

Assignment-1.

1) Given: $\text{avg}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n^T x$ $\text{std}(x) = \frac{\|x - \text{avg}(x) \mathbf{1}_n\|_2}{\sqrt{n}}$

(a) LHS = $\text{avg}(\alpha x + \beta \mathbf{1}_n)$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n^T (\alpha x + \beta \mathbf{1}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n^T \alpha x + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n^T \beta \mathbf{1}_n$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{n} \mathbf{1}_n^T x + \frac{\beta}{n} \mathbf{1}_n^T \mathbf{1}_n$$

$$= \alpha \text{avg}(x) + \frac{\beta}{n} \cdot n$$

$$\left[\mathbf{1}_n^T \mathbf{1}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{1 \times n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1} = n \right]$$

$$= \alpha \text{avg}(x) + \beta$$

$$= \text{RHS}$$

Hence proved.

(b) $\text{std}(\alpha x + \beta \mathbf{1}_n) = \frac{\|\alpha x + \beta \mathbf{1}_n - \text{avg}(\alpha x + \beta \mathbf{1}_n) \mathbf{1}_n\|_2}{\sqrt{n}}$

LHS =

$$= \frac{\|\alpha x + \beta \mathbf{1}_n - (\alpha \text{avg}(x) + \beta) \mathbf{1}_n\|_2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

[using result of part (a)]

$$= \frac{\|\alpha (x - \text{avg}(x) \mathbf{1}_n) + \beta \mathbf{1}_n - \beta \mathbf{1}_n\|_2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= \frac{\|\alpha (x - \text{avg}(x) \mathbf{1}_n)\|_2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= |\alpha| \cdot \frac{\|x - \text{avg}(x) \mathbf{1}_n\|_2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

[as $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$]

$$= |\alpha| \cdot \text{std}(x)$$

$$= \text{RHS}$$

Hence proved.

(c) Note:

$$\text{std}(x) = \frac{\|x - \text{avg}(x) \cdot \mathbf{1}_n\|_2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= \frac{\left\| \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1} - \begin{bmatrix} \text{avg}(x) \\ \text{avg}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \text{avg}(x) \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1} \right\|_2}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\left\| \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - \text{avg}(x) \\ x_2 - \text{avg}(x) \\ \vdots \\ x_n - \text{avg}(x) \end{bmatrix}_n \right\|_2}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \text{avg}(x))^2}}{\sqrt{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \text{avg}(x))^2}{n}} \quad \text{--- } (*)$$

Now, given that there exist k entries in x s.t.
 $|x_i - \text{avg}(x)| \geq a$ for some $a > 0$.

Now, for all those i s.t. $|x_i - \text{avg}(x)| \geq a$,
 we have $(x_i - \text{avg}(x))^2 \geq a^2$

\Rightarrow Summing over all those ' k ' i 's :

$$\sum_i (x_i - \text{avg}(x))^2 \geq ka^2 \quad \left[\text{for all } i \text{ where } |x_i - \text{avg}(x)| \geq a \right]$$

$$\text{or } \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \text{avg}(x))^2 \geq ka^2 \quad \left[\text{Adding some +ve terms to the LHS of above eqn, now } i \in [1, n] \right]$$

Using $(*)$ and above eqn:

$$n \times (\text{std}(x))^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \text{avg}(x))^2 \geq ka^2$$

$$\text{or } \left(\frac{\text{std}(x)}{a} \right)^2 \geq \frac{k}{n} \quad \text{Hence proved.}$$

Weighted norm:

$$\|x\|_w = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i^2}, \text{ where } w_i > 0, w \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

In order to show that $\|\cdot\|_w$ defines a norm,
we need to prove:

(i) $\|x\|_w \geq 0$ and $\|x\|_w = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ ~~and~~

(ii) $\|\alpha x\|_w = |\alpha| \cdot \|x\|_w$

(iii) $\|x+y\|_w \leq \|x\|_w + \|y\|_w$

Proof:

(i) $\|x\|_w \geq 0$ and $\|x\|_w = 0$ iff $x = 0$

$$\|x\|_w = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i^2}, \text{ where } x_i^2 \geq 0 \Rightarrow w_i x_i^2 \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum w_i x_i^2 \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\|_w \geq 0 \quad \text{--- } (*)$$

If $\|x\|_w = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i^2 = 0$$

{ since all terms in the summation are
shown non-negative, each term has to
be zero.

$$\Rightarrow w_i x_i^2 = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_i = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad [\text{as } w_i > 0]$$

$$\Rightarrow x = 0$$

If $x = 0$,

clearly $w_i x_i^2 = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\|_w = 0$$

$$\therefore \|x\|_w = 0 \quad \text{iff } x = 0.$$

$$(i) \quad \|\alpha x\|_w = |\alpha| \|x\|_w$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} \quad \|\alpha x\|_w &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (\alpha x_i)^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \alpha^2 x_i^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha^2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i^2} = |\alpha| \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i^2} \\ &= |\alpha| \cdot \|x\|_w \\ &= \text{RHS} \quad \text{Hence proved} \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \quad \|x+y\|_w \leq \|x\|_w + \|y\|_w$$

Notes

$$\|x\|_w = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (\sqrt{w_i} x_i)^2} = \|\tilde{x}\|_2, \text{ where } \tilde{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{w_1} x_1 \\ \sqrt{w_2} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{w_n} x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

($\|\cdot\|_2 \rightarrow 2\text{-norm}$)

$$\text{Now, LHS} = \|x+y\|_w = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} x_1+y_1 \\ x_2+y_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n+y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_w = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (x_i+y_i)^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (\sqrt{w_i} x_i + \sqrt{w_i} y_i)^2} = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{w_1} x_1 + \sqrt{w_1} y_1 \\ \sqrt{w_2} x_2 + \sqrt{w_2} y_2 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{w_n} x_n + \sqrt{w_n} y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2$$

$$= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{w_1} x_1 \\ \sqrt{w_2} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{w_n} x_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{w_1} y_1 \\ \sqrt{w_2} y_2 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{w_n} y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \|\tilde{x} + \tilde{y}\|_2$$

$$\text{Now, } \|x+y\|_w = \|\tilde{x} + \tilde{y}\|_2 \leq \|\tilde{x}\|_2 + \|\tilde{y}\|_2 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{using triangle} \\ \text{inequality for} \\ 2\text{-norm} \end{array} \right.$$

$$= x_5 - x_5^2$$

$$0 \dots \dots \dots a \dots a$$

$$\therefore \|x+y\|_w \leq \|\tilde{x}\|_2 + \|\tilde{y}\|_2$$

$$\leq \|x\|_w + \|y\|_w \quad \left[\text{as } \|a\|_w = \|\tilde{a}\|_2 \right]$$

Hence proved.

Thus using the proofs above, we can say that weighted norm is a vector norm.

3) Given: $Z = (A+B)(x+y)$ where $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Computational complexity of approach - 1:

$A+B$ takes mn cost

$x+y$ takes n cost

$(A+B)(x+y)$ takes $m(2n-1)$ cost

for each row

for each column

for each multiplication of element of $(A+B)$ to $(x+y)$

for each addition of those multiplication terms.

$$\therefore \text{Total cost} = mn + n + m(2n-1) \\ = 3mn + n - m.$$

Computational complexity of approach - 2:

Ax takes $m(2n-1)$ cost

Ay takes $m(2n-1)$ cost

Bx takes $m(2n-1)$ cost

By takes $m(2n-1)$ cost

$Ax + Ay + Bx + By$ takes $3m$ cost. [as Ax, Ay, Bx, By are m -vectors]

$$= x'_5 - x'_3$$

$$\therefore \text{Total cost} = 4m(2n-1) + 3m \\ = 8mn - m.$$

For approach-2 to be more computationally efficient than approach-1:

$$8mn - m < 3mn + n - m$$

$$\Rightarrow 5mn < n$$

$$\Rightarrow 5m < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{m < 1/5}, \text{ but } m (= \text{number of rows in A and B}) \\ \text{is always an integer and greater than equal to 1.}$$

\therefore No condition on m, n exists. such that approach 2 is computationally efficient than approach-1.

5) Given x is symmetric if $x_k = x_{n-k+1}$ and
 x is antisymmetric if $x_k = -x_{n-k+1}$.

Consider the decomposition $x = \frac{x + \tilde{x}}{2} + \frac{x - \tilde{x}}{2}$,

where $\tilde{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ x_{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$

Now, $\frac{x + \tilde{x}}{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1 + x_n}{2} & \frac{x_2 + x_{n-1}}{2} & \dots & \frac{x_2 + x_{n-1}}{2} & \frac{x_n + x_1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^T = x_s$

→ which is symmetric

and, $\frac{x - \tilde{x}}{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1 - x_n}{2} & \frac{x_2 - x_{n-1}}{2} & \dots & \frac{x_{n-1} - x_2}{2} & \frac{x_n - x_1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^T = x_a$

→ which is antisymmetric.

Hence x can be decomposed into the sum of a symmetric and antisymmetric vectors.

To prove this decomposition is unique:

Let $x = x_s^1 + x_a^1 = x_s^2 + x_a^2$ be two decompositions, where x_s^1, x_s^2 are symmetric, x_a^1, x_a^2 are antisymmetric.

Now, $x_s^1 - x_s^2 = x_a^2 - x_a^1$.

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_{s1}^1 - x_{s2}^2 \\ x_{s2}^1 - x_{s2}^2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{sn}^1 - x_{sn}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{a1}^2 - x_{a1}^1 \\ x_{a2}^2 - x_{a2}^1 \\ \vdots \\ -x_{a1}^2 - (-x_{a1}^1) \end{bmatrix}$$

↳ symmetric
 $= x_s^1 - x_s^2$

↳ antisymmetric $= x_a^2 - x_a^1$

$$\therefore y_s = y_a, \text{ where } y_s = x_s^1 - x_s^2, y_a = x_a^2 - x_a^1.$$

$$\text{Now, } y_s \Rightarrow y_{s_1} = y_{s_n} \quad \left(\text{from def}^n \right)$$

$$\text{and } y_a \Rightarrow y_{a_1} = -y_{a_n}$$

$$\text{But, } y_{s_1} = y_{a_1} \text{ and } y_{a_n} = y_{s_n} \quad (\text{from } y_s = y_a)$$

$$\Rightarrow y_{a_1} = y_{s_1} = y_{s_n} = y_{a_n} = -y_{a_1} \Rightarrow y_{a_1} = 0 \Rightarrow y_{a_n} = 0 \Rightarrow y_{s_n} = 0 \Rightarrow y_{s_1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Similarly, } y_{a_2} = 0, y_{s_2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow y_s = 0, y_a = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_s^1 - x_s^2 = 0, x_a^2 - x_a^1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_s^1 = x_s^2; x_a^1 = x_a^2$$

\Rightarrow Both the decomposition are equal. \Rightarrow Unique decomposition

Hence proved.

6) To prove that left inverse of A exists if and only if columns of A are linearly independent.

$\boxed{\Leftrightarrow}$ Columns of A are linearly independent \Rightarrow Left inverse of A exists.

We know that $A^T A$ is invertible iff columns of A are linearly independent $\Rightarrow A^T A$ has a trivial nullspace iff columns of A are linearly independent.

$$\therefore (A^T A)^{-1} (A^T A) = I, \text{ given } (A^T A)^{-1} \text{ exists.}$$

$$\therefore ((A^T A)^{-1} A^T) A = I \quad (\text{Associative property of matrix multiplication})$$

$\therefore (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$ is the left inverse of A , which exists if columns of A are linearly independent.

\Rightarrow Left inverse of A exists \Rightarrow Columns of A are linearly independent.

Consider the equation $Ax=0$, for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Pre multiplying both sides by Left inverse of A :

$$A^{-1} \cdot Ax = A^{-1} \cdot 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x=0$$

Thus, $Ax=0$ has a unique and trivial solution $x=0$, whenever there exists a left inverse of A .

$$\therefore Ax=0 \iff x=0 \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{The implication } x=0 \Rightarrow Ax=0 \text{ is} \\ \text{obvious} \end{array} \right]$$

\Rightarrow Linear combination of columns of A , given by coefficients as values of x , yields 0 iff values of x are all 0.

\Rightarrow Columns of A are linearly independent.

Hence left inverse of A exists iff columns of A are linearly independent.

Hence proved.

7) Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$ s.t. $A = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & x \\ x^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

I_n is $n \times n$ identity matrix.

• For A to be invertible, columns of A are linearly independent
 $\Rightarrow Ax=0$ iff $x=0$.

Consider $Ax=0$.

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 A_1 + \alpha_2 A_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} A_{n+1} = 0, \text{ where } \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$A = [A_1 \ A_2 \ \dots \ A_{n+1}]$ i.e., A_i is a column of A .

Now, $\alpha_1 A_1 + \alpha_2 A_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n+1} A_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + \alpha_{n+1} x_1 \\ \alpha_2 + \alpha_{n+1} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n + 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$

$= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + \alpha_{n+1} x_1 \\ \alpha_2 + \alpha_{n+1} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \end{bmatrix} = 0$ (where $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$)

$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 + \alpha_{n+1} x_1 = 0, \alpha_2 + \alpha_{n+1} x_2 = 0, \dots, \alpha_n + \alpha_{n+1} x_n = 0,$
 $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i = 0$

$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 = -\alpha_{n+1} x_1, \alpha_2 = -\alpha_{n+1} x_2, \dots, \alpha_n = -\alpha_{n+1} x_n, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i = 0$

$(-\alpha_{n+1} x_1)x_1 + (-\alpha_{n+1} x_2)x_2 + \dots + (-\alpha_{n+1} x_n)x_n = 0$

$\Rightarrow -\alpha_{n+1} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2) = 0$

If $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 0 \Rightarrow$ We can choose α ~~such~~ s.t. $\alpha_{n+1} \neq 0$ but $A\alpha = 0$.

\Rightarrow If $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 0$ (or $x = 0$), columns of A are linearly dependent.

If $x \neq 0$ (or $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \neq 0$), we have $\alpha_{n+1} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_i = 0 \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$\Rightarrow \alpha = 0$ if $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ condition for linear independence

of columns of $A \Rightarrow$ condition for A to be invertible.

$\therefore \boxed{x \neq 0}$ for A to be invertible.

• To find an expression of A^{-1} in terms of x .

Let $Aa = b$ be an equation which is satisfied

by $a = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$ s.t. $a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$Aa = b \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} I_n & x \\ x^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore I_n a_1 + x a_2 = b_1 \quad \text{and} \quad x^T a_1 = b_2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a_1 + x a_2 = b_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \boxed{x^T a_1 = b_2}$$

\Downarrow

\Downarrow

$$x^T a_1 + x^T x a_2 = x^T b_1 \Rightarrow b_2 + x^T x a_2 = x^T b_1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{a_2 = \frac{x^T b_1 - b_2}{\|x\|_2^2}}$$

$$\text{Again, } a_1 + x a_2 = b_1 \Rightarrow a_1 = b_1 - x a_2 = b_1 - x \left(\frac{x^T b_1 - b_2}{\|x\|_2^2} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 = \frac{b_1 \|x\|_2^2 - x x^T b_1 + x b_2}{\|x\|_2^2}$$

$$\boxed{a_1 = \frac{b_1 (\|x\|_2^2 I_n - x x^T) + x b_2}{\|x\|_2^2}}$$

$$\text{Thus } \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\|x\|_2^2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \|x\|_2^2 I_n - x x^T & x \\ x^T & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{or } a = \underbrace{\quad}_{A^{-1}} \cdot b$$

$$\therefore \boxed{A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\|x\|_2^2} \begin{bmatrix} \|x\|_2^2 I_n - x x^T & x \\ x^T & -1 \end{bmatrix}} \rightarrow \text{In terms of } x.$$

2) Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a matrix with linearly independent columns, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the least squares solution to $Ax = b$.

• To show for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $(Ay)^T b = (Ay)^T (A\hat{x})$.

$\therefore \hat{x}$ is the least squares solution to $Ax=b$,

$$\hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b.$$

$$\text{Now, RHS} = (Ay)^T A \hat{x} = y^T A^T \cdot A \cdot (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$$

$$= y^T ((A^T A)(A^T A)^{-1}) A^T b \quad [\text{associative property}]$$

$$= y^T \cdot A^T \cdot b \quad [\text{as } (A^T A) \cdot (A^T A)^{-1} = I_n]$$

$$= (Ay)^T b$$

$$= \text{LHS.}$$

Hence proved.

• To show that $\frac{(A\hat{x})^T b}{\|A\hat{x}\|_2 \|b\|_2} = \frac{\|A\hat{x}\|_2}{\|b\|_2}$ using the above

result, let $y = \hat{x}$ in the result of first part.

$$\Rightarrow (A\hat{x})^T b = (A\hat{x})^T A\hat{x} = \|A\hat{x}\|_2^2$$

$$\therefore \text{LHS} = \frac{(A\hat{x})^T b}{\|A\hat{x}\|_2 \|b\|_2} = \frac{\|A\hat{x}\|_2^2}{\|A\hat{x}\|_2 \|b\|_2} = \frac{\|A\hat{x}\|_2}{\|b\|_2} = \text{RHS.}$$

Hence proved.

9) Given $u = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_T]^T$, $y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T]^T$ are observed time series data, where

$$y_t \approx \hat{y}_t = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j u_{t-j+1} \quad \forall t = 1, 2, \dots, T, \text{ where } h \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and $u_i = 0 \quad \forall i \leq 0$.

$$\therefore \hat{y}_1 = h_1 u_1, \quad \hat{y}_2 = h_2 u_1 + h_1 u_2, \quad \dots, \quad \hat{y}_T = h_n u_{T-n+1} + h_{n-1} u_{T-n+2} + \dots + h_1 u_T$$

$$\text{Thus } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_1 \\ \hat{y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{y}_T \end{bmatrix}_{T \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ u_2 & u_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_T & u_{T-1} & \dots & u_{T-n+1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{T \times n} \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \vdots \\ h_n \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1} \Rightarrow y \equiv Ah,$$

$$\text{where } h = [h_1 \ h_2 \ \dots \ h_n]^T, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ u_2 & u_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_T & u_{T-1} & \dots & u_{T-n+1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{T \times n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, RHS} &= (y_1 - \hat{y}_1)^2 + (y_2 - \hat{y}_2)^2 + \dots + (y_T - \hat{y}_T)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^T (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^T (\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2 \\ &= \| \hat{y} - y \|_2^2 \\ &= \| Ah - y \|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and LHS} = \| Ah - b \|_2^2$$

\therefore On comparing LHS and RHS, we find:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ u_2 & u_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_T & u_{T-1} & \dots & u_{T-n+1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{T \times n} \quad \text{and} \quad b = y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_T \end{bmatrix}_{T \times 1}$$

Note that if $n \geq T+1$, then all those values in A are zero for which u_t has $t \leq 0$.

10) K-means clustering:

Input: $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and initial clusters: z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k .
 output: Cluster assignment: c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N of input data.

(a) Computational Complexity for cluster assignment based on cluster representatives:

Computing distance of a cluster representative from a data point = $O(n)$. (or $O(3n-1)$ to be precise).

Computing distance of all cluster representatives from a data point = $O(kn)$. (or $O((3n-1)k)$)

Computing minimum distance of a cluster representative from a data point = $O(kn)$. [or $O((3n-1)k)$]

Computing minimum cluster representative distance for all data points = $O(kNn)$. [or $O((3n-1)Nk)$]
↳ answer.

(b) Computational complexity of updating cluster representatives:

Consider each cluster to have d_i data points. $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k d_i = N$.

Computing average of one feature for a cluster = $O(d_i)$.

Computing average of all features for a cluster = $O(d_i n)$

Computing average of all features for each cluster

$$= O(d_1 n) + O(d_2 n)$$

$$+ \dots + O(d_k n)$$

$$= O((d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_k)n)$$

$$= O(Nn)$$

answer

(c) Computations for 10 iterations:

Computation of 1 iteration = computations of step 1 + that of step-2

$$= O((3n-1)Nk) + O(Nn)$$

$$= ~~O((3n-1)Nk)~~ N((3n-1)k + n) \text{ computations}$$

$$\therefore \text{Computations for 10 iterations} = \boxed{10N[(3n-1)k + n] \text{ computations}}$$