# Single input control and Jurdjevic's theorem

Uther Shackeley-Bennett

March 18, 2015

## 1 Jurdjevic amd Kupka's Theorem

From Elliott p95 and p117.

**Definition 1** (Strong regularity). The matrix  $B \in \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R})$  is strongly regular if its eigenvalues  $\lambda_k = \alpha_k + i\beta_k$ ,  $k \in 1, \ldots, n$  are distinct, including 2m conjugate-complex pairs, and the real parts  $\alpha_1 < \ldots < \alpha_{n-m}$  satisfy  $\alpha_i - \alpha_j \neq \alpha_p - \alpha_q$  unless i = p and j = q.

**Theorem 2** (Jurdjevic and Kupka). Assume that Tr(A) = 0 = Tr(B) and B is strongly regular. Choose coordinates so that  $B = diag(\alpha_1, ... \alpha_n)$ . If A satisfies

$$A_{i,j} \neq 0 \quad \forall i, j \text{ such that } |i-j| = 1,$$
 (1)

$$A_{1,n}A_{n,1} < 0 (2)$$

then with  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\dot{x} = (A + uB)x$  is controllable on  $\mathbb{R}^n_*$ .

Note that our A and B are always traceless. I am wondering about why we are told to diagonalise B; I don't think the alphas in the theorem are the same as those in the definition.

### 2 Examples

#### 2.1 Example 1

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 & x \\ b & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 & e \\ y & 0 & f & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 & c \\ b & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 & -b \\ d & 0 & -a & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & -3 \\ 4 & 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 & -4 \\ 5 & 0 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

xy < 0. The letters are non zero.

The above is recursive.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 & c \\ b & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 & -b \\ d & 0 & -a & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 7 & 0 & -3 \\ 7 & 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 & -7 \\ 5 & 0 & -7 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

This example has all real eigenvalues. If we construct B such that this cannot be made imag. then we are OK....

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} a+ib & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a-ib & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c+id & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c-id \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2+i3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2-i3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2+i3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2-i3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

The eigenvalues are all distinct and a-c are not equal to any other real parts subtracted.

What I want is an example such that a compact drift field is not constructible. Presumably no point trying in 1 mode because of Wu's theorem.

$$A + uB = \begin{pmatrix} u(2+i3) & 7 & 0 & -3\\ 7 & u(2-i3) & -3 & 0\\ 0 & 5 & u(-2+i3) & -7\\ 5 & 0 & -7 & u(-2-i3) \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

This example is not recursive for u = 1. I need to show that this is never recursive for any value of u. On MATLAB I find that there are values of u for which this has imaginary eigenvalues.

So trying for a more general example

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 & c \\ b & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 & -b \\ d & 0 & -a & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 7 & 0 & -3 \\ 7 & 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 & -7 \\ 5 & 0 & -7 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (7)

So what I want is to construct some Hamiltonian matrix A + uB satisfying all the condtion on A and B such that there is no value of u that will give it imaginary eigenvalues. If there is then we could just set this as our drift field. I want to construct a controllable counter-example to Alessio's conjecture.

#### 2.2 Master equation

General A matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & d & h \\ k & l & -a & -e \\ l & g & -b & -f \end{pmatrix}$$
 (8)

such that  $b, d, e, l \neq 0$  and dl < 0. (note that without these conditions this is just a general Hamiltonian matrix).

General B matrix:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} x + iy & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x - iy & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x + iy & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x - iy \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

General A + uB matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a + u(x+iy) & b & c & d \\ e & f + u(x-iy) & d & h \\ k & l & -a + u(-x+iy) & -e \\ l & g & -b & -f + u(-x-iy) \end{pmatrix}. (10)$$

I would like the eigenvalue equations of A + uB. From this my question is: are there values of a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, k, l, x, y such that there is no value of u to make the eigenvalue expression less than 0. I can have this function in matlab and then have a load of inputs so I can play around. Ensuring that I stick to the few conditions: that some can't be zero and the dl condition.