

# D1.1 Progress Submission

## Practical Machine Learning and Deep Learning

### Blueprint Reconstruction and Feature Discovery

Interim Report

Team JAXAXAX

Nikita Zagainov ([n.zagainov@innopolis.university](mailto:n.zagainov@innopolis.university))  
Nikita Tsukanov ([n.tsukanov@innopolis.university](mailto:n.tsukanov@innopolis.university))  
Said Kadirov ([s.kadirov@innopolis.university](mailto:s.kadirov@innopolis.university))  
Tetkin Dmitry ([d.tetkin@innopolis.university](mailto:d.tetkin@innopolis.university))

September 17, 2025

#### Abstract

We aim to reconstruct blueprint-style sketches from 3D geometry and extract high-level blueprint features. Our pipeline consists of (1) a diffusion model that maps line-art / sketch renderings to surface normal maps and (2) a feature-understanding model that detects and classifies blueprint features to infer applicable design principles. We prepared a synthetic paired dataset (C++-generated sketches and aligned normal maps) and are commencing training of the diffusion component.<sup>1</sup>

## 1 Project Topic

(Short Description, Value, Target Users)

**Final goal.** Reconstruct a clean, blueprint-like sketch from 3D models and derive as much semantic information as possible (e.g., edges, holes, fillets/chamfers, symmetries), producing both an enhanced 2D representation and a surface normal map.

**Why it matters.** Blueprint extraction accelerates reverse engineering, technical documentation, and quality control workflows. It reduces manual drafting effort and helps downstream CAD/CAM and inspection steps by providing structured, machine-readable features.

**Target users.** CAD engineers, mechanical designers, architects, technical illustrators, and education/research teams dealing with legacy parts or incomplete drawings.

## 2 Repositories and Resources

- Main repository (public): [github.com/touch-topnotch/sketch-vision](https://github.com/touch-topnotch/sketch-vision)
- Research paper (SOTA overview): [research.pdf](#)
- C++ converters for dataset generation: [github.com/touch-topnotch/sketch-tool](https://github.com/touch-topnotch/sketch-tool)

## 3 Current Status

- Synthetic paired dataset created: automatically generated C++ sketches and aligned normal maps for the same models/poses.
- Data preprocessing scripts in progress; dataset organization for training/validation/test splits.

---

<sup>1</sup>Project repositories: [sketch-vision](#) and [sketch-tool](#).

- Next step: start training the diffusion model for sketch  $\rightarrow$  normal map translation.

## 4 Dataset

We use an in-house **synthetic dataset** built with `sketch-tool`, containing pairs of: (a) C++-generated sketches produced via algorithmic line extraction and (b) corresponding normal maps rendered from the same geometry and viewpoint. The dataset will be split into train/val/test with standardized resolution and augmentation (random noise, line-width variation, small geometric jitter) to improve robustness.

## 5 Method

### 5.1 Model A: Sketch $\rightarrow$ Normal Map (Diffusion)

We train a conditional diffusion model to produce per-pixel surface normals from input sketches. The model learns to denoise towards the ground-truth normal distribution while conditioned on the sketch; we explore latent diffusion backbones and control-conditioned variants to better follow line geometry.

### 5.2 Model B: Feature Understanding

A second model detects blueprint features (e.g., edges, holes, fillets/chamfers, symmetries) and infers design principles they adhere to. We will evaluate both CNN/Transformer backbones for detection/classification and geometric post-processing (e.g., line grouping, Hough-based primitives) for consistent vector outputs.

## 6 Research of Competitors / SOTA (with references)

For sketch-to-geometry and image-to-image translation, diffusion and adversarial methods set strong baselines, often with structural control:

- **Latent Diffusion / Stable Diffusion** for efficient high-resolution generation [1].
- **ControlNet** for conditioning generation on control signals like edges/poses [2].
- **Palette (Diffusion)** for general image-to-image translation [3].
- **Pix2Pix** for paired translation tasks [4].
- Classical feature detectors and line extractors for blueprints: **Canny** [5] and **LSD (Line Segment Detector)** [6] as strong geometric baselines; **SIFT** [7] for local invariant features.

A consolidated list of our reviewed approaches and notes is maintained in our research paper: [research.pdf](#) (*link to our SOTA solutions*).

## 7 Success Criteria and Metrics

Primary criteria:

- **Feature understanding:** mean F1-score over target feature classes (holes, fillets/chamfers, edges, symmetries).
- **Normal-map accuracy:** percentage of pixels with angular error  $< 15^\circ$ ; also report MAE and SSIM.

### Targets for milestone 1:

- Feature understanding F1-score  $\geq \mathbf{0.85}$  on the test split.
- Normal-map accuracy (% pixels  $< 15^\circ$ )  $\geq \mathbf{90\%}$ ; SSIM  $\geq \mathbf{0.90}$ .

## 8 Work Distribution

- **Nikita Tsukanov**: Research of SOTA/competitors, methodology design, model selection.
- **Nikita Zagainov**: He got in the way and messed up commits.
- **Tetkin Dmitry**: Implementation of C++ OBJ $\rightarrow$ sketch algorithms and dataset generation tools.
- **Said Kadirov**: Data preprocessing, dataset curation, pipeline glue code.

## 9 Risks and Mitigations (Brief)

- *Domain gap between synthetic and real blueprints*: use augmentations and, if possible, small real fine-tuning set.
- *Ambiguous lines in sketches*: introduce control signals (e.g., edge maps, depth hints) and post-processing constraints.
- *Generalization to unseen geometries*: diversify parametric CAD primitives and compositions during synthetic generation.

## 10 How to Reproduce (Short)

1. Clone [sketch-tool](#) and generate paired (sketch, normal) data as per README.
2. Clone [sketch-vision](#) and follow training instructions (diffusion for sketch $\rightarrow$ normal, feature model thereafter).
3. Evaluate with our metric scripts to obtain F1, angular error, and SSIM on the test split.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Innopolis University for computing resources and guidance.

## References

- [1] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, Björn Ommer. “High-Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models.” In *CVPR*, 2022.
- [2] Lvmin Zhang, Maneesh Agrawala. “Adding Conditional Control to Text-to-Image Diffusion Models.” 2023. (ControlNet preprint).
- [3] Chitwan Saharia et al. “Palette: Image-to-Image Diffusion Models.” In *SIGGRAPH*, 2022.
- [4] Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, Alexei A. Efros. “Image-to-Image Translation with Conditional Adversarial Networks.” In *CVPR*, 2017.

- [5] John Canny. “A Computational Approach to Edge Detection.” *IEEE TPAMI*, 1986.
- [6] Rafael G. von Gioi, J  r  mie Jakubowicz, Jean-Michel Morel, Gregory Randall. “LSD: A Fast Line Segment Detector with a False Detection Control.” *IEEE TPAMI*, 2010. (Initial tech report, 2008).
- [7] David G. Lowe. “Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints.” *IJCV*, 2004.