

XTREMCOIN

Smart Contract Review

Deliverable: Smart Contract Audit Report

Security Report

October 2021

Disclaimer

The information and views set out in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the Company. The content, conclusions and recommendations set out in this publication are elaborated in the specific for only project.

eNebula Solutions does not guarantee the authenticity of the project or organization or team of members that is connected/owner behind the project or nor accuracy of the data included in this study. All representations, warranties, undertakings and guarantees relating to the report are excluded, particularly concerning – but not limited to – the qualities of the assessed projects and products. Neither the Company nor any personating on the Company's behalf may be held responsible for the use that may be made of the information contained herein.

eNebula Solutions retains the right to display audit reports and other content elements as examples of their work in their portfolio and as content features in other projects with protecting all security purpose of customer. The report containing confidential information can be used internally by the Customer, or it can be disclosed publicly after all vulnerabilities fixed - upon a decision of the Customer.

© eNebula Solutions, 2021.

Report Summary

Title	Xtremcoin Smart Contract Audit			
Project Owner	Xtremcoin			
Туре	Public			
Reviewed by	Vatsal Raychura Revision date 12/10/2021			
Approved by	eNebula Solutions Private Limited	Approval date	12/10/2021	
		Nº Pages	31	

Overview

Background

Xtremcoin's team requested that eNebula Solutions perform an Extensive Smart Contract audit.

Project Dates

The following is the project schedule for this review and report:

- October 12: Smart Contract Review Completed (Completed)
- October 12: Delivery of Smart Contract Audit Report (Completed)

Review Team

The following eNebula Solutions team member participated in this review:

- Sejal Barad, Security Researcher and Engineer
- Vatsal Raychura, Security Researcher and Engineer

Coverage

Target Specification and Revision

For this audit, we performed research, investigation, and review of the smart contract of Xtremcoin.

The following documentation repositories were considered in-scope for the review:

 Xtremcoin Project: https://bscscan.com/address/0x927ff4ad97991c1eec44ab02c6970088ffa85250#c
 ode

Introduction

Given the opportunity to review Xtremcoin Project's smart contract source code, we in the report outline our systematic approach to evaluate potential security issues in the smart contract implementation, expose possible semantic inconsistencies between smart contract code and design document, and provide additional suggestions or recommendations for improvement. Our results show that the given version of smart contracts is ready to launch after resolving the mentioned issues, there are no critical or high issues found related to business logic, security or performance.

About Xtremcoin: -

Item	Description
Issuer	Xtremcoin
Platform	Solidity
Type	BEP20
Audit Method	Whitebox
Latest Audit Report	October 12, 2021

The Test Method Information: -

Test method	Description
Black box testing	Conduct security tests from an attacker's perspective externally.
Grey box testing	Conduct security testing on code modules through the scripting tool, observing the internal running status, mining weaknesses.
White box testing	Based on the open-source code, non-open-source code, to detect whether there are vulnerabilities in programs such as nodes, SDK, etc.

The vulnerability severity level information:

Level	Description		
Critical	Critical severity vulnerabilities will have a significant effect on the		
	security of the DeFi project, and it is strongly recommended to fix the		
	critical vulnerabilities.		
High	High severity vulnerabilities will affect the normal operation of the DeFi		
	project. It is strongly recommended to fix high-risk vulnerabilities.		
Medium	Medium severity vulnerability will affect the operation of the DeFi		
	project. It is recommended to fix medium-risk vulnerabilities.		
Low	Low severity vulnerabilities may affect the operation of the DeFi project		
	in certain scenarios. It is suggested that the project party should		
	evaluate and consider whether these vulnerabilities need to be fixed.		
Weakness	There are safety risks theoretically, but it is extremely difficult to		
	reproduce in engineering.		

The Full List of Check Items:

Category	Check Item		
	Constructor Mismatch		
	Ownership Takeover		
	Redundant Fallback Function		
	Overflows & Underflows		
	Reentrancy		
	MONEY-Giving Bug		
Pacia Cadina Puga	Blackhole		
Basic Coding Bugs	Unauthorized Self-Destruct		
	Revert DoS		
	Unchecked External Call		
	Gasless Send		
	Send Instead of Transfer		
	Costly Loop		
	(Unsafe) Use of Untrusted Libraries		
	(Unsafe) Use of Predictable Variables		
	Transaction Ordering Dependence		
	Deprecated Uses		
Semantic Consistency Checks	Semantic Consistency Checks		
	Business Logics Review		

	Functionality Checks		
	Authentication Management		
	Access Control & Authorization		
Advanced DeFi Scrutiny	Oracle Security		
Advanced Deri Scrutiny	Digital Asset Escrow		
	Kill-Switch Mechanism		
	Operation Trails & Event Generation		
	ERC20 Idiosyncrasies Handling		
	Frontend-Contract Integration		
	Deployment Consistency		
	Holistic Risk Management		
	Avoiding Use of Variadic Byte Array		
	Using Fixed Compiler Version		
Additional Recommendations	Making Visibility Level Explicit		
	Making Type Inference Explicit		
	Adhering To Function Declaration		
	Strictly		
	Following Other Best Practices		

Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) Classifications Used in This Audit:

Category	Summary
Configuration	Weaknesses in this category are typically introduced during the configuration of the software.
Data Processing Issues	Weaknesses in this category are typically found in functionality that processes data.
Numeric Errors	Weaknesses in this category are related to improper calculation or conversion of numbers.
Security Features	Weaknesses in this category are concerned with topics like authentication, access control, confidentiality, cryptography, and privilege management. (Software security is not security software.)
Time and State	Weaknesses in this category are related to the improper management of time and state in an environment that supports simultaneous or near-simultaneous computation by multiple systems, processes, or threads.
Error Conditions, Return Values, Status Codes	Weaknesses in this category include weaknesses that occur if a function does not generate the correct return/status code, or if the application does not handle all possible return/status codes that could be generated by a function.
Resource Management	Weaknesses in this category are related to improper management of system resources.

Behavioral Issues	Weaknesses in this category are related to unexpected behaviors from code that an application uses.		
Business Logics	Weaknesses in this category identify some of the underlying problems that commonly allow attackers to manipulate the business logic of an application. Errors in business logic can be devastating to an entire application.		
Initialization and Cleanup	Weaknesses in this category occur in behaviors that are used for initialization and breakdown.		
Arguments and Parameters	Weaknesses in this category are related to improper use arguments or parameters within function calls.		
Expression Issues	Weaknesses in this category are related to incorrectly written expressions within code.		
Coding Practices	Weaknesses in this category are related to coding practices that are deemed unsafe and increase the chances that an ex pilotable vulnerability will be present in the application. They may not directly introduce a vulnerability, but indicate the product has not been carefully developed or maintained.		

Findings

Summary

Here is a summary of our findings after analyzing the Xtremcoin's Smart Contract. During the first phase of our audit, we studied the smart contract source code and ran our in-house static code analyzer through the Specific tool. The purpose here is to statically identify known coding bugs, and then manually verify (reject or confirm) issues reported by tool. We further manually review business logics, examine system operations, and place DeFi-related aspects under scrutiny to uncover possible pitfalls and/or bugs.

Severity	No. of Issues
Critical	0
High	0
Medium	0
Low	4
Total	4

We have so far identified that there are potential issues with severity of **0 Critical**, **0 High**, **0 Medium**, **and 4 Low**. Overall, these smart contracts are well- designed and engineered, though the implementation can be improved and bug free by common recommendations given under POCs.

Functional Overview

(\$) = payable function	[Pub] public
# = non-constant function	[Ext] external
	[Prv] private
	[Int] internal

- + [Int] IBEP20
 - [Ext] totalSupply
 - [Ext] balanceOf
 - [Ext] transfer #
 - [Ext] allowance
 - [Ext] approve #
 - [Ext] transferFrom #
- + [Lib] SafeMath
 - [Int] tryAdd
 - [Int] trySub
 - [Int] tryMul
 - [Int] tryDiv
 - [Int] tryMod
 - [Int] add
 - [Int] sub
 - [Int] mul
 - [Int] div
 - [Int] mod
 - [Int] sub
 - [Int] div
 - [Int] mod



- [Ext] createPair # - [Ext] setFeeTo # - [Ext] setFeeToSetter # + [Int] IUniswapV2Pair - [Ext] name - [Ext] symbol - [Ext] decimals - [Ext] totalSupply - [Ext] balanceOf - [Ext] allowance - [Ext] approve # - [Ext] transfer # - [Ext] transferFrom # - [Ext] DOMAIN_SEPARATOR - [Ext] PERMIT_TYPEHASH - [Ext] nonces - [Ext] permit # - [Ext] MINIMUM_LIQUIDITY - [Ext] factory - [Ext] token0 - [Ext] token1 - [Ext] getReserves - [Ext] price0CumulativeLast - [Ext] price1CumulativeLast - [Ext] kLast - [Ext] mint # - [Ext] burn # - [Ext] swap # - [Ext] skim # - [Ext] sync #

- [Ext] initialize # + [Int] IUniswapV2Router01 - [Ext] factory - [Ext] WETH - [Ext] addLiquidity # - [Ext] addLiquidityETH (\$) - [Ext] removeLiquidity # - [Ext] removeLiquidityETH # - [Ext] removeLiquidityWithPermit # - [Ext] removeLiquidityETHWithPermit # - [Ext] swapExactTokensForTokens # - [Ext] swapTokensForExactTokens # - [Ext] swapExactETHForTokens (\$) - [Ext] swapTokensForExactETH # - [Ext] swapExactTokensForETH # - [Ext] swapETHForExactTokens (\$) - [Ext] quote - [Ext] getAmountOut - [Ext] getAmountIn - [Ext] getAmountsOut - [Ext] getAmountsIn + [Int] IUniswapV2Router02 (IUniswapV2Router01) - [Ext] removeLiquidityETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens # - [Ext] removeLiquidityETHWithPermitSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens # - [Ext] swapExactTokensForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens # - [Ext] swapExactETHForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens (\$) - [Ext] swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens #

+ Xtremcoin (Context, IBEP20, Ownable)

- [Pub] <Constructor> # - [Pub] isBot - [Pub] name - [Pub] symbol - [Pub] decimals - [Pub] totalSupply - [Pub] balanceOf - [Pub] transfer # - [Pub] allowance - [Pub] approve # - [Pub] transferFrom # - [Ext] addBotToBlackList # - modifiers: onlyOwner - [Ext] removeBotFromBlackList # - modifiers: onlyOwner - [Pub] increaseAllowance # - [Pub] decreaseAllowance # - [Pub] isExcludedFromReward - [Pub] totalFees - [Pub] deliver # - [Pub] reflectionFromToken - [Pub] tokenFromReflection - [Pub] excludeFromReward # - modifiers: onlyOwner - [Ext] includeInReward # - modifiers: onlyOwner - [Prv] _transferBothExcluded # - [Pub] excludeFromFee # - modifiers: onlyOwner

- [Pub] includeInFee #

- modifiers: onlyOwner

- [Ext] setTaxFeePercent #
- modifiers: onlyOwner
- [Ext] setCharityFeePercent #
 - modifiers: onlyOwner
- [Ext] setCharityAddress #
 - modifiers: onlyOwner
- [Ext] setLiquidityFeePercent #
 - modifiers: onlyOwner
- [Ext] setMaxTxPercent #
 - modifiers: onlyOwner
- [Pub] setSwapAndLiquifyEnabled #
 - modifiers: onlyOwner
- [Ext] <Fallback> (\$)
- [Prv] _reflectFee #
- [Prv] _getValues
- [Prv] _getTValues
- [Prv] _getRValues
- [Prv] _getRate
- [Prv] _getCurrentSupply
- [Prv] _takeLiquidity #
- [Prv] _takeCharity #
- [Prv] calculateTaxFee
- [Prv] calculateCharityFee
- [Prv] calculateLiquidityFee
- [Prv] removeAllFee #
- [Prv] restoreAllFee #
- [Pub] isExcludedFromFee
- [Prv] _approve #
- [Prv] _transfer #
- [Prv] swapAndLiquify #
 - modifiers: lockTheSwap

- [Prv] swapTokensForEth #
- [Prv] addLiquidity #
- [Prv] _tokenTransfer #
- [Prv] _transferStandard #
- [Prv] _transferToExcluded #
- [Prv] _transferFromExcluded #
- [Pub] burn #
- [Int] _burn #
- [Pub] mint #
 - modifiers: onlyOwner
- [Int] _beforeTokenTransfer #

Detailed Results

Issues Checking Status

1. Floating Pragma

- SWC ID:103
- Severity: Low
- Location:https://bscscan.com/address/0x927ff4ad97991c1eec44ab02c697 0088ffa85250#code
- Relationships: CWE-664: Improper Control of a Resource Through its Lifetime
- Description: A floating pragma is set. The current pragma Solidity directive is ""^0.8.3"". It is recommended to specify a fixed compiler version to ensure that the bytecode produced does not vary between builds. This is especially important if you rely on bytecode-level verification of the code.

```
24
25 pragma solidity ^0.8.3;
26
```

 Remediations: Lock the pragma version and also consider known bugs (https://github.com/ethereum/solidity/releases) for the compiler version that is chosen.

2. State Variable Default Visibility

- SWC ID:108Severity: Low
- Location:https://bscscan.com/address/0x927ff4ad97991c1eec44ab02c697 0088ffa85250#code
- Relationships: CWE-710: Improper Adherence to Coding Standards
- Description: State variable visibility is not set. It is best practice to set the visibility of state variables explicitly. The default visibility for "inSwapAndLiquify" is internal. Other possible visibility settings are public and private.

```
IUniswapV2Router02 public immutable uniswapV2Router;
address public immutable uniswapV2Pair;

bool inSwapAndLiquify;

bool public swapAndLiquifyEnabled = true;
```

• Remediations: Variables can be specified as being public, internal or private. Explicitly define visibility for all state variables.

3. Authorization through tx.origin

- SWC ID:115
- Severity: Low
- Location:https://bscscan.com/address/0x927ff4ad97991c1eec44ab02c697 0088ffa85250#code
- Relationships: CWE-477: Use of Obsolete Function
- Description: Use of "tx.origin" as a part of authorization control. Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.

```
function transferFrom(address sender, address recipient, wint256 acount) public override returns (bool) {

require(|_isBlackListedBot[sender], "You have no power here!");

require(!_isBlackListedBot[recipient], "You have no power here!");

require(!_isBlackListedBot[tx.origin], "You have no power here!");

transfer(sender, recipient, amount);

_approve(sender, _msgSender(), _allowances[sender][_msgSender()].sub(amount, "BEP20: transfer amount exceeds allowance"));

return true;

yzz
```

• Remediations: tx.origin should not be used for authorization. Use msg.sender instead.

4. Authorization through tx.origin

- SWC ID:115
- Severity: Low
- Location:https://bscscan.com/address/0x927ff4ad97991c1eec44ab02c697 0088ffa85250#code
- Relationships: CWE-477: Use of Obsolete Function
- Description: Use of "tx.origin" as a part of authorization control. Using "tx.origin" as a security control can lead to authorization bypass vulnerabilities. Consider using "msg.sender" unless you really know what you are doing.

```
require(!_isBlackListedBot[from], "You have no power here!");
require(!_isBlackListedBot[to], "You have no power here!");
require(!_isBlackListedBot[tx.origin], "You have no power here!");

require(!_isBlackListedBot[tx.origin], "You have no power here!");
```

• Remediations: tx.origin should not be used for authorization. Use msg.sender instead.

Automated Tools Results

Slither: -

```
Xtremcoin.transferFrom(address,uddress,uint250) (Xtremcoin.sci#916-923) uses tx.origin for authorization; require(bool,string)(i _isBlackListedBot[tx.origin],You have no power here!) (Xtremcoin.sol#919)
Xtremcoin._transfer[address,address,uint256) (Xtremcoin.sol#1172-1221) uses tx.origin for authorization; require(bool,string)(! _isBlackListedBot[tx.origin])
Yeference; https://github.com/crytic/siither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dangerous-usage-of-txorigin
 (tremcoin.addLlquidity(uint256,uint256) (Xiremcoin.sol#1264-1277) ignores return value by uniswapV2Router.addLiquidityETH(value: ethAmount)(address(th
ls),tokenAmount,0,0,cwner(),block.timestamp) (Xiremcoin.sol#1269-1276)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#unused-return
Xtrencoin.allowance(oddress,address).owner (Xtrencoin.sol#987) shadows:
    Ownable.owner() (Xtrencoin.sol#952-554) (function)
Xtrencoin.approve(address,address.uint250).owner (Xtrencoin.sol#3184) shadows:
    Ownable.owner() (Xtrencoin.sol#552-554) (function)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documenfation#local-variable-shadowing
Xtrencoin.setTaxFeePercent(wint256) (Xtrencoin.sol#1833-1835) should emit an event for:
```

```
oln. transfer(address,address,wint256) (Xtrencoln.sel#1172-1221))
                   Esternal cells:
uniswapV2Pair = IUniswapV2Factory(_uniswapV2Router.factory()).createPair(address(this),_uniswapV2Router.METH()) (Xtrencoin.sol#858-859)
State variables written after the call(s))
black.istedBots.push(address(8xEB31b36b53E53a292a20c5F88fdio58CDdf74fce)) (Xtrencoin.sol#872)
_lsblack.istedBot[eddress(8xEB31b36b53E53a292a20c5F88fdio58CDdf74fce)] = true (Xtrencoin.sol#871)
_lsblack.istedBot[eddress(8xEB31b36b53E53a292a20c5F88fdio58CDdf74fce)] = true (Xtrencoin.sol#865)
_lsblack.istedBot[eddress(8xEB31b36b53E53a292a20c5F88fdio58CDdf74fce)] = true (Xtrencoin.sol#865)
 eentrancy in xtrencoin.swapAndLiquiry(uint256) (Xtrencoin.sol#1223-1244):
External talls:
                  ternal calls:
swapTokensForEth(half) (%tremcoln.sol#1235)
                                 unixeapv2Router.swapfxactTokensForETHSupportingfeeOnTransferTokens(tokenAmount,8.path.address(this),block.timestamp) (xtrencoin.sol#
              it)
- addLiquidity(otherHalf,newBalance) (Xtremcoln.sol#1241)
- addLiquidity(otherHalf,newBalance) (Xtremcoln.sol#1241)
- unixwspV2Router.addLiquidityEfM[value: ethAnsunt)(address(this),tokenAnount,6,0,owner(),block.timestamp) (Xtremcoln.sol#1269-1276)
External calls sending eth)
- addLiquidity(otherHalf,newBalance) (Xtremcoln.sol#1241)
- unixwspV2Router.addLiquidityEfM[value: ethAnount)(address(this),tokenAnount,6,0,owner(),block.timestamp) (Xtremcoln.sol#1269-1276)
State variables written after the call(6):
- addLiquidity(otherHalf,newBalance) (Xtremcoln.sol#1241)
- allowances[owner][spender] = anount (Xtremcoln.sol#1269)
ov in Etracoln.tropsferFrom[address.sol#1256) (Xtremcoln.sol#1360)
 reentrancy in Xtrencoin.transferFrom(address,address,uint256) (Xtrencoin.sal#916-923):
    External calls:
                   1255-1281)
External calls sending eth:
furfsender, recipier
External calls
                    Enternal calls:
unlswapv2Palr
  External Calis:
- wilswap02Pair = |lintswap02Factory(_untswap02Router.factory()).createPair(address(this),_uniswap02Router.WETH()) (Xtrexcoin.sol#858-859)

Event entitled after the cali(s):
- Transfer(address(8),owner()_tTotal) (Xtrexcoin.sol#868)

eentrancy in Xtrexcoin.swapAndLiquify(uint256) (Xtrexcoin.sol#823-1244):
               External calls
                    na)

- addLlquidtty(otherMalf,newBalance) (Xfrencoin.sol#1241)

- addLlquidtty(otherMalf,newBalance) (Xfrencoin.sol#1241)

- uniswapV2Router.addLlquidityETH(value: ethArmunt)(address(this),tokenAmount,8,0,owner(),block.timestamp) (Xtrencoin.sol#1269-1276)

External calls sending eth:

- addLlquidtty(otherMalf,newBalance) (Xtrencoin.sol#1241)

- uniswapV2Router.addLlquidftyETH(value: ethArmunt)(address(this),tokenAmount,8,0,owner(),block.timestamp) (Xtrencoin.sol#1269-1276)

Event emitted after the call(s):
                   Approval(owner_spender,anount) (Xtremcoln.sol#1169)
addLiquid(ty(otherHalf,newBalance) (Xtremcoln.sol#1245)
SwapAndLiquify(half,newBalance,otherHalf) (Xtremcoln.sol#1243)
```

```
im.transferfrom(address,address,uint256) (Xtrancolm.sol#916-923):
                                     Paternal calls sending eth:
-_transfer(sender,rec[plent,amount) (Xtrencoin.sol#920)
-_transfer(sender,rec[plent,amount) (Xtrencoin.sol#920)
- uniswapVZHouter.addLiquidityETH(value: ethAmount)(address(this),tokenAmount,0,0,0wner(),block.timestamp) (Xtrencoin.sol#1269-1270)

Event emitted after the call(s):
- Approval(owner,spender,amount) (Xtrencoin.sol#1169)
- approval(owner,spender,mount) (Xtrencoin.sol#1169)
- approval(bender,mount) (Xtrencoin.sol#1169)
 911)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3
  Address.isContract(address) (Atrencoin.sol#358-307) was assembly
INLINE ASR (Xtrencoin.sol#365)
Address_verifyCallResult(bod.bytes,atring) (Xtrencoin.sol#303-520) uses assembly
INLINE ASR (Xtrencoin.sol#352-315)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
 Address. VerifyCallMesult(bool,bytes,string) (Xtrancoin.soi#Se3:528) is never used and should be removed Address. FunctionCall(address.bytes) (Xtrencoin.sol#31-413) is never used and should be removed Address.functionCall(address.bytes.string) (Xtrancoin.soi#341-423) is never used and should be removed Address.functionCallWithValue(address.bytes.uint256) (Xtrancoin.soi#44-430) is never used and should be removed Address.functionCallWithValue(address.bytes.uint256.string) (Xtrancoin.soi#44-430) is never used and should be removed Address.functionCallWithValue(address.bytes) (Xtrancoin.soi#48-453) is never used and should be removed Address.functionCallWithValue(address.bytes) (Xtrancoin.soi#49-561) is never used and should be removed Address.functionCall(address.bytes) (Xtrancoin.soi#44-453) is never used and should be removed Address.functionCall(address.bytes) (Xtrancoin.soi#44-477) is never used and should be removed Address.inContract(address) (Xtrancoin.soi#38-367) is never used and should be removed Address.sincoin.soi#48-453) is never used and should be removed Context_msgData() (Xtrancoin.soi#31-304) is never used and should be removed Context_msgData() (Xtrancoin.soi#31-304) is never used and should be removed SafeMath.ctv(uint256.uint256.string) (Xtrancoin.soi#38-313) is never used and should be removed SafeMath.nod(uint256.uint256.string) (Xtrancoin.soi#38-313) is never used and should be removed SafeMath.tryAdd(uint256.uint256) (Xtrancoin.soi#38-313) is never used and should be removed SafeMath.tryAdd(uint256.uint256) (Xtrancoin.soi#31-240) is never used and should be removed SafeMath.tryAdd(uint256.uint256) (Xtrancoin.soi#31-270) is never used and should be removed SafeMath.tryMu(uint256.uint256) (Xtrancoin.soi#31-270) is never used and should be removed SafeMath.tryMu(uint256.uint256) (Xtrancoin.soi#31-270) is never used and should be removed SafeMath.tryMu(uint256.uint256) (Xtrancoin.soi#31-315) is never used and should be removed SafeMath.tryMu(uint256.uint256) (Xtrancoin.soi#31-315) is never use
    afeMath.trySub(uint250,uint250) (Xtrencoin.sol#130-135) is never used and should be removed 
leference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
   Xtrencoln, rTotal (Xtrencoln.solWORR) is set pre-construction with a non-constant function or state variable:
- (MAX % _tTotal))
  Attencoln, previous faxfee (xtrencoln solemin) is set pre-construction with a non-constant function or state variable:
__taxfee
   Atrencein, previouscharityFee (Atrencein, sel#819) is set pre-construction with a non-constant function or state variable:

    - charityFee
    Xtrencoln.previousliquidityFee (Xtrencoln.sol#823) is set pre-construction with a non-constant function or state variable;

    - ItquidityPee
    Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wikl/Detector-Documentation#function-initializing-state

  Pragna version^0.8.3 (Xtrencoin.sol#25) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6 solc-8.8.3 is not recommended for deployment 
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-af-solidity
Low level call in Address.sendValue(address.uint256) (Xtrencoin.sol#385-191):
 Function IUniswapV2Pair.DDMAIN_SEPARATOR() (Xtrencoin.sol#618) is not in mixedCase
function IUniswapV2Pair.PEDMIT_TYPEMASH() (Xtrencoin.sol#636) is not in mixedCase
function IUniswapV2Pair.RINIMUM_LIQUIDITY() (Xtrencoin.sol#636) is not in mixedCase
function IUniswapV2Pair.RINIMUM_L
  Redundant expression "this (Xtrenculo.sol#332)" incuntext (Xtrencoin.sul#320-335)
Peference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/betector-bocumentation#redundant-statements
```

```
ar to füniswapV2Routerbi.addilguldity(address.address.uint256.uint256.uint256.uint256.address.uint256).amountBDestred (Xtrencoln.sol#662)
table Xtrencoln._transferToExcluded(address.address.uint256).rTransferAnount (Xtrencoln.sol#1311) is ton similar to Xtrencoln._transferToExcluded(a
ess.address.uint256).tTransferAnount (Xtrencoln.sol#1311)
                    sie Xtremcoln, transferToExcluded(address,address,wint256).rTransferAmount (Xtremcoln.sol#1311) is too similar to Xtremcoln.getValues(wint256).t
ferAmount (Xtremcoln.sol#1069)
       riable Xtremcoln_transferTotxcluded(address,address,uint256).fTransferAmount (Xtremcoln.sol#1212) is too similar to Xtremcoln_getTvalues(wint256).
ronsferAmount (Xtremcoln.sol#1878)
riable Xtremcoln.reflectionFronToken(wint256,bool).rTransferAmount (Xtremcoln.sol#986) is too similar to Etremcoln._transferFronExcluded(address.add
                    ulni256).tTranoferAnouni (Xiremcoln.sol#1322)
ble Xiremcoln._tranoferStandard(address,address,uini256).rTranoferAnouni (Xiremcoln.sol#1381) is too similar to Xiremcoln._getTValues(uini256).tT
           sferamount (Xtrencoln sol#1078)
       rlable Xtrencota._transferTotxcluded(address,oddress,wint256).rTransferAnount (Xtrencota.sol#1311) is too similar to Xtrencota._transferStandard(add
ss_address_wint256).tTransferAnount (Xtrancota.sol#1361)
rtable Xtrencota._transferTotxcluded(address_address_wint256).FTransferAnount (Xtrencota.sol#1311) is too similar to Xtrencota._transferBothtxcluded
ddress_address_wint256).tTransferAnount (Xtrancota.sol#1014)
codiress,address.uint256).transferAnount (Xfrencoin.sol#1818)
partable Xfrencoin._transferTofscluded(address,address.uint256).transferAnount (Xfrencoin.sol#1311) is ton similar to Xfrencoin._transferFrontxcluded
(address,address,uint256).transferAnount (Xfrencoin.sol#1322)
partable Xfrencoin._transferStandard(address,uint236).rfransferAnount (Xfrencoin.sol#1381) is ton similar to Xfrencoin._transferStandard(address,uint236).transferAnount (Xfrencoin.sol#1381) is ton similar to Xfrencoin._transferStandard(address,uint236).fransferAnount (Xfrencoin.sol#1814) is ton similar to Xfrencoin._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).fransferAnount (Xfrencoin.sol#1814) is ton similar to Xfrencoin._transferAnount (Xfrencoin.sol#1814)
partable Xfrencoin._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).rfransferAnount (Xfrencoin.sol#1814) is ton similar to Xfrencoin._getValues(wint256)
partable Xfrencoin._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).rfransferAnount (Xfrencoin.sol#1814) is ton similar to Xfrencoin._getValues(wint256)
partable Xfrencoin._transferStandard(address.address,uint256)
partable Xfrencoin._transferStandard(address.address.uint256)
       riable Xtrencoin._transferstendard(address.address.uint250).rTransferAnount (Xtrencoin.sol#1381) is too similar to Atrencoin._transferFronEscluded(a
ress.address.uint256).tTransferAnount (Xtrencoin.sol#1322)
riable Xtrencoin._transferFronEscluded(address.uint256).rTransferAnount (Xtrencoin.sol#1322) is too similar to Xtrencoin._transferFronEsclud
(address.address.uint256).tTransferAnount (Xtrencoin.sol#1322)
riable Xtrencoin._getValues(wint256).rTransferAnount (Xtrencoin.sol#1870) is too similar to Xtrencoin._getTValues(wint256).tTransferAnount (Xtrencoin.
 .transfer/mount (Xtrencoln, sol#10/0)
artable Xtrencoln, transferBothExcluded(address, address, uint256).rTransferAmount (Xtrencoln.zol#1014) is too similar to Xtrencoln._transferBothExcluded(address, uint256).tTransferAmount (Xtrencoln.sol#1014)
artable Xtrencoln, getValues(uint256).fTransferAmount (Xtrencoln.sol#1070) is too similar to Xtrencoln._transferFruntxcluded(address,address,uint256)
tTransferAmount (Xtrencoln.sol#1323)
artable Xtrencoln.sol#1323)
 ariable Xtrencoin._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1814) is too similar to Xtrencoin._transferStandard(a
        ess,address,vint255).tTransferAmount (Xtrencoim.sol#1301)
lable Xtrencoln._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1014) is too similar to Xtrencoin. transferFromExclud
       (address,address,ulnt256).tTransferArount (Atrencoln.sol#1322)
rlable Xtrencoln._getValues(ulnt256).rTransferArount (Atrencoln.sol#1876) is too similar to Atrencoln._transferToExcluded(address,address,ulnt256).t
artable Afrencola, transferStandard(address,utnt256).rTransferAmount (Xtrencola,sol#1361) is too sinilar to Xtrencola,_transferBothExcluded(a idress,utnt256).tTransferAmount (Xtrencola,sol#1361) is too sinilar to Xtrencola,_transferAmount (Xtrencola,sol#1361) is too sinilar to Xtrencola,_transferStandard(address,uddress,utnt256).tTransferAmount (Xtrencola,_transferStandard(address,uddress,utnt256).tTransferAmount (Xtrencola,_sol#1322) is too sinilar to Xtrencola,_transferAmount_transferIndard(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmount(address,utnt256).tTransferAmou
      t (attencoin.soi#1878)
riable Xtremcoin.getRyalues(vint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).rTransferAmount (Xtremcoin.sol#1887) is too similar to Attencoin._transferTotx
uded(address.address.uint256).tTransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1811)
riable Xtremcoin._getRyalues(uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).rTransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1887) is too similar to Xtrencoin._getValues(ui
t283).tTransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1886)
riable Xtrencoin._getRyalues(uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).rTransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1887) is too similar to Xtrencoin._transfer3tan
   rd(address,address,uln1250).trunsferAnount (Xtrencoin.sol#1301)
irlable Xtrencoin._transferFronExcluded(address,address,uln1256).rTransferAnount (Xtrencoin.sol#1322) is too similar to Xtrencoin._getTValues(uin1256
tTransferAnount (Xtrencoin.sol#1078)
     transfervation (Attencion socialors)
(table Xtrencoin, gentoin socialors)
(table Xtrencoin, gentoin gentoin gentoin transfer, unit256, uni
         ramaterAmburt (XISHCOLD). SURALE, UINEZS6, UINEZS6, UINEZS6, UINEZS6, UINEZS6). TransferAmburt (XISHCOLN, Sol≇1687) is too similar to Atrencoln, _transferButh
luded(address, address, UineZS6), tTransferAmburt (XISHCOLN, Sol≇1814)
lable XISHColn, reflectionFromToken(uineZS6, bool). (TransferAmburt (XISHCOLN, Sol®986) is too similar to XISHCOLN, _transferTotxcluded(address, addre
         uint256).tTransferAmount (Xtremcoin.sol#1311)
Lable Xtremcoin.reflectionFromToken(uint256,bool).rTransferAmount (Xtremcoin.sol#988) is too similar to Xtremcoin._getValues(uint256).tTransferAmou
      (Xirencoin solWinso)
it (Xtrencoin.sol#1809)
Arriable Xtrencoin.getRvalues(uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).FTransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1887) is too similar to Xtrencoin.getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1887) is too similar to Xtrencoin.getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1987) is too similar to Xtrencoin.getTvalued(addess.address.address.vint256).tTransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1981)
Arriable Xtrencoin.getCointransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1911)
Arriable Xtrencoin.getCointransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1911)
Arriable Xtrencoin.getCointransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1910)
Arriable Xtrencoin.getCointransferAmount (Xtrencoin.sol#1910)
 uint256).tTranuferAmount (Xtrumcoin.sol#1361)
arlable Xtremcoin._transferStandard(address.eddress.uint256).sFransferAmount (Xtremcoin.sol#1361) is too similar to Xtremcoin._getValues(uint256).tTr
   isferAnount (Xtremcolm.sol#1869)
marer-mount (Xtrencolm.so.Pine)
ariable Xtrencolm.transferrontxcluded(address,address,utnt250].rTransferAmount (Xtrencolm.sol#1322) is too similar to Xtrencolm._transferrotxcluded
address.oddress.utnt250).tTransferAmount (Xtrencolm.sol#1311)
ariable Xtrencolm._transferFronfxcluded(address,address,utnt256).rFransferAmount (Xtrencolm.sol#1322) is too similar to Xtrencolm._getValues(utnt256)
tTransferAmount (Xtrencolm.sol#1809)
transferencement (Attencous, South S
ess,uint25e).tTransferArount (Xtrencoln.sul#1814)
eference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#variable-names-are-tog-similar
```

```
Attending Americans (Extending Augustian) should be constant
Attending (Extending Augustian) should be delivered the should be delivered external:

- Omnable - Frant Forburst (Augustian) (Attending Augustian)
- Omnable - Frant Forburst (Augustian) (Augustian)
- Omnable - Frant Forburst (Augustian) (Augustian)
- Omnable - Frant Forburst (Augustian)
- Augustian)
- Omnable - Frant Forburst (Augustian)
- Augustian)
- Augustian (Augustian)
- Augustian)
- Augustian
- A
```

MythX: -

.Lne	SHC Title	Severity	Short Description
25	(SMC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
119	(SHC-181) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
133	(SMC-181) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
148	(SMC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "*" discovered
149	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
162	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
174	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "%" discovered
189	(5WC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+" discovered
203	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
217	(SHC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "*" discovered
231	(SMC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
247	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "%" discovered
266	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
289	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "/" discovered
311	(SWC-161) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "%" discovered
887	(SMC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "**" discovered
867	(SMC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "*" discovered

897	(SMC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "*" discovered
808	(SMC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
808	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "%" discovered
827	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
830	(SMC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "**" discovered
830	(SMC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "*" discovered
831	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "**" discovered
831	(SWC-181) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "*" discovered
919	(SWC-115) Authorization through tx.origin	Low	Use of "tx.origin" as a part of authorization control.
937	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "++" discovered
938	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
939	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Compiler-rewritable " <uint> - 1" discovered</uint>
939	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
939	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
1003	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "++" discovered
1004	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
1005	(SMC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
1005	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
1605	(SMC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Compiler-rewritable " <uint> - 1" discovered</uint>
1005	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-" discovered
1005	(SWC-181) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Compiler-rewritable " <uint> - 1" discovered</uint>
1051	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "**" discovered
1899	(SWC-161) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "++" discovered
1100	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
1101	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
1102	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
1126	(SWC-181) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "**" discovered
1132	(SWC-161) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "**" discovered
1138	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "**" discovered
1183	(SWC-115) Authorization through tx.origin	Low	Use of "tx.origin" as a part of authorization control.
1249	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
1250	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
1347	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+=" discovered
1348	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "+=" discovered

Basic Coding Bugs

1. Constructor Mismatch

 Description: Whether the contract name and its constructor are not identical to each other.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Critical

2. Ownership Takeover

o Description: Whether the set owner function is not protected.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Critical

3. Redundant Fallback Function

o Description: Whether the contract has a redundant fallback function.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Critical

4. Overflows & Underflows

 Description: Whether the contract has general overflow or underflow vulnerabilities

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Critical

5. Reentrancy

 Description: Reentrancy is an issue when code can call back into your contract and change state, such as withdrawing ETHs.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Critical

6. MONEY-Giving Bug

 Description: Whether the contract returns funds to an arbitrary address.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: High

7. Blackhole

 Description: Whether the contract locks ETH indefinitely: merely in without out.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: High

8. Unauthorized Self-Destruct

 Description: Whether the contract can be killed by any arbitrary address.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Medium

9. Revert DoS

 Description: Whether the contract is vulnerable to DoS attack because of unexpected revert.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Medium

10. Unchecked External Call

o Description: Whether the contract has any external call without checking the return value.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Medium

11. Gasless Send

 $\circ \quad \text{Description: Whether the contract is vulnerable to gasless send.}$

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Medium

12. Send Instead of Transfer

 $\circ\quad \text{Description: Whether the contract uses send instead of transfer.}$

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Medium

13. Costly Loop

 Description: Whether the contract has any costly loop which may lead to Out-Of-Gas exception.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Medium

14. (Unsafe) Use of Untrusted Libraries

o Description: Whether the contract use any suspicious libraries.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Medium

15. (Unsafe) Use of Predictable Variables

 Description: Whether the contract contains any randomness variable, but its value can be predicated.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Medium

16. Transaction Ordering Dependence

 Description: Whether the final state of the contract depends on the order of the transactions.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Medium

17. Deprecated Uses

• Description: Whether the contract use the deprecated tx.origin to perform the authorization.

Result: FoundSeverity: Medium

Semantic Consistency Checks

 Description: Whether the semantic of the white paper is different from the implementation of the contract.

Result: PASSEDSeverity: Critical

Conclusion

In this audit, we thoroughly analyzed Xtremcoin's Smart Contract. The current code base is well organized but there are promptly some low-level Type issues found in the first phase of Smart Contract Audit.

Meanwhile, we need to emphasize that smart contracts as a whole are still in an early, but exciting stage of development. To improve this report, we greatly appreciate any constructive feedbacks or suggestions, on our methodology, audit findings, or potential gaps in scope/coverage.

About eNebula Solutions

We believe that people have a fundamental need to security and that the use of secure solutions enables every person to more freely use the Internet and every other connected technology. We aim to provide security consulting service to help others make their solutions more resistant to unauthorized access to data & inadvertent manipulation of the system. We support teams from the design phase through the production to launch and surely after.

The eNebula Solutions team has skills for reviewing code in C, C++, Python, Haskell, Rust, Node.js, Solidity, Go, and JavaScript for common security vulnerabilities & specific attack vectors. The team has reviewed implementations of cryptographic protocols and distributed system architecture, including in cryptocurrency, blockchains, payments, and smart contracts. Additionally, the team can utilize various tools to scan code & networks and build custom tools as necessary.

Although we are a small team, we surely believe that we can have a momentous impact on the world by being translucent and open about the work we do.

For more information about our security consulting, please mail us at – contact@enebula.in