

Karachi AQI Prediction – Project Report

1. Project Overview

The objective of this project was to develop an **Air Quality Index (AQI) prediction system for Karachi** using real-time and historical environmental data. The system followed an end-to-end machine learning pipeline including **data collection, exploratory data analysis (EDA), feature storage, model training, and deployment**.

AQI data was obtained from the **OpenWeather API**, which provides AQI values on a **1–5 scale**.

2. Data Collection (OpenWeather API)

Approach

The OpenWeather API was used to fetch:

- AQI (1–5 scale)
- PM2.5 concentration
- Temperature
- Humidity

The data primarily consisted of **current and limited historical observations**.

Issues Faced

Limited Data Availability

- The API does not provide extensive historical AQI data.
- Only a short time window was accessible.
- This resulted in:
 - Small dataset size
 - Weak temporal patterns for modeling

Repeated Data from API

- Multiple API calls returned **identical AQI and feature values**.
- This repetition initially went unnoticed and later caused issues during training.

3. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

Actions Taken

- Identified duplicated records based on:
 - Timestamp
 - AQI value
 - Pollutant readings
- Removed repeated rows
- Analyzed feature distributions and variability

Key Finding

EDA revealed that without cleaning:

- The model would learn AQI as a **constant value**
- Predictions would be meaningless

This confirmed that the problem was **data-related rather than model-related**.

4. Data Validation and Consistency Checks

Validation Steps

- Verified AQI values remained within the 1–5 range
- Checked for missing or null values
- Ensured correct mapping between AQI and pollutant features

Issues Encountered

- In several cases, AQI remained unchanged while pollutant values varied.
- This indicated incomplete or inconsistent data being fetched from the API.

5. Feature Store Integration

Tool Used

- Hopsworks**

Purpose

- Store cleaned and validated features centrally
- Ensure consistent features for training and inference

Issues Faced

- Unstable Feature Store Connectivity**
Features were written but not always synced properly.
Online feature store access was unreliable.
- Impact**
Models trained on outdated or incomplete feature data.
Prediction accuracy was negatively affected.

6. Model Training Challenges

Observed Behavior

- The model repeatedly predicted the **same AQI value**.
Learning collapsed to predicting the average AQI.

Root Causes

- Limited historical data
- Low variation in AQI values
- Feature store inconsistencies
- Coarse AQI scale (1–5)

Conclusion

The issue was not with the algorithm, but with:

- Data quantity**
- Data quality**
- Feature consistency**

7. CI/CD Pipeline

Tool Used

- GitHub Actions**

Status

- Pipelines executed successfully
- No major build or deployment failures
- CI/CD was not a contributing factor to model issues

8. Streamlit Deployment

Tool Used

- Streamlit**

Issues Faced

- “No trained models found” Error**
The Streamlit application failed to locate trained model artifacts.
Likely causes:
Incorrect model paths
Models not saved or registered properly
Feature store not accessible at runtime
- Limited Forecast Horizon**
The app predicted AQI for only **three days** based on current AQI.
Proper time-series forecasting was not possible due to:
Lack of long-term historical data
Missing lag-based features