

MT242P ABSTRACT ALGEBRA

1. FIELDS

Definition 1.1. A **field** is a non-empty set \mathbb{F} together with two operations $+: \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} : (a, b) \rightarrow a+b$, called **addition**, and $\cdot: \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} : (a, b) \rightarrow a \cdot b$, called **multiplication**, such that for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$:

- (F1) (commutativity) $a + b = b + a$ and $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$
- (F2) (associativity) $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$ and $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$
- (F3) (existence of additive and multiplicative identity elements) there are two distinct elements 0 , called **zero element**, and 1 , called **one element**, such that $a + 0 = a = 0 + a$ and $a \cdot 1 = a = 1 \cdot a$.
- (F4) (existence of additive and multiplicative inverses) there is $x \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a + x = 0 = x + a$. We write $-a$ for this x . If $a \neq 0$ there is $y \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a \cdot y = 1 = y \cdot a$. We write a^{-1} for this y .
- (F5) (distributive laws) $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$

Remark/Example 1.2. (1) For convenience we may write ab instead of $a \cdot b$. Furthermore we set $\mathbb{F}^* := \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$.

- (2) The zero and one element in a field are unique. Assume for instance that there is $z \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a + z = a$, for all $a \in \mathbb{F}$. Then in particular $0 + z = 0$. As furthermore $0 + z = z$, by (F3), we get $z = 0$.
- (3) The additive and multiplicative inverses are unique. Given $a \in \mathbb{F}$, assume for instance besides $-a$ there is another additive inverses $b \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$b \stackrel{(F3)}{=} b + 0 = b + (a + (-a)) \stackrel{(F2)}{=} (b + a) + (-a) = 0 + (-a) \stackrel{(F3)}{=} -a.$$

- (4) Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$. Then
 - (i) If $a + b = a + c$, then $b = c$.
 - (ii) $a \cdot 0 = 0$
 - (iii) $-(a \cdot b) = (-a) \cdot b = a \cdot (-b)$
 - (iv) If $a \cdot b = 0$, then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. (i)} \quad b &\stackrel{(F3)}{=} 0 + b \stackrel{(F4)}{=} ((-a) + a) + b \stackrel{(F2)}{=} (-a) + (a + b) = (-a) + (a + c) \\ &\stackrel{(F2)}{=} ((-a) + a) + c \stackrel{(F4)}{=} 0 + c \stackrel{(F3)}{=} c \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(ii)} \quad \text{It follows from (i), as } (a \cdot 0) + 0 \stackrel{(F3)}{=} a \cdot 0 \stackrel{(F2)}{=} a \cdot (0 + 0) \stackrel{(F5)}{=} (a \cdot 0) + (a \cdot 0)$$

$$\text{(iii)} \quad \text{It follows, as } a \cdot b + a \cdot (-b) \stackrel{(F5)}{=} a \cdot (b + (-b)) \stackrel{(F4)}{=} a \cdot 0 \stackrel{(ii)}{=} 0$$

(iv) If $b \neq 0$, then b^{-1} exists by (F4) and

$$0 \stackrel{(ii)}{=} 0 \cdot b^{-1} = (a \cdot b) \cdot b^{-1} \stackrel{(F2)}{=} a \cdot (b \cdot b^{-1}) \stackrel{(F4)}{=} a \cdot 1 \stackrel{(F3)}{=} a.$$

□

(5) Note that every field is a ring (see Definition 1.1 in Finite Mathematics). However for instance the ring of integers is not a field as there is no multiplicative inverse.

(6) Recall the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q} = \{(a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\}$, subject to the identity $(a, b) = (c, d)$ if and only if $ad = bc$, together with the operations

$$(a, b) + (c, d) = (ad + bc, bd), \quad (a, b) \cdot (c, d) = (ac, bd),$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$ is a field with additive identity $(0, 1)$ and the multiplicative identity is $(1, 1)$. Furthermore for all $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Q}$ we have $-(a, b) = (-a, b)$ and, provided $a \neq 0$, $(a, b)^{-1} = (b, a)$.

(7) The real numbers \mathbb{R} form a field together with standard addition and multiplication.

(8) Recall the complex numbers $\mathbb{C} = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $i^2 = -1$, together with the two operations

$$z + w := (a + c) + (b + d)i, \quad z \cdot w := (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i,$$

where $z = a + bi$ and $w = c + di$. Then $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$ is a field with additive identity $0 + 0i$ and the multiplicative identity is $1 + 0i$. Furthermore for all $a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $-(a + bi) = (-a) + (-b)i$ and, provided $a + bi \neq 0$, $(a + bi)^{-1} = \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} - \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2} \cdot i$.

(9) Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and set $\mathbb{Z}_n := \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$. Recall that by Lemma 6.3 of Finite Mathematics, for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is a unique $r_a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $a \equiv r_a \pmod{n}$, where r_a is the residue of a modulo n . Hence for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ we can define

$$a + b := r_{a+b} \quad \text{and} \quad a \cdot b := r_{a \cdot b}$$

Then $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \cdot)$ is a ring, called **ring of integers modulo n** , with 0 and 1 as the respective identity elements. Generally \mathbb{Z}_n is not a field. In \mathbb{Z}_4 , for instance, we have $2 \cdot 2 = 4 = 0$, contradicting property (5(iv)) above. Alternatively, one can check that 2 has no multiplicative inverse.

Next assume that $n = p$ is a prime number. Then for every $a \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$ we have that $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ and so there are $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $as + pt = 1$. Since $s \equiv r_s \pmod{p}$, we have $ar_s \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and so $a \cdot r_s = 1$ in \mathbb{Z}_n , that is, a has a multiplicative inverse. In fact one can show that $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +, \cdot)$ is a field if and only if n is prime.

For any prime number p one defines $\mathbb{F}_p := \mathbb{Z}_p$. Those \mathbb{F}_p are examples of finite fields. In particular, \mathbb{F}_2 is the smallest possible field. We have

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} \cdot & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$$

One can show that a finite field with n elements exists if and only if $n = p^r$, for some prime number p and integer $r \geq 1$. Take for instance the quadratic polynomial $f(x) = x^2 + x + 1$ over \mathbb{F}_2 . As $f(0) = 1 = f(1)$, it follows that f has no roots in \mathbb{F}_2 . If we define a new element α as a root of f , that is, $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0$, then $\alpha + 1$ is also a root of f . Now $\mathbb{F}_4 := \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha + 1\}$ is a field with

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} + & 0 & 1 & \alpha & \alpha + 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & \alpha & \alpha + 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \alpha + 1 & \alpha \\ \alpha & \alpha & \alpha + 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \alpha + 1 & \alpha + 1 & \alpha & 1 & 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|cccc} \cdot & 0 & 1 & \alpha & \alpha + 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \alpha & \alpha + 1 \\ \alpha & 0 & \alpha & \alpha + 1 & 1 \\ \alpha + 1 & 0 & \alpha + 1 & 1 & \alpha \end{array}$$

Note that $a + a = 0$, for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_4$. Also, obviously $\mathbb{Z}_4 \neq \mathbb{F}_4$.

(10) Let \mathbb{F} be a field. Then the set of all polynomials over \mathbb{F} is given by

$$\mathbb{F}[X] := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i : a_i \in \mathbb{F}, n \geq 0 \right\}.$$

If convenient we may write X for X^1 and omit X^0 . Next we define

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i + \sum_{i=0}^m b_i X^i &:= \sum_{i=0}^{\max\{n,m\}} (a_i + b_i) X^i \\ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i \cdot \sum_{i=0}^m b_i X^i &:= \sum_{i=0}^{n+m} \left(\sum_{j,k:j+k=i} a_j b_k \right) X^i \end{aligned}$$

Then $(\mathbb{F}[X], +, \cdot)$ is a ring, but not a field, with $0 = 0 \cdot X^0$ the zero element and $1 = 1 \cdot X^0$ the one element. We can extent $\mathbb{F}[X]$ to a field, by setting

$$\mathbb{F}(X) := \left\{ \frac{f}{g} : f, g \in \mathbb{F}[X], g \neq 0 \right\}.$$

We identify two elements $\frac{f}{g}$ and $\frac{h}{k}$ precisely if $f \cdot k = h \cdot g$. Next we define

$$\frac{f}{g} + \frac{h}{k} := \frac{f \cdot k + h \cdot g}{g \cdot k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f}{g} \cdot \frac{h}{k} := \frac{f \cdot h}{g \cdot k}$$

Then $(\mathbb{F}(X), +, \cdot)$ is a field, called **field of rational functions**.

Definition 1.3. Let $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$ be a field and E a subset \mathbb{F} . We call E a **subfield** of \mathbb{F} , if $(\mathbb{E}, +, \cdot)$ is a field in its own right.

Remark 1.4. (1) A subset E of a field \mathbb{F} is a subfield, if and only if $E \cap \mathbb{F}^* \neq \emptyset$ and for all $a, b \in E$, one has $a + (-b) \in E$ and, provided $b \neq 0$, $a \cdot b^{-1} \in E$.

(2) $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{R}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(X)$

(3) Given a field \mathbb{F} , the set $\{aX^0 : a \in \mathbb{F}\}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{F}(X)$.

(4) For a field \mathbb{F} with subfield \mathbb{E} and $r \in \mathbb{F}$, a root of some $g \in \mathbb{E}[X]$, we set

$$\mathbb{E}[r] := \{f(r) : f \in \mathbb{E}[X]\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \cdot r^i : a_i \in \mathbb{E}, n \geq 0 \right\}$$

Then $\mathbb{E}[r]$ is a subfield of \mathbb{F} . For instance let $f(X) = X^2 + X + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ with root $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\omega^2 = -\omega - 1$. Hence $\mathbb{Q}[\omega] = \{a + b\omega : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ is a subfield of \mathbb{C} .

(5) Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field with one element $1_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then there is a minimal n such that $\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\mathbb{F}} = 0$. One can show that n has to be a prime number p . If we identify $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ with $\sum_{i=1}^a 1_{\mathbb{F}}$ in \mathbb{F} , then \mathbb{F}_p is a subfield of \mathbb{F} . It follows that $\sum_{i=1}^p a = 0$, for all $a \in \mathbb{F}$.

2. VECTOR SPACES

Definition 2.1. Let \mathbb{F} be a field. A non-empty set V is called **\mathbb{F} -vector space** if there is a **vector addition** $V \times V \rightarrow V$, $(v, w) \rightarrow v + w$ and a **scalar multiplication** $\mathbb{F} \times V \rightarrow V$, $(\lambda, v) \rightarrow \lambda v$, such that for all $v, w \in V$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}$:

(V1) $+$ is commutative and associative

(V2) $+$ has an identity element 0_V , called **the zero vector**, that is,

$$v + 0_V = v = 0_V + v$$

(V3) v has an additive inverse $-v$, that is, $v + (-v) = 0_V = (-v) + v$

(V4) $1v = v$

(V5) $\lambda(v + w) = \lambda v + \lambda w$, $(\lambda\mu)v = \lambda(\mu v)$, $(\lambda + \mu)v = \lambda v + \mu v$

Remark/Example 2.2. (1) Set $V = \{0\}$ and define $0 + 0 := 0$ and $\lambda 0 := 0$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then V is an \mathbb{F} -vector space, called the **zero vector space** and usually denoted by 0 . Furthermore \mathbb{F} is an \mathbb{F} -vector space.

(2) The zero vector is unique. Also, given $v \in V$, its inverse $-v$ is unique.

(3) For all $v \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ we have

$$(i) 0v = 0_V, \quad (ii) \lambda 0_V = 0_V, \quad (iii) -v = (-1)v$$

$$(iv) \lambda v = 0_V \text{ if and only if } \lambda = 0 \text{ or } v = 0_V$$

$$(v) (-\lambda)v = -(\lambda v) = \lambda(-v)$$

(4) For an integer $n \geq 1$ let V_1, \dots, V_n be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. We define

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i := \{(v_1, \dots, v_n) : v_i \in V_i\},$$

and for all $(v_1, \dots, v_n), (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$:

$$(v_1, \dots, v_n) + (w_1, \dots, w_n) := (v_1 + w_1, \dots, v_n + w_n)$$

$$\lambda(v_1, \dots, v_n) := (\lambda v_1, \dots, \lambda v_n)$$

Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$ is an \mathbb{F} -vector space. If we set $V_i = \mathbb{F}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $\mathbb{F}^n := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i$ is an \mathbb{F} -vector space. In this way we get the \mathbb{Q} -, \mathbb{R} - and \mathbb{C} -vector spaces \mathbb{Q}^n , \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{C}^n , respectively.

(5) Just as in (5), the set $\mathbb{F}^\infty := \{(v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots) : v_i \in \mathbb{F}\}$ of infinite sequences over \mathbb{F} becomes an \mathbb{F} -vector space.

(6) If \mathbb{E} is a subfield of \mathbb{F} , then every \mathbb{F} -vector space is also an \mathbb{E} -vector space. In particular, \mathbb{F} is an \mathbb{E} -vector space. For instance \mathbb{C}^n is both an \mathbb{R} - and \mathbb{Q} -vector space, and \mathbb{R}^n is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space.

(7) The set $\mathbb{F}[X]$ of all polynomials over \mathbb{F} together with their standard addition and scalar multiplication given by

$$\lambda \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i X^i \right) := \sum_{i=0}^n (\lambda \alpha_i) X^i,$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i X^i \in \mathbb{F}[X]$, is an \mathbb{F} -vector space. Similarly, $\mathbb{F}(X)$ is an \mathbb{F} -vector space.

(8) Let $m, n \geq 1$ be integers. Let $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ denote the set of all $m \times n$ -matrices over \mathbb{F} . Then $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ is an \mathbb{F} -vector space, where

$$(\alpha_{ij}) + (\beta_{ij}) := (\alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(\alpha_{ij}) := (\lambda \alpha_{ij}),$$

for all $(\alpha_{ij}), (\beta_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.

(9) For an \mathbb{F} -vector space V and a set S , let $\mathcal{F}(S, V)$ denote the set of all functions $S \rightarrow V$. For $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(S, V)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ we define

$$f + g : s \mapsto f(s) + g(s) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda f : s \mapsto \lambda f(s)$$

Then $\mathcal{F}(S, V)$ is an \mathbb{F} -vector space. In particular, $\mathcal{F}(S, \mathbb{F})$ is an \mathbb{F} -vector space. In the case where $S = V$, we write $\mathcal{F}(V)$ for $\mathcal{F}(V, V)$.

Definition 2.3. Let V be an \mathbb{F} -vector space. A non-empty subset U of V is called a **subspace** of V if U is closed under (i) vector addition and (ii) scalar multiplication, that is, for all $u, v \in U$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ we have (i) $u + v \in U$ and (ii) $\lambda u \in U$.

Remark/Example 2.4. (1) A subspace U of V is an \mathbb{F} -vector space in its own right together with the same operations that come with V .

(2) $0_V \in U$ and so 0_V is the zero vector of U

(3) $\{0_V\}$ and V are subspaces of V

(4) $\mathbb{F}v := \{\lambda v : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}\}$ is a subspace of V , for all $v \in V$

(5) Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}^*$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the solution set to the linear equation

$$\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n = \lambda$$

is a subspace of \mathbb{F}^n if and only if $\lambda = 0$, that is, the equation is **homogeneous**.

(6) Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. A line $ax + by = c$ in \mathbb{R}^2 is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 and a plane $ax + by + cz = d$ in \mathbb{R}^3 is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 precisely if they pass through the origin.

(7) For real numbers $a < b$, let $\mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ be the set of all continuous functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$.

(8) For $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, the set of convergent sequences in \mathbb{F}^∞ are a subspace of \mathbb{F}^∞ .

(9) The vector space $\mathbb{F}_2^2 = \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}$ contains 4 vectors. The subspaces are 0 , \mathbb{F}_2^2 and the 3 lines

$$\{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}, \quad \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}, \quad \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}.$$

Lemma 2.5. Let \mathcal{S} be a non-empty collection of subspaces of the \mathbb{F} -vector space V . Then $\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{S}} U$ is a subspace of V .

Proof. Homework. □

Corollary 2.6. Let $m, n \geq 1$ be integers and $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then the set of solutions to the homogeneous system of linear equations $Ax = 0$ is a subspace of \mathbb{F}^n .

Example 2.7. In \mathbb{R}^3 consider a homogeneous system of linear equations

$$x + y - 2z = 0$$

$$x - 2y + z = 0$$

The solution set to each equation is a plane passing through the origin. As they differ, their intersection is a line. One calculates that the solution set is $\mathbb{R}(1, 1, 1) = \{(x, x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Remark 2.8. Generally, the union of subspaces U_1 and U_2 of an \mathbb{F} -vector space V is not a subspace of V . Take for instance $U_1 := \mathbb{R}(1, 0) = \{(a, 0) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $U_2 := \mathbb{R}(0, 1) = \{(0, b) : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Definition 2.9. Let U_1 and U_2 be subspaces in the \mathbb{F} -vector space V . We call

$$U_1 + U_2 := \{u_1 + u_2 : u_1 \in U_1, u_2 \in U_2\}$$

the **sum** of U_1 and U_2 . If furthermore $U_1 \cap U_2 = \{0_V\}$, then we call

$$U_1 \oplus U_2 := U_1 + U_2$$

the **direct sum** of U_1 and U_2 .

Lemma 2.10. Let U_1 and U_2 be subspaces of the \mathbb{F} -vector space V . Then $U_1 + U_2$ is the smallest subspace of V containing $U_1 \cup U_2$.

Proof. As $0_V \in U_1 \cap U_2$ we have that $0_V = 0_V + 0_V \in U_1 + U_2$. Next let $u, v \in U_1 + U_2$, that is, $u = u_1 + u_2$ and $v = v_1 + v_2$, where $u_i, v_i \in U_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$u + v = (u_1 + u_2) + (v_1 + v_2) \stackrel{(V1)}{=} (u_1 + v_1) + (u_2 + v_2) \in U_1 + U_2$$

$$\lambda u = \lambda(u_1 + u_2) \stackrel{(V5)}{=} \lambda u_1 + \lambda u_2 \in U_1 + U_2$$

Hence, by Definition 2.3, $U_1 + U_2$ is a subspace of V . Finally, as $0_V \in U_1 \cap U_2$, we get that $U_1, U_2 \subseteq U_1 + U_2$. Finally, by additivity, any subspace U of V containing $U_1 \cup U_2$, must contain the elements in $U_1 + U_2$. \square

Lemma 2.11. Let U_1 and U_2 be subspaces in the \mathbb{F} -vector space V such that $U_1 \cap U_2 = \{0_V\}$. Then for every $u \in U_1 \oplus U_2$ there are unique $u_1 \in U_1$ and $u_2 \in U_2$ such that $u = u_1 + u_2$

Proof. Assume that $u = u_1 + u_2 = v_1 + v_2$, where $u_i, v_i \in U_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $(-v_1) + u_1 = v_2 + (-u_2)$. As the LHS lies in U_1 and the RHS lies in U_2 , both sides must equal 0_V . Thus uniqueness follows. \square

Example 2.12. In \mathbb{R}^3 consider the subspaces $U_1 = \{(x, y, 0) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $U_2 = \{(0, y, z) : y, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $U_3 = \{(x, x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then $U_1 \cap U_2 = \{(0, y, 0) : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and for all $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$(x, y, z) = (x, y, 0) + (0, 0, z) = (x, 0, 0) + (0, y, z).$$

Hence \mathbb{R}^3 is the sum, but not the direct sum, of U_1 and U_2 .

Next, $U_1 \cap U_3 = \{(0, 0, 0)\}$. Then $(x, y, z) = (x - z, y - z, 0) + (z, z, z)$ is unique, for all $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Hence $\mathbb{R}^3 = U_1 \oplus U_3$.

Remark 2.13. Given subspaces U_1, \dots, U_n of the \mathbb{F} -vector space V , we define their **sum** as

$$U_1 + \dots + U_n := \{u_1 + \dots + u_n : u_i \in U_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

Then $U_1 + \dots + U_n$ is the smallest subspace of V containing $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$. Furthermore this sum is a **direct sum**, that is, the expression for each element in $U_1 + \dots + U_n$ is unique, if and only if

$$U_i \cap (U_1 + \dots + U_{i-1} + U_{i+1} + \dots + U_n) = \{0_V\},$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. In this case we write $U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_n$.

Definition 2.14. Let V be an \mathbb{F} -vector space and $M \neq \emptyset$ be a subset of V .

- (1) A vector $v \in V$ is called a **linear combination** of vectors v_1, \dots, v_n in M , if there are scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n.$$

- (2) The **span** of M , denoted by $\text{span}(M)$, is the set of all linear combinations of vectors in M , that is,

$$\text{span}(M) := \{\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n : n \geq 1, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}, v_i \in M, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Moreover $\text{span}(\emptyset) := \{0_V\}$. Furthermore, if $U = \text{span}(M)$, then we say that U is **spanned** by M and m is a **spanning set** of U .

- (3) The set M called **linearly dependent** if there is a non-trivial way to express 0_V as a linear combination of distinct vectors in M , that is, there are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and distinct $v_1, \dots, v_n \in M$ such that

$$0_V = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n.$$

Otherwise we call M **linearly independent**. The empty set is defined as linearly independent.

Lemma 2.15. Let V be an \mathbb{F} -vector space and $M \subseteq V$. Then $\text{span}(M)$ is the smallest subspace of V which contains M .

Proof. By definition the span is non-empty and one checks quickly that it is closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication. Hence $\text{span}(M)$ is a subspace of V , by Definition 2.3. Also it is evident that any subspace of V containing M must contain all elements in $\text{span}(M)$. \square

Lemma 2.16. Let V be an \mathbb{F} -vector space and M a subset of V . Then the following are equivalent

- (1) M is linearly independent
- (2) $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n = 0_V$ implies that $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$, for all $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$ and all distinct $v_1, \dots, v_n \in M$
- (3) No $v \in M$ is a linear combination of elements in $M \setminus \{v\}$

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) follows from the definition. Next assume (1) and not (3), that is, $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$, for some $v \in M$, $v_i \in M \setminus \{v\}$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}$. Then $0_V = (-1)v + \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$ is a non-trivial linear combination of 0_V , contradicting the linear independence of M . Vice versa, assume (3) and not (1). Then $0_V = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$, for $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and distinct $v_i \in M$. W.l.o.g, $\lambda_1 = -1$, by multiplying the scalar $(-\lambda_1)^{-1}$ onto the equation. Then $v_1 = \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$, contradicting (3). \square

Remark/Example 2.17. (1) If there is ambiguity over which field \mathbb{F} the span is taken, we write $\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}(M)$ instead of $\text{span}(M)$. Consider for instance $1, i \in \mathbb{C}$. Here we have $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1, i) = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$, while $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(1, i) = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} = \mathbb{C}$.

(2) If $0_V \in M$, then M is linearly dependent.

(3) Let $v \in V$. Then $\text{span}(v) = \{\lambda v : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}\} = \mathbb{F}v$.

(4) For $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ we have

$$\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \{\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n : \lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}\} = \mathbb{F}v_1 + \dots + \mathbb{F}v_n$$

If v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent, then $v_i \notin \text{span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \setminus \{v_i\})$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, by Lemma 2.16, and so

$$\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \mathbb{F}v_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{F}v_n$$

In particular, for all $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$, there are unique $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$.

(5) In \mathbb{F}^n , set $e_i := (e_1^i, \dots, e_n^i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $e_j^i = 0_{\mathbb{F}}$, whenever $i \neq j$, and $e_j^i = 1_{\mathbb{F}}$, if $i = j$. Then for every $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ we have $v = v_1 e_1 + \dots + v_n e_n$, and so $\text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_n) = \mathbb{F}^n$. Furthermore the set $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is linearly independent, by Lemma 2.16, because for all $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$ we have

$$0_V = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e_n \Rightarrow 0_V = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0.$$

Overall, $\mathbb{F}^n = \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_n) = \mathbb{F}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{F}e_n$.

(6) For instance, in \mathbb{R}^3 we have $e_1 := (1, 0, 0)$, $e_2 := (0, 1, 0)$ and $e_3 := (0, 0, 1)$. Then

$$\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_3$$

If furthermore $v := e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = (1, 1, 1)$, then $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(e_1, e_2, e_3, v) = \mathbb{R}^3$, but $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, v\}$ are not linearly independent.

(7) In \mathbb{F}^{∞} , for every integer $i \geq 1$, let e_i be the sequence that is zero everywhere, except in position i , which is one. Then $\{e_i : i \geq 1\}$ is linearly independent, but does not span \mathbb{F}^{∞} . Describe $\text{span}(e_i : i \geq 1)$.

- (8) Let $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. In $\mathbb{F}[X]$, the set $\{X^i : i \geq 0\} = \{1, X, X^2, X^3, \dots\}$ is linearly independent and spans $\mathbb{F}[X]$.
- (9) Let $m, n \geq 1$ be integers. In $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$, let $E_{i,j}$ denote the matrix, with a one in entry (i, j) and zeros elsewhere. Then $\{E_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is linearly independent and spans $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$.
- (10) The plane $P : x - 2y - z = 0$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 . Then $v = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ lies in P if and only if $z = x - 2y$, that is, $v = x(1, 0, 1) + y(0, 1, -2)$. Hence $P = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}((1, 0, 1), (0, 1, -2))$. In fact, $P = \mathbb{R}(1, 0, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}(0, 1, -2)$. Equally, $v = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ lies in P if and only if $v = y(2, 1, 0) + z(1, 0, 1)$. So, $P = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}((2, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1))$, and again $P = \mathbb{R}(2, 1, 0) \oplus \mathbb{R}(1, 0, 1)$.
- (11) In $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ let $f(x) = \sin(x)$, $g(x) = \cos(x)$ and $h(x) = \exp(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$0 = \lambda_1 f + \lambda_2 g + \lambda_3 h$$

Then for $x = 0$, we have $0 = \lambda_1 \sin(0) + \lambda_2 \cos(0) + \lambda_3 \exp(0) = \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$, that is, $-\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. Next for $x = \pi$ we get $0 = -\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \exp(\pi)$, and so $0 = \lambda_3(1 + \exp(\pi))$. This forces $\lambda_3 = 0$ and so $\lambda_2 = 0$. Now for $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have $0 = \lambda_1$. Hence all $\lambda_i = 0$ and so $\{f, g, h\}$ is linearly independent.

Theorem 2.18. Let $m, n \geq 1$ be integers and v_1, \dots, v_n be (column) vectors in \mathbb{F}^m . Furthermore let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$, where column i is given by vector v_i .

(a) The following are equivalent:

- (1) The set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is linearly independent.
- (2) the homogeneous system $Ax = 0$ only has the trivial solution $x = 0$.
- (3) the reduced row echelon form of A has n leading ones.

(b) The following are equivalent:

- (1) The set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ spans \mathbb{F}^m .
- (2) the system $Ax = v$ has a solution for every column vector $v \in \mathbb{F}^m$.
- (3) the reduced row echelon form of A has m leading ones.

Proof. (a) (1) \Leftrightarrow (2): This follows from Lemma 2.16.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3): Let R be the REF of A . Then $Ax = 0$ and $Rx = 0$ have the same solution set. But $Rx = 0$ has a non-trivial solution precisely if there is at least one column without a leading one.

(b) (1) \Leftrightarrow (2): Obvious

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3): Precisely when R has a row without a leading one (i.e. a zero row), there is some $v' \in \mathbb{F}^m$ so that $Rx = v'$ has no solution, which is equivalent to there being some $v \in \mathbb{F}^m$ so that $Ax = v$ has no solution. \square

Example 2.19. Consider the set $M = \{(1, -1, 0), (0, 1, -2), (1, 0, 3)\}$ over \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{F}_5 , respectively. Here $n = m = 3$. In either case

$$(A|v) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & a \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & b \\ 0 & -2 & 3 & c \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R2+R1} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & a+b \\ 0 & -2 & 3 & c \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R3+2R2} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & a+b \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & c+2(a+b) \end{array} \right)$$

Over \mathbb{R} , we divide the last row by 5 and thus obtain three leading ones. Hence the set M is linearly independent and spans \mathbb{R}^3 . However, $5 = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_3 and so M is linearly dependent in $(\mathbb{F}_5)^3$ and does not span $(\mathbb{F}_5)^3$. For instance $(1, 0, 3) = (1, -1, 0) + (0, 1, -2)$. However, $M' := \{(1, -1, 0), (0, 1, -2)\}$ is linearly independent in $(\mathbb{F}_5)^3$. Furthermore, $Ax = v$ has a solution if and only if $c+2a+2b = 0$. In particular, M (and M') only span the plane $2a+2b+c = 0$ in $(\mathbb{F}_5)^3$.

Corollary 2.20. Let $m, n \geq 1$ be integers and $M := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ a set of vectors in \mathbb{F}^m .

- (1) If M is linearly independent, then $n \leq m$.
- (2) If M spans \mathbb{F}^m , then $m \leq n$.

Theorem 2.21. Let M be a subset of an \mathbb{F} -vector space V and let $v \in V$.

- (1) (Plus Theorem) If M is linearly independent and $v \notin \text{span}(M)$, then $M \cup \{v\}$ is linearly independent.
- (2) (Minus Theorem) If $v \in \text{span}(M \setminus \{v\})$, for some $v \in M$, (i.e. M is linearly dependent), then $\text{span}(M) = \text{span}(M \setminus \{v\})$.

Proof. Homework. \square

Example 2.22. In \mathbb{F}^∞ , the set $M = \{e_i : i \geq 1\}$ is linearly independent, but does not span \mathbb{F}^∞ . Let p be the sequence of all entries equal to $1_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $p \notin \text{span}(M)$ and so $M \cup \{p\}$ is linearly independent.

In \mathbb{R}^3 , the set $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, v\}$, for $v = (1, 1, 1)$, is linearly dependent and spans \mathbb{R}^3 . As for instance, $e_1 = v - e_2 - e_3$, we get that $\{e_2, e_3, v\}$ still spans \mathbb{R}^3 .

Definition 2.23. Let V be an \mathbb{F} -vector space.

- (1) We call V **finite-dimensional** if V has a finite spanning set, that is, there are vectors v_1, \dots, v_n in V such that $V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$.
- (2) A set \mathcal{B} is called **basis** of V if

- (a) \mathcal{B} is linearly independent and
 - (b) \mathcal{B} spans V
- (3) A basis \mathcal{B} of V is called **finite** if the set \mathcal{B} is finite, and **infinite** otherwise.

Lemma 2.24. (Steinitz Exchange Lemma) Let $n, m \geq 0$ be integers, V an \mathbb{F} -vector space and $M = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ and $N = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ subsets of V such that M spans V and N is linearly independent. Then $n \leq m$ and there are n vectors in M , say $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$, so that $\{u_1, \dots, u_n, w_{n+1}, \dots, w_m\}$ spans V .

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on $n \geq 0$. If $n = 0$ there is nothing to show. Now assume that the statement holds for fewer than n elements. Then $n - 1 \leq m$ and there are $n - 1$ vectors in M , say $\{w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}\}$, such that $\{u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, w_n, \dots, w_m\}$ spans V . Hence there are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$u_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i u_i + \sum_{i=n}^m \lambda_i w_i.$$

If $m = n - 1$ or $\lambda_i = 0$, for all $i = n, \dots, m$, then u_n is a linear combination of u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} contradicting the linear independence of N . Hence $n \leq m$ and, say $\lambda_n \neq 0$. It follows that

$$w_n = (\lambda_n)^{-1} u_n + (-\lambda_n)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i u_i + \sum_{i=n+1}^m \lambda_i w_i \right)$$

Hence, by the Minus Theorem,

$$V = \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n, w_n, \dots, w_m) = \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_n, w_{n+1}, \dots, w_m).$$

□

Corollary 2.25. Every subspace U of a finite-dimensional vector space V has a finite basis. In particular, U is finite-dimensional.

Proof. Let $V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ and U a subspace of V . If $U = \{0_V\}$, we are done (see Remark 2.28). Otherwise pick a finite linearly independent subset N of U . If N spans U , we have a finite basis. Otherwise there is some $u \in U$ such that $u \notin \text{span}(N)$, and so $N \cup \{u\}$ is linearly independent, by the Plus Theorem. This process terminates eventually with a finite basis for U , as any linearly independent subset of U has at most m vectors, by Steinitz Exchange Lemma. □

Corollary 2.26. Any two bases in a finite-dimensional vector space have the same finite size.

Proof. Let $V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ and \mathcal{B} a basis of V . Note that \mathcal{B} is finite as otherwise we could pick $m + 1$ linearly independent vectors from \mathcal{B} in contradiction to Steinitz. Next let \mathcal{B}' be a second basis. Then by Steinitz, \mathcal{B} cannot have more elements than \mathcal{B}' , while \mathcal{B}' cannot have more elements than \mathcal{B} . \square

Definition 2.27. Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space. Then the **dimension** of V , denoted by $\dim(V)$, is the size of any basis of V . If V is not finite-dimensional, we say V has infinite dimension and write $\dim(V) = \infty$.

Remark/Example 2.28. (1) Using a more advanced argument, known as Zorn's Lemma, one can show that every vector space has a basis, even those for which there exist no finite spanning set.

- (2) If there is ambiguity about the field \mathbb{F} , we write $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ instead of $\dim(V)$ and talk about an \mathbb{F} -basis. For instance, the set $\{1, i\}$ is an \mathbb{R} -basis of \mathbb{C} and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}) = 2$. However, though still linearly independent, $\{1, i\}$ does not span \mathbb{C} as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space. In fact $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C}) = \infty$. Also $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$.
- (3) The zero vector space has empty basis and dimension zero. For \mathbb{F} , as an \mathbb{F} -vector space, the set $\{\lambda\}$, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$, is a basis. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}) = 1$.
- (4) Let $v \in V$. Then $\mathbb{F}v$ has basis $\{v\}$ and dimension one. In fact, $\{\lambda v\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}v$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$.
- (5) A set $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis of V if and only if $V = \mathbb{F}v_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{F}v_n$ if and only if for every $v \in V$ there are unique $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$.
- (6) The set $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{F}^n . Hence $\dim(\mathbb{F}^n) = n$. One can show that $\{e_1 + (-e_2), e_2 + (-e_3), \dots, e_{n-1} + (-e_n), e_n\}$ is another basis of \mathbb{F}^n , while $\{e_1 + (-e_2), e_2 + (-e_3), \dots, e_{n-1} + (-e_n), e_n + (-e_1)\}$ is not.
- (7) We have $\dim(\mathbb{F}^{\infty}) = \infty$, as $\{e_i : i \geq 1\}$ is linearly independent.
- (8) Let $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. We have $\dim(\mathbb{F}[X]) = \infty$, where $\{X^i : i \geq 0\}$ is the standard basis.
- (9) Let $m, n \geq 1$ be integers. Then $\dim(\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})) = mn$, where the set $\{E_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is the standard basis.
- (10) Every spanning set of a vector space V contains a basis of V and every linearly independent subset of V , can be extended to a basis of V . In the finite case this is due to the Minus Theorem and Plus Theorem, respectively.

Lemma 2.29. Let V be an \mathbb{F} -vector space with subspace U . Then

- (1) $\dim(U) \leq \dim(V)$
- (2) there is a subspace W of V such that $V = U \oplus W$.

Proof. (1) This follows as a basis of U is a linearly independent in V , and hence cannot contain more elements than a basis of V .

(2) Let \mathcal{B}' be a basis of U . Then \mathcal{B}' can be extended to a basis $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}' \cup \mathcal{B}''$ of V . Set $W := \text{span}(\mathcal{B}'')$ and check that $V = U \oplus W$. \square

Example 2.30. (1) The subspaces in \mathbb{F}^3 are thus: (i) the zero subspace, (ii) the lines $\mathbb{F}v$, for $v \in \mathbb{F}^3 \setminus \{0_V\}$, (iii) the planes $ax + by + cz = 0$, for $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}^3 \setminus \{0_V\}$ and (iv) \mathbb{F}^3 .

(2) For $v := (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}^3 \setminus \{0_V\}$ we have

$$\mathbb{F}^3 = \mathbb{F}v \oplus (ax + by + cz = 0)$$

Lemma 2.31. Let V be an n -dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space and let \mathcal{B} be a set of m vectors in V . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{B} is basis of V .
- (2) \mathcal{B} is linearly independent and $m = n$.
- (3) \mathcal{B} spans V and $m = n$.

Proof. Clearly (1) implies (2) and (3). That both (2) and (3) imply (1), follows from Steinitz and the Plus Theorem and Minus Theorem, respectively. \square

Lemma 2.32. Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space with subspaces U and W . Then

$$\dim(U + W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W) - \dim(U \cap W).$$

In particular, if $U \cap W = \{0_V\}$, then $\dim(U \oplus W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W)$.

Proof. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ be a basis for $U \cap W$. So $\dim(U \cap W) = r$. We extend it to a basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ of U and to a basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_r, c_1, \dots, c_t\}$ of W . So $\dim(U) = r + s$ and $\dim(W) = r + t$.

We claim that $\mathcal{B} := \{a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_s, c_1, \dots, c_t\}$ is a basis for $U + W$. It is straight forward to show that \mathcal{B} spans $U + W$. Next consider scalars $\alpha_i, \beta_j, \gamma_k \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\sum \alpha_i a_i + \sum \beta_j b_j + \sum \gamma_k c_k = 0$, that is,

$$(1) \quad \sum \alpha_i a_i + \sum \beta_j b_j = - \sum \gamma_k c_k.$$

As the LHS lies in U and the RHS lies in W , both sides lie in $U \cap W$. In particular we can write the LHS as $\sum \mu_\ell a_\ell$, for some scalars $\mu_\ell \in \mathbb{F}$. But now $\sum \mu_\ell a_\ell + \sum \gamma_k c_k = 0$. As $\{a_1, \dots, a_r, c_1, \dots, c_t\}$ is linearly independent, this implies that each γ_k is zero. Plug this back into (1) gives

$$\sum \alpha_i a_i + \sum \beta_j b_j = 0.$$

Then all α_i and β_j are zero, as $\{a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ is linearly independent. Hence \mathcal{B} is linearly independent. Overall \mathcal{B} is a basis for $U + W$. So

$$\dim(U + W) = r + s + t = \dim(U) + \dim(W) - \dim(U \cap W).$$

\square

3. LINEAR MAPS / HOMOMORPHISMS

Definition 3.1. Let V, W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. A function $T : V \rightarrow W$ is called **linear map** or **homomorphism** if for all $v, u \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$:

- (i) $T(v + u) = T(v) + T(u)$ and
- (ii) $T(\lambda v) = \lambda T(v)$

We write $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ for the set of all homomorphisms $V \rightarrow W$.

Remark/Example 3.2. (1) The function $T : V \rightarrow W : v \mapsto 0_W$, is a linear map, called **zero map**, since $T(v + u) = 0_W = 0_W + 0_W = T(v) + T(u)$ and $T(\lambda v) = 0_W = \lambda 0_W = \lambda T(v)$, for all $v, u \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.

(2) If V is a subspace of W , then $I : V \rightarrow W : v \mapsto v$, is a linear map as $I(v + u) = v + u = I(v) + I(u)$ and $I(\lambda v) = \lambda v = \lambda I(v)$, for all $v, u \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Generally, we call I the **inclusion map** from V into W . In the case $V = W$, we call I the **identity map** on V .

(3) We have $T(0_V) = 0_W$ and $T(-v) = -T(v)$, for all $v \in U$, since $T(0_V) = T(0_{\mathbb{F}}v) = 0_{\mathbb{F}}T(v) = 0_W$ and $T(-v) = T((-1)v) = (-1)T(v) = -T(v)$.

(4) Let $m, n \geq 1$ be integers and $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $T_A : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m : v \mapsto Av$, is a linear map. Note that Av only makes sense if we take v as a column vector. For instance, for $A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1+3i & -i \\ 1-3i & 2 & \frac{3-i}{5} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 3}(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$T_A : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 : \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 5x + (1+3i)y - iz \\ (1-3i)x + 2y + \left(\frac{3-i}{5}\right)z \end{pmatrix}$$

(5) For $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ the map $T_\alpha : \mathbb{F}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{F} : f = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i X^i \mapsto f(\alpha) := \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i \alpha^i$ is linear, called the **evaluation homomorphism** of α .

(6) The map $T : \mathbb{F}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[X] : \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i X^i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n (i \cdot \alpha_i) X^{i-1}$ is linear, mapping each polynomial onto its derivative. (Here $i \cdot \alpha = \sum_{k=1}^i \alpha$). For instance $T(3 + 7X^2 - 6X^8) = 14X - 48X^7$.

Likewise for the subspace $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ of differentiable functions in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, we have that $T : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : f \mapsto f'$ is a linear map.

(7) In \mathbb{F}^n , reflections and rotations that fix the origin are linear maps $\mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$.

Lemma 3.3. Let V, W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. Then $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}(V, W)$ (see Example 2.2 (9)). In particular, $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ is a vector space, where for all $S, T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.

- (i) $S + T : V \rightarrow W : v \mapsto S(v) + T(v)$
- (ii) $\lambda T : V \rightarrow W : v \mapsto \lambda T(v)$

Proof. As $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ contains the zero map, it is a non-empty subset of $\mathcal{F}(V, W)$. Next let $S, T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Are $S+T, \lambda T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$? Let $v, u \in V$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (S+T)(u+v) &= S(u+v) + T(u+v) = (S(u) + S(v)) + (T(u) + T(v)) \\ &\stackrel{(V1)}{=} (S(u) + T(u)) + (S(v) + T(v)) = (S+T)(u) + (S+T)(v) \\ (S+T)(\mu v) &= S(\mu v) + T(\mu v) = \mu S(v) + \mu T(v) \stackrel{(V5)}{=} \mu(S(v) + T(v)) \\ &= \mu(S+T)(v) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $S+T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$. The rest is homework. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let V, W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces and \mathcal{B} a basis of V . Then every map $T : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow W$ extends uniquely to a $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$. In particular, every $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ is uniquely determined by its behaviour on \mathcal{B} .*

Proof. Let $T : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow W$ be given and let $v \in V$. Then $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$, for $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}$ and $v_i \in \mathcal{B}$. Now set $T(v) := \lambda_1 T(v_1) + \dots + \lambda_n T(v_n)$. It is easy to show that $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$. Furthermore note that $T(v)$ is uniquely determined by $T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)$. \square

Example 3.5. (1) *If V or W are the zero vector space, then $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ only contains the zero map. In particular, $\dim(\text{Hom}(V, W)) = 0$.*

(2) *How many elements are there in $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_3)^3, (\mathbb{F}_3)^2)$? Note that $(\mathbb{F}_3)^3$ has the standard basis $\mathcal{B} = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$. Also, there are nine vectors in $(\mathbb{F}_3)^2$. Thus each e_i can be mapped on one of nine vectors, and so there are $9^3 = 729$ different ways to define a function T on \mathcal{B} . Each such T extends to a unique element in $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_3)^3, (\mathbb{F}_3)^2)$ and each element in $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_3)^3, (\mathbb{F}_3)^2)$ arises in such a way. Hence $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{F}_3)^3, (\mathbb{F}_3)^2)$ contains 729 linear maps.*

Lemma 3.6. *Let V, W be non-zero \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. Then*

$$\dim(\text{Hom}(V, W)) = \dim(V) \cdot \dim(W).$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{B}_V and \mathcal{B}_W be respective bases for V and W . For each pair $(v, w) \in \mathcal{B}_V \times \mathcal{B}_W$ we define, for all $s \in \mathcal{B}_V$,

$$E_{v,w}(s) := \begin{cases} w, & \text{if } s = v. \\ 0_W, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.4, each $E_{v,w}$ extends to a homomorphism $V \rightarrow W$, i.e.

$$E_{v,w} \left(\sum_{s \in \mathcal{B}_V} \lambda_s s \right) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{B}_V} \lambda_s E_{v,w}(s) = \lambda_v w.$$

Assume that $\mathcal{B} := \{E_{v,w} : (v,w) \in \mathcal{B}_V \times \mathcal{B}_W\}$ is linearly dependent. Then there is a finite subset J of $\mathcal{B}_V \times \mathcal{B}_W$ and non-zero $\lambda_{v,w} \in \mathbb{F}$, for $(v,w) \in J$ such that

$$0 = \sum_{(v,w) \in J} \lambda_{v,w} E_{v,w}.$$

Choose $s \in \mathcal{B}_V$ such that $(s, w') \in J$, for some $w' \in \mathcal{B}_W$. Then

$$0_W = \sum_{(v,w) \in J} \lambda_{v,w} E_{v,w}(s) = \sum_{w: (s,w) \in J} \lambda_{s,w} w,$$

and so all $\lambda_{u,w} = 0$, a contradiction. Hence \mathcal{B} is linearly independent in $\text{Hom}(V, W)$. Thus $\dim(\text{Hom}(V, W)) = \dim(V) \cdot \dim(W)$ follows, if either V or W are infinite-dimensional.

Henceforth let \mathcal{B}_V and \mathcal{B}_W be finite, and let $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$. Then for each $v \in \mathcal{B}_V$ there are $\lambda_w \in \mathbb{F}$, for all $w \in \mathcal{B}_W$ such that

$$T(v) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_W} \lambda_w w = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}_W} \lambda_w E_{v,w}(v).$$

Thus \mathcal{B} spans $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ and hence is a basis. Thus the result follows. \square

Definition 3.7. For \mathbb{F} -vector spaces V, W and $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$, we call

- (1) $\ker(T) := \{v \in V : T(v) = 0_W\}$ the **kernel** of T and
- (2) $\text{im}(T) := \{T(v) : v \in V\}$ the **image** of T .

Lemma 3.8. Let V, W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces and $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$. Then $\ker(T)$ is a subspace of V and $\text{im}(T)$ is a subspace of W .

Proof. Since $T(0_V) = 0_W$, we have $0_V \in \ker(T)$. Next let $u, v \in \ker(T)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then $T(u + v) = T(u) + T(v) = 0_W + 0_W = 0_W$ and $T(\lambda v) = \lambda T(v) = \lambda 0_W = 0_W$. Hence $u + v, \lambda v \in \ker(T)$, and so $\ker(T)$ is a subspace of V . The rest is homework. \square

Remark/Example 3.9. (1) Note that T is injective if and only if $\ker(T) = \{0_V\}$ and T is surjective if and only if $\text{im}(T) = W$.

(2) If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is the zero map, then $\ker(T) = V$ and $\text{im}(T) = \{0_W\}$. If $V \subseteq W$ and $I : V \rightarrow W : v \mapsto v$, then $\ker(I) = \{0_V\}$ and $\text{im}(I) = V$.

(3) Let $T : \mathbb{F}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[X] : f \mapsto f'$. If $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, then $\text{im}(T) = \mathbb{F}[X]$ and $\ker(T) = \{aX^0 : a \in \mathbb{F}\}$.

(4) Let V be an \mathbb{F} -vector space with subspaces U and W such that $V = U \oplus W$. Then $T : V \rightarrow V : u + w \mapsto w$ is a linear map, with $\ker(T) = U$ and $\text{im}(T) = W$.

Definition 3.10. Let $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ for finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces V and W . We call

- (1) the dimension of $\ker(T)$, the **nullity** of T and write $\text{null}(T)$.
- (2) the dimension of $\text{im}(T)$, the **rank** of T and write $\text{rank}(T)$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ for finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces V and W . Then

$$\text{null}(T) + \text{rank}(T) = \dim(V).$$

Proof. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$ be a basis of $\ker(T)$ and extend it to a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V . Note that the result follows if we prove that $\mathcal{B} := \{T(v_{p+1}), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ is a basis of $\text{im}(T)$. For $w \in \text{im}(T)$ there is $v \in V$ such that $T(v) = w$ and there are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $v := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i$. Then

$$w = T(v) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i T(v_i) = \sum_{i=p+1}^n \lambda_i T(v_i).$$

Hence \mathcal{B} spans $\text{im}(T)$. If $w = 0_W$ in the above equation, then $v \in \ker(T)$ and so v is a linear combination of $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$. Hence $\lambda_i = 0$, for $i = p+1, \dots, n$. Thus \mathcal{B} is linearly independent. Overall \mathcal{B} is a basis of $\text{im}(T)$. \square

Example 3.12. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1+3i & -i \\ 1-3i & 2 & \frac{3-i}{5} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 3}(\mathbb{C})$ from Example 3.2.

What are $\ker(T_A)$ and $\text{im}(T_A)$? We study $Ax = v$, for $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ and $v = (a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2$

$$(A|v) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 5 & 1+3i & -i & a \\ 1-3i & 2 & \frac{3-i}{5} & b \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R2 - \left(\frac{1-3i}{5}\right)R1} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 5 & 1+3i & -i & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b - \left(\frac{1-3i}{5}\right)a \end{array} \right)$$

We have $\ker(T_A) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^3 : T_A(x) = 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^3 : Ax = 0\} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : 5x_1 + (1+3i)x_2 - ix_3 = 0\}$, which is a plane in \mathbb{C}^3 . Hence $\dim(\ker(T_A)) = 2$, i.e. $\text{null}(T_A) = 2$. Also note that $Ax = v$ has a solution if and only if $b - \left(\frac{1-3i}{5}\right)a = 0$. Hence $\text{im}(T_A) = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : b = \left(\frac{1-3i}{5}\right)a\} = \mathbb{C}(1, \frac{1-3i}{5}) = \mathbb{C}(5, 1-3i)$, which is a line in \mathbb{C}^2 . Hence $\dim(\text{im}(T_A)) = 1$, i.e. $\text{rank}(T_A) = 1$.

Lemma 3.13. Let V, W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces, $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ and S a subset of V . Set $T(S) := \{T(s) : s \in S\}$. Then

- (1) If T is injective and S is linearly independent in V , then $T(S)$ is linearly independent in W .
- (2) If T is surjective and S spans V , then $T(S)$ spans W .

Proof. (1) For $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$ and $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$ let $\lambda_1 T(s_1) + \dots + \lambda_n T(s_n) = 0$. Then $T(\lambda_1 s_1 + \dots + \lambda_n s_n) = 0$, as T is linear. Then $\lambda_1 s_1 + \dots + \lambda_n s_n = 0$, as T is injective. Then $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$, as S is linearly independent. Overall $T(S)$ is linearly independent.

(2) Let $w \in W$. As T is surjective, there is $v \in V$ such that $T(v) = w$. Since S spans V , we have $v = \lambda_1 s_1 + \dots + \lambda_n s_n$, for some $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$ and some $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$. Now $w = \lambda_1 T(s_1) + \dots + \lambda_n T(s_n) \in \text{span}(T(S))$. In particular, $T(S)$ spans W . \square

Remark 3.14. Let V, W, U be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. For $T \in \mathcal{F}(V, W)$ and $S \in \mathcal{F}(W, U)$ we have the composition $TS : V \rightarrow U : v \mapsto T(S(v))$. If S and T are homomorphism, then so is TS . Furthermore composition satisfies

- (i) $(RS)T = R(ST)$
- (ii) $R(S + T) = RS + RT$ and $(R + S)T = RT + ST$
- (iii) $R(\lambda S) = (\lambda R)S = \lambda(RS)$

where R, T, S are functions between \mathbb{F} -vector spaces, such that the compositions make sense.

We call a function $T \in \mathcal{F}(V, W)$ **invertible** if there is some $S \in \mathcal{F}(W, V)$ such that $ST = I_V$ and $TS = I_W$, where I_V and I_W are the identity maps on V and W , respectively. In this case, S is unique, we denote it by T^{-1} and call it the **inverse** of T . Otherwise $T \in \mathcal{F}(V, W)$ is called **non-invertible**. It is well-known that T is invertible if and only if T is both injective and surjective.

Lemma 3.15. Let V, W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. If $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ is invertible, then $T^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(W, V)$.

Proof. Let $w, u \in W$. Then

$$w + u = T(T^{-1}(w)) + T(T^{-1}(u)) = T(T^{-1}(w) + T^{-1}(u)).$$

Taking T^{-1} of both sides, gives $T^{-1}(w + u) = T^{-1}(w) + T^{-1}(u)$. It remains to show that $T^{-1}(\lambda w) = \lambda T^{-1}(w)$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. \square

Theorem 3.16. Let V, W be \mathbb{F} -vector space with respective dimensions n and m and let $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) T is bijective, i.e. invertible
- (2) $n = m$ and T is injective
- (3) $n = m$ and T is surjective

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V . Note that (1) implies (2) and (3), where $n = m$ follows from Theorem 3.11. Next let (2) be true. Then $\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ is linearly independent, by Lemma 3.13, and hence a basis of W , by Lemma 2.31. Thus for every $w \in W$ there are $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbb{F}$ so that

$$w = \mu_1 T(v_1) + \dots + \mu_n T(v_n) = T(\mu_1 v_1 + \dots + \mu_n v_n).$$

Hence T is also surjective. In particular, (2) implies (1).

Now let (3) be true and assume that $v \in \ker(T)$. If $v \neq 0_V$, then by Steinitz, $\{v, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ spans V . As T is surjective, $\{T(v), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ spans W ,

by Lemma 3.13, and thus is a basis of W , by Lemma 2.31. But $T(v) = 0_W$ cannot be part of a basis. Hence $v = 0_V$ and $\ker T = \{0_V\}$, i.e. T is also injective. Therefore (3) implies (1). \square

Definition 3.17. Let V, W be \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. A bijective (i.e. invertible) linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ is called an **isomorphism** between V and W . In this case we say that V and W are **isomorphic** (as \mathbb{F} -vector spaces) and write $V \cong W$ or $V \cong_{\mathbb{F}} W$.

The homomorphisms in $\mathcal{F}(V)$ are called **endomorphisms** and we write $\text{End}(V) := \text{Hom}(V, V)$. Invertible endomorphisms are called **automorphisms** and we write $\text{Aut}(V)$.

Remark/Example 3.18. (1) Note that $\dim(\text{End}(V)) = \dim(V)^2$. Also there are three operations on $\text{End}(V)$: (i) vector addition $S + T$, (ii) scalar multiplication λT and (iii) composition TS . One calls such an object an \mathbb{F} -algebra.

(2) Let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}(S, \mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}^n$, via $T(f) = (f(s_1), \dots, f(s_n))$. Check that $T \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(S, \mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F}^n)$ and T is injective and surjective.

(3) $\mathbb{F}^\infty \cong \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}^*, \mathbb{F})$ via the map $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \mapsto (f : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F} : i \mapsto x_i)$.

(4) $\mathbb{F}[X]$ is isomorphic to the subspace in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{F})$ of those functions $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $f(n) = 0$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$, via $f \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f(i)X^i$.

Definition 3.19. Let V be an \mathbb{F} -vector space with basis $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. For every $v \in V$ there are unique $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$. We define

$$v_{\mathcal{B}} := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^n,$$

called **column vector** of v with respect to \mathcal{B} .

Lemma 3.20. Let V be an n -dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space with basis \mathcal{B} . Then $T : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n : v \mapsto v_{\mathcal{B}}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Homework! \square

Theorem 3.21. Let V, W be finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces. Then $V \cong W$ if and only if $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$.

Proof. " \Rightarrow ": Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V . If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is bijective, then $\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ is a basis of W , by Lemma 3.13, and thus $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$.

" \Leftarrow ": If $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$, then there are isomorphisms $T : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ and $S : W \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$, by Lemma 3.20, and so $S^{-1}T : V \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 3.22. *Let V be an n -dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space with basis \mathcal{B} . Furthermore let u_1, \dots, u_n be vectors in V . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is a basis of V
- (2) the matrix $A := ((u_1)_{\mathcal{B}} \dots (u_n)_{\mathcal{B}}) \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ is invertible
- (3) $\det(A) \neq 0$

Proof. "(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)": One checks that u_1, \dots, u_n are linearly independent in V if and only if $(u_1)_{\mathcal{B}} \dots (u_n)_{\mathcal{B}}$ are linearly independent in \mathbb{F}^n . The latter holds if and only if the REF of A is the identity matrix, i.e. A is invertible.

"(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)": standard fact about matrices. \square

Example 3.23. *Let $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{F}_{p^n}, p \neq 2\}$. Then the subspace $P_2 = \{a + bX + cX^2 : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}\}$ of $\mathbb{F}[X]$ has the basis $\{1, X, X^2\}$. For the set $\{u_1 = 1 + X, u_2 = 1 + X^2, u_3 = X + X^2\}$ we have*

$$A = ((u_1)_{\mathcal{B}} \ (u_2)_{\mathcal{B}} \ (u_3)_{\mathcal{B}}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have $\det(A) = -2 \neq 0$. So A is invertible and $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ is a basis of P_2 .

4. LINEAR MAPS AND MATRICES

Definition 4.1. *Let V, W be finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces with respective bases $\mathcal{B} := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $\mathcal{C} := \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$. Also let $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$. Then, for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, there are unique $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$ such that*

$$T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} w_i.$$

Then $M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T) := (a_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ is called the matrix of T with respect to the bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} .

Remark 4.2. *Note that*

$$T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j v_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j T(v_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} w_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j a_{ij} \right) w_i.$$

Hence

$$T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j v_j \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i w_i \Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j a_{ij} = \mu_i, \forall i \Leftrightarrow M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\ker(T) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j v_j : M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = 0 \right\}$$

$$\operatorname{im}(T) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i w_i : M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T) \cdot x = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_m \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{F}^n \right\}$$

Example 4.3. (1) Let $V = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x + y + z = 0\}$ with basis $\mathcal{B} = \{(1, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1)\}$ and $W = \{(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x + y + z + w = 0\}$ with basis $\mathcal{C} = \{w_1 := (1, 0, 0, -1), w_2 := (0, 1, 0, -1), w_3 := (0, 0, 1, -1)\}$. Next let

$$T(x, y, z) = (x - 2y - z, 2x - y - z, -x - y, -6x - 2z),$$

and check that $T \in \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$. Now

$$T(1, 0, -1) = (2, 3, -1, -4) = 2w_1 + 3w_2 - w_3$$

$$T(0, 1, -1) = (-1, 0, -1, 2) = -w_1 - w_3.$$

Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T) & \mid & \begin{matrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \end{matrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & \mid & \mu_1 \\ 3 & 0 & \mid & \mu_2 \\ -1 & -1 & \mid & \mu_3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -3 & \mid & \mu_1 + 2\mu_3 \\ 0 & -3 & \mid & \mu_2 + 3\mu_3 \\ -1 & -1 & \mid & \mu_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mid & \mu_1 - \mu_2 - \mu_3 \\ 0 & -3 & \mid & \mu_2 + 3\mu_3 \\ -1 & -1 & \mid & \mu_3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \mid & -\mu_3 \\ 0 & 1 & \mid & -\frac{\mu_2}{3} - \mu_3 \\ 0 & 0 & \mid & \mu_1 - \mu_2 - \mu_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $\ker(T) = \{0_V\}$. Also $\mu_1 w_1 + \mu_2 w_2 + \mu_3 w_3 \in \operatorname{im}(T)$ if and only if $\mu_1 = \mu_2 + \mu_3$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{im}(T) &= \{(\mu_2 + \mu_3)w_1 + \mu_2 w_2 + \mu_3 w_3 : \mu_2, \mu_3 \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \{\mu_2(w_1 + w_2) + \mu_3(w_1 + w_3) : \mu_2, \mu_3 \in \mathbb{R}\} \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $T_A : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m : v \mapsto Av$ is linear, by Example 3.2(4). Now

$$T_A(e_j) = Ae_j = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} e_i,$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. Thus $M_{\mathcal{SB}_n, \mathcal{SB}_m}(T_A) = A$, where \mathcal{SB}_k denotes the standard bases in \mathbb{F}^k .

Theorem 4.4. *Let V, W be finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces with bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} and dimensions n and m , respectively. Then*

$$M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}} : \text{Hom}(V, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) : T \mapsto M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T),$$

is an isomorphism of \mathbb{F} -vector spaces.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $\mathcal{C} := \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ and set $\Delta := M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}$. For $T, S \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ we have

$$T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} w_i, \quad \text{and} \quad S(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ij} w_i,$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. So $\Delta(T) = (a_{ij})$ and $\Delta(S) = (b_{ij})$. Next, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$(T + S)(v_j) = T(v_j) + S(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) w_i, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(\lambda T)(v_j) = \lambda T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\lambda a_{ij}) w_i,$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. Hence $\Delta(T + S) = \Delta(T) + \Delta(S)$ and $\Delta(\lambda T) = \lambda \Delta(T)$, i.e. Δ is a homomorphism.

Next observe that if $\Delta(T) = \Delta(S)$, then T and S are identical on \mathcal{B} , and so by Lemma 3.4, $T = S$. Hence Δ is injective. As $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ have both dimension mn , it follows with Theorem 3.16 that Δ is bijective. \square

Remark 4.5. *Let V, W, U be finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces with respective bases $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$. Also let $n = \dim(V)$.*

(1) *Let $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ and $S \in \text{Hom}(W, U)$. Then a careful calculation shows that*

$$M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}}(ST) = M_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}}(S) \cdot M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T).$$

(2) *In the case $V = W$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$, we write $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ for the matrix of $T \in \text{End}(V)$ with respect to \mathcal{B} . In particular, $\text{End}(V) \cong \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$.*

(3) *Let $T \in \text{End}(V)$. Then $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ is the identity matrix I_n , i.e. the $n \times n$ -matrix with ones on the main diagonal and zeros elsewhere, if and only if T is the identity map id_V on V .*

Theorem 4.6. *(Change of Bases) Let V, W be finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces, such that \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' are bases of V and \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' are bases of W . Also let $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$. Then there matrices $X \in \mathcal{M}_{\dim(W)}(\mathbb{F})$ and $Y \in \mathcal{M}_{\dim(V)}(\mathbb{F})$ such that*

$$M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T) = X \cdot M_{\mathcal{B}', \mathcal{C}'}(T) \cdot Y.$$

In fact, $X = M_{\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}}(\text{id}_W)$ and $Y = M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'}(\text{id}_V)$, which are thus invertible.

Proof. This follows from Remark 4.5 and the fact that $T = \text{id}_W \circ T \circ \text{id}_V$. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let V, W be n -dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces with respective bases \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} and let $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$. Then T is invertible if and only if $M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T)$ is invertible. In this case $M_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}}(T^{-1}) = M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1}$.*

Proof. " \Rightarrow ": If T is invertible, then $T^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(W, V)$. Then $I_n = M_{\mathcal{B}}(T^{-1}T) = M_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}}(T^{-1}) \cdot M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T)$ and $I_n = M_{\mathcal{C}}(TT^{-1}) = M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T) \cdot M_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}}(T^{-1})$.

" \Leftarrow ": Let $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ be the inverse of $M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T)$. By Theorem 4.4 there is some $S \in \text{Hom}(W, V)$ such that $M_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}}(S) = X$. Now

$$M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}}(ST) = M_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}}(S) \cdot M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T) = X \cdot M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T) = I.$$

Thus ST is the identity map on V . Analogous, TS is the identity map on W . \square

Example 4.8. (1) *The standard basis $\mathcal{SB} := \{e_1, e_2\}$ and $\mathcal{B} := \{(1, 1), (1, 2)\}$ are two bases of \mathbb{R}^2 . Next let $T(x, y) = (y, x)$, for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The $T \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$. We have*

$$M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{SB}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{SB}}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^2}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \\ M_{\mathcal{SB}, \mathcal{B}}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^2}) = M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{SB}}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^2})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$M_{\mathcal{SB}, \mathcal{B}}(T) = M_{\mathcal{SB}, \mathcal{B}}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^2}) \cdot M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{SB}}(T) \cdot M_{\mathcal{SB}, \mathcal{B}}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^2}) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Indeed, $T(e_1) = e_2 = -(1, 1) + (1, 2)$ and $T(e_2) = e_1 = 2(1, 1) - (1, 2)$.

(2) *Note the subspace $P_2 = \{a + bX + cX^2 : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of $\mathbb{R}[X]$, with basis $\mathcal{B} := \{1, X, X^2\}$ and the subspace $\text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{R}) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ of $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$, with basis $\mathcal{C} := \left\{ E_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. Next let $T \in \text{Hom}(P_2, \text{Sym}_2(\mathbb{R}))$ be the linear map uniquely defined by*

$$T(1) := E_1, \quad T(X) := 2E_1 + E_2, \quad T(X^2) := 3E_1 + 2E_2 + E_3,$$

that is, $T(a + bX + cX^2) = \begin{pmatrix} a + 2b + 3c & b + 2c \\ b + 2c & c \end{pmatrix}$. Hence

$$M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with inverse} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So T is invertible and

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} &= a \cdot T^{-1}(E_1) + b \cdot T^{-1}(E_2) + c \cdot T^{-1}(E_3) \\ &= a \cdot 1 + b \cdot (-2 + X) + c \cdot (1 - 2X + X^2) \\ &= (a - 2b + c) + (b - 2c)X + cX^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Check that indeed, } T^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a + 2b + 3c & b + 2c \\ b + 2c & c \end{pmatrix} = a + bX + cX^2.$$

Remark 4.9. Let V be an n -dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space and $f \in \text{End}(V)$.

(1) If $X = M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'}(\text{id}_V)$, for bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' of V , then by Theorems 4.6 and 4.7,

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = X^{-1} \cdot M_{\mathcal{B}'}(T) \cdot X.$$

(2) Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$. We say A is a **diagonal** matrix if all non-zero entries of A lie on the main diagonal. We call A and B **similar** if there is some invertible $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $A = X^{-1} \cdot B \cdot X$. We call A **diagonalisable** if A is similar to a diagonal matrix.

(3) We call T **diagonalisable**, if there is a basis \mathcal{B} such that $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ is a diagonal matrix. In general this is not possible. Take for instance the linear map $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 : (x, y) \mapsto (0, x)$. Note that $T \circ T = 0$. Now assume that $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$, for some basis \mathcal{B} , where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$. Then $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T \circ T)$ equals both the zero matrix and $(M_{\mathcal{B}}(T))^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^2 \end{pmatrix}$. As this forces $\alpha = \beta = 0$, we get $T = 0$, which is false.

(4) Note that T is diagonalisable if and only if $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ is diagonalisable for any basis \mathcal{B} . In this case there is an invertible $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and a diagonal matrix $D \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = X^{-1}DX = X^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot X.$$

Then for all integers $k \geq 1$,

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}(T^k) = (X^{-1}DX)^k = X^{-1}D^kX = X^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^k & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \alpha_n^k \end{pmatrix} \cdot X.$$

This allows for a quick way to determine powers of T .

Definition 4.10. Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space and $T \in \text{End}(V)$. We call $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ an **eigenvalue** of T if there exists a non-zero $v \in V$ such that $T(v) = \lambda v$. In this case v is called **eigenvector** of T with respect to λ . Finally

$$E(T, \lambda) := \{v \in V : T(v) = \lambda v\},$$

denotes the **eigenspace** of T with respect to λ .

Lemma 4.11. Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space, $T \in \text{End}(V)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ an eigenvalue of T . Then $E(T, \lambda)$ is a subspace of V .

Proof. Homework! □

Theorem 4.12. Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space, $T \in \text{End}(V)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) λ is an eigenvalue of T
- (2) $T(v) = \lambda v$, for some non-zero $v \in V$
- (3) $(T - \lambda \text{id}_V)(v) = 0_V$, for some non-zero $v \in V$
- (4) $\ker(T - \lambda \text{id}_V)$ is non-trivial
- (5) $T - \lambda \text{id}_V$ is not injective
- (6) $T - \lambda \text{id}_V$ is not bijective
- (7) $X := M_{\mathcal{B}}(T - \lambda \text{id}_V)$ is not invertible, for any basis \mathcal{B} of V
- (8) $\det(X) = 0$
- (9) λ is an eigenvalue of $S^{-1}TS$, for all $S \in \text{Aut}(V)$.

Proof. We have (6) \Rightarrow (5) by Theorem 3.16 and (6) \Leftrightarrow (7) by Theorem 4.7. Next we prove (1) \Rightarrow (9). If (1), then $T(v) = \lambda v$, for a non-zero $v \in V$. Next let $S \in \text{Aut}(V)$. Then $S^{-1}(v) \neq 0_V$ and $(S^{-1}TS)(S^{-1}(v)) = S^{-1}(T(v)) = S^{-1}(\lambda v) = \lambda(S^{-1}(v))$, i.e. $S^{-1}(v)$ is an eigenvector w.r.t. the eigenvalue λ of $S^{-1}TS$. Thus (9) holds. Finally (9) \Rightarrow (1) follows with $S = \text{id}_V$. □

Example 4.13. (1) Let $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ and $T(x, y) = (-y, x + y)$, i.e. $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^2)$. We work w.r.t the standard basis \mathcal{SB} . Then $T(1, 0) = (0, 1)$ and $T(0, 1) = (-1, 1)$. Now

$$X := M_{\mathcal{SB}}(T - \lambda \text{id}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

and so $\det(X) = (-\lambda)(1 - \lambda) - ((-1) \cdot 1) = \lambda^2 - \lambda + 1$. Hence $\det(X) = 0$ if and only if $\lambda = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}i}{2}$. Thus if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, then $\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 = 0$ has no real solutions and so T has no eigenvalues. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then $\lambda_{1|2} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}i}{2}$ are the eigenvalues of T . Next we calculate $E(T, \lambda) = \ker(T - \lambda \text{id})$, for $\lambda := \lambda_i$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R1 \leftrightarrow R2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 - \lambda \\ -\lambda & -1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R2 + \lambda R1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 - \lambda \\ 0 & -1 + \lambda - \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 - \lambda \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $E(T, \lambda) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : x = (\lambda - 1)y\} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \lambda x = y\} = \mathbb{C}(\lambda, 1)$.

(2) For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ find all eigenvalues of $T : P_3 \rightarrow P_3$, where $T(a+bX+cX^2+dX^3) = (a+13d) + (-25a+7b+11c-6d)X + (18a+c+5d)X^2 + (-2d)X^3$. W.r.t the standard basis $\mathcal{B} = \{1, X, X^2, X^3\}$ we have

$$A := M_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 13 \\ -25 & 7 & 11 & -6 \\ 18 & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I_4) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 & 0 & 13 \\ -25 & 7-\lambda & 11 & -6 \\ 18 & 0 & 1-\lambda & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2-\lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-2-\lambda) \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ -25 & 7-\lambda & 11 \\ 18 & 0 & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-2-\lambda) \cdot (7-\lambda) \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 \\ 18 & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-2-\lambda) \cdot (7-\lambda) \cdot (1-\lambda)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence T has three eigenvalues $-2, 7$ and 1 . For $\lambda = 1$, we have

$$A - \lambda I_4 = A - I_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 13 \\ -25 & 6 & 11 & -6 \\ 18 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 6 & 11 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Its nullspace is $\mathbb{R}(0, 11, -6, 0)$, i.e. $E(T, 1) = \mathbb{R}(11X - 6X^2)$. The nullspaces of $A + 2I_4$ and $A - 7I_4$ are $\mathbb{R}(39, 370, -219, -9)$ and $\mathbb{R}(0, 1, 0, 0)$, respectively. So $E(T, -2) = \mathbb{R}(39 + 370X - 219X^2 - 9X^3)$ and $E(T, 7) = \mathbb{R}(X)$.

Theorem 4.14. Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space, $T \in \text{End}(V)$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ distinct eigenvalues of T . Then

$$E(T, \lambda_1) + \dots + E(T, \lambda_k) = E(T, \lambda_1) \oplus \dots \oplus E(T, \lambda_k)$$

Proof. The statement holds if $k = 1$. Next let $k > 1$ and assume the statement holds for $k - 1$. Let $v \in X := E(T, \lambda_k) \cap (E(T, \lambda_1) + \dots + E(T, \lambda_{k-1}))$, i.e. $v = v_1 + \dots + v_{k-1}$, for $v_i \in E(T, \lambda_i)$. Then

$$\lambda_k v_1 + \dots + \lambda_k v_{k-1} = \lambda_k v = T(v) = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} v_{k-1}.$$

Then $\lambda_k v_i = \lambda_i v_i$, for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and since $\lambda_k \neq \lambda_i$, we get $v_i = 0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Hence $X = \{0_V\}$ and so the statement holds for k . \square

Corollary 4.15. *Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space, $T \in \text{End}(V)$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ distinct eigenvalues of T and v_1, \dots, v_k corresponding eigenvectors. Then $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ are linearly independent. In particular, T has at most $\dim(V)$ distinct eigenvalues.*

Theorem 4.16. *Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space and $T \in \text{End}(V)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) T is diagonalisable.
- (2) V has a basis of eigenvectors of T .
- (3) V is the direct sum of all eigenspaces of T .
- (4) $\dim(V)$ is the sum of the dimensions of all eigenspaces of T .

Proof. "(1) \Rightarrow (2)": Note that $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$, for some basis

$\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Then $T(v_i) = \lambda_i v_i$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, i.e. v_i is an eigenvector of T for the eigenvalue λ_i .

"(2) \Rightarrow (3)": Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the distinct eigenvalues of T . Then by assumption $V = E(T, \lambda_1) + \dots + E(T, \lambda_k)$. Now (3) follows from Theorem 4.14.

"(3) \Rightarrow (4)": trivial

"(4) \Rightarrow (1)": Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the distinct eigenvalues of T and let

$$\dim(V) = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim(E(T, \lambda_i)) = \dim[E(T, \lambda_1) \oplus \dots \oplus E(T, \lambda_k)]$$

Hence $V = E(T, \lambda_1) \oplus \dots \oplus E(T, \lambda_k)$. Now let v_1, \dots, v_{s_1} be a basis of $E(T, \lambda_1)$, $v_{s_1+1}, \dots, v_{s_2}$ be a basis of $E(T, \lambda_2)$ and so on, then $\mathcal{B} := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis of V . Then

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{s_1} & & & 0 \\ & \lambda_2 I_{s_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \lambda_k I_{s_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

\square

Corollary 4.17. *Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space, $T \in \text{End}(V)$ diagonalisable and \mathcal{B} a basis of V of eigenvectors. Then $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ is a diagonal matrix.*

Corollary 4.18. Let V be an n -dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space and let $T \in \text{End}(V)$ have n distinct eigenvalues. Then T is diagonalisable.

Example 4.19. (1) Recall $T \in \text{End}(P_3)$ from Example 4.13(2). We found that T has three eigenvalues with one-dimensional eigenspaces each. As $\dim(P_3) = 4$, it follows that T is not diagonalisable.

(2) Let $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 : (x, y) \mapsto (y, x + y)$ and show that it has eigenvalues $\lambda_{1|2} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$ and eigenspaces $E(T, \lambda_i) = \mathbb{C}(1, \lambda_i)$. Note that $\mathcal{B} := \{(1, \lambda_1), (1, \lambda_2)\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{R}^2 of eigenvectors of T . Furthermore $T(1, \lambda_i) = (\lambda_i, 1 + \lambda_i) = \lambda_i(1, \lambda_i)$, as $\lambda_i^2 = 1 + \lambda_i$. Also let $\mathcal{SB} = \{e_1, e_2\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^2 . Then

$$D := M_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X := M_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{SB}}(\text{id}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X^{-1} = M_{\mathcal{SB}, \mathcal{B}}(\text{id}) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2 & -1 \\ -\lambda_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\mathcal{SB}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and so $X^{-1} \cdot M_{\mathcal{SB}}(T) \cdot X = M_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ or $M_{\mathcal{SB}}(T) = X \cdot M_{\mathcal{B}}(T) \cdot X^{-1}$.

(3) The **Fibonacci-numbers** are defined by

$$F_0 := 0, \quad F_1 := 1, \quad F_{n+1} := F_n + F_{n-1},$$

for all integers $n \geq 1$. Hence $(F_n)_{n \geq 0} = (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, \dots)$. We seek an explicit formula for F_n . We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{=: A} \begin{pmatrix} F_{n-1} \\ F_n \end{pmatrix} = \dots = A^n \begin{pmatrix} F_0 \\ F_1 \end{pmatrix} = A^n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that by (2) we have $A^n = (XDX^{-1})^n = XD^nX^{-1}$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} &= A^n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = XD^n \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2 & -1 \\ -\lambda_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^n & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{-1}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_1^n \\ \lambda_2^n \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n \\ \lambda_1^{n+1} - \lambda_2^{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Overall,

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n.$$

Remark 4.20. Let V be a \mathbb{C} -vector space and $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$. For any basis $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ of V the equation $\det(M_{\mathcal{B}}(T - \lambda \text{id})) = 0$ has at least one

solution $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence T has at least one eigenvalue λ_1 with eigenvector v_1 . By Steinitz we have that $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{v_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ is a basis of V . Note that

$$M_{\mathcal{B}_1}(T) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda_1 & \star \\ \hline 0_{n-1 \times 1} & \star \end{array} \right)$$

Next set $W := \text{span}(b_2, \dots, b_n)$. Then $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}v_1 \oplus W$. Furthermore the map

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \hat{T} : & W & \rightarrow & \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}v_1 \oplus W & \rightarrow & W \\ & w & \mapsto & T(w) = \alpha v_1 + w' & \mapsto & w' \end{array}$$

is an endomorphism on W . As before \hat{T} has at least one eigenvalue λ_2 with eigenvector v_2 . Note that λ_2 may or may not equal λ_1 , but $\{v_1, v_2\}$ are linearly independent. Now by Steinitz $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{v_1, v_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$ is a basis of V . Next note that there are $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$T(v_2) = \alpha_1 v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i b_i = \alpha_1 v_1 + \hat{T}(v_2) = \alpha_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2.$$

Hence

$$M_{\mathcal{B}_2}(T) = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} \lambda_1 & \star & \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \star \\ \hline 0_{n-2 \times 2} & & \star \end{array} \right)$$

Now set $W := \text{span}(b_3, \dots, b_n)$ and repeat. In this way we construct a basis \mathcal{B} of V such that $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ is an upper-triangular matrix, i.e. a matrix with only zero entries below the main diagonal. The elements on the main diagonal are the eigenvalues of T with possible repetition. In particular, $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ is invertible if and only if zero is not an eigenvalue of T .

5. INNER PRODUCT SPACES

Throughout this section let $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. Recall **complex conjugation** $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : z = a + bi \mapsto \bar{z} := a - bi$, which is a **field automorphism** of \mathbb{C} with fixed field \mathbb{R} . This means it is a bijection on \mathbb{C} , and $\overline{z + w} = \bar{z} + \bar{w}$ and $\overline{zw} = \bar{z}\bar{w}$, for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\bar{z} = z$ if and only if $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Also recall that the modulus $|z|$ of z is defined by $|z|^2 = z\bar{z}$.

Definition 5.1. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} . An **inner product** on V is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that

- (i) $\langle v, v \rangle$ is a non-negative real number, for all $v \in V$, and $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$ if and only if $v = 0$, (i.e. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is positive definite)
- (ii) $\langle au + bv, w \rangle = a\langle u, w \rangle + b\langle v, w \rangle$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ and $u, v, w \in V$, (i.e. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is linear in the first variable)
- (iii) $\langle v, w \rangle = \overline{\langle w, v \rangle}$ for all $v, w \in V$. (i.e. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is conjugate symmetric)

The pair $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called an **inner product space**. Furthermore we call $u, v \in V$ **orthogonal** if $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$.

Remark/Example 5.2. (1) For the remainder let V denote an inner product space $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

(2) $\langle u, av + bw \rangle = \bar{a}\langle u, v \rangle + \bar{b}\langle u, w \rangle$, i.e. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is conjugate linear in the second variable

(3) $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\langle v, u \rangle = 0$

(4) $\langle 0_V, v \rangle = \langle v, 0_V \rangle = 0$.

(5) The **standard inner product** on \mathbb{F}^n is the **dot-product**

$$\langle (x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \rangle = x_1 \bar{y}_1 + \dots + x_n \bar{y}_n.$$

Note that any two distinct elements e_i and e_j of the standard basis of \mathbb{F}^n are orthogonal. Also for instance $(2, 1)$ and $(-1, 2)$ are orthogonal in \mathbb{R}^2 .

(6) For real numbers $a < b$, let $V = \mathcal{C}[a, b]$ be the \mathbb{R} -vector space of real valued continuous functions on the interval $[a, b]$. Then $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx$ is an inner product on V . For instance $f(x) = x$ and $g(x) = 1$ are orthogonal in $\mathcal{C}[-1, 1]$, but not in $\mathcal{C}[0, 1]$.

(7) Let $V = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$, for some integer $n \geq 1$. The **trace** $\text{tr}(X)$ of $X \in V$ is the sum of the elements on the main diagonal of X . Then $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(A\bar{B}^t)$, for $A, B \in V$, is an inner product on V , where B^t denotes the transpose of B and \bar{B} is the matrix obtained by conjugating the entries in B , e.g

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ i & 3-i \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \text{tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 0 & 3+i \end{pmatrix} \right) = \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = 4$$

Lemma 5.3. Let $u, v \in V$, with $v \neq 0_V$. Then there are unique $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and $w \in V$ so that $u = \lambda v + w$ and $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. Here $\lambda = \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$ and $w = u - \lambda v$.

Proof. First let $u = \lambda v + w$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and $w \in V$, where $\langle w, v \rangle = 0$. Then

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \lambda \langle v, v \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle = \lambda \langle v, v \rangle.$$

Hence $\lambda = \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$. This gives uniqueness of λ and w . Conversely, with this value of λ , and $w := u - \lambda v$, we have $\langle w, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle - \lambda \langle v, v \rangle = 0$. \square

Definition 5.4. The function $\|v\| := \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}$, for $v \in V$, is called the **norm** associated with $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

Remark 5.5. (1) One may think of $\|v\|$ as the length of the vector v . Take for instance the \mathbb{R} -vector space $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ with the standard inner product and let $v = (x, y, z) \in V$. Then $\|v\| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle} = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$.

(2) $\|\lambda v\| = |\lambda| \cdot \|v\|$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and $v \in V$.

(3) Let $u, v \in V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|u + v\|^2 &= \langle u + v, u + v \rangle = \langle u, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle \\ &= \|u\|^2 + \langle u, v \rangle + \overline{\langle u, v \rangle} + \|v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle u, v \rangle) + \|v\|^2\end{aligned}$$

Note that if u and v are orthogonal, then $\|u + v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2$, i.e we have Pythagoras' Theorem.

Theorem 5.6. Let $u, v \in V$. Then

(1) (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality)

$$|\langle u, v \rangle| \leq \|u\| \cdot \|v\|,$$

with equality if and only if one of u, v is a scalar multiple of the other.

(2) (Triangle Inequality)

$$\|u + v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|,$$

with equality if and only if one of u, v is a non-negative real multiple of the other.

(3) (Parallelogram Equality)

$$\|u + v\|^2 + \|u - v\|^2 = 2(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2)$$

Proof. (1) Trivial, if $v = 0_V$. Hence assume $v \neq 0_V$. Then $u = \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle} \cdot v + w$, where $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$, by Lemma 5.3. Then by Pythagoras' Theorem,

$$\|u\|^2 = \frac{\langle u, v \rangle^2}{\|v\|^4} \cdot \|v\|^2 + \|w\|^2 \geq \frac{\langle u, v \rangle^2}{\|v\|^2}.$$

Hence the inequality follows and equality holds if and only if $w = 0$, i.e u is a scalar multiple of v .

(2) Note that $\operatorname{Re}(\langle u, v \rangle) \leq |\langle u, v \rangle| \leq \|u\| \cdot \|v\|$, with equality if and only if one of u, v is a non-negative real multiple of the other. The statement follows as

$$\begin{aligned}\|u + v\|^2 &= \|u\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle u, v \rangle) + \|v\|^2 \leq \|u\|^2 + 2\|u\| \cdot \|v\| + \|v\|^2 \\ &= (\|u\| + \|v\|)^2.\end{aligned}$$

(3) Exercise. □

Definition 5.7. A tuple (v_1, \dots, v_k) be non-zero vectors in V is called

(1) **orthogonal** if $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$, for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ with $j \neq i$

(2) **orthonormal** if $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$, for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

Lemma 5.8. *Every orthogonal tuple of vectors in V is linearly independent. In particular, every orthonormal tuple of vectors in V is linearly independent.*

Proof. Let (v_1, \dots, v_k) be an orthogonal tuple of vectors in V . Also let $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_k v_k = 0_V$. Then for all $j = 1, \dots, k$,

$$0 = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i v_i, v_j \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \lambda_j \|v_j\|^2$$

As $v_j \neq 0_V$, we have $\lambda_j = 0$. Hence the claim follows. \square

Definition 5.9. *A basis of V of orthogonal / orthonormal vectors is called orthogonal / orthonormal basis.*

Example 5.10. (1) *Every orthonormal basis is an orthogonal basis.*

(2) *Consider \mathbb{F}^n equipped with the standard inner product. Then (e_1, \dots, e_n) is an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{F}^n .*

(3) *Consider $(V = \mathcal{C}[0, 1], \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, where $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x) dx$, for $f, g \in V$. Then $(1, 2x - 1, x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6})$ is an orthogonal tuple.*

(4) *Consider $(V = \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{F}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, where $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(A\overline{B}^t)$, for $A, B \in V$. The standard basis $(E_{1,1}, E_{1,2}, E_{2,1}, E_{2,2})$ is an orthonormal basis, while $(I_2, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$ is an orthogonal basis of V .*

Theorem 5.11. (Gram-Schmidt process) *If (v_1, \dots, v_k) is a linearly independent tuple of vectors in V , then there is an orthonormal tuple (u_1, \dots, u_k) in V such that for all $i = 1, \dots, k$,*

$$\text{sp}(v_1, \dots, v_i) = \text{sp}(u_1, \dots, u_i).$$

Proof. If $k = 1$ we set $u_1 = \frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|}$. Check that $\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle = 1$ and $\text{sp}(u_1) = \text{sp}(v_1)$. Next let $k > 1$ and assume the statement holds for $k - 1$. Set

$$\hat{u}_k = v_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \langle v_k, u_j \rangle u_j.$$

Note that $\hat{u}_k \neq 0$, as otherwise $v_k \in \text{sp}(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}) = \text{sp}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$. Then set $u_k = \frac{\hat{u}_k}{\|\hat{u}_k\|}$. Check that (u_1, \dots, u_k) is an orthonormal tuple and $\text{sp}(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \text{sp}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$. \square

Corollary 5.12. *Every inner-product space has an orthonormal basis.*

Proof. Let (v_1, \dots, v_n) be any basis of V . Applying Gram-Schmidt produces an orthonormal tuple (u_1, \dots, u_n) in V , which is linearly independent and thus a basis of V . \square

Example 5.13. In \mathbb{R}^4 , equipped with the standard inner product, consider the vectors $v_1 = (4, 2, -2, -1)$, $v_2 = (2, 2, -4, -5)$ and $v_3 = (0, 8, -2, 5)$ and set $V = \text{span}(v_1, v_2, v_3)$. We have $\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle = 16 + 4 + 4 + 1 = 25$ and so $\|v_1\| = \sqrt{25} = 5$. Set $u_1 = \frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|} = \frac{1}{5} \cdot (4, 2, -2, -1)$. Next

$$\langle v_2, u_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{5} \cdot (8 + 4 + 8 + 5) = \frac{25}{5} = 5$$

and so

$$\widehat{u}_2 = v_2 - \langle v_2, u_1 \rangle u_1 = (2, 2, -4, -5) - (4, 2, -2, -1) = (-2, 0, -2, -4)$$

As $\langle \widehat{u}_2, \widehat{u}_2 \rangle = 4 + 0 + 4 + 16 = 24$, we have $\|\widehat{u}_2\| = \sqrt{24}$. Set $u_2 = \frac{\widehat{u}_2}{\|\widehat{u}_2\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}} \cdot (-2, 0, -2, -4)$. Next

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_3, u_1 \rangle &= \frac{1}{5} \cdot (0 + 16 + 4 - 5) = \frac{15}{5} = 3 \\ \langle v_3, u_2 \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}} \cdot (0 + 0 + 4 - 20) = -\frac{16}{\sqrt{24}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{u}_3 &= v_3 - \langle v_3, u_1 \rangle u_1 - \langle v_3, u_2 \rangle u_2 \\ &= (0, 8, -2, 5) - \frac{3}{5} \cdot (4, 2, -2, -1) + \frac{2}{3}(-2, 0, -2, -4) \\ &= \frac{1}{15} \cdot (15 \cdot (0, 8, -2, 5) - 9 \cdot (4, 2, -2, -1) + 10 \cdot (-2, 0, -2, -4)) \\ &= \frac{1}{15} \cdot (-56, 102, -32, 44) = \frac{2}{15} \cdot (-28, 51, -16, 22) \end{aligned}$$

Finally $\langle \widehat{u}_3, \widehat{u}_3 \rangle = \frac{4}{225} \cdot (784 + 2601 + 256 + 484) = \frac{16500}{225} = \frac{220}{3}$ and so $\|\widehat{u}_3\| = \sqrt{\frac{220}{3}}$. Set $u_3 = \frac{\widehat{u}_3}{\|\widehat{u}_3\|} = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{15\sqrt{220}} \cdot (-28, 51, -16, 22)$. Hence (u_1, u_2, u_3) is an orthonormal basis of V .

Lemma 5.14. Let V have an orthonormal basis (u_1, \dots, u_n) . Then for every $v \in V$,

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i$$

Proof. There are $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i$. Then for all $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\langle v, u_i \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j u_j, u_i \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \langle u_j, u_i \rangle = \alpha_i \cdot \|u_i\|^2 = \alpha_i$$

□

Example 5.15. We continue Example 5.13. Take $v = v_1 + v_2 + v_3 = (6, 12, -8, -1)$. Then

$$\langle v, u_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{5} \cdot (24 + 24 + 16 + 1) = 13$$

$$\langle v, u_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}} \cdot (-12 + 16 + 4) = \frac{8}{\sqrt{24}} = \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}}$$

$$\langle v, u_3 \rangle = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{15\sqrt{220}} \cdot (-168 + 612 + 128 - 22) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{15\sqrt{220}} \cdot 550 = \sqrt{\frac{220}{3}}$$

Hence $v = 13u_1 + \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}}u_2 + \sqrt{\frac{220}{3}}u_3$.

Lemma 5.16. (Parseval's Identity) Let (u_1, \dots, u_n) be an orthonormal basis of V . Then, for all $v, w \in V$,

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, u_i \rangle \overline{\langle w, u_i \rangle}$$

Proof. We have $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i$ and $w = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle w, u_i \rangle u_i$, by Lemma 5.14. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, w \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i, \sum_{j=1}^n \langle w, u_j \rangle u_j \right\rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle v, u_i \rangle \overline{\langle w, u_j \rangle} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, u_i \rangle \overline{\langle w, u_i \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.17. For $w \in V$ we define $\varphi_w : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F} : v \mapsto \langle v, w \rangle$. Then $\varphi_w \in V^* := \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{F})$. Furthermore $\phi : V \rightarrow V^* : w \mapsto \varphi_w$, is a bijection.

Proof. We leave showing that φ_w is a homomorphism as an exercise. Next let $\varphi \in V^*$ and let (u_1, \dots, u_n) be an orthonormal basis of V . Set

$$w := \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\varphi(u_i)} u_i.$$

Then $\langle w, u_i \rangle = \overline{\varphi(u_i)}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, by Lemma 5.14. Furthermore for every $v \in V$ we have $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i$. Now

$$\varphi(v) = \varphi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, u_i \rangle \varphi(u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, u_i \rangle \overline{\langle w, u_i \rangle}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Parseval's Identity}}{=} \langle v, w \rangle = \varphi_w(v).$$

Hence ϕ is surjective. Next assume that $\phi(w) = \phi(w')$, for $w, w' \in V$. Then, for all $v \in V$, we have $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w' \rangle$, and so $\langle v, w - w' \rangle = 0$. In particular, $\langle w - w', w - w' \rangle = 0$ and so $w - w' = 0_V$, i.e. $w = w'$. Thus ϕ is injective. \square

Definition 5.18. Let $T \in \text{End}(V)$. Then there is some $T^* \in \text{End}(V)$ such that $\langle T(v), u \rangle = \langle v, T^*(u) \rangle$, for all $v, u \in V$. We call T^* the **adjoint** of T .

Proof. For $T \in \text{End}(V)$ and $u \in V$, let $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F} : v \mapsto \langle T(v), u \rangle$. Then $\varphi \in V^*$ (prove!) and so there is a unique $w \in V$ such that $\varphi = \varphi_w$, i.e. $\langle T(v), u \rangle = \varphi_w(v) = \langle v, w \rangle$. We define $T^* : V \rightarrow V : u \mapsto w$. In particular, $\langle T(v), u \rangle = \langle v, T^*(u) \rangle$, for all $v, u \in V$. It remains to show that $T^* \in \text{End}(V)$. For all $v \in V$, $u, u' \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, T^*(u + u') \rangle &= \langle T(v), u + u' \rangle = \langle T(v), u \rangle + \langle T(v), u' \rangle \\ &= \langle v, T^*(u) \rangle + \langle v, T^*(u') \rangle = \langle v, T^*(u) + T^*(u') \rangle \\ \langle v, T^*(\lambda u) \rangle &= \langle T(v), \lambda u \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle T(v), u \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle v, T^*(u) \rangle = \langle v, \lambda T^*(u) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T^*(u + u') = T^*(u) + T^*(u')$ and $T^*(\lambda u) = \lambda T^*(u)$, i.e. $T^* \in \text{End}(V)$. \square

Lemma 5.19. Let $S, T \in \text{End}(V)$ with adjoints S^*, T^* .

- (a) $(T^*)^* = T$
- (b) $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$
- (c) $(\lambda T)^* = \bar{\lambda} T^*$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$
- (d) $(ST)^* = T^* S^*$

Proof. Exercise. \square

Remark 5.20. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$. We call $A^* := \bar{A}^t$ the **Hermitian transpose** of A . Next let $T \in \text{End}(V)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ an orthonormal basis of V . Set $a_{i,j} := (M_{\mathcal{B}}(T))_{i,j}$. Since

$$T(u_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle T(u_j), u_i \rangle u_i,$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, by Lemma 5.14, it follows that $a_{i,j} = \langle T(u_j), u_i \rangle$. Hence the (i, j) -entry in $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T^*)$ is given by

$$\langle T^*(u_j), u_i \rangle = \overline{\langle u_i, T^*(u_j) \rangle} = \overline{\langle T(u_i), u_j \rangle} = \overline{a_{j,i}},$$

i.e. $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T^*)$ is the Hermitian transpose of $M_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$.

Example 5.21. (1) Consider $V = \mathbb{C}^3$ with the standard inner product. Also let $T(x, y, z) = (x + (2 + i)y, x + y - z, x - 3iz)$, for all $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3$.

Then $T \in \text{End}(V)$. The standard basis $\mathcal{SB} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is orthonormal. We have

$$M_{\mathcal{SB}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2+i & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -3i \end{pmatrix} \text{ and so } M_{\mathcal{SB}}(T^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2-i & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 3i \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence $T^*(a, b, c) = (a + b + c, (2 - i)a + b, -b + 3ic)$, for all $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(x, y, z), (a, b, c) \rangle &= (x + (2 + i)y) \cdot \bar{a} + (x + y - z) \cdot \bar{b} + (x - 3iz) \cdot \bar{c} \\ &= x \cdot (\bar{a} + \bar{b} + \bar{c}) + y \cdot ((2 + i)\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + z \cdot (-\bar{b} - 3i\bar{c}) \\ &= x \cdot \overline{(a + b + c)} + y \cdot \overline{((2 - i)a + b)} + z \cdot \overline{(-b + 3ic)} \\ &= \langle (x, y, z), T^*(a, b, c) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Let $(V = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, where $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(A\bar{B}^t)$, for $A, B \in V$. For a fixed $A \in V$ set $T(X) := AXA^t$, for all $X \in V$. Show that $T \in \text{End}(V)$. What is its adjoint T^* ? For all $X, Y \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X, T^*(Y) \rangle &= \langle T(X), Y \rangle = \langle AXA^t, Y \rangle = \text{tr}(AXA^t\bar{Y}^t) \\ &= \text{tr}(XA^t\bar{Y}^tA), \quad \text{as } \text{tr}(RS) = \text{tr}(SR) \\ &= \text{tr}(X(A^t\bar{Y}A)^t), \quad \text{as } (RS)^t = S^tR^t \\ &= \text{tr}(X(\overline{A^tY\bar{A}})^t) = \langle X, \bar{A}^tY\bar{A} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } T^*(Y) = \bar{A}^tY\bar{A}.$$

Definition 5.22. Let $T \in \text{End}(V)$. We call T

- (1) **self-adjoint**, if $T = T^*$
- (2) **normal**, if $TT^* = T^*T$
- (3) **unitary**, if $TT^* = T^*T = \text{id}_V$

Remark/Example 5.23. (1) If $T \in \text{End}(V)$ is self-adjoint or unitary, then T is normal.

(2) If $T \in \text{End}(V)$ is unitary, then T is invertible and $T^{-1} = T^*$.

(3) $T : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 : (x, y) \mapsto (x + iy, -ix + y)$ is self-adjoint, as $M_{\mathcal{SB}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} = M_{\mathcal{SB}}(T)^* = M_{\mathcal{SB}}(T^*)$

(4) $T : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 : (x, y) \mapsto (x + (2 + 3i)y, (2 + 3i)x + y)$ and $S : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 : (x, y) \mapsto (x + y, -x + y)$ are normal, but not self-adjoint or unitary. For instance $M_{\mathcal{SB}}(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq M_{\mathcal{SB}}(S^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$M_{\mathcal{SB}}(S) \cdot M_{\mathcal{SB}}(S^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = M_{\mathcal{SB}}(S^*) \cdot M_{\mathcal{SB}}(S) \neq I_2.$$

- (5) $T : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 : (x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{3} \cdot (2x + (2 + i)y, (-2 + i)x + 2y)$ and
 $S : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 : v \mapsto iv$ are unitary, but not self-adjoint.

Theorem 5.24. *Let $T \in \text{End}(V)$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.*

- (1) *If T is self-adjoint, then $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$*
- (2) *If T is unitary, then λ lies on the unit circle in \mathbb{C} , i.e. $\lambda = |1|$.*
- (3) *If T is normal and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then there exists some $v \in E(T, \lambda)$ such
 $T^*(v) = \bar{\lambda}v$, i.e. $\bar{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of T^* .*

Proof. Let $v \in V$ be an eigenvector of T w.r.t λ . Note that $\langle v, v \rangle > 0$.

- (1) As T is self-adjoint,

$$\lambda \langle v, v \rangle = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \langle T(v), v \rangle = \langle v, T^*(v) \rangle = \langle v, T(v) \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle v, v \rangle,$$

and so $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$.

- (2) As T is unitary,

$$\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, T^*(T(v)) \rangle = \langle T(v), T(v) \rangle = \langle \lambda v, \lambda v \rangle = \lambda \bar{\lambda} \langle v, v \rangle = |\lambda|^2 \langle v, v \rangle,$$

and so $|\lambda| = 1$.

- (3) As T is normal,

$$T(T^*(v)) = (TT^*)(v) = (T^*T)(v) = T^*(T(v)) = T^*(\lambda v) = \lambda T^*(v).$$

Thus $T^*(v) \in E(T, \lambda)$, i.e. T^* as an endomorphism on $E(T, \lambda)$. As such it has an eigenvalue $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ with corresponding $v \in E(T, \lambda)$. For this v

$$\lambda \langle v, v \rangle = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \langle T(v), v \rangle = \langle v, T^*(v) \rangle = \langle v, \mu v \rangle = \bar{\mu} \langle v, v \rangle,$$

and so $\bar{\mu} = \lambda$, that is, $\mu = \bar{\lambda}$. □

Example 5.25. (1) In Example 5.23 (3), T has eigenvalues 0 and 2

- (2) In Example 5.23 (5), T has eigenvalues $\frac{2-\sqrt{5}i}{3}$ and $\frac{2+\sqrt{5}i}{3}$ and S has eigenvalue i , all of which lie on the unit circle in \mathbb{C} .

- (3) In Example 5.23 (4), T has eigenvalues $-1 - 3i$ and $3 + 3i$ and T^* has eigenvalues $-1 + 3i$ and $3 - 3i$. Furthermore both S and S^* have eigenvalues $1 - i$ and $1 + i$.

Theorem 5.26. *Let $T \in \text{End}(V)$ be normal and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists an orthonormal basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of T . In particular, T is diagonalisable.*

Proof. We use induction on $n = \dim(V)$. The statement is trivial if $n = 1$. Next let $n > 1$ and assume the statement holds for $n - 1$. Over \mathbb{C} , T has at least one eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. As seen in the proof of Theorem 5.24 (3) there is some $v_1 \in V$ such that $v_1 \in E(T(\lambda) \cap E(T^*, \bar{\lambda}))$. We set $W := \mathbb{C}v_1$ and $W^\perp := \{v \in V : \langle v, w \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } w \in W\}$. One checks that W^\perp is a subspace of V . Furthermore it follows from Lemma 5.3 that $V = W \oplus W^\perp$. Next for $v \in W^\perp$ we have

$$\langle T(v), v_1 \rangle = \langle v, T^*(v_1) \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v_1 \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle w, v_1 \rangle = 0$$

Consequently, $T(v) \in W^\perp$ and we can consider T as a normal endomorphism on W^\perp . Next note that $\dim(W^\perp) = n - 1$. Thus by induction there is an orthonormal basis (v_2, \dots, v_n) of W^\perp consisting of eigenvectors of T . Now (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) is an orthonormal basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of T . \square

Corollary 5.27. *Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $T \in \text{End}(V)$ be self-adjoint or unitary. Then T is diagonalisable.*

Example 5.28. (1) In Example 5.23 (3),

$$M_{\mathcal{SB}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is similar to } M_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \{(1, -i), (1, i)\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^2 consisting of eigenvectors of T .

(2) In Example 5.23 (4),

$$M_{\mathcal{SB}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2+3i \\ 2+3i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is similar to } M_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} -1-3i & 0 \\ 0 & 3+3i \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \{(-1, 1), (1, 1)\}$, and

$$M_{\mathcal{SB}}(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is similar to } M_{\mathcal{B}}(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 1-i & 0 \\ 0 & 1+i \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \{(-i, 1), (i, 1)\}$.

(3) In Example 5.23 (5),

$$M_{\mathcal{SB}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & \frac{-2+i}{3} \\ \frac{2+i}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} \text{ is similar to } M_{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2-\sqrt{5}}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2+\sqrt{5}}{3} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \left(\frac{-1-2i}{\sqrt{5}}, 1 \right), \left(\frac{1+2i}{\sqrt{5}}, 1 \right) \right\}$.

Finally $M_{\mathcal{SB}}(S) = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$ is diagonal w.r.t. the standard basis.