

Recap: Variational Analysis

$$\theta^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} f(\theta) \quad | \quad \theta^* : f(\theta) = 0$$

$$\theta_n^* = \operatorname{argmin} f_n(\theta) \quad | \quad \theta_n^* : f_n(\theta) = 0$$

Q. If $f \approx f_n$ in some sense, how far θ and θ^* can be?
Can we quantify the proximity b/w θ and θ^* ?

Ex 1. LSE. $f(\theta) = E(Y - X^T \theta)^2 \quad f_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - X_i^T \theta)^2$

Ex 2. Negotiation w/o constraints.

$$\theta^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} E \ell(x, \theta) \quad \theta_n^* = \operatorname{argmin} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(x_i, \theta)$$

(Hjort and Pollard, 2011). If $\ell(x, \cdot)$ is convex then

$$|\theta^* - \theta_n^*| \leq \epsilon \text{ whenever}$$

Ex 3. $\theta^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} f(\theta) \quad \theta_n^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} f(\theta_0) + (\theta - \theta_0)^T \nabla f(\theta_0)$

Kantorovich's theorem. Under some smoothness $+ \frac{1}{2} (\theta - \theta_0)^T \nabla^2 f(\theta_0) (\theta - \theta_0)$

$$\|\theta^* - \theta_n^*\|_2 \lesssim \|\nabla f(\theta_0)^{-1} \nabla f(\theta_0)\|_2^2$$

Def. Epi-convergence. For a seq. of fns $\{f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}$ and a fn $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$
 $f_n \xrightarrow{e} f$ if $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}$

① $\liminf f_n(z_n) \geq f(z)$

② $\exists z_n \rightarrow z \quad \limsup f_n(z_n) \leq f(z)$

Rank 1 $\theta^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in C} f(\theta) = \operatorname{argmin} f(\theta) + f_C(\theta)$

$$f_C(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \theta \in C \\ \infty & \theta \notin C \end{cases} \quad | \quad \theta^* \text{ activates } C.$$

Rank 2. We may consider $(\mathbb{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ or $((\mathbb{R}^d, \|\cdot\|))$

Theorem 3.10 of Attouch and Wets (1983)

Let $x_n \in \operatorname{argmin} f_n(x)$, $x_n \rightarrow x$, and $f_n \xrightarrow{\epsilon} f$ then
 $x \in \operatorname{argmin} f(x)$.

pf. $\forall y \in \mathbb{A} \exists y_n \rightarrow y$ s.t. $(\operatorname{limsup}_n f_n(y_n) \leq f(y))$

$f(x) \leq \liminf f_n(x_n) \leq \liminf f_n(y_n) \leq (\operatorname{limsup}_n f_n(y_n) \leq f(y))$

Epi-convergence \Rightarrow minimizers converge

1. Stronger result is possible.

2. whether $\exists \delta$ on lsc- $f_{n+1}(x) \leq \delta(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow f_n \xrightarrow{\epsilon} f$

whether $\exists F$ s.t. $d(x_n, x) \leq F(\delta(f_n, f))$

Painleve - Kuratowski convergence (PK-convergence)

Def. Painleve - Kuratowski (PK) convergence of sets

$$\{A_n \in \mathcal{X}\}$$

$$\text{limsup}_n A_n = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \liminf_n d(x, A_n) = 0\} \\ = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \exists \{x_n \in A_n\} \text{ s.t. } x_n \rightarrow x\}$$

$$\text{liminf}_n A_n = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \limsup_n d(x, A_n) = 0\}$$

$$= \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \exists \{x_n \in A_n\} \text{ s.t. } x_n \rightarrow x\}$$

PK-lim $A_n = \text{limsup}_n A_n \stackrel{\text{if Q hold}}{=} \text{liminf}_n A_n$ if Q hold

① For a seq of closed constraints $\{C_n\}$

$$f_{C_n} \xrightarrow{\text{epi}} f_C \Leftrightarrow \text{PK-lim } C_n = C.$$

②

Def. Epi-graph. For a lsc fn f define

$$\text{epi-}f = \{(x, \alpha) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq \alpha\}$$

$$f_n \xrightarrow{e} f \text{ iff}$$

$$\text{PK-lim epi-}f_n = \text{epi-}f.$$



Useful in quantifying a distance b/w fns

$$\mathcal{J}(f_n, f) = \text{Haus}(\text{epi}-f_n, \text{epi}-f)$$

Theorem 3.8 of Rockafellar and Wets (2018)

$$\forall \varepsilon_n \downarrow 0 \quad \limsup_n \text{e}_n\text{-}\arg\min f_n \subseteq \arg\min f$$

$$\text{e}_n\text{-}\arg\min f_n = \left\{ \theta : f_n(\theta) \leq \inf f_n(\theta) + \varepsilon_n \right\}.$$

Application 1. Unconstrained M-estimation

$$\hat{\theta}^* = \arg\min M(\theta) = \arg\min \mathbb{E} \ell(X, \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_n \in \arg\min \hat{M}_n(\theta) = \arg\min \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(X_i, \theta)$$

If $\hat{M}_n(\theta) \xrightarrow{e} M(\theta)$ a.s., then $\forall \varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ a.s.

$$\limsup_n \underbrace{\left\{ \theta : \hat{M}_n(\theta) \leq \hat{M}_n(\hat{\theta}_n) + \varepsilon_n \right\}}_{\hat{C}_n} \subseteq \left\{ \theta_0 \right\}$$

① $\varepsilon_n = 0 \rightarrow$ a.s. convergence of M-estimator.

② If \hat{C}_n is an (asymptotically) valid confidence set for θ_0 ,

$\text{Diam}(\hat{C}_n) \rightarrow 0$. (Takatsu and Kuchibhotla 2025+)

Set convergence in Gstat

Section 7 Royset (2020), Rockafellar and Royset (2014), Nourani (2018)

Application 2. Constrained M-estimation.

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \underset{\theta \in \Theta_n}{\operatorname{argmin}} \hat{M}_n(\theta) = \underset{\theta \in \Theta_0}{\operatorname{argmin}} \hat{M}_0(\theta) + f_{\Theta_n}(\theta)$$

$$r_n(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = \underset{u}{\operatorname{argmin}} S_n \left\{ \hat{M}_n \left(\theta_0 + \frac{u}{r_n} \right) - \hat{M}_n(\theta_0) \right\}$$

$$+ f_{\Theta_n} \left(\theta_0 + \frac{u}{r_n} \right) - f_{r_n(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)}(u)$$

$$= \underset{u}{\operatorname{argmin}} S_n \left\{ (\hat{M}_n - M) \left(\theta_0 + \frac{u}{r_n} \right) - (\hat{M}_n - M)(\theta_0) \right\} \xrightarrow{e} S(u)$$

Pollard 1984 Brownian Motion,

$$+ S_n \left\{ M \left(\theta_0 + \frac{u}{r_n} \right) - M(\theta_0) \right\} \xrightarrow{e} D(u)$$

$$+ f_{r_n(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)}(u) \xrightarrow{e} \delta_C(u)$$

Then, $r_n(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \underset{u}{\operatorname{argmin}} S(u) + D(u) + \delta_C(u)$

① $f_n \xrightarrow{e} f$ and $g_n \xrightarrow{e} g \Rightarrow f_n + g_n \xrightarrow{e} f + g$ ④

④ is not true in general.

Prop 3.4 of Royset (2020)

If either of the following holds, then ④ holds.

① $-f_n \xrightarrow{e} -f$ or $-g_n \xrightarrow{e} -g$.

② $f_n \rightarrow f$ and $g_n \rightarrow g$ pointwise.

$$\textcircled{1} \Leftrightarrow \lim_n f_n(x_n) = f(x) \quad \forall x_n \rightarrow x.$$

\textcircled{2} For a stochastic process.

a.s. convergence \Leftrightarrow convergence in dist.

Skorokhod representation

a.s. epi-convergence \Leftrightarrow epi-convergence in dist.

(A.s. representations for stochastic processes,

Theorem 2.3 of Kliman and Pollard, Theorem 1.10.4 of van der Vaart

For a stochastic process $\{f_n\}$ defined on \mathbb{R}^d

and Wellner.

$$\textcircled{1} (f_n(t_1), \dots, f_n(t_m))^T \xrightarrow{d} g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$$

\textcircled{2} $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly tight.

Then \exists prob space on where $\exists \tilde{f}_n, \tilde{f}$ s.t.

$$f_n \stackrel{d}{=} \tilde{f}_n \quad (\tilde{f}(t_1), \dots, \tilde{f}(t_m)) \stackrel{d}{=} g(t_1, \dots, t_m) \text{ and.}$$

$$\sup_{u \in K} |\tilde{f}_n(u) - \tilde{f}(u)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Theorem 1 of Knight + (1999).

If $z_n \xrightarrow{e-d} z$, $\epsilon_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$, $v_n \in \arg\min z_n$, and $v_n = O_p(1)$

then $v_n \xrightarrow{d} v = \arg\min z$.

Tangent cones. One can verify they are cones

Contingent cone. $T_C(u) = \limsup_{\tau \downarrow 0} \frac{C - u}{\tau} = \{v : \liminf_{\tau \downarrow 0} \frac{d(u + \tau v, C)}{\tau} \rightarrow \}$

Adjacent cone $\tilde{T}_C(u) = \{v : \limsup_{\tau \downarrow 0} \frac{d(u + \tau v, C)}{\tau} = 0\}$

Clarke cone $T_C^\dagger(u) = \{v : \limsup_{\substack{\tau \downarrow 0 \\ u' \rightarrow u}} \frac{d(u' + \tau v, C)}{\tau} = 0\}$

$T_C^\dagger(w) \subseteq \tilde{T}_C(u) \subseteq T_C(u)$ $u \in C^\circ$ then $\xrightarrow{\text{convex}} \text{they are } (\mathbb{R}^d)$

If C is closed and convex, then, they are all same.
(Clarke, 1983)

C is Chernoff regular at u if $T_C(u) = T_C^\dagger(u)$

(Chernoff 1954, Geyer 1994) is used for asymptotic
for global minimizer
(Geyer 1994)

C is Clarke regular at u if $T_C(u) = T_C^\dagger(u)$

(Geyer 1994) claimed If Clarke regular at true parameter
one can local minimizer ; they are asymp. equivalent
identify asym. disc. of

(Shapiro, 2000) This is not true, stronger asymp. for

Application. Constraint mean-estimation.

$$\text{Ex. } \hat{\theta}_n = \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{n} \sum \|x_i - \theta\|^2 = \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\bar{x}_n - \theta\|^2$$
$$= \operatorname{Proj}_{\Theta}(\bar{x}_n)$$

$$\|\operatorname{Proj}_{\Theta}(\bar{x}_n) - \underbrace{\operatorname{Proj}_{\Theta}(\mu)}_{\theta_0}\| \leq \|\bar{x}_n - \mu\| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} S_n \|\bar{x}_n - \theta_0 - \frac{u}{f_n}\|^2$$

$$= " - \frac{2u^T (\bar{x}_n - \theta_0)^T}{f_n} u + \frac{u^T u}{f_n} + \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow -2z^T u + \|u\|^2 = z$$

$$\underset{\text{clarke regular at } x \in (0,1)}{\operatorname{argmin}}$$

$$\Theta = [0,1] \rightarrow T_C(x) = (R \quad \forall x \in (0,1))$$

$$T_C(0) = [0, \infty)$$

$$z$$

$$T_C(1) = (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \max\{z, 0\}$$

$$\min\{z, 0\}$$