

**EXERCISE 3B: ENTROPY AND HELMHOLTZ FREE ENERGY***Objectives:*

- Calculate the entropy in the canonical ensemble
- Introduce the **Third Law of Thermodynamics**
- Define the **Helmholtz free energy**
- Show that the Helmholtz free energy is minimized in the canonical ensemble

*Reading:* Kittel & Kroemer, Ch. 3*Last time*, we defined/derived:

- Heat capacity (at constant volume):  $C_V \equiv \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \tau}\right)_V$
  - Average energy in the canonical ensemble:  $\langle E \rangle = -\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \beta}$
  - Partition function for the paramagnet:  $Z = (e^{\beta\mu B} + e^{-\beta\mu B})^N$
- 

1. (From last time.)

- a. Physically, how do you interpret the peak in the heat capacity of the paramagnet? If you increased the magnetic field  $B$ , which way would you expect the peak to shift?

- b. How do you explain the limiting behavior of the paramagnet's heat capacity at low and high temperature?

2. *Entropy in the canonical ensemble.* For a system that is equally likely to be found in any of  $g$  accessible energy states (e.g., in the microcanonical ensemble), the probability of occupying each state is  $P = 1/g$ , corresponding to an entropy  $\sigma = -\ln P$ . In the canonical ensemble, where the probability  $P_s$  of finding the system in a state  $s$  depends on its energy  $\varepsilon_s$ , this expression for the entropy generalizes to

$$\sigma = -\langle \ln P \rangle. \quad (1)$$

a. Write down:

- i. ... the entropy  $\sigma$  in terms of the probabilities  $P_s$ .
- ii. ... the probability  $P_s$  in terms of  $\beta$ ,  $\varepsilon_s$ , and the partition function  $Z$ .

b. Find an expression for  $\sigma$  that depends only on  $\beta$  and  $\ln Z$ .

c. Check your expression for the entropy by evaluating the heat capacity from...

i.  $C_V = \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial \tau}\right)_V$

ii.  $C_V = \tau \left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \tau}\right)_V$

Do the two results agree?

- d. Evaluate the entropy of the paramagnet as a function of temperature  $\tau$ .
- e. Explain the high- and low-temperature limits of your result from part d.
- f. Hopefully your result is consistent with the **Third Law of Thermodynamics**: the entropy approaches a constant value as the temperature approaches zero. Under what circumstances would the entropy approach a *nonzero* constant?

3. *Helmholtz Free Energy.*

The relationship between energy, entropy, and temperature in the canonical ensemble can be summarized in the form:

$$E - \tau\sigma = F(N, \tau, \mathbf{x}), \quad (2)$$

where  $F$  depends on the partition function  $Z(N, \mathbf{x})$ , and thus also on any external parameters  $\mathbf{x}$  (e.g., magnetic field, volume) that influence the partition function.

- a. The Helmholtz free energy quantifies a compromise between lowering the energy  $E_{\mathcal{A}}$  and increasing the entropy  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  in a system  $\mathcal{A}$  coupled to a thermal reservoir. Show that in thermal equilibrium, the system configuration is such as to extremize the Helmholtz free energy  $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Do this by evaluating the change  $dF_{\mathcal{A}}$  in free energy associated with an infinitesimal change in the system's configuration, involving energy transfer  $dE_{\mathcal{A}}$  from the reservoir and a change  $d\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  in the system's entropy at constant temperature  $\tau$ .

- b. Find  $F$  in terms of  $Z$ .

- c. As a concrete example, sketch the Helmholtz free energy  $F$  as a function of magnetization  $M$  for a paramagnet in a magnetic field  $B$  at two different temperatures  $\tau_2 > \tau_1 > 0$ . (... continued on next page ...)

- i. First, separately sketch the **energy**  $E$  and **entropy**  $\sigma$  vs. magnetization  $M$ .  
*Hint:* you have previously shown that at fixed magnetization (i.e., in the microcanonical ensemble) the entropy is given by

$$\sigma = - \left( \frac{N}{2} + \frac{M}{2\mu} \right) \ln \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{M}{2\mu N} \right) - \left( \frac{N}{2} - \frac{M}{2\mu} \right) \ln \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{M}{2\mu N} \right). \quad (3)$$

To make your sketch as accurate as possible, you may find it helpful to evaluate  $d\sigma/dM$  at  $M = \pm N\mu$ .

- ii. Sketch  $E - \tau_i \sigma$  vs.  $M$  for three different temperatures  $\tau_3 > \tau_2 > \tau_1 > 0$  on a single plot. Mark the magnetizations  $M(\tau_i)$  that minimize the Helmholtz free energy.

- iii. Based on your sketches, what happens to the magnetization as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ ? as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ ? Is the behavior consistent with your expectations?

- d. Verify that minimizing the Helmholtz free energy maximizes the total entropy  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{R}}$  of the system and reservoir.
- i. Write down the multiplicity  $g_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{R}}(E)$  of states of the composite system that have energy  $E$  in system  $\mathcal{A}$ . Express  $g_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{R}}(E)$  in terms of the multiplicity  $g_{\mathcal{A}}(E)$  of states of  $\mathcal{A}$  at energy  $E$ , a Boltzmann factor, and an overall constant  $C$  that is independent of  $E$ .
- ii. Express the total entropy  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{R}}$  in terms of the temperature  $\tau$  and the energy  $E_{(\mathcal{A})}$  and entropy  $\sigma_{(\mathcal{A})}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . (We will ordinarily omit the subscript  $\mathcal{A}$ .)
- iii. Find the infinitesimal change  $d\sigma_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{R}}$  in entropy of  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{R}$  associated with an infinitesimal transfer of energy  $dE$  from the reservoir  $\mathcal{R}$  to system  $\mathcal{A}$  at fixed temperature.
- iv. Express  $d\sigma_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{R}}$  in terms of the corresponding change  $dF$  in free energy.
- v. Based on your expression in iv., explain why the most probable energy  $\hat{E}$  of the system  $\mathcal{A}$  is that which minimizes the Helmholtz free energy.