

Stat461 - 2023 Fall

Final Exam

Tuorui Peng¹

Exercise 1

1.(a)

Since X_i are i.i.d. r.v. with finite variance, using the Central Limit Theorem, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_g] = \mu, \quad \text{Var}[\bar{X}_g] = \frac{\sigma^2}{k}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{X}_g - \mu \geq \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{k}}\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left((\bar{X}_g - \mu)^2 \geq \frac{4\sigma^2}{k}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\text{var}(\bar{X}_g)}{4\sigma^2/k} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

1.(b)

With $\hat{\mu} := \text{median}(\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_G)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\mu} - \mu \geq 2\sigma/\sqrt{k}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\text{less than half of } \bar{X}_g \geq \mu + 2\sigma/\sqrt{k}\right)$$

thus we consider the events $A_g := \{\bar{X}_g \geq 2\sigma/\sqrt{k}\}$ with $\mathbf{1}(A_g) \sim \text{Bin}(p)$ for some $p \in [0, 1]$. Using the result from (a), we further have $p \leq 1/4$.

Since \bar{X}_g are i.i.d., we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\mu} - \mu \geq 2\sigma/\sqrt{k}\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\text{Bin}(G, p) \geq G/2) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\text{Bin}(G, 1/4) \geq G/2) \end{aligned}$$

1.(c)

Note that $\text{Bin}(G, 1/4)$ is sub-gaussian with σ at most $\sqrt{G}/2$, we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\text{Bin}(G, 1/4) \geq G/2) &= \mathbb{P}(\text{Bin}(G, 1/4) - G/4 \geq G/4) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{G^2}{8G}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{G}{8}\right) = \delta \end{aligned}$$

¹TuoruiPeng2028@u.northwestern.edu

then we substitute $k = n/G = \frac{n}{8 \log 1/\delta}$ to result in (b) to obtain

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\hat{\mu} - \mu \geq 4\sigma \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(1/\delta)}{n}} \right) \leq \delta$$

1.(d)

Denote $t = 4\sigma \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(1/\delta)}{n}}$, which yields

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{\mu} - \mu \geq t) \leq \delta = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2(4\sigma/\sqrt{n})^2}}$$

which means that $\hat{\mu}$ is sub-gaussian with σ at most $4\sigma/\sqrt{n}$.

Exercise 2

The proof is in Vershynin's book, Theorem 0.0.4. Here is a sketch of the proof.

First we work on a Lemma: for any $x \in P$, where $P = \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ being the polytope, we have

$$\left\| x - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}, \quad \text{for some } \{x_i\} \subset P, \forall k \in [N]$$

The proof is as follows:

Proof. Note that for such polytope, for any $x \in P$ we can always express it as (using Carathéodory's theorem)

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i x_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0$$

Consider an r.v. $Z = x_i$ w.p. λ_i , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = x$$

then we create k copies of Z , denoted as Z_1, \dots, Z_k , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| x - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i \right\|_2^2 &= \text{var} \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \text{var}(Z) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \end{aligned}$$

since $Z \in P$ is bounded by 1. Then since Z_i takes value in $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$, then there should always exist some realization of Z_i as $Z_i = \text{some vertex that satisfies the inequality}$. \square

Using the Lemma, we can just choose $k = \lceil 1/\varepsilon^2 \rceil$ and obtain that for any $x \in P$, we have

$$\exists \{x_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset P, \left\| x - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \leq \varepsilon$$

so such $\{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i : x_i \in \text{vertices of } P\}$ set is a ε covering of P , which has size at most $N^k = N^{\lceil 1/\varepsilon^2 \rceil}$.

Exercise 3

3.(a)

Note that we have

$$\|y - X\hat{\theta}\|_2^2 \leq \|y - X\theta^*\|_2^2$$

which gives

$$\|X(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)\|_2^2 \leq 2w' (X(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)) \Rightarrow \|X(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)\|_2 \leq 2w' \frac{X(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)}{\|X(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)\|_2}$$

3.(b)

Note that $\hat{\theta} - \theta^*$ should be $2s$ -sparse, and $X(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*) \in \text{col}(X_{\text{span}(\hat{\theta} \cup \theta^*)})$, we thus have

$$w' \frac{X(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)}{\|X(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)\|_2} \leq \sup_{|S| \leq 2s} \sup_{v_S \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, v_S \in \text{col}(X_S)} w' v_S$$

3.(c)

We have for $w \mapsto \sup_{v_S \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, v_S \in \text{col}(X_S)} w' v_S := g(w)$:

$$g(w) = g(w_S + w_{S^\complement}) = g(w_S) = \|w_S\|_2$$

in which w_S is the projection of w onto $\text{col}(X_S)$, and w_{S^\complement} is its orthogonal complement. Further since $w \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$, we can consider

$$w = P\tilde{w} = P_S \tilde{w}_S + P_{S^\complement} \tilde{w}_{S^\complement}, \quad P \in \text{SO}(n)$$

i.e. decompose w into w_S and w_{S^\complement} , with each following gaussian distribution.

Then for $w \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}[g(w)] = \mathbb{E}[\|w_S\|_2] = \mathbb{E}[\|P_S \tilde{w}_S\|_2] = \sigma \mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{\chi_{2s}^2}\right] \leq \sigma \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\chi_{2s}^2} = \sigma \sqrt{2s}$$

Then we note that for any $w, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$|g(w) - g(y)| = \|w_S - y_S\|_2 \leq \|w - y\|_2$$

which means that $g(w)$ is 1-Lipschitz. Then we can apply the concentration inequality for Lipschitz function to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|g(w) - \mathbb{E}g(w)| \geq t) &\leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{v_S \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, v_S \in \text{col}(X_S)} w' v_S \geq \sigma \sqrt{2s} + t\right) &\leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

letting $\delta = 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ we have: w.p. at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\sup_{v_S \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, v_S \in \text{col}(X_S)} w' v_S \leq \sigma(\sqrt{2s} + \sqrt{2\log(2/\delta)})$$

3.(d)

Using union bound on $\{S \subset [d] : |S| \leq 2s\}$ we have

$$\sup_{|S| \leq 2s} \sup_{v_S \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, v_S \in \text{col}(X_S)} w' v_S \leq \sigma(\sqrt{2s} + \sqrt{2\log(2/\delta)})$$

with probability at least

$$1 - \delta \sum_{j=1}^{2s} \binom{d}{j} \geq 1 - \delta \left(\frac{ed}{2s}\right)^{2s}$$

then we obtain the bound

$$\left\|X(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)\right\|_2 \leq 2w' \frac{X(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)}{\left\|X(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*)\right\|_2} \leq 2\sigma(\sqrt{2s} + \sqrt{2\log(2/\delta)}), \quad \text{w.p. at least } 1 - \delta \left(\frac{ed}{2s}\right)^{2s}$$