

Homework Of

Problem 4.1:

* Mutual Exclusion, ~~enforce~~ is a concurrency control property, ~~en~~ it prevents multiple processes from entering their critical sections simultaneously, thus avoiding race conditions. It ensures that only one process can access shared resources at a time, maintaining consistency and correctness.

⇒ During Execution, All processes are aware that they must not enter their critical section, if some other process has entered his.

The state of whether a process is in its Critical section is globally known, or mechanisms (locks or semaphore) are used to enforce this exclusion.

⇒ After Execution, Upon exiting critical section by a process others may be allowed to enter their critical sections.

* Leader Election designate a single proc (leader) among a group of distributed processes to perform a ~~specific~~ specific role or task that requires central coordination.

⇒ During Execution, processes are unaware of who would be elected leader.

processes communicate their identities or priorities until a consensus on a single leader is reached

* if we were guaranteed that all processes would

survive the execution and that none of them risks failing we would not need Leader Election

~~However~~ Simply pick any ~~arbitrary~~ process to be leader. However, that's not the case in practice real life

⇒ After Execution: Once a leader is elected only him knows it, thus information (Leader ID)

is flooded to all other processes. The leader retains its role until it fails, resigns, or all present member.

Execution terminates or a new election is triggered, depending on the system's design.

* Mutual exclusion aims to control access to a critical section, while Leader Election aims

to select one process to be coordinator.

Problem 4.2:

* In Election Algorithms for trees it's decentralized, as every leaf node represents an initiator, they start by

sending $\langle \text{wake up} \rangle$ msg to all their neighbours and so does the latter until $\langle \text{wake up} \rangle$ reaches the root.

After D times elect (from root to root) from the start of algorithm. Upon successful election, leader's identity needs to propagate

to every node at each level. This dissemination of information requires time proportional

to the diameter D , as it's performing a traversal of all present member.

* To conclude, we are left with a total of $2D \approx O(D)$ time complexity for election algorithm in a tree topology (Upward and downward traversal).

Problem 4.3:

Chang-Roberts Algorithm:

* At most N different tokens are exchanged, each by at most N hops, which yields an $O(N^2)$ bound on the message complexity.

• In which scenario $O(N^2)$ occurs?

\Rightarrow Let's consider a ring network with an initial configuration where nodes identities are arranged in an increasing clockwise around the ring and each process is initiator. Each token would have to traverse the entire network, forwarded by $N-1$ hops before being discarded by process with smaller identity, which halves the number of message passes to a total of

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (N-i) = \frac{1}{2} N(N+1) \approx O(N^2)$$