

On the Single-Sideband Transform for MVDR Filter-Banks

Vitor Curtarelli^{1,*} , Israel Cohen¹ 

¹ Andrew and Erna Viterbi Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Technion–Israel Institute of Technology, Technion City, Haifa 3200003, Israel

* Correspondence: vitor.c@campus.technion.ac.il

Abstract: Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Keywords: Single-sideband transform; MVDR beamformer; Filter banks; Array signal processing; Signal enhancement.

1. Introduction

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

[1]

Citation: Curtarelli, V.; Cohen, I. On the Single-Sideband Transform for MVDR Filter Banks. *Algorithms* **2023**, *1*, 0. <https://doi.org/>

Received:
Revised:
Accepted:
Published:

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted to *Algorithms* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

2. Single-Sideband Transform

Although the most traditionally used time-frequency transform is the Short-time Fourier Transform (STFT) [?], it isn't the only one possible. Also, note that in the derivation detailed previously no mention was made to a specific time-frequency transform. Therefore, exploring different possibilities for such an operator can lead to interesting results.

The single-sideband transform (SSBT) [?] is one such alternative, in which the frequency values are cleverly utilized such that they are all real-valued.

Given a time-domain signal $x[n]$, its STFT-domain transform is given by

$$X_{\mathcal{F}}[l, k] = \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} w[n] x[n - l \cdot O] e^{-j2\pi k \frac{(n-l \cdot O)}{K}} \quad (1)$$

where $w[n]$ is an analysis window of length K ; and O is the overlap between windows of the transform, usually $O = \lfloor K/2 \rfloor$.

The SSBT is defined very similarly, as

$$X_{\mathcal{S}}[l, k] = \sqrt{2} \Re \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} w[n] x[n - l \cdot O] e^{j2\pi k \frac{(n-l \cdot O)}{K} + j \frac{3\pi}{4}} \right\} \quad (2)$$

Assuming a that $x[n]$ is real-valued, one advantage of using the STFT is that we only need to work with $\left\lceil \frac{K+1}{2} \right\rceil + 1$ frequency bins, given its complex-conjugate behavior. Meanwhile, the SSBT needs to use all K possible bins to correctly capture all information of $x[n]$, however it is real-valued.

It is possible to define the SSBT using the STFT (assuming all K bins are available), such that

$$X_{\mathcal{S}}[l, k] = \sqrt{2} \Re \left\{ X_{\mathcal{F}}[l, k] e^{j \frac{3\pi}{4}} \right\} \quad (3)$$

which is a very useful formulation.

It is possible to show that, unlike with the STFT, the convolution theorem doesn't hold when using the SSBT. That is, if $y[n] = h[n] * x[n]$, then $Y_{\mathcal{F}}[l, k] = H_{\mathcal{F}}[l, k] * X_{\mathcal{F}}[l, k]$, but $Y_{\mathcal{S}}[l, k] \neq H_{\mathcal{S}}[l, k] * X_{\mathcal{S}}[l, k]$.

However, it can still be used to estimate the matrices necessary for calculating the desired beamformer, and then the beamformer obtained is converted into the STFT domain (through Eq. (3)) to then be used to filter the observed signal.

3. Signal and Array Model

Let there be a sensor array of any shape, which is comprised of M sensors, within a reverberant environment. This environment also contains a desired and an interfering sources (name $x[n]$ and $v[n]$), and also uncorrelated noise, $r_m[n]$ (at each sensor m).

We denote $h_m[n]$ as the room impulse response, between the desired signal (at source) and the m -th sensor. We similarly define $g_m[n]$ for the interfering signal at source. From this, we write $y_m[n]$ as the observed signal at the m -th sensor as

$$y_m[n] = h_m[n] * x[n] + g_m[n] * v[n] + r_m[n] \quad (4)$$

We let m' be the reference sensor's index (without compromise, $m' = 1$). We let $x_1[n] = h_1[n] * x[n]$ (and similarly for $v_1[n]$). $b_m[n]$ is the *relative* impulse response between the desired signal (at the reference sensor) and the m -th sensor, such that

$$b_m[n] * x_1[n] = h_m[n] * x[n] \quad (5)$$

and we similarly define $c_m[n]$ such that $c_m[n] * v_1[n] = g_m[n] * v[n]$. Therefore, Eq. (4) becomes

$$y_m[n] = b_m[n] * x_1[n] + c_m[n] * v_1[n] + r_m[n] \quad (6)$$

We can use the CTF model [?] along a time-frequency transform to turn Eq. (6) into

$$Y_m[l, k] = B_m[l, k] * X_1[l, k] + C_m[l, k] * V_1[l, k] + R_m[l, k] \quad (7)$$

where $Y_m[l, k]$ is the transform of $y_m[n]$ (resp. $B_m[l, k]$, $X_1[l, k]$, $C_m[l, k]$, $V_1[l, k]$ and $R_m[l, k]$); l is the window index, and k the bin index, with $0 \leq k \leq K - 1$; and the convolution is in the window-index axis.

Assuming that $B_m[l, k]$ is a finite (possibly truncated) response with L_B windows, then

$$B_m[l, k] * X_1[l, k] = \mathbf{b}_m^T[k] \mathbf{x}_1[l, k] \quad (8)$$

in which

$$\mathbf{b}_m[k] = \left[B_m[0, k], B_m[1, k], \dots, B_m[L_B - 1, k] \right]^T \quad (9a)$$

$$\mathbf{x}_1[l, k] = \left[B_m[l, k], B_m[l - 1, k], \dots, B_m[l - L_B + 1, k] \right]^T \quad (9b)$$

and similarly we define $\mathbf{c}_m[k]$ and $\mathbf{v}_1[l, k]$. Note that $\mathbf{b}_m[k]$ doesn't depend on the index l , since the system is time-invariant. With this, Eq. (7) becomes

$$Y_m[l, k] = \mathbf{b}_m^T[k] \mathbf{x}_1[l, k] + \mathbf{c}_m^T[k] \mathbf{v}_1[l, k] + R_m[l, k] \quad (10)$$

Vectorizing the signals sensor-wise, we finally get

$$\mathbf{y}[l, k] = \mathbf{B}^T[k] \mathbf{x}_1[l, k] + \mathbf{C}^T[k] \mathbf{v}_1[l, k] + \mathbf{r}[l, k] \quad (11)$$

where

$$\mathbf{y}[l, k] = \left[y_1[l, k], \dots, y_M[l, k] \right]^T \quad (12)$$

and similarly for the other variables. In this situation, $\mathbf{B}[k]$ and $\mathbf{C}[k]$ are $L_B \times M$ and $L_C \times M$ matrices respectively; $\mathbf{x}_1[l, k]$ and $\mathbf{v}_1[l, k]$ are $L_B \times 1$ and $L_C \times 1$ vectors respectively; and $\mathbf{y}[l, k]$ and $\mathbf{r}[l, k]$ are $M \times 1$ vectors.

3.1. Reverb-aware formulation

We define Δ as the window-index in which $b_1[n]$ starts, and assume that $b_1[n]$ starts at the start of a window of the transform¹. We assume that the first window of $\mathbf{B}[k]$ is the desired part of speech, and the rest is an undesired component, which is only reverberation.

¹ This can be easily achieved by left zero-padding all $b_m[n]$ appropriately.

With this, we write

$$\mathbf{B}^T[k]\mathbf{x}_1[l,k] = \mathbf{d}_x[k]X_1[l,k] + \sum_{\substack{l'=0 \\ l' \neq \Delta}}^{L_B-1} \mathbf{p}_{B,l'}[k]X_1[l-l',k] \quad (13)$$

where $\mathbf{d}_x[k]$ is the k -th row of $\mathbf{B}[k]$, and $\mathbf{p}_{B,l'}[k]$ is the l' -th row of $\mathbf{B}[k]$. With this, $\mathbf{d}_x[k]X_1[l,k]$ is the desired speech component of $\mathbf{B}^T[k]\mathbf{x}_1[l,k]$, and the summation over l' is the undesired component.

We define $\mathbf{p}_{C,l''}$ similarly, such that

$$\mathbf{C}^T[k]\mathbf{v}_1[l,k] = \sum_{l''=0}^{L_C-1} \mathbf{p}_{C,l''}[k]V_1[l-l'',k] \quad (14)$$

From here, we can write

$$\mathbf{y}[l,k] = \mathbf{d}_x[k]X_1[l,k] + \mathbf{w}[l,k] \quad (15)$$

with $\mathbf{w}[l,k]$ being the undesired signal (undesired speech components + interfering source + noise), given by

$$\mathbf{w}[l,k] = \sum_{\substack{l'=0 \\ l' \neq \Delta}}^{L_B-1} \mathbf{p}_{B,l'}[k]X_1[l-l',k] + \sum_{l''=0}^{L_C-1} \mathbf{p}_{C,l''}[k]V_1[l-l'',k] + \mathbf{r}[l,k] \quad (16)$$

3.2. MVDR beamformer

We use an LTI filter $\mathbf{f}[l,k]$ to estimate the desired signal at the reference sensor, such that

$$\begin{aligned} Z[l,k] &= \mathbf{f}^H[l,k]\mathbf{y}[l,k] \\ &\approx X_1[l,k] \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In order to minimize the undesired signal $\mathbf{w}[l,k]$, we will use an MVDR beamformer [?], whose formulation is

$$\mathbf{f}^*[l,k] = \min_{\mathbf{f}[l,k]} \mathbf{f}[l,k]^H \Phi_{\mathbf{w}}[l,k] \mathbf{f}[l,k] \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{f}^H[l,k] \mathbf{d}_x[k] = 1 \quad (18)$$

in which $\mathbf{f}^H[l,k] \mathbf{d}_x[k] = 1$ is the distortionless constraint, and $\Phi_{\mathbf{w}}[l,k]$ is the correlation matrix of the undesired signal, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathbf{w}}[l,k] &= \sum_{\substack{l'=0 \\ l' \neq \Delta}}^{L_B-1} \mathbf{p}_{B,l'}^H[k] \mathbf{p}_{B,l'}[k] \phi_{X_1}[l-l',k] \\ &\quad + \sum_{l''=0}^{L_C-1} \mathbf{p}_{C,l''}^H[k] \mathbf{p}_{C,l''}[k] \phi_{V_1}[l-l'',k] \\ &\quad + \mathbf{I}_M \phi_R[l,k] \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $\phi_{X_1}[l,k]$ is the variance of $X_1[l,k]$ (same for $\phi_{V_1}[l,k]$), and \mathbf{I}_M is the $M \times M$ identity matrix, assuming that the distribution of $\mathbf{r}[l,k]$ is the same for all sensors.

Even though when windowing the signal for the time-frequency transform, we use overlapping windows, here we assume that $X_1[l_1, k]$ is independent of $X_1[l_2, k]$, for simplicity.

The solution to Eq. (18) is given by

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{mvdr}}[l, k] = \frac{\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}[l, k] \mathbf{d}_x[l, k]}{\mathbf{d}_x^H[l, k] \mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}[l, k] \mathbf{d}_x[l, k]} \quad (20)$$

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, I. Cohen and V. Curtarelli; Methodology, V. Curtarelli; Software, V. Curtarelli; Writing—original draft: V. Curtarelli; Writing—review and editing, I. Cohen and V. Curtarelli; Supervision, V. Curtarelli. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the Pazy Research Foundation, and the Israel Science Foundation (grant no. 1449/23).

Data Availability Statement: The source-code for the simulations developed here is available at <https://github.com/VCurtarelli/py-cb-lcmv-rect>.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

CB Constant-beamwidth

References

1. Loizou, P.C. Speech Enhancement.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.