## Representation Theory

Throughout the rest of these notes L will be a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra over  $F = \mathbb{C}$  with CSA H, root system  $\Phi$ , base  $\Delta$  and Weyl group W. Although L will be finite dimensional, we need to consider infinite dimensional representations V of L. The main goal will be to explain the Weyl character formula. The proof will come afterwards.

## 20. Weights and maximal vectors

The statement is: Irreducible representations V of L are uniquely determined up to isomorphism by their highest weight and are generated by any vector of highest weight. This is true when V is finite dimensional and is also true for many infinite dimensional V. The main problem is that an infinite dimensional representation may not have a highest weight.

20.1. **definitions.** Recall that L has a root space decomposition

$$L = H \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} L_{\alpha}$$

For any representation V of L and any  $\lambda: H \to F = \mathbb{C}$  recall that the  $\lambda$  weight space of V is:

$$V_{\lambda} = \{ v \in V \mid h(v) = \lambda(h)v \}$$

Let V' be the sum of all the weight spaces  $V_{\lambda}$ .

Proposition 20.1.1. (1)

$$V' = \bigoplus_{\lambda} V_{\lambda}$$

- (2)  $L_{\alpha}(V_{\lambda}) \subseteq V_{\lambda+\alpha}$ . (3) V' = V if V is finite dimensional.

**Definition 20.1.2.** A highest weight for V is a weight  $\lambda$  so that  $V_{\lambda} \neq 0$  but  $V_{\lambda+\alpha} = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi_+$ .

It is clear that any (nonzero) finite dimensional representation has a highest weight.

**Example 20.1.3.** For the adjoint representation V = L, the highest weight is equal to the maximal root.

**Definition 20.1.4.** A maximal vector  $v^+ \in V$  is a nonzero element with the property that

$$x_{\alpha} v^+ = 0$$

for all  $x_{\alpha} \in L_{\alpha}$  where  $\alpha$  is a positive root.

It is clear that any nonzero vector of highest weight is a maximal vector. The converse is not true.

It is enough to have  $x_{\alpha} v^+ - 0$  for  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .

**Example 20.1.5.** Let  $L = \mathfrak{sl}(2, F) = H \oplus L_{\alpha} \oplus L_{-\alpha}$ . Recall that  $H = Fh_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha} = Fx_{\alpha}, L_{-\alpha} = Fy_{\alpha}$ . Since there is only one positive root  $\alpha$ , a maximal weight in a representation V is any nonzero  $v \in V$  so that  $x_{\alpha}(v) = 0$ .

Let  $V = \mathfrak{sl}(3, F)$  with positive roots  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta$ . The weight space decomposition of V is

$$V = V_{\alpha} \oplus V_{\frac{1}{2}\alpha} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha} \oplus V_{-\alpha}$$

Identifying  $\alpha = 2$  since  $H^*$  is one dimensional and  $\alpha(h_{\alpha}) = 2$ , this can be rewritten:

$$V = V_2 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_0 \oplus V_{-1} \oplus V_{-2}$$

- The vector  $x_{\alpha} \in V_2$  is a maximal vector since it has highest weight.
- The vector  $x_{\alpha+\beta} \in V$  is maximal since  $[x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha+\beta}] = 0$ . It also lies in  $V_1$ :

$$h_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha+\beta}) = (\alpha(h_{\alpha}) + \beta(h_{\alpha}))x_{\alpha+\beta} = (2-1)x_{\alpha+\beta} = x_{\alpha+\beta}$$

so it has highest weight since  $V_{\frac{1}{2}\alpha+\alpha}=V_3=0$ .

• The vector  $h_{\alpha} + 2h_{\beta} \in V_0$  is also a maximal vector since

$$x_{\alpha}(h_{\alpha} + 2h_{\beta}) = -[h_{\alpha} + 2h_{\beta}, x_{\alpha}] = -(\alpha(h_{\alpha}) + 2\beta(h_{\alpha}))x_{\alpha} = -(2-2)x_{\alpha} = 0$$

but  $h_{\beta} \in V_0$  so it does not have highest weight.

Note that, in this example, V has two highest weights.

## 20.2. Standard cyclic modules.

**Definition 20.2.1.** A standard cyclic module of highest weight  $\lambda$  is a representation V which is generated by a single maximal vector  $v^+$  of weight  $\lambda$ .

This means that V is spanned by elements of the form  $a_1a_2\cdots a_mv^+$  where  $a_i \in L$ . I.e.,  $V = \mathcal{U}(L)v^+$ . The fact that the finite dimensional Lie algebra L can have infinite dimensional cyclic modules comes from the fact that  $\mathcal{U}(L)$  is infinite dimensional in general.

**Lemma 20.2.2.** Let V be a standard cyclic module generated by  $v^+ \in V_{\lambda}$ . Then V is spanned by elements of the form

$$y_{\beta_1}y_{\beta_2}\cdots y_{\beta_k}v^+$$

where  $\beta_i$  are positive roots and  $y_{\beta} \in L_{-\beta}$ .

*Proof.* Use PBW to see that 
$$\mathcal{U}(L)v^+ = \mathcal{U}(N_-(L))\mathcal{U}(B(\Delta))v^+ = \mathcal{U}(N_-(L))v^+$$

**Theorem 20.2.3.** If V is standard cyclic as above then

- (1)  $\lambda$  is a highest weight.
- (2)  $V_{\lambda}$  is one dimensional.
- (3) V has a weight space decomposition  $V = \bigoplus V_{\beta}$  where  $\beta$  runs over weights of the form  $\lambda \sum k_i \alpha_i$  where  $\alpha_i \in \Delta$  and  $k_i$  are nonnegative integers.

In the proof of the corollary below we used the following lemma.

**Lemma 20.2.4.**  $v^+ \in V$  is a maximal vector iff it satisfies the condition:

$$Bv^+ = \mathbb{C}v^+$$

In other words,  $v^+$  is a common eigenvector for all elements of the Borel subalgebra  $B = B(\Delta)$ .

Proof. Let  $W = \mathbb{C}v^+$ . Then W is a representation of B and therefore also of  $H \subseteq B$ . So,  $v^+$  is an eigenvector of H and we have a linear map  $\lambda : H \to \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\lambda(h)v^+ = h(v^+)$ . Thus  $W = W_{\lambda}$ . For any positive root  $\alpha$  we have  $x_{\alpha} \in B$  and  $x_{\alpha}(v^+) \subseteq W_{\lambda+\alpha} = 0$ . So,  $v^+$  is a maximal vector of weight  $\lambda$ . The converse is obvious.

Corollary 20.2.5. V is indecomposable and all quotient modules are cyclic with highest weight  $\lambda$ . V has a unique maximal proper submodule. If V is irreducible then  $\lambda$  is unique.

Proof. Suppose that  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ . Then each element of V has two coordinates. So,  $v^+ = (v_1^+, v_2^+)$ . For every  $b \in B$  we have  $bv^+ = av^+$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . But  $av^+ = (av_1^+, av_2^+)$ . So,  $Bv_1^+ = \mathbb{C}v_1^+$  and  $Bv_2^+ = \mathbb{C}v_2^+$ . Therefore,  $(v_1^+, 0)$  and  $(0, v_2^+)$  are maximal vectors of weight  $\lambda$ . But  $V_{\lambda}$  is one-dimensional. So, either  $v_1^+ = 0$  or  $v_2^+ = 0$ . Since  $v^+$  generates V,  $v_i^+$  generates  $V_i$ . So, either  $V_1 = 0$  or  $V_2 = 0$  showing that  $V_1$  is indecomposable.

Given any submodule W of V, since W is an H-submodule of V, it must be the sum of weight spaces  $W_{\mu}$ . Since  $W \neq V$ , we must have  $W_{\lambda} = 0$ . So,  $(V/W)_{\lambda} = V_{\lambda}/W_{\lambda} = V_{\lambda} \neq 0$ . So,  $v^+ + W$  is a nonzero maximal vector for V/W of weight  $\lambda$  and it clearly generates V/W. So, V/W is cyclic.

To show that there is a unique maximal proper submodule, note that all proper submodules of V lie in the vector subspace  $\bigoplus_{\mu \neq \lambda} V_{\mu}$ . But then the sum of all proper submodules of V is a proper submodule which is unique since it contains all other proper submodules.

Finally, if V is irreducible then  $\lambda$  is uniquely determined since, given any other maximal vector  $w^+ \in V_\mu$ , the submodule generated by  $w^+$  must be equal to V. But then  $\lambda = \mu - \sum k_i \alpha_i$  which implies that  $\mu = \lambda + \sum k_i \alpha_i$  which implies that  $\lambda = \mu$ .

20.3. Existence and uniqueness of cyclic modules. I proved the existence theorem first:

**Theorem 20.3.1.** For any  $\lambda : H \to \mathbb{C}$ , there exists an irreducible standard cyclic module with highest weight  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Start with a one dimensional representation  $D_{\lambda} = \mathbb{C}v^+$  of B given by taking the action of any  $h \in H$  to be multiplication by  $\lambda(h)$  and the action of any  $x_{\alpha} \in L_{\alpha}$  to be zero. Then take:

$$V = \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(B)} D_{\lambda}$$

This is the L-module obtained from  $D_{\lambda}$  by "extension of scalars" which is also called the "induced representation." (Recall that for any homomorphism of rings  $R \to S$  and any S-module M we have an R-module given by  $R \otimes_S M$ .)

The L-module V is generated by the element  $1 \otimes v^+$  which is a maximal vector of weight  $\lambda$  since  $b(1 \otimes v^+) = 1 \otimes bv^+$  is a scalar multiple of  $1 \otimes v^+$  and that scalar is equal to  $\lambda(h)$  when  $b = h \in H$ .

By the corollary, V has a unique maximal proper submodule M and the quotient V/M is the desired irreducible cyclic module with prescribed highest weight  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 20.3.2.** There is only one irreducible V with highest weight  $\lambda$  (up to isomorphism).

*Proof.* Suppose there are two of irreducible standard cyclic modules  $V^1, V^2$  with the same highest weight  $\lambda$ . Then  $V_{\lambda}^1 = \mathbb{C}v_1$  and  $V_{\lambda}^2 = \mathbb{C}v_2$ . Let  $V = V^1 \oplus V^2$ . Then  $V_{\lambda} = V_{\lambda}^1 \oplus V_{\lambda}^2$ . So,  $v^+ = (v_1^+, v_2^+)$  is a maximal vector since, for all  $b \in B$  we have  $bv^+ = (bv_1^+, bv_2^+) = (av_1^+, av_2^+) = av^+$  for some scalar a. (Since a is uniquely determined by b and  $\lambda$ , it is the same scalar in both coordinates.)

Let W be the cyclic module generated by  $v^+$ . Then the projection map  $p_1: W \to V_1$  is onto since it sends  $v^+$  to the generator  $v_1^+$  of  $V_1$ . Since  $V_1$  is irreducible, the kernel of  $p_1$  is the unique maximal proper submodule M of W. So,  $V_1 \cong W/M$ . Similarly,  $V_2 \cong W/M$ . So,  $V_1 \cong V_2$ . Furthermore, this isomorphism sends  $v_1^+$  to  $v_2^+$ .