Word Ladder Game Report

1. Approach & Objectives

Game Overview

The **Word Ladder Game** challenges players to transform a **start word** into a **target word** by changing **one letter at a time**. Each intermediate word must be valid (i.e., found in a dictionary).

To assist players, the game includes **Al-powered search algorithms** (**BFS**, **UCS**, **and A***), which provide hints and compute optimal paths.

Key Features

• Three Difficulty Modes:

- Beginner Mode Simple word transformations.
- Advanced Mode Medium difficulty, longer words.
- Challenge Mode Includes banned letters and banned words for added complexity.

Real-time Visualization:

- The game renders a transformation graph using Graphviz.
- Shows player's path, Al-suggested paths, and banned words/letters.

AI-Powered Word Solving:

- Players can request hints from BFS, UCS, and A* algorithms.
- Al solutions are color-coded and visually represented.

2. Search Algorithm Implementation & Comparisons

1. Breadth-First Search (BFS)

Purpose: Finds the shortest path from the start word to the target word, measured in the number of moves (not cost).

How it Works:

Explores all possible words at depth before moving to .

n n+1

- Uses a FIFO queue (First-In, First-Out).
- Ensures the shortest transformation sequence is found.

```
def bfs(start_word, target_word, word_dict, banned_characters=None, mov
e_limit=None):
    queue = deque([[start_word]])
    visited = set([start_word])
    while queue:
        path = queue.popleft()
            current_word = path[-1]

        for neighbor in get_neighbors(current_word, word_dict, banned_chara
cters):
        if neighbor == target_word:
            return path + [neighbor], visited
        if neighbor not in visited:
            visited.add(neighbor)
            queue.append(path + [neighbor])
        return None, visited
```

Complexity: O(b^d), where:

- b = Branching factor (number of valid word transformations per step).
- d = Depth of the shortest path.

Pros:

- Guarantees the shortest path.
- Simple to implement.

Cons:

 Inefficient for large word spaces because it explores all possibilities at each level.

2. Uniform Cost Search (UCS)

Purpose: Finds the lowest-cost path, where the cost = number of moves.

How it Works:

- Uses a priority queue, where the path with the lowest cumulative cost is expanded first.
- Always expands the cheapest path first (i.e., the fewest transformations).
- Uses a cost function to track the path cost.
 g(n)

```
def ucs(start_word, target_word, word_dict, banned_letters=None, move_li
mit=None):
    frontier = []
    heapq.heappush(frontier, (0, start_word, [start_word]))

while frontier:
    current_word_cost, current_word, path = heapq.heappop(frontier)
    if current_word == target_word:
        return path, visited

    for neighbor in get_neighbors(current_word, word_dict, banned_letter
s):
        new_cost = current_word_cost + 1
        heapq.heappush(frontier, (new_cost, neighbor, path + [neighbor]))
        return None, visited
```

Complexity: O(b^d) – Similar to BFS but optimized for cost-awareness.

Pros:

Guarantees the optimal path in terms of cost.

Cons:

• Explores more nodes than A* since it does not use heuristics.

3. A Search Algorithm

Purpose: Balances shortest path search (BFS) with cost optimization (UCS) by using heuristics.

How it Works:

Uses a priority queue, where paths are sorted by:

```
f(n)=g(n)+h(n)
```

- **g(n)** = Cost from the start word.
- h(n) = Heuristic: Number of letter mismatches between the current word and the target word.

```
def heuristic(word):
  return sum(c1!= c2 for c1, c2 in zip(word, target_word))
def a_star(start_word, target_word, word_dict, banned_letters=None, move
_limit=None):
  frontier = []
  heapq.heappush(frontier, (heuristic(start_word), 0, start_word, [start_word
d]))
  while frontier:
    f, g, current_word, path = heapq.heappop(frontier)
    if current_word == target_word:
       return path, visited
    for neighbor in get_neighbors(current_word, word_dict, banned_letter
s):
       new_g = g + 1
       new_f = new_g + heuristic(neighbor)
       heapq.heappush(frontier, (new_f, new_g, neighbor, path + [neighbo
r]))
  return None, visited
```

Complexity: O(b^d) but much faster than UCS in practice due to heuristics.

Pros:

- Best balance between BFS and UCS.
- Faster than UCS due to heuristic-based search.

Cons:

• Requires tuning of heuristics for optimal performance.

3. Comparative Analysis of Search Algorithms

Algorithm	Shortest Path?	Cost Optimized?	Performance (Speed)	Space Complexity
BFS	Yes	No	Slow for large words	O(b^d)
ucs	Yes	Yes	Slower than A*	O(b^d)
A *	Yes	Yes	Fastest & Most Efficient	O(b^d)

Key Takeaways:

- 1. **BFS** is fast for small words, but inefficient for large transformations.
- 2. **UCS** ensures the optimal path but expands too many nodes.
- 3. A* is the best approach as it combines speed and optimality.

4. Visualization & UI

Graph Representation:

- Green Nodes → Player's current path.
- Pink Nodes → Al-suggested path.
- **Red Nodes** → Words with banned letters.

Terminal UI Enhancements:

- PyFiglet for ASCII art headers.
- Color-coded blocks using colorama.

5. Conclusion & Recommendations

- A is the best algorithmas it balances search efficiency and optimal cost.
- Graph-based visualization improves user experience.
- Challenge Mode makes the game more interactive with banned words/letters.

Future Improvements:

- Implement bidirectional search for faster solutions.
- Add machine learning-based heuristics for better Al hints.

This report provides a detailed analysis and justification for Al-assisted word transformation.