## LECTURE 2. LINEAR SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY

### 2.1. Standard symplectic structure and symplectic group.

In Chapter 1, we have defined the standard symplectic structure

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n dx_j \wedge dy_j$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with the standard metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . If we take  $\omega_0$  as a skew bilinear form in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , then for any vectors u, v there is

$$\omega_0(u, v) = -u^T J_0 v = \langle J_0 u, v \rangle$$
, or  $\langle u, v \rangle = \omega_0(u, J_0 v)$ .

where

$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the standard complex structure in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

**Definition 2.1.1.** A symplectomorphism  $\psi$  preserving  $\omega_0$  is a diffeomorphism  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  such that

$$\psi^* \omega_0 = \omega_0. \tag{1}$$

A linear symplectormorphism  $\psi$  is a linear map  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  such that (1) holds. In other words,  $\psi$  is symplectic matrix in the standard basis such that

$$\psi^T J_0 \psi = J_0.$$

The following proposition shows that the symplectic matrix forms a group

**Proposition 2.1.2.** If  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are two symplectic matrices, then  $\psi \phi$ ,  $\psi^{-1}$  and  $\psi^{T}$  are also symplectic matrices.

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\psi \phi$  is a symplectic matrix. Now if  $\psi^T J_0 \psi = J_0$ , then by multiplying the identity right by  $\psi^{-1}$  and left by  $(\psi^{-1})^T$  we have

$$J_0 = (\psi^{-1})^T J_0 \psi^{-1},$$

which shows that  $\psi^{-1}$  is a symplectic matrix. On the other hand, if take the inverse of the above identity, we get

$$J_0^{-1} = \psi J_0^{-1} \psi^T,$$

which shows that  $\psi^T$  is a symplectic matrix since  $J_0^{-1} = -J_0$ .

Denote by  $Sp(2n) = Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$  by the symplectic group. We can also define the complex symplectic group  $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ .

Under the following identification

$$X + iY \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X & -Y \\ Y & X \end{pmatrix}$$

The complex linear group  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  can be embedded into  $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$  as a subgroup.

#### Lemma 2.1.3.

$$\operatorname{Sp}(2n) \cap O(2n) = \operatorname{Sp}(2n) \cap \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) = O(2n) \cap \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) = U(n).$$

*Proof.* We only prove the last identity. Suppose that  $\psi \in O(2n) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $\psi \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ , there is the real representation

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} X & -Y \\ Y & X \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $\psi$  is orthogonal, the following relations hold

$$X^T Y = Y^T X, X^T X + Y^T Y = I.$$

This is precisely the condition that  $\psi = X + iY$  is a unitary matrix

**Lemma 2.1.4.** *The following conclusions hold:* 

(1) If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is an eigenvalue of a symplectic matrix A, then so are  $1/\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, 1/\bar{\lambda}$ . Furthermore, the multiplicities of  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda^{-1}$  agree.

- (2) If  $\pm 1$  is an eigenvalue of  $\psi$  then it occurs with even multiplicity.
- (3) If  $\psi u = \alpha u, \psi v = \beta v, \alpha \beta \neq 1$ , then  $\omega_0(u, v) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since  $\psi$  is a real matrix, so if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue then the complex conjugate  $\bar{\lambda}$  is also an eigenvalue. By the following relation

$$\psi^T = J_0 \psi^{-1} J_0^{-1},$$

 $\psi^T$  is similar to  $\psi^{-1}$ . So if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue, then  $\lambda^{-1}$  is the eigenvalue of  $\psi$  and they have the common multiplicity. This proves (1)

- (ii) Since the determinant of  $\psi$  is 1, if -1 is the eigenvalue then it must appear even time and so does for 1. This proves (2)
- (iii) The last statement follows from the identity

$$\alpha\beta\langle u, J_0v\rangle = \langle \psi u, J_0\psi v\rangle = \langle u, J_0v\rangle.$$

**Lemma 2.1.5.** If  $P \in Sp(2n)$  is positive and symmetric, then all its powers  $P^{\alpha}$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , are symplectic.

*Proof.* It suffices to know that  $P^{\alpha}$  preserves the symplectic form  $\omega_0$ . The space  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  can be decomposed into the direct sum of the eigenspaces  $V_{\lambda}$  of P w. r. t. the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Take  $u \in V_{\lambda}$ ,  $u' \in V_{\lambda'}$ , then by Lemma 2.1.4 if  $\lambda \lambda' \neq 1$ , then

$$\omega_0(u, u') = 0.$$

Thus

$$\omega_0(P^{\alpha}u, P^{\alpha}u') = (\lambda^{\alpha}\lambda'^{\alpha})\omega_0(u, u') = \omega_0(u, u').$$

no matter  $\lambda \lambda' = 1$  or  $\lambda \lambda' \neq 1$ .

**Proposition 2.1.6.** The unitary group U(n) is a deformation retract of Sp(2n).

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of the fact that  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})/O(n)$  is contractible. The proof depends on the polar decomposition for any  $A \in GL(n)$ , there exists an orthogonal matrix O such that

$$A = (AA^T)^{1/2}Q.$$

In our case, for any symplectic matrix  $\psi$  we have the decomposition

$$\psi = PO$$
.

where  $P = (\psi \psi^T)^{1/2}$  and  $Q = (\psi \psi^T)^{-1/2} \psi$ . By Lemma 2.1.5, P is a positive and symmetric matrix and so is symplectic. Now the map

$$\operatorname{Sp}(2n) \times [0,1] \to \operatorname{Sp}(2n) : (\psi, t) \to (\psi \psi^T)^{-t/2} \psi$$

is a deformation retract of Sp(2n) to U(n).

### 2.2. General linear symplectic vector space.

We can generalize our discussion of the standard symplectic space  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$  to the general symplectic vector space.

**Definition 2.2.1.** A symplectic vector space is a pair  $(V, \omega)$  consisting of a finite dimensional real vector space V and a nondegenerate skew-bilinear form  $\omega : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ . Here skew-symmetric means that for all  $u, v \in V$ , there is

$$\omega(u, v) = -\omega(v, u).$$

nondegeneracy means that for every  $v \in V$ , if

$$\omega(u, v) = 0$$
,

then u = 0.

It is easy to see the existence of the non-degenerate skew-bilinear form implies the real dimension of V is even.

**Definition 2.2.2.** A linear symplectomorphism of the symplectic vector space  $(V, \omega)$  is a vector space isomorphism  $\psi : V \to V$  which preserves the symplectic structure

$$\psi^*\omega=\omega,$$

or in another word,

$$\omega(\psi u, \psi v) = \omega(u, v)$$

for  $u, v \in V$ .

The linear symplectomorphisms form a group, denoted by  $\operatorname{Sp}(V, \omega)$ . If  $\omega$  is the standard symplectic form in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ,  $\operatorname{Sp}(V, \omega) = \operatorname{Sp}(2n)$ .

The symplectic complement of a linear subspace  $W \subset V$  is defined to be the subspace

$$W^{\omega} = \{ v \in V : \omega(v, w) = 0, \forall w \in W \}.$$

**Definition 2.2.3.** A subspace W is called

- isotropic if  $W \subset W^{\omega}$ ;
- coisotropic if  $W^{\omega} \subset W$ ;
- symplectic if  $W \cap W^{\omega} = \{0\}$ ;
- Lagrangian if  $W = W^{\omega}$ .

It is easy to see that W is isotropic iff  $\omega$  vanishes on W, and W is symplectic iff  $\omega|_W$  is non-degenerate.

**Lemma 2.2.4.** For any subspace  $W \subset V$ ,

$$\dim W + \dim W^{\omega} = \dim V, (W^{\omega})^{\omega} = W.$$

*Proof.* Define the map from V to  $V^*$ :

$$v \in V \to \iota_v \omega$$
,

where  $\iota_{v}$  is the contraction. Since  $\omega$  is non-degenerate, this map is isomorphism and sends  $W^{\omega}$  to the annihilator  $W^{\perp}$  of W. So

$$\dim W^{\omega} + \dim W = \dim W^{\perp} + W = \dim V.$$

The second identity is obvious.

The following result asserts that all symplectic vector space of the same dimension are linearly symplectomorphic

**Theorem 2.2.5.** Let  $(V, \omega)$  be a symplectic vector space of dimension 2n. Then there exists a basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  such that

$$\omega(u_j, u_k) = \omega(v_j, v_k) = 0, \ \omega(u_j, v_k) = \delta_{jk}.$$

Such a basis is called a symplectic basis. Moreover there exists a vector space isomorphism  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to V$  such that

$$\psi^*\omega=\omega_0.$$

*Proof.* Prove by induction. Since  $\omega$  is non-degenerate there exists vectors  $u_1, v_1 \in V$  such that

$$\omega(u_1, v_1) = 1.$$

Hence the subspace spanned by  $u_1, v_1$  is symplectic. Let W denote its symplectic complement. Then  $(W, \omega)$  is also a symplectic subspace with dimension 2n - 2. By induction, we can find a basis  $\{u_2, \dots, u_n, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  of W satisfying the requirement. Hence  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is the required basis. We call it as the  $\omega$ -standard basis.

The linear map defined by

$$\psi z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_j u_j + y_j v_j)$$

for  $z = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is the required linear symplectomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \to V$ .

**Corollary 2.2.6.** Suppose that  $\omega_t$  is a smooth family of symplectic forms in V, then there exists a smooth family of symplectic matrices  $\psi_t : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to V$  such that  $\psi_t^* \omega_t = \omega_0$ .

Proof. Leave as exercise.

**Corollary 2.2.7.** Let V be a real 2n-dimensional space and  $\omega$  be a skew-symmetric bilinear form, then  $\omega$  is non-degenerate iff

$$\omega^n = \omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega \neq 0.$$

Proof. Leave as exercise.

**Proposition 2.2.8.** Any isotropic subspace is contained in some Larangian subspace. Moreover any basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  of a Lagrangian subspace can be extended to a symplectic basis of  $(V, \omega)$ .

*Proof.* Let W be an isotropic subspace. We can extend W to a larger space  $W_1$  by adjoining some vector  $v \in W^{\omega} - W$ . So the maximal isotropic space must be Lagrangian. On the other hand, if  $\Lambda \subset W$  is a Lagrangian space contained in an isotropic subspace, then  $W^{\omega} \subset \Lambda^{\omega} = \Lambda$ , which shows that  $W = \Lambda$ . Hence the Lagrangian space is the maximal isotropic subspace.

It suffices to prove the second conclusion by assuming  $V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with the standard symplectic structure. Let  $\Lambda$  be a Lagrangian subspace, then  $J_0\Lambda$  is also a Lagrangian subspace, which can be also viewed as the dual space  $\Lambda^*$  under the isomorphism  $\iota_{(\cdot)}\omega_0 : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to (\mathbb{R}^{2n})^*$ . Then we choose the dual basis in  $J_0\Lambda$  of basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  in  $\Lambda$ .

Every coisotropic subspace  $W \subset V$  gives rise to a new symplectic vector space obtained by dividing W by its symplectic complement. This construction of a subquotient is called (linear) symplectic reduction.

**Lemma 2.2.9.** Let  $(V, \omega)$  be a symplectic vector space and  $W \subset V$  be a coisotropic subspace. Then we have

- (1) The quotient  $V' = W/W^{\omega}$  carries a natural symplectic structure  $\omega'$  by  $\omega$ .
- (2) If  $L \subset V$  is a Lagrangian subspace, then  $L' = ((L \cap W) + W^{\omega})/W^{\omega}$  is a Lagrangian subspace of V'.

Proof.

(i) Define 
$$[w] = w + W^{\omega}$$
. Let  $w_1 \in [w_1], w_2 \in [w_2]$  and define  $\omega'([w_1], [w_2]) := \omega(w_1, w_2)$ .

This definition is independent of the choice of  $w_1, w_2$ , since W is a coisotropic subspace and

$$\omega(w, v) = 0, \forall w \in W, v \in W^{\omega}.$$

If  $\omega'([w_0], [w]) = 0$  for any  $w \in W$ , then we must have  $w_0 \in W^{\omega}$ . So  $[w_0] = 0$  and  $\omega'$  is nondegenerate.

(ii) We first show that  $\hat{L} = (L \cap W) + W^{\omega}$  is a Lagrangian subspace of V. We have

$$\begin{split} \hat{L}^{\omega} = & (L \cap W)^{\omega} \cap W \\ = & (L^{\omega} + W^{\omega}) \cap W \\ = & L \cap W + W^{\omega} \\ = & \hat{L}. \end{split}$$

Now let  $w \in W$  such that  $\omega'([w], [w_1]) = 0$  for all  $[w_1] \in L' = \hat{L}/W^{\omega}$ . Then  $\omega(w, w_1) = 0$  for any  $w_1 \in \hat{L}$  and hence  $w \in \hat{L}^{\omega} = \hat{L}$ . This shows that  $[w] \in L'$  and L' is a Lagrangian submanifold of V'.

# 2.2.1. Space of symplectic forms.

Let K(V) denote the space of all symplectic forms on the vector space. Consider the action of  $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$  on K(V) as follows:

$$\omega \mapsto \psi^* \omega$$
.

Since  $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$  gives the transitive transformation between different symplectic basis, the action of  $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$  on K(V) is transitive. The isotropy group at any  $\omega$  is  $Sp(V, \omega) \cong Sp(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ . So

$$K(V) \cong \operatorname{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R}) / \operatorname{Sp}(2n).$$
 (2)

Hence K(V) can be equipped with the topology of a noncompact homogeneous space.

Since O(2n) and U(n) are retract kernels of  $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$  and Sp(2n) respectively, we have the homotopy equivalence relation:

$$K(V) \sim O(2n)/U(n). \tag{3}$$

#### 2.3. Complex structure.

A complex structure on a vector space V is an automorphism  $J: V \to V$  such that  $J^2 = -I$ . Under the following action

$$\mathbb{C} \times V \to V : (s + it, v) \to sv + tJv,$$

V becomes a complex vector space. In particular a space V with a complex structure must be an even dimensional space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{J}(V)$  the space of complex structure. For example,  $J_0 \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ . If we think of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  as  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by identification  $(x,y) \to x+iy$ , then the action of  $J_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is just the multiplication of i on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

# 2.3.1. Space of complex structures.

**Proposition 2.3.1.** The space  $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  is diffeomorphic to the homogeneous space  $\mathrm{GL}(2n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ . This space is the disjoint union of two connected components:  $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) = \mathcal{J}^+(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \coprod \mathcal{J}^-(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ . Here  $\mathcal{J}^+(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  is the one containing the standard complex structure  $J_0$ . We have

- (1)  $\mathcal{J}^+(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  is diffeomorphic to the homogeneous space  $\mathrm{GL}^+(2n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$
- (2)  $\mathcal{J}^+(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  is homotopy equivalent to SO(2n)/U(n).
- (3)  $\mathscr{J}^+(\mathbb{R}^4) = S^2$ .

*Proof.* (i) Define the

(i) Define the action of  $GL^+(2n,\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathscr{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  as

$$A \cdot J := A^{-1}JA$$
.

Then this action is transitive and the isotropic subgroup at  $J_0$  is  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Hence  $\mathscr{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  can be equipped with the structure of the homogeneous space  $GL^+(2n, \mathbb{R})/GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . This proves (1).

- (ii) (2) is obvious since SO(2n) and U(n) are the retract kernels of  $GL^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ .
- (iii) Let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  be the orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Take  $J \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^4) \cap SO(4)$ . Now we claim that J is uniquely determined by the unit vector  $Je_1$  lying in the 3-dimensional space  $\{x_1 = 0\}$ . In fact,  $\{e_1, Je_1\}$  forms an orthonormal basis of 2 plane  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ . Now for any unit vector  $u \in E^{\perp}$ , we have orthonormal basis  $\{u, Ju\}$  of  $E^{\perp}$ . the requirement that  $(e_1, Je_1, u, Ju)$  forms an oriented orthonomal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  uniquely determine J. Hence  $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  is the sphere.

# 2.3.2. Space of compatible complex structures.

If V also has a symplectic form  $\omega$  we say that  $\omega$  and J are compactible if for all  $u, v \in V$ ,

$$\omega(Ju,Jv) = \omega(u,v),$$

and for all nonzero  $u \in V$ ,

$$\omega(u, Ju) > 0$$
.

A compatible pair  $(\omega, J)$  defines a metric (inner product)  $g_I$  by

$$g_J(u, v) = \omega(u, Jv).$$

This metric is symmetric since we have

$$g_{J}(u, v) = \omega(u, Jv) = \omega(Ju, J^{2}v) = -\omega(Ju, v) = \omega(v, Ju) = g_{J}(v, u).$$

The following conclusion shows that every complex structure is isomorphic to the standard complex structure.

**Proposition 2.3.2.** Let V be a real 2n dimensional vector space with a complex structure J, then exists a vector space isomorphism  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to V$  such that

$$J\psi = \psi J_0$$
.

*Proof.* Take any inner product g in V and we can define a J-invariant symmetric metric

$$g_J(u,v) := \frac{1}{2}(g_J(u,v) + g_J(Ju,Jv)).$$

Define a bilinear form

$$\omega_{g_J}(u,v) := g_J(Ju,v).$$

Then we have

$$\omega_{g_J}(u, v) = g_J(Ju, v) = g_J(J^2u, Jv) = -g_J(Jv, u) = -\omega_{g_J}(v, u)$$

and

$$\omega_{g_I}(Ju,Jv) = -g_J(u,Jv) = -\omega_{g_I}(v,u) = \omega_{g_I}(u,v).$$

Also  $\omega_{g_J}$  is non-degenerate bilinear form. Hence  $(V, \omega_{g_J})$  becomes a symplectic vector space and the pair  $(\omega_{g_J}, J)$  are compatible.

Then there exists a  $\omega_{g_J}$ -standard basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n \text{ such that } \}$ 

$$\omega_{g_I}(u_i, u_k) = \omega_{g_I}(v_i, v_k) = 0, \omega_{g_I}(u_i, v_k) = \delta_{ik}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$g_J(Ju_i, u_k) = g_J(Jv_i, v_k) = 0, g_J(Ju_i, v_k) = \delta_{ik}.$$

This shows that  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n\} = \{u_1, \dots, u_n, Ju_1, \dots, Ju_n\}.$ 

Now we define the linear symplectomorphism  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to V$  such that the canonical symplectic basis  $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n, J_0e_1, \cdots, J_0e_n\}$  is mapped to the basis  $\{u_1, \cdots, u_n, Ju_1, \cdots, Ju_n\}$ . This shows that

$$\psi J_0 = J\psi$$
.

Denote  $\mathcal{J}(V,\omega)$  by the set of all the complex structure J compatible with  $\omega$ . The following proposition shows that  $\mathcal{J}(V,\omega)$  is a contractible space

**Proposition 2.3.3.**  $\mathcal{J}(V,\omega)$  is homeomorphic to the space  $\mathbb{P}$  of symmetric positive symplectic matrices. Hence  $\mathcal{J}(V,\omega)$  is a contractible space.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the result for  $\mathscr{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n},\omega_0)$ . Let  $J \in \mathscr{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n},\omega_0)$ , then J must satisfies the conditions:

$$J^{2} = -I, J^{T} J_{0} J = J_{0}, \langle v, -J_{0} J v \rangle > 0, \forall v \neq 0.$$

The first two identities implies that

$$(J_0 J)^T = -J^T J_0 = J_0 J.$$

Hence  $-J_0J$  is positive definite and symmetric. Conversely, if a matrix P has such properties, then it is easy to check that  $J = -J_0^T P \in \mathscr{J}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$ . By Lemma 2.1.5,  $\mathbb{P}$  is contractible and so does  $\mathscr{J}$ .

#### 2.3.3. Space of tamed complex structures.

In many situations, it is not necessary to consider the compatible complex structure. For example, in order to treat the compactness theorem for pseudo-holomorphic curves, it only needs the condition

$$\omega(v, Jv) > 0, \forall v \neq 0.$$

The complex structure only satisfying the above condition is called a  $\omega$ -tamed complex structure. Denote the set of the tamed complex structures by  $\mathcal{J}_t(V, \omega)$ .

The following proposition is due to Gromov [Gr?]:

**Proposition 2.3.4.** *The space*  $\mathcal{J}_t(V,\omega)$  *is contractible.* 

*Proof.* Let K = K(V) be the space of all symplectic forms on V and  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}(V)$  be the space of all complex structures.

Consider the spaces

$$C_t = \{(\omega, J) \in K \times \mathcal{J} | J \in \mathcal{J}_t(V, \omega)\}$$

and

$$C = \{(\omega, J) \in K \times \mathcal{J} | J \in \mathcal{J}(V, \omega)\}.$$

It is easy to see that all the projections

$$C_t \to K, C_t \to \mathcal{J}, C \to K, C \to J$$

are locally trivial fibrations. Since the fiber of  $C_t \to \mathcal{J}$  is the set of all  $\omega$ -tamed complex structure which is convex, hence the total space is homotopic to  $\mathcal{J}$ . A similar result holds for  $C \to \mathcal{J}$ . Since the two maps  $C_t \to \mathcal{J}$  and  $C \to \mathcal{J}$  are homotopic equivalences, the projection  $C \to C_t$  is also a homotopy equivalent. This fact together with the conclusion that the projection  $C \to K$  has contractible fibres implies that the projection  $C_t \to K$  is a homotopy equivalence. So the fibers of  $C \to K$  is contractible.