| Group/Project Name |   |
|--------------------|---|
| Final Mark         | 0 |
|                    |   |

| Requirements Document 1.0   |    | Mark |
|---|----|------|
| Structure   |    |      |
| Relevant elements listed as in the provided template                  | 1  |      |
| Sections contain correct information                                  |    | •    |
| ER diagram is provided and correct                                    | 8  |      |
| Data dictionary is provided with data attributes                      | 2  |      |
| Use case diagram is provided and correct                              | 4  |      |
| Use cases (for one major feature) are complete with relevant elements | 6  |      |
| Requirements statements (for one major feature) are concise           | 3  |      |
| Requirements statements (for one major feature) are correct           | 3  |      |
| Requirements statements (for one major feature) are unambgiuous       | 2  |      |
| Relevant information is provided in each section                      | 3  |      |
| Changes from RD1.0 marking and client feedback have been made         | 3  |      |
| User stories (for major features) are correct                         | 5  |      |
| Requirements relatively consistent                                    |    |      |
| Descriptions of different sections do not include contradictions      | 3  |      |
| Overall document writing is unambgiuous                               | 2  |      |
| Reflection on AI (use cases and user stories)                         | 10 |      |
| Total   | 55 | 0    |