A Documentary History of the Nock Combinator Calculus

N. E. Davis ~lagrev-nocfep, Curtis Yarvin ~sorreg-namtyv Zorp Corp, Urbit Foundation

1

5

10

12

13

15

3

Abstract

Nock is a family of computational languages derived from the SKI combinator calculus. It serves as the ISA specification layer for the Urbit and NockApp systems. This article outlines the extant historical versions of the Nock combinator calculus and reconstructs the motivation for the changes made at each kelvin decrement. It begins with an exposition of Nock as a tool of computation, outlines the history of Nock's decrements, and speculates on motivations for possible future developments.

Contents

17	1	Introduction														
18	2	Nock as a Combinator Calculus														
19	3	Nock's Decrements														
20		3.1	$U \ldots \ldots \ldots$			159										
21		3.2	Nock 13K			166										
22		3.3	Nock 12K			168										
23		3.4	Nock 11K			170										

Urbit Systems Technical Journal II:1 (2025): 153-188.

32	5	Con	clusion														185
31	4	The	Future (of N	lo	cl	ζ										184
30		3.11	Nock 4l	Κ.	•			•		٠					•		182
29		3.10	Nock 51	Κ.													181
28		3.9	Nock 61	Κ.													179
27		3.8	Nock 7l	Κ.													178
26			Nock 81														-
25		-	Nock 91														
24		3.5	Nock 10	oK .													172

1 Introduction

Nock is a combinator calculus which serves as the computa-34 tional specification layer for the Urbit and Nockchain/Nock-35 App systems. It is a hyper-RISC instruction set architecture 36 (ISA) intended for execution by a virtual machine (but see Mopfel2025 37 (Mopfel2025), pp. XX-XX herein). Nock's simplicity and unity 38 of expression make it amenable to proof-based reasoning and 39 guarantees of correctness. Its Lisp-like nature surfaces the ability to introspect on the code itself, a property which higher-41 level languages compiling to it can exploit. Yet for all this, Nock 42 was not born from a purely mathematical approach, but found 43 its roots in practical systems engineering. 44

Nock permits itself a finite number of specification changes, called "decrements" or "kelvins", which allow it to converge on a balance of expressiveness and efficacy. This article outlines the extant historical versions of the Nock combinator calculus and reconstructs the motivation for the changes made at each kelvin decrement. It begins with an exposition of Nock as a tool of computation, outlines the history of Nock's decrements, and speculates on motivations for possible future developments.

2 Nock as a Combinator Calculus

Fundamental computer science research has identified a family of universal computers which may be instantiated in a vari-

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

ety of ways, such as the Turing machine, the lambda calculus, and the combinator calculus. Equivalence theorems such as the Church-Turing thesis show that these systems are equivalent in their computational power, and that they can be used to compute any computable function. The combinator calculus is a family of systems which use a small set of combinators to express computation. The most well-known member of this family is the SKI combinator calculus, which uses only three combinators: s, k, and I. Other members of this family include the BCKW combinator calculus and the H combinator calculus. These systems are all equivalent in their computational power, but they differ in their syntax and semantics. The Nock combinator calculus is an extension of the SKI combinator calculus which adds a few axiomatic rules to navigate and manipulate binary trees, carry out a very primitive arithmetic, and provide for side effects.

57

58

59

60

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

89

٩n

Perhaps better put, Nock is a family of combinator calculi that sequentially converge on an "optimal" expressiveness for certain design desiderata. This includes an economy of expression (thus several macro opcodes) and consideration of how a higher-level language would invoke stored procedural expressions. Furthermore an opcode exists which produces and then ignores a computation, intended to signal to a runtime layer that a side effect may be desired by the caller.¹

Nock bears the following characteristics:

- Turing-complete. Put formally, Turing completeness (and thus the ability to evaluate anything we would call a computation) is exemplified by the μ-recursive functions. In practice, these amount to operations for constant, increment, variable access, program concatenation, and looping (Reitzig, 2012). Nock supports these directly through its primitive opcodes.
- Functional (as in language). Nock is a pure function of its arguments. In practice, the Urbit operating system provides a simulated global scope for userspace ap-

¹An able if dated document from January 2010, 5-whynock.txt, further expounds desiderata for Nock in the context of Urbit as operating function.

aa

- plications, but this virtualized environment reduces to garden-variety Nock. (See **Davis2025b** (**Davis2025b**), pp. XX–XX in this volume, for details of a Nock virtualized interpreter.)
 - Subject-oriented. Nock evaluation consists of a formula as a noun to be evaluated against a subject as a noun. Taken together, these constitute the entire set of inputs to a pure function.
 - Some Nock opcodes alter the subject (for instance a variable declaration) by producing a new subject which is utilized for subsequent axis lookups.
 - Homoiconic. Nock unifies code and data under a single representation. A Nock atom is a natural number, and a Nock cell is a pair of nouns. Every Nock noun is acyclic, and every Nock expression is a binary tree. For example, Nock expressions intended to be evaluated as code are often pinned as data by the constant opcode until they are retrieved by evaluating the constant opcode at that axis.
 - Untyped. Nock is untyped, meaning that it does not impose any type system on the expressions it evaluates.
 Nock "knows" about the natural numbers in two senses: such are used for addressing axes in the binary tree of a noun, and such are manipulated and compared using the increment and equality opcodes.
 - Solid-state. A Nock interpreter is a solid-state machine, meaning that it operates from a state to a new state strictly according to inputs as a pure lifecycle function. The Nock interpreter must commit the results of a successful computation as the new state before subsequent computations, or events, can be evaluated. Transient evaluations (uncompleted events) and crashes (invalid evaluations) may be lost without consequence, and the Nock interpreter layer persists the underlying state of the machine.

We have asserted without demonstration thus far that Nock is a combinator calculus. We now show that this is the case, with reference to Nock 4K, the latest specification. The simplest combinator calculus consists of only three combinators: s, κ , and t (Wolfram, 2021). These combinators are:

- 1. s substitution. sxyz = xz(yz), returns the first argument applied to the third, then applies this to the result of the second argument applied to the third. This corresponds to Nock 4K's opcode 2, which substitutes the second argument into the first argument at the third argument's axis. (There are some subtle differences to Nock's expression of s as opcode 2 that we will elide as being fundamentally similar, but perhaps worthy of its own monograph.)
- 2. K constant. $\kappa xy = x$, consumes its argument and returns a constant in all cases. This corresponds to Nock 4K's opcode 1, which yields its argument as a constant noun.
- 3. I identity. Ix = x, returns its argument. This corresponds to a special case of Nock 4K's opcode o, a generalized axis lookup operator, which can trivially retrieve the current subject or expression as well as any children.

While Nock introduces a few more primitive operations as a practicality, the above identities establish its bona fides as a combinator calculus capable of general computation. Similar to Haskell Curry's BCKW system, which can be written in forms isomorphic to SKI, Nock provides a set of primitive rules and a set of economic extended rules for convenience in writing a compiler.²

In an early document, Yarvin explained two of his design criteria in producing Nock as a practical ISA target (~sorreg-namtyv, 2010):

1. Natural conversion of source to code without other inputs.

²See **Galebach2025** (**Galebach2025**), pp. 1–45 in this volume, for exposition on how to evaluate a Nock expression by hand or by interpreter.

Metacircularity without deep stacks; i.e., the ability to extend Nock semantics without altering the underlying substrate.

This latter idea he particularly connected to the concept of what came to be called a "scry namespace": "dereferencing Urbit paths is as natural (and stateless) a function as increment or equals" (ibid.). Indeed, Urbit's current userspace utilizes such an affordance to replicate a global scope environment for accessing system and remote resources. (See **Davis2025b** (**Davis2025b**), pp. XX–XX in this volume, for a discussion of the Nock virtualized interpreter.)

3 Nock's Decrements

The Nock family survives in a trail of breadcrumbs, with each version of the specification being a decrement of the previous version.³ Early versions were produced exclusively by Curtis Yarvin, eventually involving the input of other developers after the 2013 founding of Tlon Corporation. In this section, we present each extant version of the Nock specification and comment on the changes and their motivations. Only the layouts have been changed for print. Dates for Nock specifications were derived from dated public posts (U, 9K), internal dating (13K, 12K, 11K, 10K), or from Git commit history data (8K, 7K, 6K, 5K).⁴ No version of 14K survives publicly, nor does any primordial version prior to U (15K) appear to exist.

Yarvin's background as a systems engineer with systems like Xaos Tools (for sgi Irix), Geoworks (on DoCoMo's iMode), and Unwired Planet (on the Wireless Application Protocol, WAP) inclined him towards a formal break with Unix-era computing (~sorreg-namtyv, 2025). He sought to produce a system enabling server-like behavior rather than a network of clients dependent on centralized servers for a functional Internet. This

³This system, called "kelvin decrementing", draws on analogy with absolute zero as the lowest possible temperature—and thus most stable state.

⁴In at least one case (7K), Yarvin claims to have finished the proposal a month earlier but to not have posted it until this date.

required a deep first-principles rederivation of computing; the foundational layer was a combinator calculus which became Nock. Nock was intended from the beginning to become less provisional over time, encoding a kelvin decrement which forced the specification to converge on a sufficiently good set of opcodes. Many downstream consequences of Urbit and NockApp as systems derive directly from the affordances encoded into Nock.

3.1 U

I have not really worked with combinator models, but my general impression is that it takes essentially an infinite amount of syntactic sugar to turn them into a programming language. U certainly takes some sweetener, but not, I think, as much. (~sorreg-namtyv⁵, 2006)

The earliest extant Nock is U, a proto-Nock posted to the Lambda the Ultimate blog in 2006 (~sorreg-namtyv (2006); ~sorreg-namtyv (2006)).⁶ The draft is versioned 0.15; subsequent evidence indicates that this is a downward-counting kelvin-versioned document already. The full specification is reproduced in Listing 1.

Extensive commentary on the operators is provided. Rightwards grouping of tuple expressions has already been introduced. Extension of the language is summarily ruled out.⁷ Data are conceived of as Unix-like byte streams; details of parsing and lexing are considered. Terms (the ancestor of nouns) include a NULL-like "foo" type ~ distinguishable by value rather than structure. ASCII is built in as numeric codes, similar to Gödel numbering.

As commenter Mario B. pointed out, the U specification permits SKI operators with the simple expressions,

[name] [pattern] [definition]

⁵Avant la lettre.

⁶Unfortunately many elements of the original prehistory of Nock appear to be lost to the sands of time on unrecoverable hard drives.

⁷Compare Ax and Conk, pp. XX-XX herein.

```
222 (I) (I $a) $a

223 (K) (K $a $b) $b

224 (S) (S $a $b $c) ($a $c ($b $c))
```

While early work (1940s-50s) had been carried out on "minimal instruction set computers" (MISCS), it is more likely that Yarvin was influenced by contemporaneous work on "reduced instruction set computers" (RISCS) in the 1980s and 90s. Language proposals like that of Madore's Unlambda and Burger's Pico Lisp may have influenced Yarvin's design choices throughout this era.

The U specification is in some ways the single most interesting historical document of our series. Yarvin particularly identified a desire to avoid baking abstractions like variables and functions into the U cake, and an emphasis on client–server semantics. The scry namespace appears *avant la lettre* as a referentially transparent immutable distributed namespace. U expresses a very ambitious hyper-Turing operator, acknowledging that its own instantiation from the specification is impossible and approximate. Yarvin grapples in U with the halting problem (via his follow operator) and with the tension between a specification and an implementation (a gulf he highlighted as a human problem in his 2025 LambdaConf keynote address). Furthermore, asides on issues like the memory arena prefigure implementation details of Vere as a runtime.

Listing 1: U, 31 January 2006. The earliest extant patriarch of the Nock family.

```
246
    U: Definition
248
    1 Purpose
249
         This document defines the U function and its data
250
         model.
251
252
    2 License
253
         U is in the public domain.
254
255
    3 Status
256
         This text is a DRAFT (version 0.15).
257
258
    4 Data
250
```

```
A value in U is called a "term." There are three
260
        kinds of term: "number," "pair," and "foo."
263
262
        A number is any natural number (ie, nonnegative
263
        integer).
264
265
266
        A pair is an ordered pair of any two terms.
267
        There is only one foo.
268
269
    5 Syntax
270
        U is a computational model, not a programming
273
        language.
272
273
        But a trivial ASCII syntax for terms is useful.
274
275
    5.1 Trivial syntax: briefly
276
        Numbers are in decimal. Pairs are in parentheses
277
        that nest to the right. Foo is "~".
278
279
280
        Whitespace is space or newline. Line comments
        use "#".
283
282
    5.2 Trivial syntax: exactly
283
        term
                 : number
284
                  | 40 ?white pair ?white 41
285
                 | foo
286
287
        number
                 : 48
288
                 | [49-57] *[48-57]
289
290
        pair
                 : term white term
291
                 | term white pair
292
293
        foo
                 : 126
204
295
                 : *(32 | 10 | (35 *[32-126] 10))
296
297
    6 Semantics
298
        U is a pure function from term to term.
299
300
        This document completely defines U. There is no
363
```

```
compatible way to extend or revise U.
302
303
    6.1 Rules
304
                                                [definition]
         [name]
                 [pattern]
305
306
         (a)
                   ($a 0 $b)
                                                $b
307
                   ($a 1 $b $c)
         (b)
                                                1
308
                   ($a 1 $b)
         (c)
309
                   ($a 2 0 $b $c)
                                                $b
310
         (d)
                   ($a 2 %n $b $c)
315
         (e)
                                                $c
                   ($a 3 $b $c)
                                                =($b $c)
         (f)
312
                   ($a 4 %n)
         (g)
                                                +%n
313
314
         (h)
                   ($a 5 (~ ~ $b) $c)
                                                $b
315
                   (\$a 5 (~\$b \$c) \$d)
         (i)
                                                *($a $b $c $d)
31/6
                   (\$a 5 (\sim \sim) \$b)
         (i)
317
                   (\$a 5 (~\$b) \$c)
         (k)
                                                *($a $b $c)
318
         (1)
                   ($a 5 ($b $c) $d)
319
                                    (*(\$a \$b \$d) *(\$a \$c \$d))
320
                   ($a 5 $b $c)
                                                $b
323
         (m)
322
                   (\$a 6 \$b \$c) * (\$a * (\$a 5 \$b \$c))
         (n)
323
                                                *($a 5 $a $a $b)
         (0)
                   ($a 7 $b)
324
                   ($a 8 $b $c $d)
                                                >($b $c $d)
         (p)
325
336
         (q)
                   ($a $b $c)
                                    *(\$a 5 *(\$a 7 \$b) \$c)
327
                   ($a $b)
                                                *($a $b)
         (r)
328
                                                *$a
         (s)
                   $a
329
330
         The rule notation is a pseudocode, only used in
333
         this file. Its definition follows.
332
333
    6.2 Rule pseudocode: briefly
334
         Each line is a pattern match. "%" means
335
         "number." Match in order. See operators below.
336
337
    6.3 Rule pseudocode: exactly
338
         Both pattern and definition use the same
339
         evaluation language, an extension of the trivial
340
         syntax.
341
342
        An evaluation is a tree in which each node is a
343
```

```
term, a term-valued variable, or a unary
344
        operation.
345
346
        Variables are symbols marked with a constraint.
347
        A variable "$name" matches any term.
348
        matches any number.
349
350
        There are four unary prefix operators, each of
351
        which is a pure function from term to term: "=",
        "+", "*", and ">". Their semantics follow.
353
354
    6.4 Evaluation semantics
355
        For any term $term, to compute U($term):
356
357
             - find the first pattern, in order, that
               matches $term.
359
             - substitute its variable matches into its
360
               definition.
361
             - compute the substituted definition.
362
363
        Iff this sequence of steps terminates, U($term)
364
        "completes." Otherwise it "chokes."
365
        Evaluation is strict: incorrect completion is a
367
        bug.
               Choking is U's only error or exception
368
        mechanism.
369
370
    6.5 Simple operators: equal, increment, evaluate
373
        =($a $b) is 0 if $a and $b are equal; 1 if they
372
        are not.
373
374
        +%n is %n plus 1.
375
376
        *$a is U($a).
377
378
    6.6 The follow operator
379
        >($a $b $c) is always 0. But it does not always
380
        complete.
381
382
        We say "$c follows $b in $a" iff, for every $term:
383
384
             if \star($a 5 $b $term) chokes:
385
```

```
\star(\$a 5 \$c \$term) chokes.
386
387
            if \star($a 5 $b $term) completes:
388
                 either:
389
                     \star($a 5 $c $term) completes, and
390
                     *($a 5 $c $term) equals
391
                       *($a 5 $b $term)
392
                 or.
393
                     \star(\$a 5 \$c \$term) chokes.
394
395
        396
397
        If this statement cannot be shown (ie, if there
398
        exists any $term that falsifies it, generates an
399
        infinitely recursive series of follow tests, or is
400
        inversely self-dependent, ie, exhibits Russell's
401
        paradox), >($a $b $c) chokes.
402
403
    7 Implementation issues
404
        This section is not normative.
405
466
    7.1 The follow operator
407
        Of course, no algorithm can completely implement
408
        the follow operator. So no program can completely
409
        implement U.
410
451
        But this does not stop us from stating the
412
        correctness of a partial implementation - for
413
        example, one that assumes a hardcoded set of
414
        follow cases, and fails when it would otherwise
415
        have to compute a follow case outside this set.
416
417
        U calls this a "trust failure." One way to
418
        standardize trust failures would be to standardize
419
        a fixed set of follow cases as part of the
420
        definition of U.
                           However, this is equivalent to
421
         standardizing a fixed trusted code base.
422
        problems with this approach are well-known.
423
424
        A better design for U implementations is to
425
        depend on a voluntary, unstandardized failure
426
        mechanism.
                     Because all computers have bounded
427
```

```
fixed memory size and allocation strategy, every
429
        real computing environment has such a mechanism.
430
431
        For example, packet loss in an unreliable packet
432
        protocol, such as UDP, is a voluntary failure
433
        mechanism.
435
        If the packet transfer function of a stateful UDP
436
        server is defined in terms of U. failure to
437
        compute means dropping a packet. If the server
438
        has no other I/O, its semantics are completely
439
        defined by its initial state and packet function.
440
441
    7.2 Other unstandardized implementation details
        A practical implementation of U will detect and
443
        log common cases of choking. It will also need a
444
        timeout or some other unspecified mechanism to
445
        abort undetected infinite loops.
446
        (Although trust failure, allocation failure or
448
        timeout, and choke detection all depend on what
449
        is presumably a single voluntary failure
450
        mechanism, they are orthogonal and should not be
451
        confused.)
452
453
        Also, because U is so abstract, differences in
454
        implementation strategy can result in performance
455
        disparities which are almost arbitrarily extreme.
456
        The difficulty of standardizing performance is
457
        well-known.
459
        No magic bullet can stop these unstandardized
460
        issues from becoming practical causes of lock-in
461
        and incompatibility. Systems which depend on U
462
        must manage them at every layer.
463
```

memory, and it is impractical to standardize a

428

465

Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2006)

3.2 Nock 13K

466

467

468

469

470

471

At some point between January 2006 and March 2008, Nock acquired its cognomen.

The only compound opcode is opcode 6, the conditional branch opcode.

Axiomatic operator * tar⁸ is identified as a GOTO.9

Listing 2: Nock 13K, 8 March 2008.

```
472
    Author: Curtis Yarvin (curtis.yarvin@gmail.com)
473
    Date: 3/8/2008
474
    Version: 0.13
476
    1. Manifest
47%
        This file defines one Turing-complete function,
470
        "nock."
480
481
        nock is in the public domain. So far as I know,
482
        it is neither patentable nor patented.
                                                    Use it at
483
        your own risk.
484
485
    2. Data
486
487
        Both the domain and range of nock are "nouns."
488
489
        A "noun" is either an "atom" or a "cell." An
490
         "atom" is an unsigned integer of any size.
491
        "cell" is an ordered pair of any two nouns, the
492
         "head" and "tail."
493
494
    3. Pseudocode
495
496
        nock is defined in a pattern-matching pseudocode.
497
498
        Match precedence is top-down.
499
                                           Operators are
```

⁸We refer to Nock axiomatic operators via their modern aural ASCII pronunciations. While these evolved over time (to wit, ^ "hat" became "ket"), to attempt to synchronize pronunciation with the era of a Nock release is a fool's errand.

 $^{^9\}mbox{One}$ can see the influence of this version's naming scheme on Atman's Ax, pp. XX–XX herein.

```
prefix. Parens denote cells, and group right:
500
         (a b c) is (a (b c)).
501
50,2
    4. Definition
503
504
    4.1 Transformations
505
506
           *(a 0 b c)
                          => *(*(a b) c)
503
           *(a 0 b)
                          => /(b a)
508
           *(a 1 b)
                          = > (b)
509
           *(a 2 b)
                          => **(a b)
510
           *(a 3 b)
                          => &*(a b)
511
           *(a 4 b)
                          => ^*(a b)
51/2
           *(a 5 b)
                          => =*(a b)
513
           \star(a 6 b c d) => \star(a 2 (0 1)
                                     2 (1 c d) (1 0)
515
                                     2 (1 2 3) (1 0) 4 4 b)
516
           *(a b c)
                          => (*(a b) *(a c))
543
           *(a)
                          => *(a)
518
519
    4.2 Operators
520
521
    4.2.1 Goto (*)
532
523
           *(a)
                       -> nock(a)
524
525
    4.2.2 Deep (&)
526
523
           &(a b)
                               - > 0
528
           &(a)
                               -> 1
529
530
    4.2.3 Bump (^)
531
5%2
           ^(a b)
                               -> ^(a b)
533
           ^(a)
                               -> a + 1
534
535
    4.2.4 Same (=)
536
533
                               -> 0
           = (a a)
538
           = (a b)
                               - > 1
539
           = (a)
                               -> = (a)
540
541
```

```
4.2.5 Snip (/)
542
543
             /(1 \ a)
544
             /(2 a b)
545
             /(3 \ a \ b)
546
             /((a + a) b)
                                   -> /(2 /(a b))
543
548
             /((a + a + 1) b) \rightarrow /(3 /(a b))
             /(a)
                                   -> /(a)
548
```

3.3 Nock 12K

551

552

553

Opcodes were reordered slightly. Compound opcodes were introduced, such as a conditional branch and a static hint opcode. Autocons appeared explicitly.

Listing 3: Nock 12K, 2008.

```
556
    Author: Curtis Yarvin (curtis.yarvin@gmail.com)
557
    Date: 3/28/2008
558
    Version: 0.12
559
560
    1. Introduction
561
562
        This file defines one function. "nock."
563
564
         nock is in the public domain.
566
    2. Data
567
568
        A "noun" is either an "atom" or a "cell." An
569
         "atom" is an unsigned integer of any size. A
570
         "cell" is an ordered pair of any two nouns,
571
        the "head" and "tail."
572
573
    3. Semantics
574
575
576
         nock maps one noun to another. It doesn't
         always terminate.
577
578
    4. Pseudocode
579
580
```

```
nock is defined in a pattern-matching
581
         pseudocode, below.
582
583
         Parentheses enclose cells. (a b c) is
584
         (a (b c)).
585
586
     5. Definition
587
588
     5.1 Transformations
589
590
            *(a (b c) d) => (*(a b c) *(a d))
591
            *(a 0 b)
                            => /(b a)
592
            *(a 1 b)
                            => (b)
593
            *(a 2 b c)
                            => *(*(a b) c)
594
            *(a 3 b)
                            => **(a b)
                            \Rightarrow &*(a b)
            \star (a 4 b)
596
            *(a 5 b)
                            => * (a b)
597
            *(a 6 b)
                            \Rightarrow = *(a b)
598
599
            \star(a 7 b c d) => \star(a 3 (0 1) 3 (1 c d) (1 0)
600
                                     3 (1 2 3) (1 0) 5 5 b)
603
            *(a 8 b c)
                            \Rightarrow *(a 2 (((1 0) b) c) 0 3)
602
            *(a 9 b c)
                           => *(a c)
603
604
            *(a)
                            => *(a)
605
696
     5.2 Operators
607
608
     5.2.1 Goto (*)
609
610
            *(a)
                                -> nock(a)
613
612
     5.2.2 Deep (&)
613
614
            &(a b)
615
                                 -> 0
                                 -> 1
            &(a)
616
617
     5.2.4 Bump (<sup>^</sup>)
618
619
            ^(a b)
                                 -> ^(a b)
620
            ^(a)
                                 \rightarrow a + 1
623
622
```

```
5.2.5 Same (=)
623
624
            = (a a)
                                   -> 0
625
            =(a b)
                                   -> 1
626
            = (a)
                                   -> =(a)
627
628
629
     5.2.6 Snip (/)
630
            /(1 \ a)
631
            /(2 \ a \ b)
632
            /(3 \ a \ b)
                                   - > b
633
            /((a + a) b)
                                   -> /(2 /(a b))
634
            /((a + a + 1) b) -> /(3 /(a b))
635
            /(a)
                                   -> /(a)
637
```

3.4 Nock 11K

638

639

642

643

Opcodes were reordered slightly. The conditional branch was moved to 2. Composition, formerly at 2, was removed.

The kelvin versioning system here became explicit (rather than implicitly decreasing minor versions).

Listing 4: Nock 11K, 25 May 2008.

```
644
    Author: Mencius Moldbug (moldbug@gmail.com)
645
    Date: 5/25/2008
    Version: 11K
647
648
    1. Introduction
649
650
         This file defines one function, "nock."
651
652
         nock is in the public domain.
653
    2. Data
655
656
        A "noun" is either an "atom" or a "cell."
657
         "atom" is an unsigned integer of any size.
658
         "cell" is an ordered pair of any two nouns, the
659
         "head" and "tail."
660
661
```

```
3. Semantics
662
663
         nock maps one noun to another. It doesn't always
664
         terminate.
665
666
    4. Pseudocode
667
668
         nock is defined in a pattern-matching pseudocode,
669
         below.
670
671
         Parentheses enclose cells. (a b c) is (a (b c)).
672
    5. Definition
674
675
    5.1 Transformations
677
           *(a (b c) d) => (*(a b c) *(a d))
678
            *(a 0 b)
                           => /(b a)
639
           *(a 1 b)
                           => (b)
680
           \star(a 2 b c d) => \star(a 3 (0 1) 3 (1 c d) (1 0)
681
                                   3 (1 2 3) (1 0) 5 5 b)
682
           *(a 3 b)
                           => **(a b)
683
           *(a 4 b)
                           \Rightarrow &*(a b)
684
           \star (a 5 b)
                           => * (a b)
685
           *(a 6 b)
                           \Rightarrow = *(a b)
686
687
           *(a 7 b c)
                           \Rightarrow *(a 3 (((1 0) b) c) 1 0 3)
688
           *(a 8 b c)
                           => *(a c)
6849
690
           *(a)
                           => *(a)
691
692
    5.2 Operators
693
694
    5.2.1 Goto (*)
695
696
           *(a)
                               -> nock(a)
697
698
    5.2.2 Deep (&)
699
700
           &(a b)
                                -> 0
701
           &(a)
                                - > 1
702
703
```

```
5.2.4 Bump (*)
764
705
             ^(a b)
                                    -> ^(a b)
706
             ^(a)
                                    \rightarrow a + 1
707
708
     5.2.5 \text{ Same (=)}
769
710
711
             = (a a)
             =(a b)
712
                                    - > 1
                                    -> = (a)
             = (a)
713
714
     5.2.6 Snip (/)
716
             /(1 \ a)
717
             /(2 a b)
             /(3 \ a \ b)
                                    - > b
71/9
                                    -> /(2 /(a b))
             /((a + a) b)
720
             /((a + a + 1) b) -> /(3 /(a b))
             /(a)
                                    -> /(a)
722
723
```

3.5 Nock 10K

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

Parentheses were replaced by brackets. Opcodes were reordered slightly. Hint syntax was removed. Functionally, 11K and 10K appear very similar, particularly if the Watt (proto-Hoon) compiler is set up to produce variable declarations and compositions as the compound opcodes had them.

Listing 5: Nock 10K, 15 September 2008.

```
731
    Author: Mencius Moldbug [moldbug@gmail.com]
732
    Date: 9/15/2008
733
    Version: 10K
734
735
    1. Introduction
736
737
         This file defines one function, "nock."
738
739
         nock is in the public domain.
748
```

```
2. Data
742
743
        A "noun" is either an "atom" or a "cell." An
744
        "atom" is an unsigned integer of any size. A
        "cell" is an ordered pair of any two nouns, the
746
        "head" and "tail."
747
748
749
    3. Semantics
750
        nock maps one noun to another. It doesn't always
755
        terminate.
752
753
    4. Pseudocode
754
755
        nock is defined in a pattern-matching pseudocode,
        below.
757
758
        Brackets enclose cells. [a b c] is [a [b c]].
759
760
    5. Definition
764
762
    5.1 Transformations
763
          *[a [b c] d] => [*[a b c] *[a d]]
765
          *[a 0 b]
                        => /[b a]
766
          *[a 1 b]
                        => [b]
767
          *[a 2 b c d] => *[a 3 [0 1] 3 [1 c d]
768
                                 [1 0] 3 [1 2 3] [1 0] 5 5 b]
769
                         => **[a b]
          *[a 3 b]
770
          *[a 4 b]
                         => &*[a b]
77,6
          *[a 5 b]
                         => ^*[a b]
772
          *[a 6 b]
                         => =*[a b]
773
          *[a]
                         => *[a]
774
775
    5.2 Operators
7746
777
    5.2.1 Goto [*]
778
779
                            -> nock[a]
          *[a]
780
78,1
    5.2.2 Deep [&]
782
783
```

```
&[a b]
                                   -> 0
784
            &[a]
                                   -> 1
785
786
     5.2.4 Bump [^]
787
788
             ^[a b]
                                  -> ^[a b]
789
             ^[a]
                                  \rightarrow (a + 1)
790
794
     5.2.5 Like [=]
792
793
            =[a a]
794
            =[a b]
                                   -> 1
795
            =[a]
                                  -> =[a]
796
797
     5.2.6 Snip [/]
799
            /[1 a]
800
            /[2 a b]
80/1
            /[3 a b]
802
803
            /[(a + a) b]
                                  -> /[2 /[a b]]
804
            /[(a + a + 1) b] -> /[3 /[a b]]
            /[a]
                                   -> /[a]
805
```

3.6 Nock 9K

807

808

മവര

810

811

812

813

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

The cell detection axiomatic operator underlying opcode 4 (cell detection) was changed from & pam to? wut. Versus 10K, 9K elides operator names in favor of definitions. Other differences are likewise primarily terminological, such as the replacement of Deep & pam with? wut.

This version of Nock was published on the Moron Lab blog in 2010 (~sorreg-namtyv, 2010) as "Maxwell's equations of software". Yarvin emphasized that Nock was intended to serve as "foundational system software rather than foundational metamathematics" (ibid.). Yarvin also publicly expounded on the practicality of building a higher-level language on top of Nock at this point (ibid.):

To define a language with Nock, construct two

nouns, q and r, such that *[q r] equals r, and *[s *[p r]] is a useful functional language. In this description,

- p is the function source;
- q is your language definition, as source;
- r is your language definition, as data;
- s is the input data.

822

823

824

825

027

828

836

837

838

840

More concretely, Watt (the predecessor to Hoon) is defined as:

```
watt-formula == Watt(urbit-source)
== Nock(urbit-source watt-formula)
watt-formula == Watt(watt-source)
== Nock(watt-source watt-formula)
```

This remains the essential pattern followed to this day by higher-level languages targeting Nock as an ISA.

Yarvin had prepared to virtualize Nock interpretation to expose a broader namespace for interaction with values than the "strict" subject of a formula (~sorreg-namtyv, 2010).

Listing 6: Nock 9K, terminus ad quem 7 January 2010.

```
841
    1 Context
842
8/13
         This spec defines one function, Nock.
845
    2 Structures
846
847
         A noun is an atom or a cell.
                                           An atom is any
848
         unsigned integer. A cell is an ordered pair of
849
         anv two nouns.
850
854
    3 Pseudocode
852
853
         Brackets enclose cells. [a b c] is [a [b c]].
854
855
         *a is Nock(a). Reductions match top-down.
856
857
    4 Reductions
858
859
```

```
?[a b]
                               = > 0
860
          ? a
                               = > 1
861
862
          ^[a b]
                               => ^[a b]
863
                               => (a + 1)
864
865
          =[a a]
                                  0
866
          =[a b]
                               => 1
867
          = a
                               = > = a
868
869
          /[1 \ a]
870
          /[2 a b]
871
          /[3 a b]
872
          /[(a + a) b]
                               => /[2 /[a b]]
873
          /[(a + a + 1) b] = /[3 /[a b]]
874
          / a
                                  / a
875
836
          *[a 0 b]
                               => /[b a]
877
          *[a 1 b]
                               = > b
878
          *[a 2 b c d]
879
                               => *[a 3 [0 1] 3 [1 c d] [1 0]
                                       3 [1 2 3] [1 0] 5 5 b]
ຂຂດ
          *[a 3 b]
                               => **[a b]
884
          *[a 4 b]
                               => ?*[a b]
882
          *[a 5 b]
                                  ^*[a b]
883
          *[a 6 b]
                               => =*[a b]
884
          *[a [b c] d]
                                  [*[a b c] *[a d]]
885
886
```

3.7 Nock 8K

888

889

895

896

897

The compound opcodes reappeared. Opcode 6 defined a conditional branch. Opcode 7 was described as a function composition operator. Opcode 8 served to define variables. Opcode 9 defined a calling convention. The remaining opcodes are hints, but each serving a different purpose:

- consolidate for reference equality.
- 12. yield an arbitrary, unspecified hint.
- 13. label for acceleration (jet).

Nock 8K received an uncharacteristic amount of commentary, given a preprint document prepared for presentation at the 42nd ISCIE International Symposium on Stochastic Systems Theory and Its Applications (sss'10) (~sorreg-namtyv, 2010).

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

907

908

Lambda was highlighted as a design pattern (a "gate" or stored procedure call) enabled by the "core" convention. Notably, [[sample context] battery] occurred in a different order than has been conventional since 2013 (emphasizing that the ubiquitous core pattern is a convention rather than a requirement). Watt was revealed to have a different ASCII pronunciation convention than Nock at this stage.

Listing 7: Nock 8K, 25 July 2010.

```
909
    1 Structures
910
912
         A noun is an atom or a cell.
                                             An atom is anv
         unsigned integer. A cell is an ordered pair of
913
         nouns.
914
915
    2 Pseudocode
916
         [a b c] is [a [b c]]; *a is nock(a). Reductions
918
         match top-down.
919
920
    3 Reductions
921
922
         ?[a b]
                               0
923
         ? a
                               1
924
         ^ a
                               (a + 1)
925
         =[a a]
926
         =[a b]
                               1
927
928
         /[1 a]
                               а
939
         /[2 a b]
930
                               а
         /[3 a b]
931
         /[(a + a) b]
                               /[2 /[a b]]
932
933
         /[(a + a + 1) b]
                               /[3 /[a b]]
934
         *[a [b c] d]
                               [*[a b c] *[a d]]
935
         *[a 0 b]
                               /[b a]
936
         *[a 1 b]
                               b
937
```

```
*[a 2 b c]
                              *[*[a b] *[a c]]
938
         *[a 3 b]
                              ?*[a b]
939
         *[a 4 b]
                              ^*[a b]
940
         *[a 5 b]
                              =*[a b]
941
942
         *[a 6 b c d]
                              *[a 2 [0 1] 2 [1 c d] [1 0]
943
                                   2 [1 2 3] [1 0] 4 4 b]
944
         *[a 7 b c]
                              *[a 2 b 1 c]
945
         *[a 8 b c]
                              *[a 7 [7 b [0 1]] c]
946
                              *[a 8 b 2 [[7 [0 3] d] [0 5]]
         *[a 9 b c]
947
                                                              0 51
948
                              *[a 8 b 8 [7 [0 3] c] 0 2]
         *[a 10 b c]
949
         *[a 11 b c]
                              *[a 8 b 7 [0 3] c]
950
         *[a 12 b c]
                              *[a [1 0] 1 c]
951
         ^[a b]
                              ^[a b]
953
         = a
                              = a
954
         / a
                              / a
955
         * a
                              * a
856
```

3.8 Nock 7K

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

During this era, substantial development took place on the early Urbit operating system. Nock began to be battle-tested in a way it had not previously been stressed. Several decrements occurred in short order.

The three hint opcodes were refactored into two, a static and a dynamic hint, both at 10.

Listing 8: Nock 7K, terminus ad quem 14 November 2010.

```
966
    1 Structures
967
      A noun is an atom or a cell. An atom is any
969
      natural number. A cell is any ordered pair of
970
971
      nouns.
972
    2 Pseudocode
973
974
      [a b c]
                         [a [b c]]
975
```

```
nock(a)
                              * a
976
977
        ?[a b]
                              0
978
        ? a
                              1
979
        ^ a
                              1
                                + a
980
        =[a a]
                              0
983
982
        =[a b]
                              1
983
        /[1 a]
984
                              а
        /[2 a b]
985
        /[3 a b]
                              b
986
        /[(a + a) b]
                              /[2 /[a b]]
987
        /[(a + a + 1) b]
                             /[3 /[a b]]
988
989
        *[a [b c] d]
                              [*[a b c] *[a d]]
990
991
        *[a 0 b]
                              /[b a]
992
        *[a 1 b]
993
        *[a 2 b c]
                              *[*[a b] *[a c]]
994
        *[a 3 b]
                              ?*[a b]
995
                              ^*[a b]
        *[a 4 b]
996
        *[a 5 b]
                              =*[a b]
997
998
        *[a 6 b c d]
                              *[a 2 [0 1] 2 [1 c d] [1 0]
999
                                   2 [1 2 3] [1 0] 4 4 b]
1000
        *[a 7 b c]
                              *[a 2 b 1 c]
1003
        *[a 8 b c]
                              *[a 7 [[7 [0 1] b] 0 1] c]
1002
        *[a 9 b c]
                              *[a 7 c 0 b]
1003
        *[a 10 b c]
                              *[a c]
1004
        *[a 10 [b c] d]
                              *[a 8 c 7 [0 3] d]
1005
1006
        ^[a b]
                              ^[a b]
1007
        = a
                              = a
1008
1009
        / a
                              / a
        * a
                              * a
1819
```

3.9 Nock 6K

1012

1013

1014

1015

Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2010)

The axiomatic operator for increment was changed from `ket to + lus. Compound opcode syntax was reworked slightly.

Listing 9: Nock 6K, 6 July 2011.

```
1016
     1 Structures
1017
1018
       A noun is an atom or a cell.
                                            An atom is any
1019
       natural number. A cell is an ordered pair of
1020
       nouns.
1023
1022
     2 Reductions
1023
1024
       nock(a)
                              * a
1025
        [a b c]
                              [a [b c]]
1026
1027
       ?[a b]
                             0
1028
       ? a
                              1
1029
        + a
                              1
                                + a
1030
        =[a a]
                              0
1033
        =[a b]
                              1
1032
1033
        /[1 a]
1034
                              а
        /[2 a b]
1035
                              а
        /[3 a b]
1036
        /[(a + a) b]
                              /[2 /[a b]]
1037
        /[(a + a + 1) b]
                             /[3 /[a b]]
1038
1039
1040
       *[a [b c] d]
                              [*[a b c] *[a d]]
1041
       *[a 0 b]
1042
                              /[b a]
       *[a 1 b]
1043
       *[a 2 b c]
                              *[*[a b] *[a c]]
1044
       *[a 3 b]
                             ?*[a b]
1045
       *[a 4 b]
                             +*[a b]
1046
                              = * [a b]
       *[a 5 b]
1047
1048
       *[a 6 b c d]
                              *[a 2 [0 1] 2 [1 c d] [1 0]
1049
                                            2 [1 2 3] [1 0] 4 4 b]
1050
       *[a 7 b c]
                              *[a 2 b 1 c]
1051
       *[a 8 b c]
                              *[a 7 [[0 1] b] c]
1052
                              *[a 7 c 0 b]
1053
       *[a 9 b c]
       *[a 10 b c]
1054
                              *[a c]
       *[a 10 [b c] d]
                             *[a 8 c 7 [0 2] d]
1055
1056
       +[a b]
                             +[a b]
1057
```

```
1058 = a = a
1059 /a /a
1069 ** a ** a
```

3.10 Nock 5K

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

Compound opcode syntax was reworked slightly. All trivial reductions of axiomatic operators were removed to the preface of the specification.

(For instance, a trivial "cosmetic" change was made to 5K's specification after it was publicly posted in order to synchronize it with the VM's behavior (dd779c1).)

Listing 10: Nock 5K, 24 September 2012.

```
1070
     1 Structures
1071
1072
        A noun is an atom or a cell.
                                               An atom is any natural
1073
                    A cell is an ordered pair of nouns.
1074
1075
     2 Reductions
1076
1077
1078
        nock(a)
        [a b c]
                                [a [b c]]
1079
1086
        ?[a b]
                                0
1081
        ? a
                                1
1082
        +[a b]
                                +[a b]
1083
                                1 + a
        + a
1084
        =[a a]
                                0
1085
        =[a b]
                                1
1086
        = a
                                = a
1087
1088
1089
        /[1 a]
                                а
        /[2 a b]
1090
        /[3 a b]
1091
        /[(a + a) b]
                                /[2 /[a b]]
1092
        /[(a + a + 1) b]
                                /[3 /[a b]]
1093
        / a
                                / a
1094
1095
```

```
[*[a b c] *[a d]]
       *[a [b c] d]
1096
1097
       *[a 0 b]
                            /[b a]
1098
       *[a 1 b]
1099
       *[a 2 b c]
                            *[*[a b] *[a c]]
1100
       *[a 3 b]
                            ?∗[a b]
1101
       *[a 4 b]
                            +*[a b]
1102
       *[a 5 b]
                            =*[a b]
1103
1104
       *[a 6 b c d]
                            *[a 2 [0 1] 2 [1 c d] [1 0] 2
1105
                                             [1 2 3] [1 0] 4 4 b]
1106
       *[a 7 b c]
                            *[a 2 b 1 c]
1107
       *[a 8 b c]
                            *[a 7 [[7 [0 1] b] 0 1] c]
1108
       *[a 9 b c]
                            *[a 7 c 2 [0 1] 0 b]
1109
       *[a 10 [b c] d]
                            *[a 8 c 7 [0 3] d]
       *[a 10 b c]
                            *[a c]
1111
1112
       * a
1113
```

3.11 Nock 4K

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

The primary change motivating 5K to 4K was the introduction of an edit operator # hax, which ameliorated the proliferation of cells in the Nock runtime's memory.¹⁰ The edit operator is an optimization which makes modifications to a Nock data structure more efficient. It's a notable example of a change motivated by the pragmatics of the runtime rather than theoretical or higher-level language concerns.¹¹

Opcode 5 (equality) was rewritten to more explicit with application of the cell distribution rule. Opcodes 6–9 were rewritten to utilize the * tar operator rather than routing via opcode 2. Opcode 11 (formerly opcode 10) was likewise massaged. In general, preferring to express rules using * tar proved to be slightly more terse than utilizing opcode 2.

¹⁰The date must be earlier than 27 September 2018; cf. urbit/urbit #1027.

¹¹See ~niblyx-malnus, pp. XX-XX, this volume, for a verbose derivation of the edit operator and opcode 10 from the primitive opcodes.

Listing 11: Nock 4K, terminus ad quem 27 September 2018.

```
1130
     Nock 4K
1131
1132
     A noun is an atom or a cell. An atom is a natural
1133
     number. A cell is an ordered pair of nouns.
1134
1135
     Reduce by the first matching pattern; variables match
1136
     any noun.
1137
1138
     nock(a)
                            * a
1139
1140
     [a b c]
                            [a [b c]]
1141
     ?[a b]
                            0
1142
     ? a
                            1
1143
1144
     +[a b]
                            +[a b]
                            1 + a
     + a
1145
     =[a a]
                            0
1146
     =[a b]
                            1
1147
1148
     /[1 a]
1149
                            а
     /[2 a b]
                            а
1150
     /[3 a b]
1151
     /[(a + a) b]
                            /[2 /[a b]]
1152
1153
     /[(a + a + 1) b]
                           /[3 /[a b]]
1154
                            / a
1155
     #[1 a b]
1156
     \#[(a + a) b c]
                            \#[a [b /[(a + a + 1) c]] c]
1157
     \#[(a + a + 1) b c] \#[a [/[(a + a) c] b] c]
1158
                            #a
1159
     # a
1160
     *[a [b c] d]
                            [*[a b c] *[a d]]
1161
1162
     *[a 0 b]
                            /[b a]
1163
     *[a 1 b]
1164
     *[a 2 b c]
                            *[*[a b] *[a c]]
1165
1166
     *[a 3 b]
                            ?*[a b]
     *[a 4 b]
1167
                            +*[a b]
     *[a 5 b c]
                            =[*[a b] *[a c]]
1168
1169
     *[a 6 b c d]
                     *[a *[[c d] 0 *[[2 3] 0 *[a 4 4 b]]]]
1170
1171 *[a 7 b c]
                            *[*[a b] c]
```

```
*[a 8 b c]
                             *[[*[a b] a] c]
1172
                             *[*[a c] 2 [0 1] 0 b]
     *[a 9 b c]
1173
     *[a 10 [b c] d]
                             \#[b *[a c] *[a d]]
1174
1175
     *[a 11 [b c] d]
                             *[[*[a c] *[a d]] 0 3]
1176
     *[a 11 b c]
                             *[a c]
1177
1178
     * a
                             * a
1178
```

Source: ~sorreg-namtyv (2018-09-27)

4 The Future of Nock

While deviations from the trunk line of the Nock family have been proposed at various points, ¹² Nock itself has remained the definitional substrate of Urbit since its inception. It has also been adopted as the primary ISA of Nockchain and the NockApp ecosystem.

Why, then, do we contemplate further changes? The skew proposal by ~siprel and ~little-ponnys argued that Nock 4K represented an undesirable saddle point in the design space of possible Nocks, itself a "ball of mud" (~siprel and ~littel-ponnys, 2020). While skew itself was not adopted, it inspired the development of Plunder and PLAN as a solid-state computing architecture sharing some ambitions with Urbit and Nock (~siprel and ~littel-ponnys, 2023). A rigorously æsthetic argument can thus be sustained that Nock is not yet "close enough" to its final, diamond-perfect form to be a viable candidate.

While some have found this argument compelling, Urbit's core developers have elected to maintain work in the "main line" of traditional Nock as the system's target ISA. The Nock 4K specification is a good candidate, in this sense, for a "final" version of Nock, as it has been successfully used in production for several years. It seems more likely that subsequent changes to Nock will derive not from alternative representations but from either dramatically more elegant expressions

 $^{^{12}\}mbox{Notably},$ Ax (see pp. XX–XX, this volume), skew, and plan (see pp. XX–XX, this volume).

(e.g., of opcode 6 or a combinator refactor) or from an implicit underspecification in the current Nock 4K which should be made explicit.

5 Conclusion

 A13: If you don't completely understand your code and the semantics of all the code it depends on, your code is wrong.

A21: Prefer mechanical simplicity to mathematical simplicity. Often mechanical simplicity and mathematical simplicity go together.

F1: If it's not deterministic, it isn't real.

(~wicdev-wisryt, Urbit Precepts (2020))

Nock began life as a hyper-Turing machine language, a theoretical construct for the purpose of defining higher-level programming languages with appropriate affordances and semantics. While its opcodes and syntax have gradually evolved over the course of two decades, the ambition to uproot the Unix "ball of mud" and replace it with a simple operating function amenable to reason has remained the north star of Urbit and Nock. The history of Nock serves as an index of refinement as Yarvin and contributors sought to balance conciseness, efficiency, and practicality.

The most recent version, Nock 4K, appears to provide all of the opcodes necessary for correct and efficient¹³ evaluation. It is likely that future versions of Nock will be based genetically on Nock 4K, but with some changes to improve its performance and usability. The road to zero kelvin is likely very long still, given an abundance of caution, but it also appears to be straight.

¹³Modulo the vagaries of the von Neumann architecture, etc.

References

1236

```
Burger, Alexander (2006) "Pico Lisp: A Radical Approach to
1237
        Application Development". URL:
1238
        https://software-lab.de/radical.pdf (visited on
1239
        ~2025.5.19).
1240
    Madore, David (2003) "The Unlambda Programming
1241
        Language". URL:
1242
        http://www.madore.org/~david/programs/unlambda/
1243
        (visited on ~2025.5.19).
1244
    Reitzig, Raphael (2012) "Are there minimum criteria for a
1245
        programming language being Turing complete?" URL:
1246
        https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/991/are-
1247
        there-minimum-criteria-for-a-programming-
1248
        language-being-turing-complete (visited on
1249
        ~2025.5.19).
1250
    ~siprel, Benjamin and Elliot Glaysher ~littel-ponnys
1251
        (2020) "SKEW". URL: https:
1252
        //github.com/urbit/urbit/blob/skew/pkg/hs/urbit-
1253
        skew/skew.md (visited on ~2025.5.19).
1254
        (2023) "What is Plunder?" URL: https://plunder.tech/
1255
        (visited on ~2025.7.6).
1256
    ~sorreg-namtyv, Curtis Yarvin (2006a) "U, a small model".
1257
        URL: http://lambda-the-ultimate.org/node/1269
1258
        (visited on ~2024.2.20).
1259
        (2006b) "U, a small model". URL:
1260
        http://urbit.sourceforge.net/u.txt (visited on
1261
        ~2024.2.20).
1262
        (2008a) "Nock 10K". URL:
1263
        https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/
1264
        0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/
1265
        nock/10.txt (visited on ~2024.2.20).
1266
        (2008b) "Nock 11K". URL:
1267
        https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/
1268
        0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/
1269
        nock/11.txt (visited on ~2024.2.20).
1270
        (2008c) "Nock 12K". URL:
        https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/
1272
```

```
0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/
1273
        nock/12.txt (visited on ~2024.2.20).
1274
        (2008d) "Nock 13K". URL:
1275
        https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/
1276
        0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/
1277
        nock/13.txt (visited on ~2024.2.20).
1278
        (2010a) "Nock 7K". URL:
1279
        https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/
1280
        0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/
1281
        nock/7.txt (visited on ~2024.2.20).
1282
        (2010b) "Nock 8K". URL:
1283
        https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/
1284
        0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/
1285
        nock/8.txt (visited on ~2024.2.20).
1286
        (2010c) "Nock 9K". URL:
1287
        https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/
1288
        0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/
1289
        nock/9.txt (visited on ~2024.2.20).
1290
        (2010d) "Nock: Maxwell's equations of software". URL:
1291
        http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/nock-
1292
        maxwells-equations-of-software.html (visited on
1293
        ~2024.1.25).
1294
        (2010e) "Urbit: functional programming from scratch".
1295
        URL: http://moronlab.blogspot.com/2010/01/urbit-
1296
        functional-programming-from.html (visited on
1297
        ~2024.1.25).
1298
        (2010f) "Why Nock?" URL:
1299
        https://github.com/cgyarvin/urbit/blob/gh-
1300
        pages/Spec/urbit/5-whynock.txt (visited on
1301
        ~2025.5.19).
1302
        (2011) "Nock 6K". URL:
1303
        https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/
1304
        0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/
1305
        nock/6.txt (visited on ~2024.2.20).
1306
        (2012) "Nock 5K". URL:
1307
        https://github.com/urbit/archaeology/blob/
1308
        0b228203e665579848d30c763dda55bb107b0a34/Spec/
1309
        nock/5.txt (visited on ~2024.2.20).
1310
```

```
~sorreg-namtyv, Curtis Yarvin (2025). "Urbit: Making the
1311
        Future Real." In: LambdaConf 2025. Accessed: 2025-05-19.
1312
        Estes Park, Colorado: LambdaConf. URL:
1313
        https://www.lambdaconf.us/(visited on ~2025.5.19).
1314
        (2018-09-27) "Nock 4K". URL: https://docs.urbit.org/
1315
        language/nock/reference/definition (visited on
        ~2024.2.20).
1317
     Wolfram, Stephen (2021). Combinators: A Centennial View.
1318
        Accessed: 2025-05-19. Champaign, Illinois: Wolfram
1319
        Media. URL: https://www.wolfram.com/combinators/
1320
        (visited on ~2025.5.19).
1321
```