /[1 a]          => a
872      /[2 a b]         => a
873      /[3 a b]         => b
874      /[(a + a) b]     => /[2 /[a b]]
875      /[(a + a + 1) b] => /[3 /[a b]]
876      /a              => /a
877
878      *[a 0 b]         => /[b a]
879      *[a 1 b]         => b
880      *[a 2 b c d]     => *[a 3 [0 1] 3 [1 c d] [1 0]
881                        3 [1 2 3] [1 0] 5 5 b]
882      *[a 3 b]         => **[a b]
883      *[a 4 b]         => ?*[a b]
884      *[a 5 b]         => ^*[a b]
885      *[a 6 b]         => =*[a b]
886      *[a [b c] d]     => [*[a b c] *[a d]]
887      *a              => *a
    
```

---

889 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2010)

## 890 3.7 Nock 8K

891 The compound opcodes reappeared. Opcode 6 defined a con-  
 892 ditional branch. Opcode 7 was described as a function compo-  
 893 sition operator. Opcode 8 served to define variables. Opcode 9  
 894 defined a calling convention. The remaining opcodes are hints,  
 895 but each serving a different purpose:

- 896 11. consolidate for reference equality.
- 897 12. yield an arbitrary, unspecified hint.
- 898 13. label for acceleration (jet).



899       Nock 8K received an uncharacteristic amount of commen-  
900       tary, given a preprint document prepared for presentation at  
901       the 42nd ISCIE International Symposium on Stochastic Systems  
902       Theory and Its Applications (sss'10) (~sorreg-namtyv, 2010).

903       Lambda was highlighted as a design pattern (a “gate”  
904       or stored procedure call) enabled by the “core” convention.  
905       Notably, `[[sample context] battery]` occurred in a different  
906       order than has been conventional since 2013 (emphasizing that  
907       the ubiquitous core pattern is a convention rather than a re-  
908       quirement). Watt was revealed to have a different ASCII pro-  
909       nunciation convention than Nock at this stage.

---

Listing 7: Nock 8K, 25 July 2010.

---

```
910 1 Structures
911
912     A noun is an atom or a cell. An atom is any
913     unsigned integer. A cell is an ordered pair of
914     nouns.
915
916 2 Pseudocode
917
918     [a b c] is [a [b c]]; *a is nock(a). Reductions
919     match top-down.
920
921 3 Reductions
922
923     ?[a b]           0
924     ?a               1
925     ^a               (a + 1)
926     =[a a]           0
927     =[a b]           1
928
929     /[1 a]           a
930     /[2 a b]         a
931     /[3 a b]         b
932     /[(a + a) b]     /[2 /[a b]]
933     /[(a + a + 1) b] /[3 /[a b]]
934
935     *[a [b c] d]     [*[a b c] *[a d]]
936     *[a 0 b]         /[b a]
937     *[a 1 b]         b
938
```

|     |              |                               |
|-----|--------------|-------------------------------|
| 939 | *[a 2 b c]   | *[*[a b] *[a c]]              |
| 940 | *[a 3 b]     | ?*[a b]                       |
| 941 | *[a 4 b]     | ^[a b]                        |
| 942 | *[a 5 b]     | =*[a b]                       |
| 943 |              |                               |
| 944 | *[a 6 b c d] | *[a 2 [0 1] 2 [1 c d] [1 0]   |
| 945 |              | 2 [1 2 3] [1 0] 4 4 b]        |
| 946 | *[a 7 b c]   | *[a 2 b 1 c]                  |
| 947 | *[a 8 b c]   | *[a 7 [7 b [0 1]] c]          |
| 948 | *[a 9 b c]   | *[a 8 b 2 [[7 [0 3] d] [0 5]] |
| 949 |              | 0 5]                          |
| 950 | *[a 10 b c]  | *[a 8 b 8 [7 [0 3] c] 0 2]    |
| 951 | *[a 11 b c]  | *[a 8 b 7 [0 3] c]            |
| 952 | *[a 12 b c]  | *[a [1 0] 1 c]                |
| 953 |              |                               |
| 954 | ^[a b]       | ^[a b]                        |
| 955 | = a          | = a                           |
| 956 | /a           | /a                            |
| 957 | *a           | *a                            |
| 958 |              |                               |

---

959 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2010)

## 960 3.8 Nock 7K

961 During this era, substantial development took place on the  
 962 early Urbit operating system. Nock began to be battle-tested  
 963 in a way it had not previously been stressed. Several decre-  
 964 ments occurred in short order.

965 The three hint opcodes were refactored into two, a static  
 966 and a dynamic hint, both at 10.

Listing 8: Nock 7K, *terminus ad quem* 14 November 2010.

---

### 967 1 Structures

968  
 969  
 970 A noun is an atom or a cell. An atom is any  
 971 natural number. A cell is any ordered pair of  
 972 nouns.

### 973 2 Pseudocode

974  
 975  
 976 [a b c] [a [b c]]

---

```

977  nock(a)          *a
978
979  ?[a b]           0
980  ?a               1
981  ^a              1 + a
982  =[a a]           0
983  =[a b]           1
984
985  /[1 a]            a
986  /[2 a b]          a
987  /[3 a b]          b
988  /[(a + a) b]      /[2 /[a b]]
989  /[(a + a + 1) b]  /[3 /[a b]]
990
991  *[a [b c] d]      [*[a b c] *[a d]]
992
993  *[a 0 b]          /[b a]
994  *[a 1 b]          b
995  *[a 2 b c]        *[*[a b] *[a c]]
996  *[a 3 b]          ?*[a b]
997  *[a 4 b]          ^*[a b]
998  *[a 5 b]          =*[a b]
999
1000  *[a 6 b c d]      *[a 2 [0 1] 2 [1 c d] [1 0]
1001                      2 [1 2 3] [1 0] 4 4 b]
1002  *[a 7 b c]        *[a 2 b 1 c]
1003  *[a 8 b c]        *[a 7 [[7 [0 1] b] 0 1] c]
1004  *[a 9 b c]        *[a 7 c 0 b]
1005  *[a 10 b c]       *[a c]
1006  *[a 10 [b c] d]   *[a 8 c 7 [0 3] d]
1007
1008  ^[a b]            ^[a b]
1009  =a                =a
1010  /a                /a
1011  *a                *a
1012

```

---

1013     Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2010)

### 1014   3.9   Nock 6K

1015   The axiomatic operator for increment was changed from ^ ket  
1016   to + lus. Compound opcode syntax was reworked slightly.

Listing 9: Nock 6K, 6 July 2011.

```

1017
1018 1 Structures
1019
1020   A noun is an atom or a cell.  An atom is any
1021   natural number.  A cell is an ordered pair of
1022   nouns.
1023
1024 2 Reductions
1025
1026   nock(a)           *a
1027   [a b c]           [a [b c]]
1028
1029   ?[a b]            0
1030   ?a                1
1031   +a                1 + a
1032   =[a a]            0
1033   =[a b]            1
1034
1035   /[1 a]            a
1036   /[2 a b]          a
1037   /[3 a b]          b
1038   /[(a + a) b]      /[2 /[a b]]
1039   /[(a + a + 1) b]  /[3 /[a b]]
1040
1041   *[a [b c] d]      *[a b c] *[a d]]
1042
1043   *[a 0 b]          /[b a]
1044   *[a 1 b]          b
1045   *[a 2 b c]         *[*[a b] *[a c]]
1046   *[a 3 b]          ?*[a b]
1047   *[a 4 b]          ++[a b]
1048   *[a 5 b]          ==[a b]
1049
1050   *[a 6 b c d]       *[a 2 [0 1] 2 [1 c d] [1 0]
1051                       2 [1 2 3] [1 0] 4 4 b]
1052   *[a 7 b c]         *[a 2 b 1 c]
1053   *[a 8 b c]         *[a 7 [[0 1] b] c]
1054   *[a 9 b c]         *[a 7 c 0 b]
1055   *[a 10 b c]        *[a c]
1056   *[a 10 [b c] d]    *[a 8 c 7 [0 2] d]
1057
1058   +[a b]            +[a b]

```

|      |     |     |
|------|-----|-----|
| 1059 | = a | = a |
| 1060 | / a | / a |
| 1061 | * a | * a |

---

1063      Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2011)

### 1064    3.10    Nock 5K

1065    Compound opcode syntax was reworked slightly. All trivial  
1066    reductions of axiomatic operators were removed to the preface  
1067    of the specification.

1068      (For instance, a trivial “cosmetic” change was made to 5K’s  
1069    specification after it was publicly posted in order to synchro-  
1070    nize it with the VM’s behavior (dd779c1).)

---

#### Listing 10: Nock 5K, 24 September 2012.

---

##### 1071    1 Structures

1072  
1073  
1074    A noun is an atom or a cell. An atom is any natural  
1075    number. A cell is an ordered pair of nouns.

##### 1076    2 Reductions

|      |                  |             |
|------|------------------|-------------|
| 1077 |                  |             |
| 1078 |                  |             |
| 1079 | nock(a)          | * a         |
| 1080 | [a b c]          | [a [b c]]   |
| 1081 |                  |             |
| 1082 | ?[a b]           | 0           |
| 1083 | ?a               | 1           |
| 1084 | + [a b]          | + [a b]     |
| 1085 | + a              | 1 + a       |
| 1086 | = [a a]          | 0           |
| 1087 | = [a b]          | 1           |
| 1088 | = a              | = a         |
| 1089 |                  |             |
| 1090 | /[1 a]           | a           |
| 1091 | /[2 a b]         | a           |
| 1092 | /[3 a b]         | b           |
| 1093 | /[(a + a) b]     | /[2 /[a b]] |
| 1094 | /[(a + a + 1) b] | /[3 /[a b]] |
| 1095 | /a               | /a          |

1096

```

1097  *a [b c] d]      [*a b c] *a d]]
1098
1099  *a 0 b]          /[b a]
1100  *a 1 b]          b
1101  *a 2 b c]        **a b] *a c]]
1102  *a 3 b]          ?*a b]
1103  *a 4 b]          ++a b]
1104  *a 5 b]          ==a b]
1105
1106  *a 6 b c d]       *a 2 [0 1] 2 [1 c d] [1 0] 2
1107                                [1 2 3] [1 0] 4 4 b]
1108  *a 7 b c]         *a 2 b 1 c]
1109  *a 8 b c]         *a 7 [[7 [0 1] b] 0 1] c]
1110  *a 9 b c]         *a 7 c 2 [0 1] 0 b]
1111  *a 10 [b c] d]    *a 8 c 7 [0 3] d]
1112  *a 10 b c]        *a c]
1113
1114  *a                *a
1115

```

---

Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2012)

### 3.11 Nock 4K

The primary change motivating 5K to 4K was the introduction of an edit operator # hax, which ameliorated the proliferation of cells in the Nock runtime's memory.<sup>10</sup> The edit operator is an optimization which makes modifications to a Nock data structure more efficient. It's a notable example of a change motivated by the pragmatics of the runtime rather than theoretical or higher-level language concerns.<sup>11</sup>

Opcode 5 (equality) was rewritten to more explicit with application of the cell distribution rule. Opcodes 6–9 were rewritten to utilize the \* tar operator rather than routing via opcode 2. Opcode 11 (formerly opcode 10) was likewise massaged. In general, preferring to express rules using \* tar proved to be slightly more terse than utilizing opcode 2.

---

<sup>10</sup>The date must be earlier than 27 September 2018; cf. `urbit/urbit` #1027.

<sup>11</sup>See ~niblyx-malnus, pp. XX–XX, this volume, for a verbose derivation of the edit operator and opcode 10 from the primitive opcodes.

Listing 11: Nock 4K, *terminus ad quem* 27 September 2018.

```

1131
1132 Nock 4K
1133
1134 A noun is an atom or a cell. An atom is a natural
1135 number. A cell is an ordered pair of nouns.
1136
1137 Reduce by the first matching pattern; variables match
1138 any noun.
1139
1140 nock(a)          *a
1141 [a b c]          [a [b c]]
1142
1143 ?[a b]           0
1144 ?a               1
1145 +[a b]           +[a b]
1146 +a               1 + a
1147 =[a a]           0
1148 =[a b]           1
1149
1150 /[1 a]           a
1151 /[2 a b]          a
1152 /[3 a b]          b
1153 /[(a + a) b]      /[2 /[a b]]
1154 /[(a + a + 1) b]  /[3 /[a b]]
1155 /a               /a
1156
1157 #[1 a b]          a
1158 #[(a + a) b c]    #[a [b /[(a + a + 1) c]] c]
1159 #[(a + a + 1) b c] #[a [/[(a + a) c] b] c]
1160 #a                #a
1161
1162 *[a [b c] d]      [*[a b c] *[a d]]
1163
1164 *[a 0 b]          /[b a]
1165 *[a 1 b]          b
1166 *[a 2 b c]         *[*[a b] *[a c]]
1167 *[a 3 b]           ?*[a b]
1168 *[a 4 b]           +*[a b]
1169 *[a 5 b c]         =[*[a b] *[a c]]
1170
1171 *[a 6 b c d]       *[a *[[c d] 0 *[[2 3] 0 *[a 4 4 b]]]]
1172 *[a 7 b c]         *[*[a b] c]

```

```

1173 * [a 8 b c]          * [[* [a b] a] c]
1174 * [a 9 b c]          * [* [a c] 2 [0 1] 0 b]
1175 * [a 10 [b c] d]     # [b * [a c] * [a d]]
1176
1177 * [a 11 [b c] d]      * [[* [a c] * [a d]] 0 3]
1178 * [a 11 b c]          * [a c]
1179
1180 * a                    * a
1181

```

---

1182 Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2018-09-27)

## 1183 4 The Future of Nock

1184 While deviations from the trunk line of the Nock family have  
1185 been proposed at various points,<sup>12</sup> Nock itself has remained  
1186 the definitional substrate of Urbit since its inception. It has  
1187 also been adopted as the primary ISA of Nockchain and the  
1188 NockApp ecosystem.

1189 Why, then, do we contemplate further changes? The *skew*  
1190 proposal by ~siprel and ~littl-ponnys argued that Nock 4K  
1191 represented an undesirable saddle point in the design space of  
1192 possible Nocks, itself a “ball of mud” (~siprel and ~littl-  
1193 ponnys, 2020). While *skew* itself was not adopted, it inspired  
1194 the development of *Plunder* and *PLAN* as a solid-state comput-  
1195 ing architecture sharing some ambitions with Urbit and Nock  
1196 (~siprel and ~littl-ponnys, 2023). A rigorously æsthetic  
1197 argument can thus be sustained that Nock is not yet “close  
1198 enough” to its final, diamond-perfect form to be a viable can-  
1199 didate.

1200 While some have found this argument compelling, Urbit’s  
1201 core developers have elected to maintain work in the “main  
1202 line” of traditional Nock as the system’s target ISA. The Nock  
1203 4K specification is a good candidate, in this sense, for a “final”  
1204 version of Nock, as it has been successfully used in produc-  
1205 tion for several years. It seems more likely that subsequent  
1206 changes to Nock will derive not from alternative representa-  
1207 tions but from either dramatically more elegant expressions

---

<sup>12</sup>Notably, *Ax* (see pp. XX–XX, this volume), *skew*, and *PLAN* (see pp. XX–XX, this volume).



1208 (e.g., of opcode 6 or a combinator refactor) or from an implicit  
1209 underspecification in the current Nock 4K which should be  
1210 made explicit.

## 1211 5 Conclusion

1212 A13: If you don't completely understand your  
1213 code and the semantics of all the code it depends  
1214 on, your code is wrong.

1215 A21: Prefer mechanical simplicity to mathemat-  
1216 ical simplicity. Often mechanical simplicity and  
1217 mathematical simplicity go together.

1218 F1: If it's not deterministic, it isn't real.

1219 (~wicdev-wisryt, Urbit Precepts (2020))

1220 Nock began life as a hyper-Turing machine language, a  
1221 theoretical construct for the purpose of defining higher-level  
1222 programming languages with appropriate affordances and se-  
1223 mantics. While its opcodes and syntax have gradually evolved  
1224 over the course of two decades, the ambition to uproot the Unix  
1225 “ball of mud” and replace it with a simple operating function  
1226 amenable to reason has remained the north star of Urbit and  
1227 Nock. The history of Nock serves as an index of refinement  
1228 as Yarvin and contributors sought to balance conciseness, effi-  
1229 ciency, and practicality.

1230 The most recent version, Nock 4K, appears to provide all  
1231 of the opcodes necessary for correct and efficient<sup>13</sup> evaluation.  
1232 It is likely that future versions of Nock will be based genet-  
1233 ically on Nock 4K, but with some changes to improve its per-  
1234 formance and usability. The road to zero kelvin is likely very  
1235 long still, given an abundance of caution, but it also appears to  
1236 be straight.

---

<sup>13</sup>Modulo the vagaries of the von Neumann architecture, etc.

1237

## References

- 1238 Burger, Alexander (2006) “Pico Lisp: A Radical Approach to  
1239 Application Development”. URL:  
1240 <https://software-lab.de/radical.pdf> (visited on  
1241 ~2025.5.19).
- 1242 Madore, David (2003) “The Unlambda Programming  
1243 Language”. URL:  
1244 <http://www.madore.org/~david/programs/unlambda/>  
1245 (visited on ~2025.5.19).
- 1246 Reitzig, Raphael (2012) “Are there minimum criteria for a  
1247 programming language being Turing complete?” URL:  
1248 [https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/991/are-](https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/991/are-there-minimum-criteria-for-a-programming-language-being-turing-complete)  
1249 [there-minimum-criteria-for-a-programming-](https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/991/are-there-minimum-criteria-for-a-programming-language-being-turing-complete)  
1250 [language-being-turing-complete](https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/991/are-there-minimum-criteria-for-a-programming-language-being-turing-complete) (visited on  
1251 ~2025.5.19).
- 1252 ~sipel, Benjamin and Elliot Glaysher ~littel-ponnys  
1253 (2020) “SKEW”. URL: [https://github.com/urbit/urbit/blob/skew/pkg/hs/urbit-](https://github.com/urbit/urbit/blob/skew/pkg/hs/urbit-skew/skew.md)  
1254 [skew/skew.md](https://github.com/urbit/urbit/blob/skew/pkg/hs/urbit-skew/skew.md) (visited on ~2025.5.19).
- 1255 — (2023) “What is Plunder?” URL: <https://plunder.tech/>  
1256 (visited on ~2025.7.6).
- 1257 ~sorreg-namtyv, Curtis Yarvin (2006a) “U, a small model”.  
1258 URL: <http://lambda-the-ultimate.org/node/1269>  
1259 (visited on ~2024.2.20).
- 1260 — (2006b) “U, a small model”. URL:  
1261 <http://urbit.sourceforge.net/u.txt> (visited on  
1262 ~2024.2.20).
- 1263 — (2008a) “Nock 10K”. URL:  
1264 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/10.txt)  
1265 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/10.txt)  
1266 [nock/10.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/10.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).
- 1267 — (2008b) “Nock 11K”. URL:  
1268 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/11.txt)  
1269 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/11.txt)  
1270 [nock/11.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/11.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).
- 1271 — (2008c) “Nock 12K”. URL:  
1272 <https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/>  
1273

1274 0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/  
1275 nock/12.txt (visited on ~2024.2.20).

1276 — (2008d) “Nock 13K”. URL:  
1277 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/13.txt)  
1278 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/13.txt)  
1279 [nock/13.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/13.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).

1280 — (2010a) “Nock 7K”. URL:  
1281 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/7.txt)  
1282 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/7.txt)  
1283 [nock/7.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/7.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).

1284 — (2010b) “Nock 8K”. URL:  
1285 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/8.txt)  
1286 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/8.txt)  
1287 [nock/8.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/8.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).

1288 — (2010c) “Nock 9K”. URL:  
1289 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/9.txt)  
1290 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/9.txt)  
1291 [nock/9.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/9.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).

1292 — (2010d) “Nock: Maxwell’s equations of software”. URL:  
1293 [http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/nock-](http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/nock-maxwells-equations-of-software.html)  
1294 [maxwells-](http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/nock-maxwells-equations-of-software.html)  
1295 [equations-of-software.html](http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/nock-maxwells-equations-of-software.html) (visited on  
1296 ~2024.1.25).

1296 — (2010e) “Urbit: functional programming from scratch”.  
1297 URL: [http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/urbit-](http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/urbit-functional-programming-from.html)  
1298 [functional-programming-from.html](http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/urbit-functional-programming-from.html) (visited on  
1299 ~2024.1.25).

1300 — (2010f) “Why Nock?” URL:  
1301 [https://github.com/cgyarvin/urbit/blob/gh-](https://github.com/cgyarvin/urbit/blob/gh-pages/Spec/urbit/5-whynock.txt)  
1302 [pages/Spec/urbit/5-whynock.txt](https://github.com/cgyarvin/urbit/blob/gh-pages/Spec/urbit/5-whynock.txt) (visited on  
1303 ~2025.5.19).

1304 — (2011) “Nock 6K”. URL:  
1305 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/6.txt)  
1306 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/6.txt)  
1307 [nock/6.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/6.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).

1308 — (2012) “Nock 5K”. URL:  
1309 [https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/5.txt)  
1310 [0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/5.txt)  
1311 [nock/5.txt](https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/nock/5.txt) (visited on ~2024.2.20).

- 1312 ~sorreg-namtyv, Curtis Yarvin (2025). “Urbit: Making the  
1313 Future Real.” In: *LambdaConf 2025*. Accessed: 2025-05-19.  
1314 Estes Park, Colorado: LambdaConf. URL:  
1315 <https://www.lambdaconf.us/> (visited on ~2025.5.19).  
1316 — (2018-09-27) “Nock 4K”. URL: [https://docs.urbit.org/](https://docs.urbit.org/language/nock/reference/definition)  
1317 [language/nock/reference/definition](https://docs.urbit.org/language/nock/reference/definition) (visited on  
1318 ~2024.2.20).  
1319 Wolfram, Stephen (2021). *Combinators: A Centennial View*.  
1320 Accessed: 2025-05-19. Champaign, Illinois: Wolfram  
1321 Media. URL: <https://www.wolfram.com/combinators/>  
1322 (visited on ~2025.5.19).