**The Purpose Driven Church Controversy**

Critics of Rick Warren’s *The Purpose Driven Church* have raised a range of concerns about its approach to church organization, especially when compared to New Testament patterns. Here's a detailed breakdown of these criticisms and how the model diverges from early Christian ecclesiology.

**1. Pragmatism vs. Apostolic Pattern**

**Criticism**:

The *Purpose Driven Church* is often seen as being built on **pragmatic, results-driven strategies**—targeting the felt needs of seekers, using business and marketing principles, and optimizing for numerical growth and member satisfaction.

**Contrast with New Testament**:

New Testament churches emphasized **doctrinal fidelity**, spiritual maturity, and **conformity to apostolic teaching**, regardless of numerical outcome (Acts 2:42; 1 Tim 3:15). Critics argue that early church organization focused on **spiritual disciplines**, **shepherding**, and **organic growth**, rather than mass appeal or structured programs.

**2. Mission Shift: From God-Centered to Man-Centered**

**Criticism**:

Warren’s model is sometimes described as **man-centered**, focusing on fulfilling five human-centric “purposes” (worship, fellowship, discipleship, ministry, evangelism), often framed in terms of **personal fulfillment** or “discovering your purpose.”

**Contrast with New Testament**:

Critics argue that the New Testament church was **Christ-centered**, focused on **submission to Christ as Lord**, and on **edification of the body** rather than personal actualization (Eph 4:11-16; Col 1:28). The apostles called believers to deny themselves (Luke 9:23), not to pursue purpose-driven self-expression.

**3. Role of Leadership and Church Governance**

**Criticism**:

Warren's model often advocates for a **CEO-style leadership**, where the senior minister functions as a visionary leader with centralized control, supported by staff and purpose-specific teams.

**Contrast with New Testament**:

The early church practiced **plurality of elders** (Acts 14:23; Titus 1:5), local accountability, and **congregational involvement** in decision-making (Acts 6:2-5). Critics claim the *Purpose Driven* structure can diminish **biblical oversight and mutual accountability**, favoring efficiency over scriptural order.

**4. Seeker-Sensitive and Market-Oriented Philosophy**

**Criticism**:

The *Purpose Driven* model is closely aligned with the **“seeker-sensitive” movement**, crafting worship services and ministries to appeal to the unchurched or culturally disengaged.

**Contrast with New Testament**:

New Testament worship was primarily **God-directed**, reverent, and centered on **the Word, prayer, and the Lord’s Supper** (Acts 2:42; 1 Cor 14). Critics argue that the NT church never shaped its gatherings around unbelievers' preferences, but prioritized the **spiritual nourishment and maturity of believers**.

**5. De-emphasis on Doctrine and Expository Teaching**

**Criticism**:

Many critics argue that the *Purpose Driven* approach often downplays **deep doctrinal teaching** in favor of **topical, life-application messages** that appeal to emotion or relevance.

**Contrast with New Testament**:

Paul repeatedly instructed elders to **teach sound doctrine** (Titus 2:1), **guard against false teaching** (Acts 20:28-30), and preach the Word “in season and out of season” (2 Tim 4:2). The NT church was catechetical and deeply theological.

**6. Programmatic vs. Relational Church Life**

**Criticism**:

Warren's model heavily relies on **structured programs and ministries**, with each member funneled into a system of classes, teams, and campaigns.

**Contrast with New Testament**:

Early church life was highly **relational**, marked by **house-to-house fellowship**, mutual edification, and shared life (Acts 2:44-47; Rom 12:4-13). Critics suggest that the NT pattern emphasizes **organic discipleship**, not systematized pipelines.

**Summary Table**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Aspect** | **Purpose Driven Model** | **New Testament Model** |
| Leadership | Senior minister-led, CEO model | Plurality of elders and deacons |
| Emphasis | Programs and growth metrics | Spiritual maturity and faithfulness |
| Audience Focus | Seeker-sensitive, felt needs | God-centered, edification of saints |
| Preaching Style | Topical, purpose-driven | Expository, doctrinal |
| Church Life | Programmatic, campaign-based | Relational, organic |
| Governance | Staff-led, goal-oriented teams | Congregational involvement and accountability |

**Conclusion**

While *The Purpose Driven Church* has had substantial influence globally, especially in the Evangelical and megachurch movements, critics from traditional, Reformed, and Restorationist backgrounds frequently argue that its model diverges from the **simplicity, spiritual depth, and apostolic authority** of the New Testament church. These concerns are rooted not just in stylistic preferences but in **theological and ecclesiological convictions** about the nature of the church, its leadership, and its mission.