Monte Carlo comparisons VBSCAN Cost Action

Mathieu Pellen, Marco Zaro, Alexander Karlberg, Michael Rauch, Jürgen Reuter, Christopher Schwan

August 18, 2017



Part I Introduction

Part II $pp \to \mu^+ \nu_{\mu} e^+ \nu_{e} jj$

0.1 Input parameters

- Centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV at the LHC.
- Parton distribution function (PDF): NNPDF-3.0 at NLO with $\alpha_{\rm s}\,(M_{\rm Z})=0.118$ (we use it at both LO and NLO). The LHAPDF ID for this set is 260000.
- Flavour scheme: fixed $N_{\rm F}=5$ flavour scheme (no bottom quark appear in the final or initial state). This means that the bottom quark is considered massless.
- Photon induced are neglected (for now).
- Renormalisation scheme: complex-mass scheme if possible. If other schemes are used, we have to estimate the possible differences. Factorisation scheme: $\overline{\rm MS}$ as for NNPDF.
- Scales: factorisation and renormalisation scale, $\mu_R = \mu_F = M_W$.
- α : G_{μ} scheme with:

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} G_{\mu} M_{\rm W}^2 \left(1 - \frac{M_{\rm W}^2}{M_Z^2} \right)$$
 with $G_{\mu} = 1.16637 \times 10^{-5} \,\text{GeV}.$ (1)

The numerical value is: $\alpha = 7.555310522369 \times 10^{-3}$.

- Mass and width of the massive particles:

$$m_{\rm t} = 173.21\,{\rm GeV},$$
 $\Gamma_{\rm t} = 0\,{\rm GeV},$ $M_{\rm Z}^{\rm OS} = 91.1876\,{\rm GeV},$ $\Gamma_{\rm Z}^{\rm OS} = 2.4952\,{\rm GeV},$ $M_{\rm W}^{\rm OS} = 80.385\,{\rm GeV},$ $\Gamma_{\rm W}^{\rm OS} = 2.085\,{\rm GeV},$ $\Gamma_{\rm H} = 125.0\,{\rm GeV},$ $\Gamma_{\rm H} = 4.07\times10^{-3}\,{\rm GeV}.$ (2)

The pole masses and widths entering the calculation are expressed in terms of the measured on-shell (OS) values for the W and Z bosons according to

$$M_V = M_V^{\rm OS} / \sqrt{1 + (\Gamma_V^{\rm OS} / M_V^{\rm OS})^2}, \qquad \Gamma_V = \Gamma_V^{\rm OS} / \sqrt{1 + (\Gamma_V^{\rm OS} / M_V^{\rm OS})^2}.$$
 (3)

Hence the numerical values are

$$\begin{split} M_{\rm Z} &= 91.1534806191827\,{\rm GeV}, & \Gamma_{\rm Z} &= 2.494266378772824\,{\rm GeV}, \\ M_{\rm W} &= 80.3579736098775\,{\rm GeV}, & \Gamma_{\rm W} &= 2.084298998278219\,{\rm GeV}. \end{split} \tag{4}$$

- Experimental signature: two equally charged leptons, missing transverse energy and at least two jets.
- Clustering: QCD partons are clustered into jets using the anti- $k_{\rm T}$ algorithm with jet-resolution parameter R=0.4. Photons from real radiation are recombined with the final-state quarks into jets or with the charged leptons into dressed leptons, in both cases via the anti- $k_{\rm T}$ algorithm and a resolution parameter R=0.1 (this applies only when computing the EW corrections).
- Rapidity definition: $y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{E + p_z}{E p_z}$ where E is the energy of the parton and p_z the component of its momentum along the beam axis.
- Distance definition:

$$\Delta R_{ij} = \sqrt{(\Delta \phi_{ij})^2 + (\Delta y_{ij})^2},\tag{5}$$

with

$$\Delta \phi_{ij} = \begin{cases} |\phi_i - \phi_j| & \text{if } |\phi_i - \phi_j| < \pi \\ 2\pi - |\phi_i - \phi_j| & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
 (6)

	Contact person	Code	$ \begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{O}(\alpha^6) \\ s ^2/\\ t ^2/ u ^2 \end{vmatrix} $	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^6)$ interf.	Off- shell	NF QCD	EW corr. to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^5 \alpha_s)$
-	A. Karlberg	POWHEG	t/u	No	Yes	No	No
	M. Pellen	Recola $+$ MoCaNLO	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	M. Rauch	VBFNLO	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
	C. Schwan	BONSAY	t/u	No	Yes,	No	No
					virt.		
					No		
	M. Zaro	${ m MG5_aMC}$	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No

Table 1: Summary of the different properties of the codes employed in the comparison.

being the positive azimuthal-angle difference and $\Delta y_{ij} = |y_i - y_j|$ being the positive rapidity difference.

- Definition of the missing transverse energy: transverse momentum of the sum of the two neutrinos momenta.
- Cuts on the leptons:

$$p_{T,\ell} > 20 \,\text{GeV}, \qquad |y_{\ell}| < 2.5, \qquad \Delta R_{\ell\ell} > 0.3.$$
 (7)

- Missing energy cut:

$$E_{\text{T.miss}} = p_{\text{T.miss}} > 40 \,\text{GeV}$$
 (8)

- Jet definition:

$$p_{\rm T,j} > 30 \,\text{GeV}, \qquad |y_{\rm j}| < 4.5, \qquad \Delta R_{\rm j\ell} > 0.3.$$
 (9)

- Out of these 2/3 jets, the two hardest in pT (tagged jets) are required to have:

$$m_{\rm jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}, \qquad |\Delta y_{\rm jj}| > 2.5.$$
 (10)

- Isolation of the lepton, all jets in the event (also the one of the real radiation) are required to satisfy

$$\Delta R_{j\ell} > 0.3. \tag{11}$$

0.2 Codes

0.2.1 POWHEG: Alexander Karlberg

VBF approximation?

0.2.2 VBFNLO: Michael Rauch

VBF approximation

0.2.3WHIZARD: Simon Brass, Jürgen Reuter, Pascal Stienemeier

Full matrix element

0.2.4RECOLA +MOCANLO: Mathieu Pellen

Full matrix element

0.2.5Madgraph5 amc@nlo: Marco Zaro

To be checked what is possible

BONSAY: Christopher Schwan 0.2.6

0.3Observables

- Cross section within cuts.
- Distribution in the number of jets.
- Invariant mass of the two hardest jets (two tagged jets). [0; 4 TeV] with bins of size 100 GeV (40 bins).
- $p_{\mathrm{T,j_1,j_2}}$ and $y_{\mathrm{j_1,j_2}}$ of the two tagged jets (not their sum) $p_{\mathrm{T,j_1,j_2}}$: [0; 1 TeV] with bins of size 25 GeV (40 bins).
- y_{j_1,j_2} : [-5;5] with bins of size 0.5 (20 bins).
- Invariant mass of the two charged leptons.
- [0; 4 TeV] with bins of size 100 GeV (40 bins).
- Zeppenfeld variable for μ^+ and e^+ :
- $z_{\ell}^* = |y_{\ell} \left(y_{\mathbf{j}_1} + y_{\mathbf{j}_2}\right)/2|/|\Delta y_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{j}}| \\ [0; 1.5] \text{ with bins of size } 0.05 \ (30 \text{ bins}).$
- $|\Delta y_{\rm jj}|$: [-5; 5] with bins of size 0.5 (20 bins).

Numerical results 0.4

0.4.1 LO $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^6)$

Code	$\sigma[{ m fb}]$
POWHEG	1.5573 ± 0.0003
Recola $+$ MoCaNLO	1.5503 ± 0.0003
VBFNLO	1.5538 ± 0.0002
BONSAY	1.5524 ± 0.0002
WHIZARD	1.5539 ± 0.0004
${ m MG5_AMC}$	1.547 ± 0.001

Table 2: LO rates within VBS cuts from the different codes.




Figure 1: Invariant-mass of the two tagging jets (left) and of the two leptons (right), at LO.




Figure 2: Transverse momentum of the first (left) and second (right) tagging jet, at LO.

0.4.2 NLO $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^6\alpha_s)$

0.5 Plan

The plan is:

- Scan in $m_{\rm jj}$ and $|\Delta y_{\rm jj}|$ at LO and compute the EW, QCD and interference (for the one who can) contribution.




Figure 3: Rapidity of the first (left) and second (right) tagging jet, at LO.




Figure 4: Zeppenfeld variable of the positron (left) and of the muon (right), at LO.

The binning in $m_{\rm jj}$ is [0, 50, 100, ..., 450, 500, 600, 700, 800].

The binning in $|\Delta y_{jj}|$ is [0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, ..., 4.5, 5.0].

- Based on this, define a "control region" and a "signal region" (should be the one we have identified already). - Compute the QCD correction to QCD-induced and EW in these two regions. - Add PS to these computations (for those who can). - Add EW on top (probably only Mathieu).

Code	$\sigma[{ m fb}]$	$\sigma(n_j = 2)[\text{fb}]$	$\sigma(n_j = 3)[\text{fb}]$
POWHEG	1.334 ± 0.0003	0.808 ± 0.001	0.5260 ± 0.0005
Recola + MoCaNLO	1.317 ± 0.004		'
VBFNLO	1.3531 ± 0.0003	0.8264 ± 0.0003	0.5267 ± 0.0001
BONSAY	1.3366 ± 0.0009	0.8199 ± 0.0008	0.51663 ± 0.00007
${ m MG5_AMC}$	1.318 ± 0.003	0.781 ± 0.004	0.5374 ± 0.0016

Table 3: NLO rates within VBS cuts from the different codes.




Figure 5: Invariant-mass of the two tagging jets (left) and of the two leptons (right), at NLO.

0.6 Remarks

- [MP: Do we want to keep the cuts as they are or do we want to update to the recomandation of WG2?]
- [MP: In particular we should write the report/article as we go further in the project in order to save time.]




Figure 6: Transverse momentum of the first (left) and second (right) tagging jet, at NLO.




Figure 7: Rapidity of the first (left) and second (right) tagging jet, at NLO.




Figure 8: Zeppenfeld variable of the positron (left) and of the muon (right), at NLO.

Part III Conclusion

$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Part\ IV} \\ {\rm Acknowledgments} \end{array}$

Karlsruhe for hosting the documents used for the comparison.