WORKING ON YOUR OWN

# Day 29: Decorators



Welcome to day 29 of the <u>30 Days of Python</u> series! Today we're going to be learning about decorators.

This is a relatively advanced topic, and probably one of the trickiest things we've covered in this series. It's worth the struggle though, because decorators are an extremely powerful tool, and they feature a lot in professional Python code.

Many libraries also provide decorators for us to use, so we at least need to know what they are, and how to use them. Decorators are heavily used in web development with Flask, for example.

#### What is a decorator

Fundamentally, a decorator is just a function, or more generally a *callable*. We're going to focus on functions in this post, since they're the only callables we know about.

Decorators are special functions, because they take in some other function and they give us back a *new function* which can do something more than the function we passed in.

Decorators are very useful, because they allow us to very easily provide some additional functionality to many functions in our application. Due to their power and flexibility, they're used quite extensively in both the standard library modules, and third party libraries.

## A quick review of functions

One thing we have to keep very clear in our minds when working with decorators is the difference between referencing a function, and calling a function.

Let's define a simple function to demonstrate the difference between these two concepts.

```
def add(a, b):
    return a + b
```

When we define a function like this, Python creates a function object which is a callable. In other words, we can the *call* the function using this object. This object is assigned to a variable with the same name as the function.

We've seen this before when looking at globals() in day 13.

If we look at the global namespace after defining this add function, we see something like this:

```
{
    '__name__': '__main__',
    '__doc__': None,
    '__package__': None,
    '__loader__': <_frozen_importlib_external.SourceFileL
oader object at 0x7f2286f6c590>,
    '__spec__': None,
    '__annotations__': {},
    '__builtins__': <module 'builtins' (built-in)>,
    '__file__': 'app.py',
    '__cached__': None,
    'add': <function add at 0x7f2286f2be60>
}
```

As we can see, the namespace contains a variable called <code>add</code> , and the value for this variable is a function object with the name <code>add</code> . This function object contains all the information Python needs to run our <code>add</code> function.

Whenever we write add, we're just referencing this function object. This is just a value like any other, since functions are <u>first class citizens</u> in the Python language.

This is very different from when we write <code>add()</code> . Here we're first referencing the function to get hold of the function object, and then the parentheses tell Python we want to *call* the function we just referenced.

add and add() are both expressions, but the first evaluates to a function object, and the second evaluates to whatever the function returns when we call it.

# Defining a simple decorator

Let's start with an example which we can deconstruct in a moment.

```
from typing import Callable

def example_decorator(func: Callable) -> Callable:
    def inner():
        print(f"Now calling {func.__name__}\...")
        func()
        print(f"{func.__name__}\) has ended.")

return inner
```

#### Style note

I've imported the typing module here so that we can better see what is going into this example\_decorator function, and what is being returned by it. As we can see, in both cases it's a Callable, or more specifically, a function.

We don't need the typing module to use decorators.

So, what is going on in this example\_decorator?

Starting with the top line, we can see that we have a single parameter in this case, which called func . As we've already discussed, we expect the argument passed to func to be a function. So far, so good.

Now we get to the function body of <code>example\_decorator</code>, and things get interesting. Inside the function body, we've defined a new function. This new function is called <code>inner</code>, and just to be clear, the name is not special.

This inner function does a few things when it gets called.

First it prints a line to the console, then it calls func , which is an alias for the function we passed into example\_decorator , and then it prints another line to the console. In case you're wondering, the .\_\_name\_\_ is

just an attribute which we can use to find the name of a function.

Note however, that we don't actually call inner . We've just defined this new function.

After we're done defining inner, we return a reference to inner from example\_decorator.

Let's see this decorator in action to get a better idea of what's going on. To use the decorator, we can do something like this:

Here we've defined another function called greeter, which just prints "Hello!" to the console when we call it.

All the real action goes on on this line:

```
greeter = example_decorator(greeter)
```

Here're we've called our  $example\_decorator$  function, and we've passed in a reference to greeter. We've then assigned whatever the

return value of example\_decorator was to greeter, replacing the reference to the old greeter function.

In other words, the name greeter now refers to something completely different.

When we call greeter now, we get this:

```
Now calling greeter...
Hello!
greeter has ended.
```

And if we print greeter to find out its value, we see that it no longer refers to the old greeter function.

```
<function example decorator.<locals>.inner at 0x7f6e06326830>
```

This makes a lot of sense, because we assigned the return value of example\_decorator to greeter, and the return value of example\_decorator was a reference to inner.

That means when we use the name greeter, we're now talking about the inner function, which explains the output we saw. We got exactly what we defined in inner.

We got a line printed to the console; we called func , which was the old greeter function in this case; and then we printed another line to console.

#### The @ syntax

Before we look at some more decorator examples, let's talk about a piece of syntax that makes using decorators a lot easier.

In the last example, we had to do this:

```
greeter = example_decorator(greeter)
```

That is really clunky, in my opinion, and thankfully the Python authors gave a much nicer way of doing this kind of operation.

Instead of doing this assignment, we can decorate a function by putting @ followed by the decorator name. This is placed right above the function we want to decorate.

This is absolutely identical to what we did before:

## Decorating functions with arguments

So far we've decorated a function that doesn't take any arguments, but how do we decorate a function like this?

```
def add(a, b):
    print(a + b)

If we to our old method we're coing to and we with a Type France
```

in we try our old method, we're going to end up with a TypeError, because when we call func in the inner function, we've been calling it without arguments.

In order to solve this, we need to define a set of parameters for our inner function, and we can pass the arguments on when we call func.

One problem we have to overcome here is that our decorators are meant to be quite general, so that we can reuse them with many different functions. We therefore shouldn't do something like this:

It works, but it only works when the function has two parameters. If we want to perform a unary operation, or we want to be able to decorate something like sum, we'd need a different decorator.

Luckily we've already learnt about some perfect tools for this job: \*args and \*\*kwargs.

Using \*args and \*\*kwargs we can accept any set of arguments we like for inner and then we can pass them right along to the func

If you need a refresher on \*args and \*\*kwargs , have another look at day 17.

# Decorating functions with return values

Now that we've tackled functions with arguments, we now have another problem. What if the function we're decorating returns a value?

At the moment, we'll just return it inside of inner, but we don't do anything with this value. We don't pass that value on. Luckily this is a very easy one to solve: we just have to return the value from inner as well.

For example, here is a very silly decorator which gives us the wrong answer for our calculations:

```
from typing import Callable, Union
```

# The wraps function

One final thing I want to talk about in this post is a function in the standard library called wraps, which is in the functools module. We use wraps to decorate our inner functions, and its job is to preserve the original name of the function we passed into the decorator.

If you remember back to our original example, referencing the variable name greeter gave us back something like this:

```
<function example decorator.<locals>.inner at 0x7f6e06326830>
```

The name of our original greeter function is totally gone.

Using wraps, we can preserve the original function name, and importantly, any documentation included in that function.

wraps is not strictly speaking a decorator: it's a decorator factory. That means it's a function that returns a decorator. This isn't a detail we need to worry about, but it does mean the syntax is slightly different, because wraps is able to take its own arguments.

vvnen we call wraps, we use the same @ syntax, and we pass in tunc as an argument. Here is an example:

```
from functools import wraps
from typing import Callable

def example_decorator(func: Callable) -> Callable:
    @wraps(func)
    def inner():
        print(f"Now calling {func.__name__}\...")
        func()
        print(f"{func.__name__}\) has ended.")

    return inner

def greeter():
    print("Hello!")

greeter = example_decorator(greeter)
print(greeter) # <function greeter at 0x7fcce99a8830>
```

As you can see, the function we got back from example\_decorator is still called greeter, despite us actually returning the inner function.

## A real world example

Now that we've learnt how to decorate various types of functions, let's look at an example which is a bit more practical. We're going to create a decorator that will time our code for us.

This is a handy thing to make, because it allows us to test several implementations to see which option is faster.

In order to actually test our code, we're going to use the time module, which contains a function called perf\_counter . perf\_counter is going to give us a very detailed representation of the current time.

By calling perf\_counter, running our code, and calling perf\_counter

again, we can get a good idea of the time that elapsed between the two called to perf\_counter.

Here is one possible implementation:

```
from functools import wraps
from time import perf_counter
from typing import Callable

def stopwatch(func: Callable) -> Callable:
    @wraps(func)
    def inner(*args, **kwargs):
        start_time = perf_counter()
        func(*args, **kwargs)
        stop_time = perf_counter()

        print(f"{func.__name__} ran in {stop_time - start_time:.5f}s")

        return inner
```

Now let's use this to compare some code. I'm going to compare how long it takes for Python to make a list from a 100,000 number range, and how long it takes to do the same for a set.

```
from functools import wraps
from time import perf_counter
from typing import Callable, List, Set

def stopwatch(func: Callable) -> Callable:
    @wraps(func)
    def inner(*args, **kwargs):
        start_time = perf_counter()
        func(*args, **kwargs)
        stop_time = perf_counter()

        print(f"{func.__name__} ran in {stop_time - start_time:.5f}s")
```

```
@stopwatch
def make_list(size: int) -> List:
    return list(range(size))

@stopwatch
def make_set(size: int) -> Set:
    return set(range(size))

make_list(100_000) # make_list ran in 0.00407s
make_set(100_000) # make_set ran in 0.00628s
```

If you run this test numerous times, you may find some significant fluctuation in the time these operations take. That's perfectly normal, and should be expected, since your computer is running all kinds of other processes at the same time.

It would probably be better if our performance counter ran the test a certain number of times, and computed an average time instead.

The best way to do this would be using a decorator factory, which would allow us to pass in arguments to our decorator, but using our current knowledge, we can at least have the test run 10 times.

```
from functools import wraps
from math import fsum
from time import perf_counter
from typing import Callable, List, Set

def stopwatch(func: Callable) -> Callable:
    @wraps(func)
    def inner(*args, **kwargs):
        times = []

    for _ in range(10):
        start_time = perf_counter()
        func(*args, **kwargs)
```

```
stop_time = perf_counter()
                        elapsed = stop_time - start_time
                        times.append(elapsed)
                average_time = fsum(times) / len(times)
                print(f"{func.__name__}) ran in {average time:
.5f}s on average")
        return inner
@stopwatch
def make_list(size: int) -> List:
    return list(range(size))
@stopwatch
def make_set(size: int) -> Set:
    return set(range(size))
make_list(100_000) # make_list ran in 0.00337s on average
make_set(100_000)  # make_set ran in 0.00565s on average
```

Now our performance tests should see a lot less fluctuation, giving us a better picture of the performance differences.

#### **Exercises**

Make a decorator which calls a given function twice. You can assume the functions don't return anything important, but they may take arguments.

Imagine you have a list called books, which several functions in your application interact with. Write a decorator which causes your functions to run only if books is not empty.

Write a decorator called printer which causes any decorated function to print their return values. If the return value of a given function is

None, printer should do nothing.

You can find our solution here.

#### **Additional Resources**

We actually have an <u>entire series on decorators</u> that you can check out on our <u>YouTube channel</u> to get more information and to see more examples.

Also, if you're looking for a more robust option for testing your code, you should check out the timeit module. You can find documentation for timeit here.

© 2021 Teclado Ltd.