

Positive Orthant Scalar Controllability of Bilinear Systems

Yu. L. Sachkov

UDC 517.987

ABSTRACT. For the bilinear control system $\dot{x} = (A + uB)x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$, where A is an $n \times n$ essentially nonnegative matrix, and B is a diagonal matrix, the following controllability problem is investigated: can any two points with positive coordinates be joined by a trajectory of the system? For $n > 2$, the answer is negative in the generic case: hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n are constructed that are intersected by all the trajectories of the system in one direction.

§1. Introduction

Consider the following control system:

$$\dot{x} = (A + uB)x, \quad (1)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, A and B are constant real $n \times n$ matrices, and $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a piecewise constant unbounded control.

For any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $R(x)$ the set of all points in \mathbb{R}^n which is accessible from x in arbitrary nonnegative time, i.e.,

$$R(x) = \{\gamma(T) : \gamma(\cdot) \text{ is the trajectory of system (1), } \gamma(0) = x, T \geq 0\}.$$

The set \mathbb{R}_+^n , called the *positive orthant*, and the set $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$, called the *open positive orthant*, are defined as

$$\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \geq 0\}, \quad \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{R}}_+^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x > 0\}.$$

The following definition was proposed by W. M. Boothby in [1]:

Definition 1. The system (1) is *positive orthant controllable* if for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ we have $R(x) \supset \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$.

The property of positive orthant controllability is meaningful only if any solution which lies in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ at $t = 0$ will remain in this set for all $t \geq 0$, i.e., when

- all off-diagonal elements $A = (a_{ij})$ are nonnegative;
 - B is diagonal: $B = \text{diag}(b_1, \dots, b_n)$.
- (2)

This paper is concerned with positive orthant controllability of system (1) for $n > 2$, provided that conditions (2) are satisfied. In the generic case the answer to this question is shown to be negative. This follows from the existence of hypersurfaces which divide $\overset{\circ}{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$ into two parts and are intersected by all the trajectories of system (1) in one direction only. The result obtained is a generalization of the author's result for the three-dimensional case (see [2]).

For $n = 2$, this problem was fully investigated by A. Bacciotti in [3]. In the two-dimensional case the set of pairs of matrices (A, B) satisfying conditions (2) (for which system (1) is positive orthant controllable) has a nonempty interior and is not everywhere dense.

§2. Auxiliary lemmas

First, we study the generic case, in which the largest and the smallest eigenvalues of the matrix B are distinct from all other eigenvalues:

$$B = \text{diag}(b_1, \dots, b_n), \quad b_1 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_{n-1} < b_n. \quad (3)$$

Consider the following function defined on $\mathring{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$:

$$H(x) = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} x_1^{c_i} x_i x_n^{d_i},$$

where for $i = 1, \dots, n$ the coefficients c_i and d_i are defined by

$$c_i = \frac{b_i - b_n}{b_n - b_1}, \quad d_1 = \frac{b_1 - b_i}{b_n - b_1}.$$

Note the following properties of these coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 = d_n = -1, \quad c_n = d_1 = 0, \quad -1 < c_i < 0, \quad -1 < d_i < 0, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1; \\ c_i + d_i = -1, \quad b_1 c_i + b_i + b_n d_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let conditions (3) be satisfied. Then the function $H(x)$ is a first integral of the equation $\dot{x} = Bx$ in $\mathring{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$.*

Proof. Let us calculate the derivative of $H(x)$ using the equation given above. In view of (4), we have

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (c_i b_1 + b_i + d_i b_n) x_1^{c_i} x_i x_n^{d_i} \equiv 0.$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Thus, the vector field Bx touches any hypersurface $\{H(x) = C\}$ at all its points in $\mathring{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$. The direction of the intersection of the hypersurface $\{H(x) = C\}$ by the field Ax is determined by the sign of the function

$$f(x) = \langle \text{grad } H(x), Ax \rangle.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n > 2$ and $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix such that*

$$a_{1n} > 0, \quad a_{n1} > 0, \quad a_{1j} \geq 0, \quad a_{nj} \geq 0, \quad a_{1j} + a_{nj} > 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Let condition (3) be satisfied. Then for sufficiently large C the following inequality holds:

$$(f(x))|_{H(x)=C} < 0.$$

Proof. We introduce homogeneous coordinates $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$: $u_i = x_i/x_1$, $i = 2, \dots, n$, and, moreover, we set $u_1 \equiv 1$. In the new coordinates, we have

$$f(u) = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n u_j u_n^{d_i} \left(c_i a_{1j} u_i + a_{ij} + d_i a_{nj} \frac{u_i}{u_n} \right), \quad H(u) = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} u_i u_n^{d_i}.$$

We want to show that

$$(f(x))|_{H(x)=C} < 0 \quad \text{for sufficiently large } C. \quad (5)$$

It is easily seen that

$$f(u) = P_1(u) + N_1(u) + P_2(u) + N_2(u) + P_3(u) + N_3(u),$$

where $N_i(u)$ are nonnegative terms and $P_i(u)$ are terms with indeterminate sign:

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(u) &= a_{1n} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} c_i u_i u_n^{d_i+1}, & N_2(u) &= a_{n1} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} d_i u_i u_n^{d_i-1}, \\ N_3(u) &= \sum_{i,j=2}^{n-1} (c_i a_{1j} u_i u_j u_n^{d_i} + d_i a_{nj} u_i u_j u_n^{d_i-1}), \\ P_1(u) &= a_{11} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} c_i u_i u_n^{d_i}, & P_2(u) &= \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} u_j u_n^{d_i}, & P_3(u) &= a_{nn} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} d_i u_i u_n^{d_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove the assertion (5) by dividing the variation region of u into three parts and by making appropriate estimates in each part.

(A) First we prove that

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \forall C > 0 \quad (f(u)|_{H(u)=C, u_n < \varepsilon}) < 0.$$

Indeed, for small u_n , the sign of $(f(u)|_{H(u)=C})$ is determined by the term $N_2(u)$: it is easily seen that

$$P_k(u) = o(N_2(u)), \quad u_n \rightarrow 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3.$$

(B) Further, we derive similar estimates for large u_n , i.e., we show that

$$\exists K > 0 \forall C > 0 \quad (f(u)|_{H(u)=C, u_n > K}) < 0,$$

using the fact that, for large u_n , the sign of $(f(u)|_{H(u)=C})$ is defined by the term $N_1(u)$.

(C) Next we prove that

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \forall K > 0 \quad \text{for sufficiently large } C \quad (f(u)|_{H(u)=C, \varepsilon \leq u_n \leq K}) < 0,$$

The leading term is now $N_3(u)$: for $\varepsilon \leq u_n \leq K$ and sufficiently large C all the terms $P_k(u)$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, are suppressed by the negative term $N_3(u)$.

Equation (5) now follows, obviously, from (A), (B), and (C). \square

§3. Conditions for uncontrollability

In this section two conditions are given for system (1) to be positive orthant uncontrollable. The first result embraces the generic case.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n > 2$ and conditions (2) be satisfied. Suppose also that the following inequalities hold:*

$$a_{1n} > 0, \quad a_{n1} > 0, \quad a_{1j} + a_{nj} > 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, n-1; \quad b_1 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_{n-1} < b_n.$$

Then system (1) is not positive orthant controllable.

Proof. For sufficiently large C the hypersurface $\{H(x) = C\}$ is intersected by all trajectories of system (1) in one direction only, namely that of decreasing values of $H(x)$ (see Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2). \square

Let us now prove another sufficient condition for uncontrollability; it relates to certain degenerate cases not included in the conditions of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $n > 2$ and conditions (2) are satisfied. Suppose that for some i, j such that $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ we have

$$b_i = b_j, \quad (\forall k \neq i \quad a_{ik} > 0) \quad \text{or} \quad (\forall k \neq j \quad a_{jk} > 0).$$

Then system (1) is not positive orthant controllable.

Proof. Suppose that the first condition $(\forall k \neq i, a_{ik} > 0)$ is satisfied; if the second one is satisfied, we replace j by i .

Let us consider the function $G(x) = x_j/x_i$ and show that for a sufficiently large C the hyperplane $\{G(x) = C\}$ is intersected by all trajectories of system (1) in $\mathring{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$ in one direction only.

Indeed, the field Bx touches the hyperplane $\{G(x) = C\}$ by virtue of the relation $b_i = b_j$. The direction of intersection of this hyperplane by the field Ax is determined by the sign of the function

$$p(x) = \langle \text{grad } G(x), Ax \rangle.$$

We introduce homogeneous coordinates: $v = (v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n)$, where $v_k = x_k/x_i$ for $k \neq i$. In these coordinates we have

$$G(v) = v_j, \quad p(v) = \sum_{k \neq i, j} (a_{jk} - G(v)a_{ik})v_k + a_{ji} + a_{jj}G(v) - G(v)a_{ii} - G^2(v)a_{ij}.$$

By the method used in Lemma 2.2, it is readily shown that for sufficiently large C we have

$$(p(v)|_{G(v)=C}) < 0.$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large C the hyperplane $\{G(x) = C\}$ in $\mathring{\mathbb{R}}_+^n$ is intersected by all trajectories of system (1) in one direction only, namely that of decreasing values of $G(x)$. \square

Although for $n > 2$ almost all systems (1) satisfying conditions (2) are not positive orthant controllable, for $n = 3$ we can give examples of such positive orthant controllable systems and generally furnish some sufficient conditions for controllability (related to degenerate cases only).

The author wishes to express gratitude to Professor A. F. Filippov for his attention to this work.

References

1. W. M. Boothby, "Some comments on positive orthant controllability of bilinear systems," *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **20**, No. 5, 634-644 (1982).
2. Yu. L. Sachkov, "Positive orthant controllability of two- and three-dimensional bilinear systems," *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya [Differential Equations]*, **29**, 361-363 (1993).
3. A. Bacciotti, "On the positive orthant controllability of two-dimensional bilinear systems," *Systems and Control Letters*, **3**, 53-55 (1983).

PERESLAVL'-ZALESSKII INSTITUTE OF PROGRAMMING SYSTEMS
E-mail address: sachkov@spoc.botic.yaroslavl.su

Translated by N. K. Kulman