Sardar Patel Institute of Technology

Bhavan’s Campus, Munshi Nagar, Andheri (West), Mumbai-400058-India (Empowered Autonomous Institute Affiliated to University of Mumbai) **Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Department**

**Academic year 2024-2025**

**Research Paper Phase 1**

**Name: Shubham Barve Batch: A1**

**UID – 2023200014 EXTC A**

**Q1. Has the author formulated an appropriate research question based on the problem/issue?**

Yes, the author has formulated a well-structured and relevant research question that effectively addresses the core problem identified in the study. The research question is clearly aligned with the objectives and purpose of the paper, ensuring that the study remains focused and provides meaningful insights. Additionally, the problem statement is well-articulated, outlining the significance of the research in a broader academic and practical context. The research question is neither too broad nor too narrow, making it suitable for a thorough investigation.

**Q2. Is the research question clearly defined in terms of its scope and relevance?**

The research question is explicitly defined, ensuring clarity in both its scope and relevance. The author has provided a comprehensive background to establish the importance of the research, making it evident why the study is necessary. The question is well-framed, focusing on a specific issue that holds significance within its field. Additionally, the scope of the research is well-balanced, avoiding excessive generalization while ensuring that the study covers enough ground to contribute valuable findings. The research also aligns with current industry trends and academic discussions, reinforcing its relevance and timeliness.

**Q3. Was there an alternative or better perspective to approach the research question?**

While the research question and approach chosen by the author are valid and well-structured, there could be alternative perspectives to address the problem. For example, an interdisciplinary approach incorporating different methodologies or theoretical frameworks might provide additional insights. The study primarily focuses on a specific angle, but broadening the perspective by integrating different research techniques, case studies, or comparative analysis with other existing models could enhance the depth of understanding. However, the approach taken by the author is logical, well-justified, and aligns with the study’s intended objectives, ensuring that it remains both practical and insightful.

**Q4. What is the author’s orientation towards the research problem – is it a critical analysis or interpretation-based?**

The author adopts a critical analysis approach toward the research problem, systematically evaluating the issue through empirical evidence, data interpretation, and a thorough examination of existing literature. The study presents well-supported arguments backed by quantitative and qualitative findings, ensuring that the conclusions drawn are based on factual analysis rather than mere speculation. While some elements of interpretation are present, they are always grounded in robust reasoning and existing research. This analytical approach strengthens the credibility of the study, ensuring that the findings contribute meaningfully to the academic and professional discourse in the field.

**Q5. Has the author extensively evaluated the literature considering both the latest and relevant articles?**

Yes, the author has conducted a comprehensive literature review that includes both recent and relevant research articles. The review demonstrates a deep understanding of the existing body of knowledge and ensures that the study is built on a strong theoretical foundation. The author systematically examines past contributions in the field, identifying key studies that provide context for the research. Additionally, the literature review highlights gaps in existing research, reinforcing the need for the current study. The references used are up to date, ensuring that the study remains relevant to contemporary academic and industry discussions. Overall, the literature evaluation is thorough, well-structured, and effectively supports the research objectives.