A Gentle Introduction to Bayesian Estimation

Day 3: Algorithms and Checks

Sara van Erp

s.j.vanerp@uu.nl



Photo by Markus Spiske on Unsplash

Photo by Jonathan Greenaway on Unsplash

Recap days 1-2

- Introduction: What is Bayesian analysis? What is a prior?

- How to obtain the posterior?

- Why use Bayes?

- WAMBS-checklist
  - Incl. convergence and prior-predictive checks

Recap days 1-2

- Introduction: What is Bayesian analysis? What is a prior?

- ***How to obtain the posterior?***

- Why use Bayes?

- WAMBS-checklist
  - Incl. ***convergence and prior-predictive checks***

Today

Part 1: Software and algorithms

- Different ways to get the posterior
- What is going on (conceptually) under the hood?
- What should you, as user, be aware of?

Part 2: Predictive checks

- Posterior predictive checks: how can we check our model?
- Prior predictive checks



Part 1: Software and algorithms

Photo by Jonathan Greenaway on Unsplash

Why use Bayes?

- To include prior information
- More intuitive interpretation
- Technical reasons (estimate more complex models, use smaller samples, model identification)
- ***Full posterior distribution instead of a point estimate***



Advantages of the posterior distribution

If we want to estimate an indirect effect, we get automatic uncertainty estimates around functions of parameters.



Posterior distribution for the indirect effect *ab*





A note on summarizing the posterior





Posterior point estimates



Posterior point estimates

Mean = 0.301



Median = 0.306



Mode = 0.506?



Posterior credible intervals



Solid line = Equal tailed interval (ETI)
Dashed line = Highest density interval (HDI)

Posterior credible intervals

Here, the 95% intervals are shown, but we could also compute the 90% intervals, or the 89% intervals... (https://easystats.github.io/bayestestR/articles/credible_interval.html)



Solid line = Equal tailed interval (ETI)
Dashed line = Highest density interval (HDI)

How to obtain the posterior distributions?

In addition:

- Program the conditional posteriors manually
- Closed software, e.g., SPSS, Mplus
- R-packages, e.g., brms, rstanarm, blavaan

Table 2 A non-exhaustive summary of commonly used and open Bayesian software programs

From: Bayesian statistics and modelling

Software package	Summary
General-purpose Bayesian inference software	
BUGS[231,232]	The original general-purpose Bayesian inference engine, in different incarnations. These use Gibbs and Metropolis sampling. Windows-based software (WinBUGS[233]) with a user-specified model and a black-box MCMC algorithm. Developments include an open-source version (OpenBUGS[234]) also available on Linux and Mac
JAGS[235]	An open-source variation of BUGS that can run cross-platform and can run from R via rjags[236]
PyMC3[237]	An open-source framework for Bayesian modelling and inference entirely within Python; includes Gibbs sampling and Hamiltonian Monte Carlo
Stan[98]	An open-source, general-purpose Bayesian inference engine using Hamiltonian Monte Carlo; can be run from R, Python, Julia, MATLAB and Stata
NIMBLE[238]	Generalization of the BUGS language in R; includes sequential Monte Carlo as well as MCMC. Open-source R package using BUGS/JAGS-model language to develop a model; different algorithms for model fitting including MCMC and sequential Monte Carlo approaches. Includes the ability to write novel algorithms
Programming languages that can be used for Bayesian inference	
TensorFlow Probability[239,240]	A Python library for probabilistic modelling built on Tensorflow[203] from Google
Pyro[241]	A probabilistic programming language built on Python and PyTorch[204]
Julia[242]	A general-purpose language for mathematical computation. In addition to Stan, numerous other probabilistic programming libraries are available for the Julia programming language, including Turing.jl[243] and Mamba.jl[244]
Specialized software doing Bayesian inference for particular classes of models	
JASP[245]	A user-friendly, higher-level interface offering Bayesian analysis. Open source and relies on a collection of open-source R packages
R-INLA[230]	An open-source R package for implementing INLA[246]. Fast inference in R for a certain set of hierarchical models using nested Laplace approximations
GPstuff[247]	Fast approximate Bayesian inference for Gaussian processes using expectation propagation; runs in MATLAB, Octave and R

MCMC, Markov chain Monte Carlo.

Different programs, different algorithms

Exact algorithms

- Simulate from the actual posterior distribution (hopefully)
- Assess convergence to ensure a good representation of the posterior
- Can be slow
- E.g., Gibbs, HMC

Approximate algorithms

- Approximate the posterior distribution with a different, comparable distribution and optimize this distribution
- Assess convergence to ensure the approximation is close enough
- Fast and scalable
- E.g., variational inference, INLA

A cautionary note on approximate algorithms



R: Run Stan's variational algorithm for approximate posterior... ▾ Find in Topic

vb {rstan} R Documentation

Run Stan's variational algorithm for approximate posterior sampling

Description

Approximately draw from a posterior distribution using variational inference.

This is still considered an experimental feature. We recommend calling `stan` or `sampling` for final inferences and only using `vb` to get a rough idea of the parameter distributions.

Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling

A class of algorithms to sample from the posterior distribution.

Markov Chain = each state depends only on the previous state

Monte Carlo = repeated sampling

Some examples: Random Walk Metropolis-Hastings, Gibbs sampling, Hamiltonian Monte Carlo.

Monte-Carlo, Monaco by Joachim Lesne on Unsplash

Metropolis-Hastings (MH)

Random walk version is “simplest” MCMC algorithm.

We use some (arbitrary) proposal density to sample from and either accept or reject a new draw.

Gibbs sampling is actually a special case of MH with an acceptance probability of 1.

- Advantage: no risk of rejecting many proposals
- Disadvantage: requires derivation of conditional posteriors

Gibbs sampler (see day 1)

1. Assign starting values
2. Sample μ_1 from conditional distribution
3. Sample μ_2 from conditional distribution
4. Sample μ_3 from conditional distribution
5. Sample μ_4 from conditional distribution
6. Sample σ^2 from conditional distribution
7. Go to step 2 over and over again

Hamiltonian Monte Carlo (HMC)

- Another special case of Metropolis-Hastings
- Stan uses the No-U-Turn-Sampler (NUTS), an extension to HMC

Remember the proposal for a next step in MH? HMC uses information from the target distribution (the posterior) to inform the proposal.

- Advantage: lower autocorrelation (but can take longer per iteration)
- Disadvantage: requires the derivatives (discrete parameters not possible)

Interactive demo

Interactive gallery of various MCMC algorithms:

http://chi-feng.github.io/mcmc-demo/

So, what should I know?

- Traditionally, software relied on Gibbs sampling (e.g., JAGS, Mplus)
- Stan and R-packages using Stan rely on Hamiltonian Monte Carlo (HMC)
- Both are special cases of Metropolis-Hastings
- Generally, HMC exhibits less autocorrelation, so less iterations needed
- HMC offers more convergence diagnostics, but cannot sample discrete parameters.

Convergence in Stan

Simple models will generally run

Potential solutions more complex, non-converging models:

- Change sampler settings
- Change the prior
- Change the model



Convergence in Stan

1. Traceplots should look like fat caterpillars
2. Rhat should be close to 1
3. Effective sample size should be large enough (e.g., 400 with 4 chains)
4. No low BFMI warning
5. No divergent transitions

”Max. treedepth” exceeded is an efficiency concern.

Convergence in Stan

1. Traceplots should look like fat caterpillars
2. Rhat should be close to 1
3. Effective sample size should be large enough (e.g., 400 with 4 chains)
4. No low BFMI warning
5. No divergent transitions

Potential solution 1-4: increase number of iterations

Divergent transitions in Stan





Based on: https://www.martinmodrak.cz/2018/02/19/taming-divergences-in-stan-models/

Convergence in Stan

- Important, but difficult topic

- See the Markdown for a brms example (https://utrechtuniversity.github.io/BayesianEstimation/content/wednesday/convergence_checks.html)

- See: https://mc-stan.org/misc/warnings.html for a general overview



Part 2: Predictive checks



Why check your model?

All models are simplifications -> Do we capture the characteristics we care about?

Important consideration: What is the purpose of our model?

Note: “Model” includes the prior, likelihood, included explanatory variables, hierarchical considerations, etc..

Posterior predictive checks



Data generated from the model should resemble the observed data.

Specifically: generate data from the *joint posterior predictive distribution* and compare.

Suppose we have measured the IQ of 20 people. We assume $x \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$ and specify a prior for μ and σ. We sample μ and σ from the posterior distribution and then generate replicated data sets based on these values.

Posterior predictive checks



Suppose we have 100 replicated data sets from the posterior predictive distribution. How do we compare them to the observed data?

- Graphical comparisons

Graphical posterior predictive checks



Posterior predictive checks



Suppose we have 100 replicated data sets from the posterior predictive distribution. How do we compare them to the observed data?

- Graphical comparisons
- Numerical comparisons

General: convenient to define a *test statistic* or *discrepancy measure*

Test statistics

- Capture the aspects of the data we want to check
- Problem specific
- Some software offers general test statistics, e.g., likelihood ratio test statistic for SEM
- Examples: mean, standard deviation, distributional asymmetry, autocorrelation, etc.. (see BDA Ch6 for examples).

Posterior predictive p-values (ppp)

- We can directly compare the test statistic of the observed and replicated data sets, or compute a posterior predictive p-value.
- Provides a general summary of the lack of fit
- Interpretation: we want a ppp around 0.50, extreme values indicate a lack of fit

Important caveats

- We are not trying to reject or accept a model, so not concerned with type 1 error rates
- Ppp’s are not necessarily uniformly distributed

An example: Predicting math performance

See the Markdown file (https://utrechtuniversity.github.io/BayesianEstimation/content/wednesday/convergence_checks.html)

We will use linear regression to predict the math grade of 395 Portugese students in secondary school.

Outcome: Math grade at third period (0-20)

Predictors: sex, weekly time spent studying, additional math class, whether the student wants to take higher education.

Basic posterior predictive checks





Basic posterior predictive checks



Custom posterior predictive checks



Custom posterior predictive checks



Improving the model: hurdle Poisson



Improving the model: hurdle Poisson



Recap

- Posterior predictive checks can provide useful visual and numerical diagnostics of model fit
- Standard posterior predictive checks are available
- Custom posterior predictive checks might be more suitable
- Consider carefully which aspects your model should represent well

Prior predictive checks

The same idea can be used to see if our priors make sense.

Generate data from the *prior* predictive distribution.

If priors lead to generated data that makes no sense, you might want to revisit them.

Recap

Part 1: Software and algorithms

- Different ways to get the posterior
- What is going on (conceptually) under the hood?
- What should you, as user, be aware of?

Part 2: Predictive checks

- Posterior predictive checks: how can we check our model?
- Prior predictive checks

Questions?