

MedBot

Advanced Healthcare AI Assistant

Professional Medical Research Analysis Insights

Generated on August 25, 2025

Hemodynamic Insights into Preeclampsia: Comparing Ophthalmic and Uterine Artery Resistive Indices. Authors: Puspitasari MK, Siddiq A, Virgana R, Pramatiirta AY, Irianti S, Handono B, Mose JC, Effendi JS. Journal: Med Sci Monit (2025) Abstract No abstract available. Background Doppler ultrasonography has emerged as a non-invasive method for evaluating vascular changes in preeclampsia. The ophthalmic artery emerges a novel target for hemodynamic assessment in preeclampsia. This study aimed to compare the Doppler ultrasound pulsatility index (PI) and resistive index (RI). PI and RI in the ophthalmic artery and uterine artery were measured in 60 pregnant women with and without preeclampsia. Material/Methods A cross-sectional design study was conducted to measure Doppler parameters of the uterine and the ophthalmic artery involving 30 participants in preeclampsia and 30 participants in non-preeclampsia groups in West Java from July to September 2024. Doppler ultrasound was performed (Voluson...

1. Hemodynamic Insights into Preeclampsia: Comparing Ophthalmic and Uterine Artery Resistive Indices.

Authors: Puspitasari MK, Siddiq A, Virgana R, Pramatiirta AY, Irianti S, Handono B, Mose JC, Effendi JS.

Journal: Med Sci Monit (2025)

1.1. Abstract

No abstract available.

1.2. Background

Doppler ultrasonography has emerged as a non-invasive method for evaluating vascular changes in preeclampsia. The ophthalmic artery emerges a novel target for hemodynamic assessment in preeclampsia. This study aimed to compare the Doppler ultrasound pulsatility index (PI) and resistive index (RI). PI and RI in the ophthalmic artery and uterine artery were measured in 60 pregnant women with and without preeclampsia.

1.3. Material/Methods

A cross-sectional design study was conducted to measure Doppler parameters of the uterine and the ophthalmic artery involving 30 participants in preeclampsia and 30 participants in non-preeclampsia groups in West Java from July to September 2024. Doppler ultrasound was performed (Voluson GE S8 BT-equipped with a 7.5 MHz linear transducer, operated by a single maternal-fetal medicine trainee) to measure PI and RI values in the uterine (normal 0.45–0.5) and ophthalmic arteries (normal 0.6–0.7). Bivariate statistical analysis and Pearson correlation tests were performed as further analysis.

1.4. Results

The study found that the uterine artery's PI and RI were significantly higher in the preeclampsia group than in the normal group ($P = 0.0001$). In contrast, the ophthalmic artery's PI and RI were significantly lower in the preeclampsia group ($P = 0.0001$). There was a negative correlation between the RI of the uterine artery and ophthalmic artery, with a coefficient value of -0.19 and statistical significance ($P = 0.01$) in the preeclampsia group.

1.5. Conclusions

1.6. Introduction

Preeclampsia is a severe, progressive, and unpredictable cardiovascular disorder characterized by the onset of hypertension with or without proteinuria, affecting approximately 2% to 8% of pregnancies worldwide [1 – 3]. The condition accounts for an estimated 46 000 maternal deaths and 500 000 fetal and neonatal deaths annually. Most cases of preeclampsia occur at term and present with mild, transient symptoms that typically resolve postpartum. However, 5% to 20% of women, particularly those experiencing preeclampsia preterm, can develop life-threatening complications [1].

Emerging evidence highlights a decrease in resistance and hyper-perfusion of orbital blood flow in preeclamptic patients, prompting interest in investigating the correlation between uterine artery resistance indices and ophthalmic artery resistance indices in preeclampsia cases. This relationship may provide new insights into the pathophysiology and clinical management of the disorder. Therefore, this study aimed to compare the Doppler ultrasound pulsatility index (PI) and resistive index (RI) in the ophthalmic artery and uterine artery in 60 pregnant women with and without preeclampsia.

1.7. Material and Methods

All procedures were followed after receiving clearance and recommendations from the Tertiary Hospital's Ethics Committee Review Board (reference number DP.04.03/D.XIV.6.5/138/2024), in compliance with applicable norms and regulations.

1.8. Study Design Research Participants

1.9. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

1.10. Data Collection Methods

A total of 60 women who gave vaginal birth that met the study criteria were divided into 2 groups: n=30 in preeclampsia group and n=30 in non-preeclampsia group. All samples were collected using consecutive samplings. This study used primary data to measure Doppler parameters of the uterine artery and ophthalmic artery in patients with normal pregnancies and pregnancies with preeclampsia at gestational ages of 26 to 36 weeks. The ultrasound machine used in this study was the Voluson GE S8 BT-19 (high resolution, Austria), equipped with a 7.5 MHz linear transducer; it was operated by a single maternal-fetal medicine trainee. An experienced ophthalmologist, skilled in performing Doppler imaging of the ophthalmic artery, participated in the study by supervising the acquisition of Doppler ultrasound images of the ophthalmic artery.

After providing informed consents to patients, clinical data were recorded from medical records. For obtaining Doppler indices of the uterine artery, patients were positioned supine and rested for 5 min. The examination was performed transabdominally. The transducer was placed laterally to the uterus and tilted medially until the uterine artery was identified at the point where it crosses the external iliac artery. The sample gate was set to cover the entire diameter of the artery, and pulsatile Doppler waveforms were recorded for 3 consecutive cycles. The measured parameters were the PI and RI. To obtain Doppler indices of ophthalmic artery, the patients were positioned supine and rested for 5 min. The transducer was carefully placed transversely on the closed upper eyelid after applying conductive gel. Color Doppler flow was used to identify the ophthalmic artery, located superiorly and medially relative to the “hypoechoic band”, representing the optic nerve. Pulse-wave Doppler was u...

1.11. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using independent t tests to compare the Doppler indices between the preeclampsia and normal pregnancy groups, based on the data distribution assessed through the Shapiro-Wilk test. For normally distributed variables, the independent t test was applied, while the Mann-Whitney U test was used for non-normally distributed data. Correlation analysis between the RI and PI of the uterine and ophthalmic arteries was performed using the Pearson correlation test for normally distributed data and Spearman rank correlation test for non-normally distributed data. A P value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant, and all analyses were conducted using Graphpad Prism 9.

1.12. Results

1.13. Patient Characteristics

Table 1 shows that there was no significant difference between the demographic data variables of the patients in the preeclampsia group and the normal group, with all P values

0.05. These findings indicated that the distribution of patient characteristics in this study were homogeneous.

1.14. Comparison of PI and RI of the Uterine Artery in the Normal Pregnancy and Preeclampsia Groups

As shown in Table 2 , the mean PI and RI of the uterine artery in the normal pregnancy group were 0.75 ± 0.17 and 0.49 ± 0.08 , respectively. The mean PI and RI of the uterine artery in the preeclampsia group were 1.4 ± 0.44 and 0.71 ± 0.08 , respectively. The statistical test results for both study groups show that the PI of the uterine artery was significantly higher in the preeclampsia group than in the normal group, with $P = 0.0001$ (95% CI 0.52–0.86). Additionally, the RI of the uterine artery was significantly higher in the preeclampsia group than in the normal group, with $P = 0.0001$ (95% CI 0.18–0.26).

1.15. Comparison of PI and RI of the Ophthalmic Artery in the Normal Pregnancy and Preeclampsia Groups

Table 3 shows the results of the independent t test. It was found that the PI of the ophthalmic artery in the preeclampsia group was significantly lower than that in the normal group, with $P = 0.0001$ (95% CI -0.75 - 0.37). The RI of the ophthalmic artery was also significantly lower in the preeclampsia group than in the normal group, with $P = 0.0001$ (95% CI -0.23 to -0.14). The mean RI of the ophthalmic artery in the normal group was 0.79 ± 0.08 , while in the preeclampsia group, it was 0.60 ± 0.08 . The table also shows that the peak ratio in the preeclampsia group was significantly higher than that in the normal group, with $P = 0.0001$ (95% CI 0.17–0.30).

1.16. Correlation of RI of the Uterine and Ophthalmic Artery in the Normal Pregnancy and Preeclampsia Groups

Table 4 and Figure 1 show a negative correlation with a weak strength of correlation ($r=-0.19$) that was statistically significant between the RI of the uterine artery and the RI of the ophthalmic artery, with $P = 0.01$ (95% CI -0.51 to -0.18) in the preeclampsia group. In the normal group, there was also a negative correlation between these 2 variables, but it was not statistically significant ($P = 0.36$; 95% CI -0.50 to 0.20).

1.17. Discussion

Our study found no significant differences in demographic variables between the preeclampsia and normal pregnancy groups ($P = 0.05$), indicating a homogeneous distribution of patient characteristics. Uterine artery Doppler measurements showed significantly PI and RI in the preeclampsia group than in the normal group ($P = 0.0001$). In contrast, the ophthalmic artery PI and RI were significantly lower in the preeclampsia group ($P = 0.0001$). The peak ratio was significantly higher in the preeclampsia group ($P = 0.0001$). A weak but statistically significant negative correlation ($r=-0.19$, $P = 0.01$) was

found between the uterine and ophthalmic artery RI in the preeclampsia group, while the correlation in the normal group was not statistically significant.

Preeclampsia is one of the leading causes of maternal mortality. The success of preventing its associated morbidity and mortality depends on 3 factors: accurate prediction of onset, accurate prediction of progression, and prevention “ab initio”, meaning prevention from the very beginning or before the issue arises. No known intervention has a significant impact in preventing preeclampsia. Research in this area should focus on improving the ability to predict the occurrence of preeclampsia and its complications. Biomarkers related to preeclampsia have previously shown high predictive value in high-risk preeclampsia cases, but their accuracy remains variable, less economical, and not widely accessible in developing countries, especially in Indonesia [6 – 10].

Endothelial damage is recognized as a key factor in the pathophysiological mechanism of preeclampsia and is a risk factor that enables the occurrence of reversible encephalopathy syndrome. Studies show that this reversible encephalopathy syndrome is associated with increased blood pressure. Recent and popular theories suggest that severe hypertension increases the cerebral autoregulatory threshold and leads to vasodilation, with complications such as brain edema. Identification of cerebral blood flow overflow using ophthalmic artery Doppler ultrasound in patients with preeclampsia can be a marker for brain hemorrhage risk and simultaneously predict the severity of preeclampsia. One method for this is transorbital Doppler sonography, a non-invasive technique used to measure ophthalmic artery perfusion in pregnant women [14 , 25 , 26]. Based on these issues, using both ophthalmic artery Doppler and uterine artery Doppler seems appropriate, as it aligns with other proposed theories about...

Our findings show that, in terms of maternal patient characteristics, including age, parity, and pre-pregnancy body mass index, there was no significant difference between the 2 study groups. This suggests that the groups were homogeneous. Our findings were in line with previous studies, which showed that the higher average maternal age in this study may partially explain the higher incidence of preeclampsia in Indonesia, as noted by Sulistyono et al, who documented an increase in preeclampsia among women aged 30 to 34 years in Indonesia [27]. The average gestational age of patients with preeclampsia in this study was 32 ± 3.4 weeks, similar to the average gestational age reported in other studies [28 , 29]. The parity of the research group indicated that 63.3% of patients with preeclampsia were multiparous, which is inconsistent with other literature that reports a higher incidence of preeclampsia in nulliparous women [28 , 29]. In contrast with our study, previous research has sh...

The uterine artery RI increases in patients with preeclampsia, while the ophthalmic artery RI decreases. A 2013 study by de Oliveira et al estimated the RI to be 0.75 ± 0.05 in normotensive pregnant women and 0.63 ± 0.09 in patients with preeclampsia [33]. The study, conducted on 73 patients, confirmed the ophthalmic artery RI as a predictor of preeclampsia during the second trimester. All at-risk patients were included and evaluated with Doppler imaging of the ophthalmic artery between 24 and 28 weeks of gestation, and monitored until the end of pregnancy to verify the development of preeclampsia. The ROC curve was used to determine the predictive characteristics of the ophthalmic artery RI. Among the observed patients, 14 developed preeclampsia, while 59 remained normotensive until delivery. The preeclampsia patients had a lower ophthalmic artery RI (0.68 ± 0.028) than did normotensive patients (0.70 ± 0.029 ; $P= 0.04$). Low resistance in the

ophthalmic artery is considered a risk factor for...

Other studies have also concluded that low ophthalmic artery resistance was associated with preeclampsia [34 , 35]. The present study found that the average RI values for both the ophthalmic and uterine arteries in normotensive and preeclampsia groups did not differ significantly from those reported in previous studies (Figure 2). In an earlier study conducted by Adekanmi et al, an RI cut-off of 0.61 was found to differentiate mild from severe preeclampsia, with 75% sensitivity and 78.8% specificity ($P=0.02$) [31]. Similarly, de Oliveira et al identified a cut-off of 0.63 (sensitivity 73.3%, specificity 88.8%) in a Brazilian population [33]. The study by Barbosa et al linked an RI of 0.56 to clinical evidence of posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome in Brazil [35]. In the present study, we did not calculate a cut-off value, due to time limitations, which is a limitation of this research. The study did not assess the relationship between ophthalmic artery parameters an...

1.18. Limitations of the Study

In this study, we did not calculate cut-off values, due to time constraints, which is a limitation of this research. The study did not determine the relationship between ophthalmic artery parameters and the severity of neurological symptoms in patients with preeclampsia, as this was not the objective at the start of the study, and time limitations played a role. Nevertheless, Doppler indices can be affected by maternal factors, such as hydration status, stress, and measurement conditions, potentially introducing variability in the results. Doppler ultrasound is highly operator-dependent, and slight variations in probe placement or angle correction can lead to inconsistencies in measurements. Experienced sonographers and standardization are essential to minimize errors. This represents limitations and is an area for future research. However, the findings from this study add to the growing body of evidence suggesting significant ocular changes in women with preeclampsia.

1.19. Conclusions

1.19.1. Table: Comparison of patient characteristics between the 2 groups based on demographic data.

Variable	Total (n=60)	Group	P value
Normal (n=30)	Preeclampsia (n=30)		
Maternal age			
≤24 years, n (%)	21 (35.0)	12 (40.0)	9 (30.0)
25–29 years, n (%)	16 (26.7)	10 (33.3)	6 (20.0)

30–35 years, n (%)	23 (38.3)	8 (26.7)	15 (50.0)
Median (min–max)	27 (16–35)	26 (17–35)	30 (16–35)
Gestational age (weeks)			
26–28, n (%)	16 (26.7)	10 (33.3)	6 (20.0)
29–32, n (%)	25 (41.7)	14 (46.7)	11 (36.7)
33–36, n (%)	19 (31.6)	6 (20.0)	13 (43.3)
Median (min–max)	31 (26–36)	30 (26–35)	32 (26–36)
Parity			
Nulliparous, n (%)	24 (40.0)	13 (43.3)	11 (36.7)
Multiparous, n (%)	36 (60.0)	17 (56.7)	19 (63.3)
Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m ²)			
Mean (SD)	26 (5.1)	26 (5.1)	26 (5.1)
Median (min–max)	26 (18–41)	26 (18–39)	26 (19–41)
Socioeconomy (Income)			
Rp.5,000,000, n (%)	34 (56.7)	17 (56.7)	17 (56.7)
≥Rp. 5,000,000, n (%)	26 (43.3)	13 (43.3)	13 (43.3)

1.19.2. Table: Doppler index values of the uterine artery in the 2 groups.

Variable	Group	95% CI	P value
Normal	Preeclampsia		
Uterine artery			

PI mean (mean±SD)	0.75±0.17	1.4±0.44	0.52 to 0.86
RI mean (mean±SD)	0.49±0.08	0.71±0.08	0.18 to 0.26

1.19.3. Table: Pulsatility index, resistive index, and peak ratio Doppler values of the ophthalmic artery in the 2 groups.

Variable	Group	95% CI	P value
Normal	Preeclampsia		
Ophthalmic artery			
PI mean	2.00±0.45	1.40±0.25	−0.75 to −0.37
RI mean	0.79±0.08	0.60±0.08	−0.23 to −0.14
PR (PSV ratio)	0.52±0.12	0.75±0.12	0.17 to 0.30
PSV1	42.49 (12.09–141.1)	68.57 (9.89–533.8)	31.32 to 105.10

1.19.4. Table: Correlation between the resistive index Doppler values of the uterine artery and ophthalmic artery in the preeclampsia group.

Group	RI of ophthalmic artery
95% CI	P value
Preeclampsia	
RI of uterine artery	−0.19 (−0.51 to 0.18)
Normal	
RI of uterine artery	−0.17 (−0.50 to 0.20)

1.20. Figures

Figure: The Doppler ultrasound parameters of a patient at 26 weeks of pregnancy show a high resistance index (RI) of 0.78, with notching in the uterine artery (A). The Doppler ultrasound of the ophthalmic artery shows a low RI of 0.67 at 26 weeks of pregnancy (B).

Document Generated Successfully This document was created by MedBot Healthcare AI Assistant Professional medical research analysis and insights Generated on August 25, 2025

Document Generated Successfully This document was created by MedBot Healthcare AI Assistant Professional medical research analysis and insights Generated on August 25, 2025

This document was created by MedBot Healthcare AI Assistant Professional medical research analysis and insights Generated on August 25, 2025

-0.17 (-0.50 to 0.20)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Generated on August 25, 2025
by MedBot Healthcare AI Assistant
Professional Medical Research Analysis & Insights

Generated at: 11:01:11

.....