

STAT 430-2 2025 Winter

HW2

Tuorui Peng¹

Exercise 1 5.1.8

1.(a)

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[D_n] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_n - X_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] - X_{n-1}] \\ &= 0, \quad n \geq 1\end{aligned}$$

thus D_n are mean-zero and we have (assume WLOG $n > m$)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{cov}(D_n, D_m) &= \mathbb{E}[D_n D_m] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[D_n D_m | \mathcal{F}_m]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[D_m \mathbb{E}[D_n | \mathcal{F}_m]] \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

thus D_n are uncorrelated.

1.(b)

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_l Y_l | \mathcal{F}_n] - X_n Y_n &= \mathbb{E}[X_l Y_l | \mathcal{F}_n] - X_n \mathbb{E}[Y_l | \mathcal{F}_n] - Y_n \mathbb{E}[X_l | \mathcal{F}_n] + X_n Y_n \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(X_l - X_n)(Y_l - Y_n) | \mathcal{F}_n]\end{aligned}$$

so we proved the first part.

Take $l = n + 1$ we have for each n :

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] - X_n Y_n = \mathbb{E}[(X_{n+1} - X_n)(Y_{n+1} - Y_n) | \mathcal{F}_n]$$

then repeat for $n + 1$ to l we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_l Y_l | \mathcal{F}_n] - X_n Y_n &= \mathbb{E}[\dots \mathbb{E}[X_l Y_l | \mathcal{F}_{l-1}] - X_{l-1} Y_{l-1} + \dots X_{n+1} Y_{n+1} - X_n Y_n | \dots | \mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= \sum_{k=n+1}^l \mathbb{E}[(X_k - X_{k-1})(Y_k - Y_{k-1}) | \mathcal{F}_n]\end{aligned}$$

¹TuoruiPeng2028@u.northwestern.edu

1.(c)

By the above, in which we let $Y_i = X_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C^2 &\geq \mathbb{E}[X_l^2 | \mathcal{F}_0] - X_0^2 = \sum_{k=1}^l \mathbb{E}[(X_k - X_{k-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_0] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^l \mathbb{E}[D_k^2] \end{aligned}$$

then we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^l D_k^2\right)^2\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^l D_k^4\right] + 2\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=i+1}^l D_i^2 D_j^2\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^l (2C)^2 D_k^2\right] + 2\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^l D_i^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=i+1}^l D_j^2 | \mathcal{F}_i\right]\right] \\ &\leq 4C^2 C^2 + 2C^4 = 6C^4 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2 5.1.12

- For $\theta \wedge \tau$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\omega : \theta \wedge \tau(\omega) \leq n\} &= \{\omega : \theta(\omega) \leq n \text{ or } \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \\ &= \{\omega : \theta(\omega) \leq n\} \cup \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \\ &\in \mathcal{F}_n \end{aligned}$$

- For $\theta \vee \tau$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\omega : \theta \vee \tau(\omega) \leq n\} &= \{\omega : \theta(\omega) \leq n \text{ and } \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \\ &= \{\omega : \theta(\omega) \leq n\} \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \\ &\in \mathcal{F}_n \end{aligned}$$

- For $\theta + \tau$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\omega : \theta + \tau(\omega) \leq n\} &= \{\omega : \theta(\omega) + \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \\ &= \bigcup_{k=0}^n \{\omega : \theta(\omega) = k \text{ and } \tau(\omega) = n - k\} \\ &\in \mathcal{F}_n \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3 5.1.15

3.(a)

- For $k = 1$:

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau > r) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > 0 + r | \mathcal{F}_0) \leq 1 - \varepsilon$$

- If for k we have $\mathbb{P}(\tau > kr) \leq (1 - \varepsilon)^k$, then for $k + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(\tau > (k + 1)r) &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}(\tau > kr + r) | \mathcal{F}_{kr}]] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}(\tau > kr + r)(\mathbf{1}(\tau > kr) + \mathbf{1}(\tau \leq kr)) | \mathcal{F}_{kr}]] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}(\tau > kr)\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}(\tau > kr + r) | \mathcal{F}_{kr}]] \\
 &\leq (1 - \varepsilon)^k(1 - \varepsilon) = (1 - \varepsilon)^{k+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we finish the proof by induction.

3.(b)

We have

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq t) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon)^{\lfloor t/r \rfloor} \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} r(1 - \varepsilon)^s < \infty$$

Exercise 4 5.1.24

4.(a)

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[S_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i\right)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] \\
 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i\right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i \mathbb{E}[\xi_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \mathbb{E}[\xi_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\
 &= S_{n-1}^2 + \mathbb{E}[\xi_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\
 &\geq S_{n-1}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

thus S_n^2 is a submartingale.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[S_n^2 - s_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= S_{n-1}^2 + \mathbb{E}[\xi_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] - s_{n-1}^2 - \mathbb{E}[\xi_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\
 &= S_{n-1}^2 - s_{n-1}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

thus $S_n^2 - s_n^2$ is a martingale.

4.(b)

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[e^{S_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E}[e^{S_{n-1} + \xi_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\
 &= e^{S_{n-1}} \mathbb{E}[e^{\xi_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\
 &\geq e^{S_{n-1}} e^{\mathbb{E}[\xi_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]} \\
 &= e^{S_{n-1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

thus e^{S_n} is a submartingale.

Then we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{S_n} / \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [e^{\xi_i} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \right] = e^{S_{n-1}} \mathbb{E} [e^{\xi_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] / \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [e^{\xi_i}] = e^{S_{n-1}} / \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} [e^{\xi_i}]$$

thus $e^{S_n} / \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [e^{\xi_i}] := e^{S_n} / m_n$ is a martingale.

Exercise 5 5.1.26

We have

$$\mathbb{E} [f(S_n) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \mathbb{E} [f(S_{n-1} + \xi_n) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \frac{1}{|B(0,1)|} \int_{B(S_{n-1},1)} f(x) dx \leq f(S_{n-1})$$

thus $f(S_n)$ is a supermartingale.

Exercise 6 5.1.35

6.(a)

We verify the three conditions of σ -algebra:

- $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$: we see that

$$\Omega \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} = \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$$

- Closure under complement: we see that if $A \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$, then

$$A^c \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} = \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \setminus A \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$$

- Closure under countable union: we see that if $A_i \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$, then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_i \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\}) \in \mathcal{F}_n$$

Thus \mathcal{F}_τ is a σ -algebra.

Then if $\tau(\omega) \equiv n$ then:

- If $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$: we have

$$A \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} = A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$$

- If $A \notin \mathcal{F}_n$: we have

$$A \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$$

which means that in this case $\mathcal{F}_\tau = \mathcal{F}_n$.

6.(b)

$X_\tau \in m\mathcal{F}_\tau$ is trivial from the definition of \mathcal{F}_τ , and thus $\sigma(\tau) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\tau$. For any k we have for $\{\omega : X_k \mathbf{1}_{\tau=k}\}$ that:

$$\{\omega : X_k \mathbf{1}_{\tau=k} < t\} \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} = \{\omega : X_k(\omega) < t\} \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) = k\} \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\}$$

for which if $n \geq k$, $\in \mathcal{F}_n$, and if $n < k$, the above is empty and thus $\in \mathcal{F}_n$, thus $X_k \mathbf{1}_{\tau=k} \in m\mathcal{F}_\tau$.

6.(c)

We have

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_\tau \mathbf{1}_{\tau=k} | \mathcal{F}_\tau] = \mathbf{1}_{\tau=k} \mathbb{E}[Y_k | \mathcal{F}_\tau] = \mathbf{1}_{\tau=k} \mathbb{E}[Y_k | \mathcal{F}_k]$$

because as we previously proved that when $\tau = n$, $\mathcal{F}_\tau = \mathcal{F}_n$.

6.(d)

Since $\theta \leq \tau$, we have

$$\{\omega : \tau(\theta) \leq n\} \subseteq \{\omega : \theta(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n,$$

then if some A satisfies $A \cap \{\omega : \theta(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$, then

$$A \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} = A \cap \{\omega : \theta(\omega) \leq n\} \cap \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$$

thus we have $\mathcal{F}_\theta \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\tau$.

Exercise 7 5.2.8

Define $\tau_x = \inf_{n \geq 0} \{n : X_n \leq -x\}$, then we have Denote A_n as follows:

$$A_n = \{\omega : \tau_x(\omega) \leq n\} = \{\omega : \max_{k=0}^n X_k(\omega) \leq -x\}$$

then we have $X_{\tau_x} \leq -x$.

Since X_n is a sub-martingale, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_0] &\leq \mathbb{E}[X_{n \wedge \tau}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbf{1}_{n < \tau}] + \mathbb{E}[X_\tau \mathbf{1}_{n \geq \tau}] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbf{1}_{A_n^c}] - x \mathbb{P}(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\min_{k=0}^n X_k \leq -x\right) &= \mathbb{P}(A_n) \\ &\leq x^{-1}(\mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbf{1}_{A_n^c}] - \mathbb{E}[X_0]) \\ &\leq x^{-1}(\mathbb{E}[(X_n)_+] - \mathbb{E}[X_0]) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 8 5.2.11

8.(a)

If Y_n is a submartingale, then we have Y_n^p is a submartingale for $p > 1$, then we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{k=0}^n Y_k \geq y\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{k=0}^n Y_k^p \geq y^p\right) \leq y^{-p} \mathbb{E}[(Y_n^p)_+] = y^{-p} \mathbb{E}[(Y_n)_+^p]$$

8.(b)

If Y_n is a martingale, then we have Y_n^p is a submartingale for $p > 1$, then we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{k=0}^n Y_k \geq y\right) \leq y^{-p} \mathbb{E}[(Y_n)_+^p] \leq y^{-p} \mathbb{E}[|Y_n|^p]$$

8.(c)

If Y_n is a supermartingale, then we have $(Y_n + c)^2$ is a submartingale for $c > 0$, then if $Y_0 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{k=0}^n Y_k \geq y\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{k=0}^n (Y_k + c)^2 \geq (y + c)^2\right) \\ &\leq (y + c)^{-2} \mathbb{E}[(Y_n + c)^2_+] \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_n^2] + c^2}{(y + c)^2} \end{aligned}$$

optimize over c and we have optimal value of $c = \mathbb{E}[Y_n^2] / y$, then we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{k=0}^n Y_k \geq y\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_n^2]}{\mathbb{E}[Y_n^2] + y^2}.$$

Exercise 9 5.2.12

9.(a)

Since X_n is a supermartingale, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_0] = \mathbb{E}[X_{n \wedge 0}] \geq \mathbb{E}[X_{n \wedge \tau}] = \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbf{1}_{n < \tau}] + \mathbb{E}[X_\tau \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq n}] \geq \mathbb{E}[X_\tau \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq n}]$$

9.(b)

If X_n is a supermartingale, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_0] &\geq \mathbb{E}[X_\tau \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq n}] \geq x \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_k X_k \geq x\right) \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_k X_k \geq x\right) &\leq x^{-1} \mathbb{E}[X_0] \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 10 5.2.15

We have the following two lemma:

- For any $x, y > 0$:

$$x(\log y)_+ \leq e^{-1}y + x(\log x)_+$$

- If some X, Y satisfies $\mathbb{P}(Y \geq y) \leq y^{-1}\mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{1}_{Y \geq y}]$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] \leq 1 + \mathbb{E}[X(\log Y)_+]$$

Substitute $Y = \max_{k \leq n} X_k$, $X = X_n$ for which we notice that the concentration condition is satisfied, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{k \leq n} X_k\right] &\leq 1 + \mathbb{E}\left[X_n(\log \max_{k \leq n} X_k)_+\right] \\ &\leq 1 + e^{-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{k \leq n} X_k\right] + \mathbb{E}[X_n(\log X_n)_+] \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{k \leq n} X_k\right] &\leq (1 - e^{-1})^{-1}[1 + \mathbb{E}[X_n(\log X_n)_+]] \end{aligned}$$