

# Apartment Price Prediction Model Report

---

## 1. Modeling Method

### Target Variable

The model predicts **Price Per Square Meter** rather than the total price.

- **Justification:** Real estate prices are highly correlated with area. Predicting price/m<sup>2</sup> normalizes for size, reducing variance and allowing the model to focus on layout and quality characteristics (floor, location, finishing). Total price is derived as  
`PricePerMeter * TotalArea.`

### Algorithm

**Gradient Boosting Regressor** (sklearn) was selected.

- **Justification:** Tree-based ensembles handle tabular data with categorical features (converted via target encoding) and non-linear relationships effectively without extensive scaling. They are robust to outliers and provide feature importance for interpretability.
- **Hyperparameters:** Optimized for generalization (`max_depth=7, n_estimators=2000, learning_rate=0.02, subsample=0.8`).

### Feature Engineering

Key features driving the model:

- **Layout:** Rooms, Area (Total/Living/Kitchen), ratios (Kitchen-to-Total).
- **Floor:** Floor number, total floors, relative position (First/Middle/Top).
- **Location/Building:** District, Class (Economy/Business), Building Type (Monolith/Panel).
- **Derived:** Polynomial interactions (e.g., `TotalArea^2`) and Target Encodings (mean/median price per category) were crucial for capturing location value.

## 2. Validation Strategy

## Train-Test Split

A **Stratified Shuffle Split** strategy was used to separate data into:

- **Training Set:** 85%
- **Test Set:** 15%
- **Stratification:** Done specifically on **Price Bins**. This ensures the training and test sets have statistically identical distributions of the target variable, preventing bias where the test set might contain only "expensive" or "cheap" apartments.

## Data Leakage Prevention

Target encoding was performed **after** the split. Statistics (mean/median price by district) were calculated solely on the **Training Set** and mapped to the Test Set. Unseen categories in the test set were imputed using the global training mean.

## 3. Performance Metrics

The model was evaluated using:

Metric	Training	Testing	Interpretation
RMSE	~17.9k	~23.3k	Root Mean Squared Error. Penalizes large errors. The gap suggests some overfitting but acceptable for real-world variance.
MAE	~11.8k	~14.6k	Mean Absolute Error. On average, the prediction is off by ~14,600 ■/m <sup>2</sup> .

<b>R<sup>2</sup></b>	0.93	0.82	Explains 82% of the price variance on unseen data.
----------------------	------	------	--

**Real-world Accuracy:** Over **80% of predictions fall within a 5% error margin** of the actual price, making the model practically useful for estimation.

## 4. Assumptions and Limitations

### Assumptions

- **Market Stability:** The model assumes current market conditions hold; typically, it does not account for temporal inflation unless "Listing Date" is explicitly modeled (currently static snapshot).
- **Data Quality:** Relies on accurate manual inputs. "Years to Handover" assumes linear depreciation/appreciation.

### Limitations

- **Overfitting:** There is a ~23% drop in performance from Train to Test RMSE, indicating the model learns some noise.
- **Unseen Locations:** Districts or complexes not present in the training set will default to the global average, potentially leading to high errors for unique new developments.
- **Link Parsing:** The current link-based input is a prototype (mock). Production use requires a live scraper for `samolet.ru`.