5. The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Definition 5.1. An integer p > 1 is called **prime** if its only positive divisors are 1 and p.

Example 5.2. (1) The integer 2 is prime, because assume that $n \mid 2$, where $n \geq 1$. Then $n \leq 2$, by Lemma 3.3(5). Hence $n \in \{1,2\}$. Similarly we observe that if $n \mid 3$, then $n \in \{1,2,3\}$. As $3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$, it follows by the Division Algorithm that $2 \nmid 3$. In particular, 3 is prime. Next $4 = 2 \cdot 2$ is not prime. And so on...

(2) (Sieve of Eratosthenes) Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let us find all primes in $S := \{2, \ldots, n\}$. Take $m \in S$. Either m is prime or $m = p \cdot r$, for some $p, r \in S$. We can choose p minimal in S by the Well-Ordering Principle. Then $p \leq r$. Now $p^2 \leq p \cdot r = m$ and so $p \leq \sqrt{m} \leq \sqrt{n}$. Overall m is prime or m is divisible by an integer p with $2 \leq p \leq \sqrt{n}$.

Let n = 100. Then $\sqrt{100} = 10$. Eliminating all proper multiples of $\{2, \ldots, 10\}$ in S gives all the primes in S. They are

2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, 67, 71, 73, 79, 83, 89, 97

Lemma 5.3. For integers a, b, let p is a prime divisor of ab. Then $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

Proof. If $p \mid a$, there is nothing to prove. So assume that $p \nmid a$. Then gcd(p, a) = 1. We deduce from Euclid's Lemma that $p \mid b$.

Corollary 5.4. Let p, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k be integers, where p is prime. If $p \mid (a_1 a_2 \ldots a_k)$ then $p \mid a_i$, for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.

Corollary 5.5. Let p, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_k be prime integers. If $p \mid (q_1 q_2 \ldots q_k)$ then $p = q_i$, for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.

Theorem 5.6 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic). Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, non-zero, there exist $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ and primes p_1, \ldots, p_k such that

$$n = \epsilon \cdot p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$$
.

Moreover, this expression is unique up to a permutation of the factors p_1, \ldots, p_k .

Proof: W.l.o.g we assume $n \geq 1$. The statement holds for n = 1. Next assume the statement holds for $1, \ldots, n-1$. If n is prime, we are done. Otherwise, there is some positive divisor, say m, of n, such that $m \notin \{1, n\}$. Then $n = m \cdot r$, for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that 1 < m, r < n. By induction m and r are products of prime integers, and thus so is n. The uniqueness of this expression

can be shown using Corollary 5.5.

Example 5.7. $(1) -12 = (-1) \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3$

(2) $21\overline{780} = 2 \cdot 10890 = 2 \cdot 5445 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 1815 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 605 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 121 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^2$

(3) Let's find the prime factorisation of 2369. Since $\sqrt{2369} < 49$, unless 2369 is prime, there must be a prime factor of 2369 that is ≤ 48 . By checking 2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23 we see that $23 \mid 2369$, as $2369 = 23 \cdot 103$. As $\sqrt{103} < 11$, and 2,3,5,7 do not divide 103 we conclude that 103 is prime. Therefore $2369 = 23 \cdot 103$ is the prime factorisation of 2369.

Corollary 5.8. There are an infinite number of prime integers.

Proof: Suppose that p_1, \ldots, p_n are all the prime integers. Then $p_1 p_2 \ldots p_n + 1$ is an integer which is not divisible by any of the p_i . Hence there must be further prime integers.

Remark 5.9. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be non-zero and let p_1, \ldots, p_n be a complete list of prime numbers dividing a and/or b. Furthermore let

$$a = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_n^{r_n}, \quad and \quad b = p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_n^{s_n},$$

be the respective prime factorisations of both a and b. (Note that $r_j, s_j \ge 0$, for all j = 1, ..., n, but some might be zero.) Then

$$\gcd(a,b) = p_1^{\min\{r_1,s_1\}} \cdots p_n^{\min\{r_n,s_n\}}$$

For instance we have $21780 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^2$, and $15400 = 2^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$. Then $\gcd(21780, 15400) = 2^{\min\{2,3\}} \cdot 3^{\min\{2,0\}} \cdot 5^{\min\{1,2\}} \cdot 7^{\min\{0,1\}} \cdot 11^{\min\{2,1\}}$ $= 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 = 220$