

MODULE NAME:	MODULE CODE:
PROGRAMMING 3B	PROG7312
ADVANCED APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT	AAPD7112/w

ASSESSMENT TYPE: POE (PAPER)

TOTAL MARK ALLOCATION: 300 MARKS

TOTAL HOURS: A minimum of 45 HOURS is suggested to complete this assessment.

By submitting this assignment, you acknowledge that you have read and understood all the rules as per the terms in the registration contract, in particular the assignment and assessment rules in The IIE Assessment Strategy and Policy (IIE009), the intellectual integrity and plagiarism rules in the Intellectual Integrity and Property Rights Policy (IIE023), as well as any rules and regulations published in the student portal.

INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. No material may be copied from original sources, even if referenced correctly, unless it is a direct quote indicated with quotation marks. No more than 10% of the assignment may consist of direct quotes.
- 2. Please ensure that you submit your assignment through Turnitin. Please make sure you attach a similarity report to your POE if you are required to submit a hard copy of your PoE.
- 3. Make a copy of your assignment before handing it in.
- 4. Assignments must be typed unless otherwise specified.
- 5. Begin each section on a new page.
- 6. Follow all instructions on the PoE cover sheet.
- 7. This is an individual assignment.

Referencing Rubric

Providing evidence based on valid and referenced academic sources is a fundamental educational principle and the cornerstone of high-quality academic work. Part of achieving this quality is referencing in a way that is consistent and congruent with the requirements of the referencing style being

Therefore, inconsistent and/or incongruent referencing will result in a penalty of <u>a maximum of ten percent</u> being deducted from the overall percentage awarded to your assessment submission.

Please note that evidence of plagiarism in the form of copied or unreferenced work, absent reference lists, or exceptionally poor referencing may result in action being taken in accordance with The IIE's Intellectual Integrity and Property Rights Policy (IIE023). Similarly, evidence of excessive AI usage may result in action being taken in accordance with The IIE's Student Conduct, Discipline and Safety Policy (IIE015)

Markers are required to provide feedback to students by circling/underlining the information in the table below that best describes the student's work <u>and</u> by adding constructive commentary where appropriate. The examples provided are not exhaustive but illustrate the errors.

Deductions

- Where the student's work contains five or more errors aligned to the minor errors column below, deduct 5% from the overall percentage.
- Where the student's work contains five or more errors aligned to the major errors column below, <u>deduct 10% from the overall percentage</u>.
- Where both minor and major errors (e.g. two minor and three major, etc.) are present, <u>deduct 10% only</u> (and not 5% or 15%) from the overall percentage.

Discipline and Safety Policy (IIE015)		
Required:	Minor errors	Major errors
Consistent and congruent	Deduct 5% from overall percentage.	Deduct 10% from the overall percentage.
referencing	Example: if the response receives 70%, deduct 5%. The	Example: if the response receives 70%, deduct 10%.
	final mark is 65%.	The final mark is 60%.
Consistency	Minor inconsistencies:	Major inconsistencies:
The correct referencing style for	 The referencing style used is generally consistent with 	 Poor and wholly inconsistent referencing style used
the discipline – i.e., either	what is required, but there are one or two	in-text and/or in the bibliography/reference list.
Harvard, OR APA (for	changes/errors in the format of in-text referencing	
Psychology), OR Law, OR IEEE	and/or in the bibliography/reference list.	Multiple referencing styles for the same source
(for ICT/Engineering) – has been used consistently for all	- For example, page numbers for direct quetes in tout	types have been used.
in-text references and in the	 For example, page numbers for direct quotes in-text have been provided for one source, but not in another. 	For example, the format for direct quotes in-text
bibliography/reference list.	Or, two book chapters in the bibliography/reference	and/or book chapters in the bibliography/reference
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	list have been referenced in two different formats. Or,	list and/or year of publication in the
Concepts and ideas that are	the publication year has been placed after the author	bibliography/reference list is different across
quoted and/or paraphrased	name in one bibliography/reference list entry, and	multiple instances.
are referenced consistently	after the source title in another, etc.	
throughout.		Concepts and ideas in quotes and/or paraphrases
	 Concepts and ideas in quotes and/or paraphrases are 	are haphazardly referenced in-text.
Position of the in-text reference:	typically referenced, but a full in-text reference is	
an in-text reference is	missing or incomplete from one or two small sections	Position of the references: in-text references are
positioned consistently where	of the work.	only given at the beginning or end of large sections
appropriate for every quote and paraphrase.	Partition of the seference to be built-	of work.
and paraprilase.	Position of the references: in-text references are only	
- "	given at the beginning and/or end of every paragraph.	

Feedback on referencing consistency:

Congruency

- Each source reflected within in-text references is included accurately in the bibliography/reference list.
- All bibliography/reference list entries are in the required order for the referencing style used (e.g. alphabetical, alphabetical under subheadings, numerical).
- All direct quotes and paraphrases have been integrated appropriately into the text using introductory phrases, accurate grammar, etc.

Minor incongruences:

- There is largely a match between the sources presented in-text and those in the bibliography/reference list, but one or two sources that appear in-text do not appear in the bibliography/reference list, or vice versa. Or key source information is missing from one or two in-text references or bibliography/reference list entries only (e.g. publication year, city of publication, URL date accessed, etc.).
- There is a clear and largely accurate ordering of sources in the bibliography/reference list as required by the referencing style used, but with one or two references out of order.
- An attempt has been made for source integration into the text using appropriate introductory phrases and grammar, but one or two quotes or paraphrases do not flow as clearly or logically within the sentence structure as they could.

Major incongruences:

- No relationship/several incongruencies between the in-text referencing and the bibliography/reference list.
- For example, multiple sources are included in-text, but not in the bibliography, and/or vice versa. Key source information is missing from multiple in-text references and/or reference list entries. A URL link, rather than the actual reference, is provided in the bibliography. Sources are repeated in the reference list. etc.
- Most sources are listed in a haphazard order throughout the bibliography/reference list.
- Few to no appropriate introductory phrases or rules of grammar have been applied, and many direct quotes and/or paraphrases feel disconnected from the flow of the text.

Feedback on referencing congruency:

Overall feedback on referencing, with suggested improvements:

Portfolio of Evidence (PoE) — Background

In the PoE project, you will develop a C#.NET Framework software application to streamline municipal services in South Africa. The application aims to provide an efficient and user-friendly platform for citizens to access and request various municipal services.

Scenario:

A South African municipality is seeking to improve citizen engagement and service delivery through the implementation of a comprehensive municipal services application. The application should enable residents to:

- Report issues and request services.
- Access information about local events and announcements.
- Receive updates on the status of their service requests.

Note to Students:

Ensure that your application is fully functional and meets all outlined specifications. Additionally, consider the objectives outlined above as key indicators of the success of your Municipal Services Application. Aim to create a user-centric experience that adds value to the lives of citizens in your municipality.

Instructions

Complete the parts below to provide the required software. A list of items to be submitted for each part is specified – make sure you submit everything required!

Part 1 — Municipal Services Application for South Africa (Report Issues) (Marks: 100)

Learning Units: LU1 – LU2

This part has two tasks - Research (20 marks) and Implementation (80 marks).

Task 1: RESEARCH (20 Marks)

The municipality is interested in incorporating user engagement features into the application. Conduct online research on user engagement strategies suitable for a municipal services application, especially in the South African context. Refer to the following article to start your research:

Hart, Tim G. B., et al. "Innovation for Development in South Africa: Experiences with Basic Service Technologies in Distressed Municipalities." Forum for Development Studies, vol. 47, no. 1, 20 Aug. 2019,pp. 2347.

Hart etal FDS 2020 Innovation for development in South Africa experiences with basic ser vice.pdf. [Accessed 20 February 2025]

In a Word document:

- List five user engagement strategies considered during your research.
- Provide a 500-word explanation of the chosen user engagement strategy and justify why it
 was selected. The line spacing on the page should be 1.5. The font should be Times New
 Roman or Arial fonts. This should be at an 11 or 12-point size for readability.

Remember to reference the sources used.

Note: If the explanation exceeds 500 words, any content beyond this point will not be marked.

Task 2: IMPLEMENTATION (.NET Framework Window Application)

(80 Marks)

The municipality requires a C# software application to facilitate citizen reporting of issues and service requests. The application should be user-friendly and provide a seamless experience for residents to engage with municipal services.

Requirements:

- 1. On startup, the application shall present the user with three tasks:
 - a. Report Issues (to be implemented).
 - b. Local Events and Announcements (to be implemented later).
 - c. Service Request Status (to be implemented later).
- 2. Only the "Report Issues" task will be implemented initially; disable the other two options.
- 3. Upon selecting "Report Issues," the application shall prompt users to provide details about the issue, including location and category.
- 4. Users should be able to attach images or documents related to the issue.
- 5. Implement the chosen user engagement strategy to encourage active participation.

Technical Requirements:

- Utilise appropriate data structures to store user-reported issues and relevant details.
- Create a readme file explaining how to compile, run, and use the programme.

Guidelines for Report Issues Functionalities

User Interface Specifications:

1. Main Menu (Form):

- The main menu should be presented upon startup, providing the following options:
 - a. Report Issues (to be implemented).
 - b. Local Events and Announcements (to be implemented later).
 - Service Request Status (to be implemented later).

2. Report Issues Page (Windows Form):

 After selecting "Report Issues," create a new Windows Form that includes the following elements:

a. **Location Input (Textbox):** A textbox for users to input the location of the reported issue.

- b. Category Selection (Dropdown or ListBox): A dropdown or list for users to select the category of the reported issue (e.g., sanitation, roads, utilities).
- c. **Description Box (RichTextBox):** A RichTextBox control allowing users to provide a detailed description of the issue.
- d. Media Attachment (Button for File Dialog): A button enabling users to attach images or documents related to the reported issue. Implement OpenFileDialog for efficient media attachment.
- e. **Submit Button (Button):** A clearly labelled "Submit" button that users click to finalise the report.
- f. Engagement Feature (Label or ProgressBar): Integrate a dynamic engagement feature, such as a label displaying encouraging messages or a ProgressBar indicating the progress of the reporting.
- g. Navigation Buttons (Button): Include navigation buttons (e.g., "Back to Main Menu") for users to easily return to the main menu or navigate to other sections of the application.

Design Considerations:

1. Consistency:

 Maintain a consistent colour scheme and layout throughout the application to enhance user familiarity.

2. Clarity:

 Ensure that labels, buttons, and instructions are clear and easily understood by a diverse user base.

3. User Feedback:

 Implement feedback mechanisms (e.g., MessageBox for success messages, error alerts) to keep users informed about the status of their reporting.

4. Responsiveness:

 Design the interface to be responsive, accommodating various screen sizes and resolutions.

Additional Requirements:

- 1. Form Interactions (Event Handling):
 - Implement event handlers for button clicks and user interactions to ensure seamless functionality.
- 2. Data Handling (Data Structures):
 - Utilise appropriate data structures (e.g., list for storing issues) to efficiently manage and organise the reported issues.

Note: If the code does not **compile** and **run**, no marks will be awarded for any application functionality.

Submit the following items for this part:

- 1. A Word document containing your research.
- 2. **Source code** for the application.
- 3. The **readme file** with instructions for how to compile, run, and use the software.

Important! You will build on this application in Part 2 and the PoE. So, keep a copy of your code in a safe place!

Total: 100

<u>Part 2 — Municipal Services Application for South Africa (Collaboration) (Marks: 100)</u>

Learning Units: LU1 – LU4

Introduction:

In Part 2, you will continue developing the Municipal Services Application for South Africa. The focus is on advanced data structures and algorithms, including stacks, queues, priority queues, hash tables, dictionaries, sorted dictionaries, sets, and an additional recommendation feature based on user searches.

Scenario:

The Municipal Services Application aims to be a comprehensive platform, integrating various features for citizens to access local events and announcements efficiently.

Task 1: Implementation

(100 Marks)

2.1. Develop a C# application:

a. Main Menu (Form)

(30 Marks)

- Implement a Windows Form with an organised menu presenting the following options:
- Report Issues (implemented in Part 1).
- Local Events and Announcements (to be implemented in this part).
- Service Request Status (to be implemented in Task 3).

b. Local Events and Announcements Page (Windows Form)

(70 Marks)

- Upon selecting "Local Events and Announcements," create a Windows Form with the following features:
- Display upcoming local events and announcements in an aesthetically pleasing manner.
- Implement a search functionality allowing users to efficiently find events based on categories and dates.
- Utilise advanced data structures, such as sorted dictionaries, to optimise event organisation.

Technical Requirements for Local Events and Announcements Page (40 Marks)

Mark allocation breakdown:

Stacks, Queues, Priority Queues (15 Marks):

 Implement stacks, queues, or priority queues as needed to manage event-related data structures effectively.

Hash Tables, Dictionaries, Sorted Dictionaries (15 Marks):

 Utilise hash tables, dictionaries, or sorted dictionaries for organising and retrieving event information.

Sets (10 Marks):

Incorporate sets to handle unique categories or dates efficiently.

Additional Recommendation Feature (30 Marks):

Implement a recommendation feature based on user searches:

- Analyse user search patterns and preferences.
- Use an appropriate algorithm or data structure to suggest related or recommended events.
- Present recommendations in a user-friendly manner within the application.

Note: If the code does not **compile** and **run**, no marks will be awarded for any application functionality.

Submit the following items for this part:

- 1. **Source code** for the application.
- 2. The **readme file** with instructions for how to compile, run, and use the software.

Important! You will build on this application in the PoE. So, keep a copy of your code in a safe place!

PoE — Municipal Services Application for South Africa (Full Functioning App) (Marks: 100)

Learning Units: All Learning Units

Introduction:

Task 3 focuses on the final implementation of the Municipal Services Application, emphasising the integration of advanced data structures and algorithms, including basic trees, binary trees, binary search trees, AVL trees, red-black trees, heaps, graphs, graph traversal, and minimum spanning trees.

Scenario:

The Municipal Services Application is designed to be a comprehensive platform for residents, encompassing features such as reporting issues, accessing local events, and tracking service requests.

Implementation (100 Marks)

3.1. Develop a C# application:

- a. Implement a Windows Form that presents users with a menu for selecting:
 - Report Issues (implemented in Part 1).
 - Local Events and Announcements (implemented in Part 2).
 - Service Request Status (to be implemented in this task).
- b. Service Request Status Page (Windows Form) (100 Marks):

When choosing "Service Request Status," create a Windows Form with the following features:

- Display a well-organised list of submitted service requests, including their status.
- Allow users to track the progress of their service requests using unique identifiers.
- Utilise advanced data structures such as graphs, binary search trees, or heaps to manage and display service request information efficiently.

Technical Requirements (50 Marks):

Basic Trees, Binary Trees, Binary Search Trees, AVL Trees, Red-Black Trees (20 Marks):

• Implement these tree structures effectively for organising and retrieving service request information.

Heaps, Graphs, Graph Traversal, Minimum Spanning Tree (30 Marks):

 Utilise these structures to manage complex relationships and optimise the display of service request status.

Implementation Report (20 Marks):

- Compile a detailed readme file explaining how to compile, run, and use the programme.
- For each implemented data structure, provide an in-depth explanation of its role and contribution to the efficiency of the "Service Request Status" feature, including relevant examples.

Project Completion Report (20 Marks):

- Write a comprehensive report detailing the completion of the entire project.
- Discuss the challenges faced during the implementation of Task 3 and how they were overcome.
- Share insights into the key learnings acquired throughout the project, including new skills, problem-solving approaches, and programming techniques.

Technology Recommendations (10 Marks):

- Suggest additional technologies or tools that could enhance the functionality or performance of the Municipal Services Application.
- Justify the recommendations based on potential benefits and compatibility with the project.

Note: If the code does not **compile** and **run**, no marks will be awarded for any application functionality.

Submit the following items for this part:

- 1. A **Word document** containing the report.
- Source code for the application, which must include the complete code of the functioning application.
- 3. The **readme file** with instructions for how to compile, run, and use the software.
- **4.** A file listing the **updates** that you have made based on **feedback** from your lecturer.

Appendix A - PoE Marking Rubrics

Assessment Sheet (Marking Rubric)

Please note: Tear off this section and attach it to your work when you submit it/ If this is an online submission, then this information needs to be included in the online submission.

MODULE NAME:	MODULE CODE:
PROGRAMMING 3B	PROG7312/w
ADVANCED APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT	AAPD7112/w

STUDENT NAME: STUDENT NUMBER:

	PART 1 -Task 1								
Marking		Does not meet the	Does not meet the Meets the required		Greatly exceeds the	Feedback			
Criteria	required standard		standard	required standard	required standard				
		••		-1 · · ·	- 1 1 1				
	•	No user	Only one or two	Three to four user	The list includes				
Research: List		engagement	user engagement	engagement	five well-defined				
of five user		strategies are listed	strategies are	strategies are	user engagement				
engagement		or are completely	listed, with limited	listed,	strategies				
strategies		unrelated.	relevance to	demonstrating a	relevant to				
			municipal services	good	municipal services				
[5 Marks]			applications.	understanding of	applications.				
				the topic.					
		0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 – 4 Marks	5 Marks				

Research:	Does not meet the required standard	Meets the required standard	Partially exceeds the required standard	Greatly exceeds the required standard	Feedback
Explanation and justification	No explanation or justification is provided, or it is completely	Some details are provided, but the explanation and	A 500-word explanation is included, providing a	A comprehensive 500-word explanation is	
of the chosen strategy [10 Marks]	illogical.	justification lack depth or logical coherence.	good level of detail and justification for the chosen user engagement strategy.	provided, clearly justifying the chosen user engagement strategy with a deep understanding of its benefits for municipal services.	
	0 – 3 Marks	4 – 6 Marks	7 – 8 Marks	9 – 10 Marks	
Referencing and Citations [5 Marks]	No proper referencing is provided.	 Referencing is present but lacks accuracy or proper citation format. 	References are mostly accurate, with minor issues in citation format.	 Proper referencing and citations are used, following the given article and other relevant sources. 	
	0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 – 4 Marks	5 Marks	

		PAR	RT 1 -Task 2		
	Does not meet the	Meets the required	Partially exceeds the	Greatly exceeds the	Feedback
	required standard	standard	required standard	required standard	
Арр	The main menu is	The main menu is	The main menu is	The main menu is	
Functionality:	not implemented,	implemented, but	well-implemented,	presented flawlessly	
	or it does not work	there are notable	with minor issues or	on startup, with all	
Task	at all.	bugs affecting user	bugs that do not	options working	
presentation on		experience.	significantly impact	perfectly without	
startup			functionality.	any errors.	
	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks	
[10 Marks]					
		PAR	RT 1 -Task 2		
Арр	App Functionality:	The "Report Issues"	The "Report Issues"	The "Report Issues"	
Functionality:	Report Issues task	task is fully	task is well-	task is fully	
	implementation	implemented,	implemented with	implemented,	
Report Issues		meeting all	only minor bugs that	meeting all	
task		requirements without	do not hinder	requirements	
implementation.		any errors.	functionality.	without any errors.	
[10 Marks]	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks	

	PART 1 - Task 2								
App Functionality: User input for issue details	User input functionality is not implemented or does not work at all.	User input functionality is implemented, but there are notable issues affecting user interaction.	User input functionality is well- implemented with only one or two minor bugs.	User input functionality for issue details works perfectly without any errors.					
[10 Marks]	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks					
		PAR	RT 1 -Task 2						
App Functionality: Media attachment functionality	The media attachment feature is not implemented, or it does not work at all.	The media attachment feature is implemented, but there are significant bugs affecting usability.	The media attachment feature is well-implemented with only one or two minor bugs.	The media attachment feature works flawlessly without any errors.					
[10 Marks]	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks					
		PAR	RT 1 -Task 2						
App Functionality:	The user engagement strategy is not	The user engagement strategy is implemented, but	The user engagement strategy is well-	The chosen user engagement strategy is					
Implementation of user engagement strategy	implemented, or it does not work at all.	there are notable issues impacting its success.	implemented, with minor issues that do not hinder its effectiveness.	seamlessly integrated, positively influencing user					
				participation.					

[10 Marks]	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks	
		PAR	RT 1 -Task 2		
App Logic:	A list is not used at	A list is used only in	A list is mostly used,	A list is consistently	
	all to store user-	some places, with	with some instances	and appropriately	
Use of	reported issues.	arrays or different	of other data	used throughout the	
appropriate		data structures being	structures, but it	application to store	
data structures		used in others,	does not	user-reported	
		affecting efficiency.	significantly impact	issues.	
[5 Marks]			functionality.		
	0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 - 4 Marks	5 Marks	
		PAR	RT 1 -Task 2		
Coding	No readme file is	The readme file	The readme file	The readme file is	
Standards:	submitted.	contains very little	contains sufficient	excellent, providing	
		useful information,	information but may	all relevant	
Readme file		making it challenging	lack completeness	information for	
quality		to understand how to	or detail in some	compiling, running,	
		use the software.	areas.	and using the	
[5 Marks]				software.	
	0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 - 4 Marks	5 Marks	
		PAR	RT 1 -Task 2		
Design	The interface is	The interface lacks	The interface is	The interface	
Considerations:	poorly designed,	consistency, clarity,	mostly consistent,	maintains a	
	with significant	and effective	with minor	consistent color	
Consistency,	inconsistencies,	feedback	inconsistencies in	scheme and layout,	
clarity, user	unclear labels, and	mechanisms,	color or layout.	enhancing user	
feedback, and	no effective		Labels and	familiarity.	
responsiveness			instructions are	Labels, buttons, and	
			generally clear, but	instructions are	

[10 Marks]	feedback mechanisms. It is not responsive, making it challenging for users with various screen sizes.	impacting user understanding. Responsiveness is limited, affecting user experience on different screens.	some users may find them confusing. Feedback mechanisms are present but may need improvement. The interface is responsive but may have issues with certain screen sizes.	clear and easily understood. Feedback mechanisms are implemented effectively, keeping users informed. The interface is responsive, accommodating various screen sizes.	
	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks	
		PAR	T 1 -Task 2		
Additional Requirements: Form interactions and data handling. [10 Marks]	 Event handlers are not implemented, or they do not work, making the application nonfunctional. Inappropriate data structures are used or not used at all. 	 Event handlers are implemented, but there are notable issues affecting functionality. Data structures are not used efficiently, impacting the organisation of user-reported issues. 	 Event handlers work well, with minor issues that do not significantly impact functionality. Data structures are mostly appropriate but may need refinement. 	 Event handlers for button clicks and user interactions are implemented seamlessly, ensuring flawless functionality. Appropriate data structures (e.g., List) are used efficiently to manage and organise user-reported issues. 	
	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks	
PART 1 TOTAL					/100

Notes to Students:			

	PART 2 -Task 1								
Marking	Does not meet the	Meets the required	Partially exceeds the	Greatly exceeds the	Feedback				
Criteria	required standard	standard	required standard	required standard					
Main Menu (Form)	The Main Menu is not implemented, or it does not work at all.	The Main Menu is implemented, but there are notable bugs affecting user interaction.	The Main Menu is well-implemented with minor issues that do not significantly impact functionality.	The Main Menu is flawlessly implemented with organised options, and all features work perfectly without any errors.					
	0 - 8 Mark	9 - 16 Marks	17 – 20 Marks	21 - 30 Marks					

Marking Criteria		s not meet the	N	Meets the required		artially exceeds the	· ·		Feedbac	:k
	req	uired standard		standard		required standard		required standard		
Local Events and Announcements Page (Windows Form): Technical Requirements	prior imple	ks, queues, or ity queues are not emented or do not c correctly.		Stacks, queues, or priority queues are implemented, but there are significant problems affecting functionality.	•	Stacks, queues, or priority queues are well-implemented but may have minor issues.	•	The implementation effectively utilises stacks, queues, or priority queues for managing event-related data structures.		
Stacks, Queues, Priority Queues [15 Marks]	(0 – 4 Marks		5 - 10 Marks		11 - 14 Marks		15 Marks		
							_		T	
Local Events		tables,	•	Hash tables,	•	The use of hash	•	Hash tables,		
Announcements		onaries, or sorted onaries are not		dictionaries, or sorted dictionaries		tables, dictionaries, or sorted		dictionaries, or sorted dictionaries		
Page (Windows		emented or do not		are implemented,		dictionaries is good		are seamlessly		
Form):	•	correctly.		but there are		but may have minor		integrated for		
Technical		,		notable issues.		inefficiencies.		organising and		
Requirements								retrieving event information.		
Hash Tables, Dictionaries, Sorted Dictionaries [15 Marks]		0 – 4 Marks		5 - 10 Marks		11 - 14 Marks		15 Marks		

		P.A	ART 2 -Task 1		
Marking Criteria	Does not meet the required standard	Meets the required standard	Partially exceeds the required standard	Greatly exceeds the required standard	Feedback
			·		
Local Events and	Sets are not	• Sets are	The use of sets is	Sets are effectively	
Announcements	implemented or	implemented, but	good but may have	incorporated to	
Page (Windows	do not work	there are notable	minor issues	handle unique	
Form):	correctly.	problems.	affecting efficiency.	categories or dates efficiently.	
Technical					
Requirements:					
Sets	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks	
[10 Marks]					
	Does not meet the	Meets the required	Partially exceeds the	Greatly exceeds the	Feedback
	required standard	standard	required standard	required standard	
Additional	• The	• The	• The	The recommendation	
Requirements:	recommendation	recommendation	recommendation	feature is seamlessly	
	feature is not	feature is	feature is well-	integrated, analysing	
Search patterns,	implemented or	implemented, but	implemented but	user search patterns	
Smart	does not work	there are significant	may have minor	and preferences.	
Recommendations	correctly.	problems affecting	issues in analysing	An appropriate	
	·	the accuracy of	user preferences or	algorithm or data	
[30 Marks]		suggestions or	presenting	structure is used to	
		presentation.	recommendations.	suggest related or	

				recommended events. Recommendations are presented in a user-friendly manner within the application.	
	0 – 9 Marks	10 - 15 Marks	16 - 20 Marks	21 - 30 Marks	
PART 2 TOTAL					/100

Notes to Students:

	POE PART 3 -Task 1									
Marking	Does not meet the	Meets the required	Partially exceeds the	Greatly exceeds the	Feedback					
Criteria	required standard	standard	required standard	required standard						
	The tree structures	• The	The tree structures	• The						
Basic Trees,	are not implemented	implementation of	are well-	implementation						
Binary Trees,	or do not work	tree structures is	implemented, with	of these tree						
Binary Search	correctly, leading to	present but has	minor issues that	structures is						
Trees, AVL	significant issues in	notable bugs	do not significantly	exceptional,						
Trees, Red-	the organisation and	affecting the	impact	providing an						
Black Trees:	retrieval of service	organisation and	functionality.	efficient						
	request information.	retrieval of service	There may be a	organisation and						
Implementation			few areas for	retrieval						
Effectiveness			improvement but	mechanism for						
			overall, a solid and							

[20 Marks]		request	effective	service request	
		information.	implementation.	information.	
		There might be		• It demonstrates a	
		areas that need		flawless	
		attention to		integration,	
		enhance efficiency.		addressing	
				potential issues	
				effectively.	
	0 – 5 Marks	6 - 10 Marks	11 – 15 Marks	16 - 20 Marks	

Heaps, Graphs,		Does not meet the	Meets the required	ı	Partially exceeds the		Greatly exceeds the	Feedback
Graph		required standard	standard		required standard		required standard	
Traversal,								
Minimum	•	These structures are	• The utilisation of	•	These structures are	•	Heaps, graphs, graph	
Spanning Tree:		not utilised or do not work correctly,	these structures is present, but there		well-utilised but may have minor issues		traversal, and minimum spanning	
Structures		resulting in	are notable issues		affecting efficiency.		tree structures are	
Utilisation		significant issues in	affecting	•	While the		seamlessly	
		managing complex	performance.		implementation is		integrated,	
		relationships and	The implementation		good, there might be		effectively managing	
[30 Marks]		optimising the	may lack some key		some opportunities		complex	
		display of service	elements for		to enhance the		relationships and	
		request status.	efficient		utilisation of these		optimising the	
			management of		structures for		display of service	
			complex		optimal		request status.	
			relationships.		performance.	•	The implementation	
							demonstrates a deep	
							understanding of	
							their role and	
							efficient utilisation.	
		0 – 9 Marks	10 - 15 Marks		16 - 20 Marks		21 - 30 Marks	

Implementation	•	No readme file is	•	The readme file	•	The readme file	•	The readme file is
Report:		submitted, leaving		contains very little		contains sufficient		detailed and clear,
		users without		useful information,		information but may		providing
Readme File		essential guidance		making it		lack completeness or		comprehensive
Quality		on compiling,		challenging to		detail in some areas.		instructions for
		running, and using		understand how to	•	While functional,		compiling, running,
[10 Marks]		the software.		use the software.		there might be some		and using the
			•	It significantly		areas where		program.
				impacts the user's		additional clarity	•	It is well-organised
				ability to interact		could improve user		and easily
				with the application.		guidance.		understandable,
								contributing to a
								seamless user
								experience.
		0 – 3 Marks		4 - 6 Marks		7 - 8 Marks		9 - 10 Marks

	POE PART 3 - Task 1								
	Does not meet the	Meets the required	Partially exceeds the	Greatly exceeds the	Feedback				
	required standard	standard	required standard	required standard					
Implementation Report:	No explanations are provided for the implemented	 Explanations are limited, lacking depth and coherence. 	 Explanations are present but may lack depth or 	 In-depth explanations are provided for each 					
Data Structure Explanation	data structures, leaving users without insights into the	 Examples are unclear or non-existent. The understanding of the role of each data 	completeness. Some examples may be missing or unclear. • While providing	implemented data structure, detailing its role and contribution to the					
[10 Marks]	fundamental components of the application's efficiency.	structure in enhancing efficiency is not effectively communicated.	insights, there is room for improvement in conveying the full impact of each data structure on application efficiency.	efficiency of the "Service Request Status" feature, with relevant examples. The explanations are clear, detailed, and effectively communicate the significance of each data structure.					
	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks					

POE PART 3 - Task 1									
Project Completion	No project	The project overview	The project	A comprehensive					
Report:	overview is	is limited, lacking	overview is present	report details the					
	provided,	detail, and insights	but may lack detail	completion of the					
Project Overview	depriving users of	into challenges and	or insights.	entire project,					
	essential insights	solutions.	Challenges and	providing insights					
[10 Marks]	into the challenges	 Users are left with a 	solutions are briefly	into challenges					
	faced and	less comprehensive	mentioned, leaving	faced during the					
	solutions	understanding of the	some aspects of the	implementation of					
	implemented	project's journey and	project's completion	Task 1, 2 and 3 and					
	during the project.	problem-solving	not fully explored.	how they were					
		approaches.		overcome.					
				The overview					
				effectively					
				communicates the					
				project's journey,					
				challenges, and					
				solutions.					
	0 – 10 Marks	11 - 20 Marks	21 -26 Marks	27 - 30 Marks					
		POE PA	RT 3 -Task 1						
Project Completion	 No key learnings 	 Key learnings are 	Some insights into	 Significant 					
Report:	are provided,	mentioned but lack	key learnings are	insights into					
	leaving users	detail or specificity.	provided but lack	key learnings					
Key Learnings	without insights	• The discussion	depth or clarity.	acquired					
	into the valuable	provides only a	The discussion could	throughout					
[5 Marks]	skills and	surface-level	benefit from further	the project,					
	knowledge gained	understanding of the	elaboration on	including new					
	during the project.		specific skills,	skills,					

		learning outcomes from the project.	approaches, or techniques learned.	problem- solving approaches, and programming techniques. • The discussion reflects a deep understanding of the learning process during the project.	
	0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 - 4 Marks	5 Marks	
		POE PA	RT 3 -Task 1		
Technology	 No technology 	 Recommendations 	 Recommendations 	Additional	
Recommendations:	recommendations	are limited and lack	are present but may	technologies or	
	are provided,	clear justifications.	lack clarity or	tools are	
Suggestions	missing an	 The suggested 	justification.	suggested to	
	opportunity to	technologies may not	The suggested	enhance the	
[5 Marks]	enhance the	provide substantial	technologies could	functionality or	
	application's	contributions to the	benefit from more	performance of	
	capabilities.	application's	explicit ties to	the Municipal	
		functionality or	potential benefits	Services	
		performance.	and compatibility	Application, with	
			with the project.	clear justifications	
				based on potential	
				benefits and	

				compatibility with the project. The recommendations are insightful and directly contribute to the application's enhancement.	
	0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 - 4 Marks	5 Marks	
			RT 3 -Task 1		
Technology	 No justifications 	 Justifications are 	 Justifications are 	The justifications	
Recommendations:	are provided for	unclear or not directly	present but may	for technology	
	the technology	tied to project	lack clarity or may	recommendations	
Justification	recommendations,	benefits.	not be directly tied	are clear and	
	leaving users	 The discussion does 	to project benefits.	directly tied to	
	without insights	not effectively convey	While providing	potential benefits	
[5 Marks]	into why these	the rationale behind	some rationale,	and compatibility	
	technologies are	the technology	there is room for	with the project.	
	suggested.	recommendations.	improvement in	The discussion	
			clearly connecting	effectively	
			each	communicates	
			recommendation to	why each	
			the project's needs.	technology is a	
				valuable addition.	
	0 Mark	1 - 2 Marks	3 - 4 Marks	5 Marks	

	POE PART 3 -Task 1									
Updates Based on	No file listing	The file listing	A file listing updates	A detailed file						
Feedback:	updates based on feedback is	updates is limited, and there is minimal	is provided, but there might be	listing the updates made based on						
Incorporation of	submitted, missing	evidence of	areas where the	feedback from the						
Feedback	the opportunity to showcase the	substantial changes made based on	incorporation of feedback could be	lecturer is submitted.						
[5 Marks]	application's iterative improvement process.	 feedback. The updates may not fully address the provided feedback. 	more detailed or explicit. The updates contribute to improvements but may lack thorough documentation.	The updates reflect a proactive approach to refining and enhancing the application, addressing feedback effectively.						
	0 – 3 Marks	4 - 6 Marks	7 - 8 Marks	9 - 10 Marks						
PART 1 TOTAL					/100					

Notes to Students: