Build your own Octopus(OctopusDB). Blinktopus

Pavlo Shevchenko, Ali Hashaam, Guzel Mussilova, Ali Memon Otto-von-Guericke-University, Magdeburg firstname.lastname@st.ovgu.de Gabriel Campero Durand
Otto-von-Guericke-University, Meburg
gabrielcampero@acm.org

Abstract—What is this paper about?

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the last decades we are witnessing that modern enterprises need to pick only specialized DBMSs(e.g. OLAP, OLTP, streaming systems and etc.) each tailored to their specific usecase. Consequently, it leads to additional costs in terms of licensing, maintenance, integration and licensing workload requirements. However, it may still be a challenging a licensing an implementation of new all-purpose system will be a perfect solution.

Nowaday are exists a great variety of systems that claim to solve the aforementioned problems. most radically departing from existing architecture utions was proposed by Jens Dittrich and Alekh Jindal - a new type of database Meanwhile, it became evident that a system performance can be further enhanced by applying techniques from completely different perspectives. As long as it is plausible for a system to retrieve results approximately rather than exactly, Approximate Query Processing(AQP) comes into play everal successful examples(e.g. BlinkDB, SnappyData on book's Presto) have already proved an ociency of an implementation of approximation features in existing DBMSs[5]???. Topfore, we believe that combining OctopusDB and AQP telegraphics will improve the performance of our system by several orders of magnitude. Thus, our goal is to provide a user a tool called Blinktopus, that will allow to build his own prototype of OctopusDB with embedded AQP techniques.

In Section 2 we start with the brief discussion of what is the idea behind OctopusDB and AQP. Moreover, in Section 2 we introduce the concepts of AQP main data synopses and explain why exactly one type of synopsis was chosen for our system. We present our contributions as follows:

- In Section 3 we propose a novel concept of system called Blinktopus.
- In Section 4 we discuss a perimental part of our project. Here we provide an evidence the benefits of Blinktopus's functionality based on the sults of the tests performed on the Storage Views(SVs) with the different physical layouts, namely LogSV, ColSV and RowSV.

In Section 5 we present the related work. We conclude this paper in Section 6 and propose future directions for our research in Section 7.

II. FUNDAMENTALS

A. OctopusDB

Main Idea. Architecture briefly.

Approximate Query Processing

Main Idea. Synopses for Massive Data: Histograms. Sketches. More on histograms. Probably something on HLL, if it's eventually included in Blinktopus.

III. BLINKTOPUS

IV. EXPERIMENTAL PART

Evaluation of the test results:

Comparison of runtimes of different types of SVs. 2.
 Comparison of the diff SVs by means of percentage representation.

V. RELATED WORK

Some related work has to be mentioned here.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Sum up what happened

VII. FUTURE WORK

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks to Gabriel, DBSE

EFFRENCES

- [1] Dittrich, Jens, and Alekh Jindal. "Towards a One Size Fits All Database itecture." CIDR. 2011.
- [2] I, Alekh. "OctopusDB: flexible and scalable storage management for arbitrary database engines." (2012).
- [3] Jindal, Alekh. "The mimicking octopus: Towards a one-size-fits-all database architecture." VLDB PhD Workshop. 2010.
- [4] Mozafari, Barzan. "Approximate query engines: Commercial challenges and research opportunities." SIGMOD, 2017.
- [5] Mozafari, Barzan, and Ning Niu. "A Handbook for Building an Approximate Query Engine." IEEE Data Eng. Bull. 38, no. 3 (2015): 3-29.